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## Introduction

The main object of our study is calibrated $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ manifolds. Such a manifold is a 7-dimensional Riemannian manifold admitting a $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structure whose fundamental 3 -form, called the $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form, is closed. In particular, we construct new examples of those manifolds from symplectic half-flat manifolds of dimension six via mapping tori, and show the existence of nilsoliton metrics determined by closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ forms. For each of these latter $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ forms, we prove that the solution of its Laplacian flow has long time existence.

Let $(M, g)$ be a Riemannian manifold. The existence of a certain differential form $\Omega$ on $(M, g)$, such that $\Omega$ is parallel with respect to the Levi-Civita connection of the Riemannian metric $g$, gives a powerful restriction on the holonomy group of $(M, g)$. This group acts in a natural way on the tangent space $T_{p}(M)$ of $M$ in any point $p$. When $(M, g)$ is complete, de Rham in 44] proved that unless this representation is irreducible, $M$ has a finite covering, which is a product of Riemannian manifolds of smaller dimension. In 1955 Berger [15] gave the complete list of the possible holonomy groups of a simply connected, irreducible and nonsymmetric Riemannian manifold $(M, g)$ of dimension $n$ :
i) $\mathrm{SO}(n)$ acting on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$;
ii) $\mathrm{U}(m) \subset \mathrm{SO}(n)$ acting on $\mathbb{R}^{2 m}$, with $n=2 m$;
iii) $\mathrm{SU}(m) \subset \mathrm{SO}(n)$ acting on $\mathbb{R}^{2 m}$, $\quad$ with $n=2 m$;
iv) $\mathrm{Sp}(m) \subset \mathrm{SO}(n)$ acting on $\mathbb{R}^{4 m}$, with $n=4 m$;
v) $\operatorname{Sp}(m) \mathrm{Sp}(1) \subset \mathrm{SO}(n)$ acting on $\mathbb{R}^{4 m}, \quad$ with $n=4 m \geq 8$;
vi) $\mathrm{G}_{2} \subset \mathrm{SO}(7)$ acting on $\mathbb{R}^{7}$,
vii) $\operatorname{Spin}(7) \subset \mathrm{SO}(8)$ acting on $\mathbb{R}^{8}$,
with $n=7$;
with $n=8$.

It is remarkable that all but the two largest irreducible holonomy groups, $\mathrm{SO}(n)$ and $\mathrm{U}(m)$, force the metric to be Einstein and in some cases Ricci-flat. More precisely, Riemannian manifolds whose holonomy group is contained in $\mathrm{U}(m)$ are called Kähler manifolds; they have dimension $2 m$, and the Kähler form is a nondegenerate 2-form which is parallel with respect to the Levi-Civita connection of
the Kähler metric. Riemannian manifolds with holonomy $\mathrm{SU}(m)$ are Kähler manifolds such that the Kähler metric is Ricci-flat. On such a manifold, in addition to the Kähler form there is a complex volume form which is parallel, and if it is compact, then it is called Calabi-Yau manifold. A Riemannian manifold ( $M, g$ ) of dimension $n=4 m$ is said to be hyper-Kähler if its holonomy group is contained in $\operatorname{Sp}(m)$. Since $\mathrm{Sp}(m) \subset \mathrm{SU}(2 m) \subset \mathrm{U}(2 m)$, hyper-Kähler manifolds are Kähler and Ricci-flat, and they have three complex structures compatible with the hyper-Kähler metric. Quaternionic Kähler manifolds are those whose holonomy group is contained in $\operatorname{Sp}(m) \operatorname{Sp}(1)$. Such a manifold has a 4 -form which is parallel. Alekseevsky in [2] proved that quaternionic Kähler manifolds of dimension $\geq 8$ are Einstein. (Note that in dimension 4, an orientable Riemannian manifold is called quaternionic Kähler if it is Einstein and selfdual [117].) The groups $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ and $\operatorname{Spin}(7)$ are the exceptional holonomy Lie groups. Riemannian manifolds with holonomy contained in $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ or $\operatorname{Spin}(7)$ are Ricci-flat and have a 3 -form or a 4 -form, respectively, which is parallel with respect to the Levi-Civita connection [20].

The geometrical structures associated to the cases $i i$ ) to vii) of the list of Berger are known as special geometrical structures and the corresponding underlying Riemannian metric is called a special metric. For the exceptional holonomy groups, that is, $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ and $\operatorname{Spin}(7)$ the corresponding geometrical structures are called exceptional structures and the corresponding metric is said to be an exceptional metric.

For many years after Berger's result, the theory of $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ manifolds was a dormant subject. In fact, there were doubts whether the two exceptional entries $\left(\mathrm{G}_{2}\right.$ and $\operatorname{Spin}(7))$ in Berger's list can be realized as holonomy groups. Only in 80 's, manifolds with holonomy $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ were constructed. In 1987, Bryant in [23] constructed local examples, and then R. Bryant and S. Salamon in [26] produced complete manifolds with holonomy $\mathrm{G}_{2}$. The first examples of compact manifolds with holonomy $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ and $\operatorname{Spin}(7)$ were given by Joyce [86, 87]. Kovalev in [89] and, recently, Corti, Haskins, Nordström and Pacini in [43] produced new examples of compact manifolds with holonomy $\mathrm{G}_{2}$. Since then, $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ manifolds became a central subject of study not only in geometry and topology but also in mathematical physics, mainly in the context of string theory and supersymmetry [58, 59, 60, 67, 113 .

Let us consider the space $\mathbb{O}$ of the Cayley numbers, which is a non-associative algebra over $\mathbb{R}$ of dimension 8 . Thus, we can identify the 7 -dimensional Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{7}$ with the subspace of $\mathbb{O}$ consisting of pure imaginary Cayley numbers. Then, the product on $\mathbb{O}$ defines on $\mathbb{R}^{7}$ the 3 -form $\varphi$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi=e^{127}+e^{347}+e^{567}+e^{135}-e^{236}-e^{146}-e^{245}, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{7}\right\}$ is the standard basis of $\mathbb{R}^{7}$ and $\left\{e^{1}, \ldots, e^{7}\right\}$ is the dual basis. Here, $e^{127}$ stands for $e^{1} \wedge e^{2} \wedge e^{7}$, and so on. The group $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ is the stabilizer of the

3 -form $\varphi$ defined by (1) under the standard action of $G L(7, \mathbb{R})$ on $\Lambda^{3}\left(\mathbb{R}^{7}\right)^{*}$, that is,

$$
\mathrm{G}_{2}=\left\{A \in \mathrm{GL}(7, \mathbb{R}) \mid A^{*} \varphi=\varphi\right\}
$$

The Lie group $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ is compact, connected, simply connected and simple of dimension 14. It acts irreducibly on $\mathbb{R}^{7}$ and preserves the metric and orientation for which $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{7}\right\}$ is an oriented orthonormal basis. Denote by $*_{\varphi}$ the Hodge star operator determined by the orientation and the metric. Then $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ also preserves the 4 -form

$$
*_{\varphi} \varphi=e^{1234}+e^{1256}+e^{1367}+e^{1457}+e^{2357}+e^{2467}+e^{3456}
$$

A $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structure on a 7 -dimensional manifold $M$ is a reduction of the structure group $\operatorname{GL}(7, \mathbb{R})$ of its frame bundle to the exceptional Lie group $\mathrm{G}_{2}$. Therefore, a $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structure determines a Riemannian metric and an orientation on $M$. Manifolds admitting $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structure are called $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ manifolds. The presence of such a structure is equivalent to the existence of a global 3 -form $\varphi$, called $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form, which can be locally written as in (1) with respect to some (local) basis $\left\{e^{1}, \ldots, e^{7}\right\}$ of the (local) 1-forms on $M$ (see subsection 1.1 .2 for details). Such a $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form $\varphi$ on a manifold $M$ induces a Riemannian metric $g_{\varphi}$ on $M$ given by

$$
g_{\varphi}(X, Y) v o l_{M}=\frac{1}{6} \iota_{X} \varphi \wedge \iota_{Y} \varphi \wedge \varphi
$$

for any vector fields $X, Y$ on $M$, where $\operatorname{vol}_{M}$ is the volume form on $M$, and $\iota_{X}$ denotes the contraction by $X$. Let $\nabla$ be the Levi-Civita connection of the Riemannian metric $g_{\varphi}$. The $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structure $\varphi$ is called torsion free $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structure if $\nabla \varphi=0$, so the holonomy group of $\left(M, g_{\varphi}\right)$ is contained in $\mathrm{G}_{2}$; and $\varphi$ is said to be $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structure with torsion if $\nabla \varphi \neq 0$.

By [56], a manifold $M$ with a $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structure comes equipped not only with a 3 -form $\varphi$ and a Riemannian metric $g_{\varphi}$ determined by $\varphi$, but also with a 2-fold vector cross product $P$, which satisfy the relation

$$
\varphi(X, Y, Z)=g_{\varphi}(P(X, Y), Z)
$$

where $X, Y$ and $Z$ are vector fields on $M$. Therefore, $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ manifolds can be considered the $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ analogues of almost Hermitian manifolds. Corresponding to the almost complex structure and the Kähler form, one has the vector cross product and the fundamental 3 -form $\varphi$, respectively. It should be remarked that there is one fundamental difference between almost complex structures and 2-fold vector cross products. Almost complex structures are defined without reference to a
metric (although if a metric exists, a compatibility condition is required). In contrast to this, a 2 -fold vector cross product has a unique (positivie definite) metric associated with it (see subsection 1.1.2).

Fernández and Gray in [56] give a classification of $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ manifolds. They prove that there are 16 classes according to how the covariant derivative of the fundamental 3 -form behaves with respect to its decomposition into $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ irreducible components. Within these classes one can find the $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ analogues of some classes of almost Hermitian manifolds. For example, if $\varphi$ is closed, then $(M, \varphi)$ is a calibrated $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ manifold in the sense of Harvey and Lawson [79], a $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ analogue of an almost Kähler manifold; if $d \varphi$ is a multiple of $*_{\varphi} \varphi,(M, \varphi)$ is a nearly parallel $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ manifold, a $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ analogue of a nearly Kähler manifold; if $\varphi$ is coclosed $\left(d *_{\varphi} \varphi=0\right)$ then $M$ is a cocalibrated $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ manifold, a $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ analogue of semi-Kähler manifolds. Moreover, if $\varphi$ is closed and coclosed, then the holonomy group of $M$ is a subgroup of $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ [56], that is, $\varphi$ is parallel with respect to the Levi-Civita connection of the metric $g_{\varphi}$, and $M$ is a $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ analogue of a Kähler manifold.

Any orientable hypersurface $M \subset \mathbb{R}^{8}$ has a $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structure induced by the vector product of $\mathbb{R}^{8}$ [50, 56,132 . In [56] it is proved that such a $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structure is always coclosed; moreover, it is nearly parallel if and only if $M$ is the sphere $S^{7}$ and it is parallel if and only if $M$ is totally geodesic.

However, constructing examples of compact calibrated $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ manifolds is not a straightforward task. For instance, Cleyton and Swann in 36 classify calibrated $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ manifolds on which a simple group acts with cohomogeneity one, but no compact manifold occurs in this list. On the other hand, Fernández in 51] exhibited the first example of a compact calibrated $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ manifold that does not have holonomy $\mathrm{G}_{2}$. This example is given in terms of a nilpotent Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ and an element of $\Lambda^{3} \mathfrak{g}^{*}$ that corresponds to a closed left invariant 3 -form on the associated simply connected nilpotent Lie group. Since the structure constants are rational, there exists a uniform discrete subgroup [102] such that the quotient is a compact manifold, called compact nilmanifold, which has a calibrated $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structure. A classification of compact nilmanifolds carrying left invariant closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ forms was given recently in 38. In Chapter 4 we shall return to this classification.

In the first and second chapter we pursue this approach and we produce new examples of calibrated $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ manifolds, with a $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structure with torsion, via mapping tori of diffeomorphisms of $\mathrm{SU}(3)$-manifolds carrying a symplectic half-flat structure which is preserved by the diffeomorphism.

In section 1.1 we recall some results on $\mathrm{SU}(3)$-structures and $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ manifolds. An $\mathrm{SU}(3)$-structure on a manifold $M$ of real dimension 6 consists of an almost Hermitian structure $(g, J)$, with Riemannian metric $g$ and almost complex structure $J$, such that $(M, g, J)$ carries a complex volume form $\Psi=\psi_{+}+i \psi_{-}$. In
general, neither the 3 -form $\Psi$ nor the Kähler form $\omega$ of $(g, J)$ are closed. Since $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ is the stabilizer of the transitive action of $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ on the six-sphere $S^{6}$, it follows that a $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structure on a manifold induces an $\mathrm{SU}(3)$-structure on any oriented hypersurface. If the $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ manifold has holonomy group contained in $\mathrm{G}_{2}$, then Chiossi and Salamon in [33] prove that the $\mathrm{SU}(3)$-structure is half-flat. (As we explain in the subsection 1.1.1, the name half-flat structure is due to the behavior of the intrinsic torsion of such a structure [33].) In terms of differential forms, an $\mathrm{SU}(3)$-structure ( $g, J, \Psi=\psi_{+}+i \psi_{-}$) on a 6-manifold is half-flat if

$$
\omega \wedge d \omega=0, \quad d \psi_{+}=0
$$

where $\omega$ is the Kähler form of $(g, J)$. This means that half-flatness is characterized by the closure of $\omega^{2}=\omega \wedge \omega$ and the real part $\psi_{+}$of the complex volume form $\Psi$.

Conversely, it follows from a result of Hitchin [83] that every compact, realanalytic half-flat 6 -manifold can be realized as a hypersurface in a manifold with holonomy contained in $G_{2}$, though this is no longer true if the real-analytic hypothesis is dropped [25]. Moreover, the $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structure can be obtained from the half-flat structure by solving certain evolution equations (PDE which turns into an ODE in the homogeneous case), so that the construction of half-flat structures is indirectly a means of constructing local metrics with holonomy in $\mathrm{G}_{2}$.

A half-flat structure $\left(g, J, \Psi=\psi_{+}+i \psi_{-}\right)$is called symplectic half-flat if the Kähler form $\omega$ of $(g, J)$ is closed, and so symplectic. In this case, we denote the Kähler form by $F$ instead of $\omega$. Thus, if the almost complex structure $J$ is integrable or, equivalently, $\Psi$ is closed (see subsection 1.1.1) then $(M, g, J)$ is a Kähler manifold and, when $M$ is compact, $(M, g, J, \Psi)$ is a Calabi-Yau manifold of complex dimension 3 assumed that the norm of $\Psi$ is constant. Therefore, symplectic half-flat manifolds can be considered as an extension of Calabi-Yau manifolds to the non-integrable case.

Regarding $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structures, it happens that if $M$ has a symplectic half-flat structure $(g, J, \Psi)$, and $F$ is the Kähler form of $(g, J)$, then the 3 -form $\varphi$ on $M \times \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi=F \wedge d t+\psi_{+} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form with

$$
*_{\varphi} \varphi=\psi_{-} \wedge d t+\frac{1}{2} F^{2}
$$

where $t$ is the coordinate of $\mathbb{R}$. Moreover, the pair $(d t, F)$ is a cosymplectic structure on $M \times \mathbb{R}$ in the sense of Libermann [97], or a co-symplectic structure in the sense of Li [96, that is, $d t$ and $F$ are both closed and $d t \wedge F^{3}$ is a volume form on $M \times \mathbb{R}$. Hence $M \times \mathbb{R}$ has a closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form $\varphi$ defined by (2) and a cosymplectic
structure $(d t, F)$. This fact and the result of Li 96] mentioned below are the reasons for which in section 1.3 we study mapping tori of diffeomorphisms preserving a symplectic half-flat structure.

Let $M$ be a 6-manifold with a symplectic half-flat structure ( $g, J, \Psi$ ) with Kähler form $F$ (so $F$ is a symplectic form) and let $\nu: M \longrightarrow M$ be a diffeomorphism. Independently of the symplectic half-flat structure that we have on $M$, we can consider the mapping torus $M_{\nu}$ of the diffeomorphism $\nu$, that is, the manifold obtained from $M \times[0,1]$ by identifying the ends with $\nu$,

$$
M_{\nu}=\frac{M \times[0,1]}{(x, 0) \sim(\nu(x), 1)} .
$$

Clearly, $M_{\nu}=M \times S^{1}$ if $\nu: M \longrightarrow M$ is the identity, and in general $M_{\nu}$ is the total space of a locally trivial fiber bundle $\pi: M_{\nu} \longrightarrow S^{1}$ with fiber $M$. If the diffeomorphism $\nu$ preserves the symplectic form $F$, then $\nu$ is called a symplectomorphism, and the manifold $M_{\nu}$ is said to be a symplectic mapping torus of $\nu:(M, F) \longrightarrow(M, F)$. In this case, $F$ defines a closed 2-form $\widetilde{F}$ on $M_{\nu}$, and the pair $(\alpha, \widetilde{F})$ is a cosymplectic structure on $M_{\nu}$ in the sense of Libermann 97] since $d \alpha=0=d \widetilde{F}$ and $\alpha \wedge \widetilde{F}^{3}$ is a volume form, where $\alpha$ is the pullback to $M_{\nu}$ of the volume form of $S^{1}$. In [96], Li proves the following nice structure theorem for compact cosymplectic manifolds:

A compact manifold $N$ has a cosymplectic structure if and only if $N$ is a symplectic mapping torus.

If $M$ has a symplectic half-flat structure $\left(g, J, \Psi=\psi_{+}+i \psi_{-}\right)$, we say that a diffeomorphism $\nu: M \longrightarrow M$ is an $\mathrm{SU}(3)$-diffeomorphism if

$$
\nu^{*} g=g, \quad \nu^{*} F=F, \quad \nu^{*} \psi_{+}=\psi_{+} .
$$

Then, $\nu$ also preserves the almost complex structure $J$ and the complex volume form $\Psi$ since $\psi_{-}=J \psi_{+}$. Moreover, $M_{\nu}$ has a cosymplectic structure. In Theorem 1.3 .2 we prove that $M_{\nu}$ has also a closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form. However, we show that the converse is not true. In fact, in Proposition 1.3.3, we construct a compact calibrated $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ manifold which does not admit cosymplectic structure, and so it cannot be the mapping torus of any $\mathrm{SU}(3)$-diffeomorphism of a symplectic half-flat manifold.

As we said, compact Riemannian manifolds whose holonomy group is a subgroup of $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ can be considered the $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ analogous of Kähler manifolds. Compact Kähler manifolds satisfy a collection of topological obstructions: theory of Kähler groups, evenness of odd-degree Betti numbers, Lefschetz property or the formality [45, 126]. In symplectic geometry, formality allows to distinguish compact symplectic manifolds which admit Kähler structures from those which do not [41, 45, 64].

Intuitively, a simply connected manifold is formal if its rational homotopy type is determined by its rational cohomology algebra. Simply connected compact manifolds of dimension less than or equal to 6 are formal [63, 106]. We shall say that $M$ is formal if its minimal model is formal or, equivalently, if the de Rham complex $\left(\Omega^{*} M, d\right)$ of differential forms on $M$ and the algebra of the de Rham cohomology $\left(H^{*}(M), d=0\right)$ have the same minimal model. In 63] the concept of formality is extended to a weaker notion called s-formality. There, it is proved that an orientable compact connected manifold, of dimension 2 n or $2 \mathrm{n}-1$, is formal if and only if it is ( $\mathrm{n}-1$ )-formal.

For any compact manifold $(M, g)$ with holonomy $\mathrm{G}_{2}$, Joyce [87] proves that its fundamental group is finite, the first Betti number vanishes and the cup product by the cohomology class of the $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form is an isomorphism between the de Rham cohomology groups $H^{2}(M)$ and $H^{5}(M)$ (a type of Lefschetz property). But nothing is known on the formality of such manifolds.

The first example of a compact calibrated $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ manifold was given by Fernández in 51]. This 7-manifold is a compact nilmanifold whose first Betti number $b_{1}$ is $b_{1}=5$. The classification of compact $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ nilmanifolds with a left invariant calibrated $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structure was given recently in 38 . The first Betti number of these manifolds is such that $2 \leq b_{1} \leq 5$, or $b_{1}=7$ for the 7 -torus $\mathbb{T}^{7}$. Moreover, excepting $\mathbb{T}^{7}$, all of them are non-formal. Examples of compact formal calibrated $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ solvmanifolds (non-nilmanifolds) were given in [52]; in all these cases $b_{1}=3$. In section 1.2 we recall the results of Bazzoni, Muñoz and Fernández proved in [8] on the formality of mapping tori. Then, in section 1.4, using Theorem 1.3.2 mentioned previously, we show new examples and, in particular, we construct a compact calibrated $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ manifold with $b_{1}=1$ which is formal.

In order to construct more examples of 7-dimensional manifolds with closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ forms, a natural place to look is left invariant symplectic half-flat structures on 6-dimensional solvable Lie groups, and then take the direct product of such a Lie group by $\mathbb{R}$. According to Magnin [100], and Bazzoni-Muñoz classification [11], there are 34 isomorphism classes of nilpotent Lie groups, of which exactly 3 (including the Abelian Lie group) admit symplectic half-flat structure [40].

In Chapter 2, we classify the 6-dimensional solvable Lie algebras admitting symplectic half-flat structure. To this end, we need the following results. Nilpotent Lie algebras with half-flat structures have been classified by Conti 37]. SchulteHengesbach has classified in [115] direct sums of two 3-dimensional Lie algebras admitting half-flat structure, and the complete classification of decomposable halfflat Lie algebras is achieved by Freibert and Schulte-Hengesbach in [65]. Moreover, in 66] they classify arbitrary indecomposable Lie algebras admitting half-flat structure, except for the solvable case with 4-dimensional nilradical, which we study in
sections 2.3 and 2.4 using, on the one hand, the classification of Turkowski [124] of 6 -dimensional solvable Lie algebras with 4 -dimensional nilradical and, on the other hand, the classification given in [99] of 6-dimensional unimodular solvable Lie algebras admitting symplectic form. That list is given using as starting point the original classification due to Mubarakzyanov [104].

In section 2.2 we investigate the case when the solvable Lie algebra is decomposable (Proposition 2.2.1, Proposition 2.2.2 and Proposition 2.2.3). The decomposable case $4 \oplus 2$ is of special interest, because such Lie algebras (solvable or not) cannot admit a symplectic half-flat structure (Proposition 2.2.2). The indecomposable case is considered in section 2.3 for unimodular Lie algebras (Proposition 2.3.1), and in section 2.4 for non-unimodular (Proposition 2.4.1 and Proposition 2.4.2). As a consequence of the results proved, we see that the decomposable solvable Lie algebras having symplectic half-flat structure are unimodular and, by [19, 61, 70, 123, 130], the corresponding simply connected solvable Lie groups have a co-compact discrete subgroup. Thus, they produce compact calibrated $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ solvmanifolds. Moreover, in sections 2.2-2.4, we give an explicit symplectic half-flat structure for the 8 (non-nilpotent) solvable Lie algebras admitting it, as well as, for the 2 one-parameter families of (non-nilpotent) solvable Lie algebras that also admit it (Proposition 2.2.1, Proposition 2.2.3, Proposition 2.3.1, Proposition 2.4.1 and Proposition 2.4.2.

To prove that the remaining Lie algebras having either symplectic form or halfflat structure do not admit a symplectic half-flat structure we consider, in section 2.1, two obstructions to the existence of symplectic half-flat structure on a Lie algebra.

The first obstruction is given in 65] as follows. Suppose that $\mathfrak{g}$ is a 6dimensional Lie algebra with volume form $\mu$. For any closed 3 -form $\rho$ on $\mathfrak{g}$, we consider the endomorphism $\widetilde{J_{\rho}^{*}}: \mathfrak{g}^{*} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}^{*}$ defined by

$$
\widetilde{J}_{\rho}^{*} \alpha(X) \mu=\alpha \wedge\left(\iota_{X} \rho\right) \wedge \rho,
$$

for $\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}^{*}$ and $X \in \mathfrak{g}$. Then in [65] it is proved the following result:
If $\mathfrak{g}$ is a 6 -dimensional Lie algebra with a volume form $\nu \in \Lambda^{6} \mathfrak{g}^{*}$, and there is a non-zero 1 -form $\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}^{*}$ such that for any closed 3 -form $\rho \in \Lambda^{3} \mathfrak{g}^{*}$ and any closed 4 -form $\sigma \in \Lambda^{4} \mathfrak{g}^{*}$, the following condition

$$
\alpha \wedge \tilde{J}_{\rho}^{*} \alpha \wedge \sigma=0
$$

is satisfied, then $\mathfrak{g}$ does not admit half-flat $S U(3)$-structures.
The second obstruction is a consequence of the obstruction to the existence of closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ forms on 7-dimensional Lie algebras given in 38. We have: If $\mathfrak{g}$ is a

6-dimensional Lie algebra, and there exists $X \in \mathfrak{h}=\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\left(\iota_{X} \phi\right)^{3}=0,
$$

for any closed 3 -form $\phi$ on $\mathfrak{h}$, where $\iota_{X}$ denotes the contraction by $X$, then $\mathfrak{g}$ has no symplectic half-flat structure.

Compact calibrated $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ manifolds have interesting curvature properties. As we mentioned at the beginning of this introduction, a Riemannian manifold whose holonomy group is contained in $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ is Ricci-flat, or equivalently, both Einstein and scalar-flat. On a compact calibrated $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ manifold, both the Einstein condition [35] and scalar-flatness [24] are equivalent to the holonomy being contained in $\mathrm{G}_{2}$. In fact, Bryant in [24] shows that the scalar curvature is always non-positive.

The result mentioned of Cleyton and Ivanov in [35] can be considered a $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ analogue of the Goldberg conjecture for compact almost Kähler manifolds. This conjecture states that the almost complex structure of a compact Einstein almost Kähler manifold is integrable [68]. In [116], Sekigawa gives a proof of this conjecture under the assumption that the scalar curvature of the almost Kähler manifold is non-negative. On the negative side, a complete Einstein almost Kähler manifold, with negative scalar curvature, which is not Kähler was constructed in [5], and in 81 it was shown that this example is an almost-Kähler solvmanifold, that is, a simply connected solvable Lie group endowed with a left invariant almostKähler structure. Moreover, this Lie group is non-unimodular, since by [46] left invariant Einstein metrics on unimodular solvable Lie groups are flat. We point out that a left invariant Ricci-flat metric on a solvmanifold is necessarly flat [4], but solvmanifolds can admit incomplete metrics with holonomy contained in $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ as it is shown in [67, 32].

Therefore, in relation to the $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ analogue of the Goldberg conjecture, by [24, 35] we know that a closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form on a compact manifold cannot induce an Einstein metric, unless the induced metric has holonomy contained in $\mathrm{G}_{2}$. However, it is still an open problem to see if the same property holds on non-compact manifolds.

The goal of Chapter 3 is to study the existence of $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structures inducing Einstein metrics on non-compact homogeneous Einstein manifolds and, more precisely, on non-compact homogeneous Einstein solvmanifolds, since all the known examples of non-compact homogeneous Einstein manifolds belong to the class of solvmanifolds, that is, they are simply connected solvable Lie groups endowed with a left invariant Einstein metric [92]. More yet, according to a long standing conjecture attributed to D. Alekseevskii (see [16, 7.57]), these might exhaust the class of non-compact homogeneous Einstein manifolds.

In section 3.1 we recall some results on Einstein solvmanifolds. A left invariant metric on a Lie group $S$ will be always identified with the inner product $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ deter-
mined on the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{s}$ of $S$. Lauret in 93] showed that Einstein solvmanifolds are standard, that is, satisfy the following additional condition: the corresponding metric Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{s},\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle)$ has the orthogonal decomposition $\mathfrak{s}=\mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{n}$ with $\mathfrak{n}=[\mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{s}]$ and $\mathfrak{a}$ abelian. The dimension of $\mathfrak{a}$ is also called the rank of $\mathfrak{s}$. Standard Einstein solvmanifolds have been deeply studied by J. Heber, who has obtained many remarkable structural and uniqueness results, by assuming only the standard condition (see [80]). In particular, Heber showed that a standard Einstein metric is unique up to isometry and scaling among left invariant metrics and that the study of standard Einstein solvmanifolds can be reduced to the rank-one case. Using these results, in section 3.2 (Theorem 3.2.2) we show that, in dimension six, the example given in [5, 81] is the unique example of Einstein (non-Kähler) almost-Kähler solvmanifold. In Theorem 3.2.2 we also classify the 6 -dimensional solvmanifolds admitting a left invariant (non-flat) Kähler-Einstein metric.

In subsection 3.3.1 (Theorem 3.3.6) by using the classification of 7-dimensional Einstein solvable Lie algebras and some obstructions to the existence of calibrated $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structures we prove that, in contrast to the almost-Kähler case, a seven dimensional solvmanifold cannot admit any left invariant calibrated $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structure $\varphi$ such that the induced metric $g_{\varphi}$ is Einstein, unless $g_{\varphi}$ is flat.

The class of cocalibrated $G_{2}$ manifolds includes nearly parallel $G_{2}$ manifolds, which are always Einstein with non-negative scalar curvature. Since 7-dimensional solvmanifolds cannot admit a left invariant nearly parallel $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structure, it is a natural problem to study the existence of left invariant cocalibrated $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ forms such that the induced metric $g_{\varphi}$ is Einstein. In subsection 3.3.2 (Theorem 3.3.12) by using some obstructions to the existence of cocalibrated $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structures we show that $a$ 7-dimensional Einstein solvmanifold $(S, g)$ cannot admit any left invariant cocalibrated $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structure $\varphi$ such that the induced metric $g_{\varphi}=g$.

Seven dimensional 3-Sasakian manifolds are always Einstein with Einstein constant 6 and scalar curvature 42. By the results in [1] they admit a canonical cocalibrated $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structure inducing the Einstein metric. In section 3.4, using warped products, we construct a new example of a (non-nearly parallel) coclosed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form $\varphi$ on a (non-compact) manifold such that $\varphi$ determines an Einstein metric whose Einstein constant is equal to 4 , and so such a $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structure is not 3-Sasakian.

According to the results mentioned in Chapter 3, we know that simply connected solvable Lie groups can have an Einstein metric but they do not admit any closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form inducing an Einstein metric, unless the induced metric is flat. Natural generalizations of Einstein metrics are given by Ricci solitons, which have been introduced by Hamilton in [78]. A complete Riemannian metric $g$ on a manifold $M$ is called Ricci soliton if its Ricci curvature tensor $\operatorname{Ric}(g)$ satisfies the
following condition

$$
\operatorname{Ric}(g)=\lambda g+\mathcal{L}_{X} g
$$

where $\lambda$ is a real constant, $X$ is a complete vector field on $M$, and $\mathcal{L}_{X}$ denotes the Lie derivative with respect to $X$. Moreover, such a metric $g$ is said to be homogeneous if its isometry group acts transitively on $M$, and hence $g$ has bounded curvature [94]; and $g$ is called trivial if it is an Einstein metric or is the product of a homogeneous Einstein metric with the Euclidean metric.

All known examples of non-trivial homogeneous Ricci solitons are left invariant metrics on simply connected solvable Lie groups, whose Ricci operator satisfies the condition

$$
\operatorname{Ric}(g)=\lambda I+D,
$$

for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and some derivation $D$ of the corresponding Lie algebra. The left invariant metrics satisfying the previous condition are called nilsolitons if the Lie group is nilpotent [90]. Thus, in the context of closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structures, a natural question arises:

Do there exist seven dimensional simply connected (non-Abelian) nilpotent Lie groups with nilsoliton metric determined by a closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form?

In Chapter 4, we give a positive answer to this question. In fact, we classify 7-dimensional simply connected non-Abelian nilpotent Lie groups with a closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form which determines a nilsoliton metric. Moreover, for each one of those closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ forms we solve its Laplacian flow.

In section 4.1, we recall some results on nilsoliton metrics and its existence. Between them is to be noted that not all nilpotent Lie groups admit nilsoliton metrics, but if a nilsoliton exists, then it is unique up to isometry and scaling 90 . Moreover, the nilsolitons metrics are strictly related to left invariant Einstein metrics on solvable Lie groups. Indeed, Lauret in 92 proves that a simply connected nilpotent Lie group $N$ has a nilsoliton metric if and only if its Lie algebra $\mathfrak{n}$ is an Einstein nilradical, which means that $\mathfrak{n}$ has an inner product $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ and there is a metric solvable extension $\left(\mathfrak{s}=\mathfrak{n} \oplus \mathfrak{a},\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{\mathfrak{s}}\right)$ of $(\mathfrak{n},\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle)$ such that the inner product $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{\mathbf{s}}$ is Einstein. Furthermore, by [80, 93] such an Einstein metric has to be of standard type and it is unique, up to isometry and scaling.

In section 4.2 we determine the nilpotent Lie algebras which admit both closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ forms and nilsoliton metrics but the nilsoliton is not induced by any closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form; and in section 4.3 we classify the s-step nilpotent Lie algebras $(s=2,3)$ carrying a nilsoliton metric determined by a closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form. For this, we use the classification given in [38] of 7-dimensional nilpotent Lie algebras admitting closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ forms. There it is proved that there are 12 isomorphism classes, including the Abelian case which has a trivial nilsoliton because it is flat. On the other hand,
we use the classification of 7-dimensional indecomposable nilpotent Lie algebras admitting a nilsoliton given in [49]. Nevertheless, in [38] there appear two decomposable (non-Abelian) nilpotent Lie algebras, namely $\mathfrak{n}_{2}$ and $\mathfrak{n}_{3}$ in Theorem4.2.1. So, in the aforementioned paper [49], $\mathfrak{n}_{2}$ and $\mathfrak{n}_{3}$ are not studied. As we explain below, except in the case of the Lie algebra denoted by $\mathfrak{n}_{9}$ in Theorem 4.2.1, we write explicitly the nilsoliton for all the cases having closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structures.

Using the classifications in [38] and [47], we show that, up to isomorphism, there is a unique nilpotent Lie algebra, namely $\mathfrak{n}_{9}$ in Theorem 4.2.1, with a closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form but not admitting nilsoliton metrics. However, all the other ten nilpotent Lie algebras have a nilsoliton, and we can determine explicitly the nilsoliton except for the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{n}_{10}$ which is 4 -step nilpotent (see also [47, 48, 49]). In Proposition 4.2.3 we prove that $\mathfrak{n}_{i}(i=3,5,7,8,11)$ do not carry closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structures inducing the nilsoliton. Moreover, as we mention before, the existence of a nilsoliton on the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{n}_{10}$ was shown in [47, Example 2] but we do not know the nilsoliton metric explicitly, and so we do not know whether or not there is a closed $G_{2}$ form inducing the nilsoliton. This is the reason why the result of Theorem 4.3.1 is restricted to $s$-step nilpotent Lie algebras, with $s=2,3$. In fact, in Theorem 4.3.1, we show that, up to isomorphism, there are exactly four s-step nilpotent Lie algebras $(s=2,3)$ with a nilsoliton determined by a closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form.

The Ricci flow became a very important issue in Riemannian geometry and has been deeply studied. The same techniques are also useful in the study of the flow involving other geometrical structures, like for example, the Kähler Ricci flow that was studied by Cao in [29].

For any closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form $\varphi_{0}$ on a manifold $M$, Bryant in [24] introduced a natural flow, the so-called Laplacian flow, given by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{d}{d t} \varphi(t)=\Delta_{t} \varphi(t) \\
d \varphi(t)=0 \\
\varphi(0)=\varphi_{0}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\varphi(t)$ is a closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form on $M$, and $\Delta_{t}$ is the Hodge Laplacian operator of the metric determined by $\varphi(t)$. Since the initial 3-form $\varphi_{0}$ is closed, the de Rham cohomology class $[\varphi(t)]$ is constant in $t$. The short time existence and uniqueness of solution for the Laplacian flow of any closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structure, on a compact manifold $M$, has been proved by Bryant and Xu in [27]. Also, long time existence and convergence of the Laplacian flow starting near a torsion-free $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structure was proved in [129].

In section 4.4 (Theorem 4.4.2, Theorem 4.4.5. Theorem 4.4.8 and Theorem 4.4.10) we show long time existence of solution for the Laplacian flow on the
four compact nilmanifolds admitting an invariant closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structure which determines the nilsoliton on the corresponding Lie algebra (see Theorem 4.3.1). To our knowledge, these are the first examples of compact manifolds having a closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structure such that the solution of its Laplacian flow has long time existence.

Since the Laplacian flow is invariant by diffeomorphisms and the initial $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-form $\varphi_{0}$ is invariant, the solution $\varphi(t)$ of the Laplacian flow has to be also invariant. Therefore, we show that the Laplacian flow is equivalent to a (nonlinear) system of ordinary differential equations which admits a unique solution. We prove that the solution for the four manifolds is defined for any $t \in[0,+\infty)$. Moreover, considering the Laplacian flow on the associated nilpotent Lie algebra as a bracket flow on $\mathbb{R}^{7}$, in a similar way as Lauret did in [107] for the Ricci flow, we study the convergence of the underlying metrics $g(t)$ of the solution. We also show that, for any $t \in[0,+\infty)$, the metric $g(t)$ is a nilsoliton isometric to $g(0)$.

## Chapter 1

## $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ manifolds and mapping tori

"You take the blue pill, the story ends, you wake up in your bed and believe whatever you want to believe. You take the red pill, you stay in Wonderland, and I show you how deep the rabbit hole goes." Morpheus

The topological description of cosymplectic manifolds is due to Li [96]. There he proves that a compact manifold $M$ has a cosymplectic structure if and only if $M$ is the mapping torus of a symplectomorphism of a symplectic manifold. This result may be considered an extension to cosymplectic manifolds of Tischler's Theorem [120] that asserts that the existence of a non-vanishing closed 1-form on a compact manifold $M$ is equivalent to the condition that $M$ is a mapping torus.

In this Chapter we study mapping tori of diffeomorphisms of symplectic halfflat manifolds such that the symplectic half-flat structure is preserved by the diffeomorphism. By Li [96], such mapping tori produce cosymplectic manifolds. In Theorem 1.3 .2 we prove that these mapping tori are also calibrated $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ manifolds. But, in Proposition 1.3.3, we show that the converse is not true even if we assume compactness of the $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ manifold. Moreover, using Theorem 1.3.2, we show new examples of compact calibrated $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ manifolds and, in particular, the first example of such a manifold whose first Betti number is $b_{1}=1$.

### 1.1 Special structures on manifolds

In this section we recall some definitions and properties about the geometric structures that we consider throughout this work. If $M$ is a differentiable manifold of dimension $m$, and $G$ is a subgroup of the linear $\operatorname{group} \mathrm{Gl}(m, \mathbb{R})$, a $G$-structure on $M$ consists in a reduction of the structure group of the frame bundle of $M$
to the Lie group $G$. Manifolds endowed with a $G$-structure are usually called $G$ manifolds. We will focus our attention on $\mathrm{SU}(3)$ and $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ manifolds.

From now on, we denote by $\Omega^{*}(M)$ and $\mathcal{F}(M)$ the algebras of differential forms and differentiable functions on a differentiable manifold $M$, respectively, and by $\mathfrak{X}(M)$ the Lie algebra of vector fields on $M$.

### 1.1.1 $\mathrm{SU}(n)$-structures

Definition 1.1.1. Let $M$ be a differentiable manifold of dimension $2 n$. $A \mathrm{U}(n)-$ structure, or an almost Hermitian structure, on $M$ is a pair $(g, J)$, where $g$ is a Riemannian metric and $J$ is an almost complex structure on $M$, such that $g$ and $J$ are compatible in the following sense:

$$
g(J X, J Y)=g(X, Y)
$$

for $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$. A manifold $M$ with such a structure is called an almost Hermitian manifold.

If $(M, g, J)$ is an almost Hermitian manifold, the Kähler form of $(g, J)$ is the differential 2 -form $\omega$ on $M$ defined by

$$
\omega(X, Y)=g(J X, Y),
$$

for $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$.
Since $g$ is non-degenerate, the Kähler form of $(g, J)$ is also non-degenerate, that is, $\omega^{n} \neq 0$ at each point of $M$, where $\omega^{n}$ denotes $\omega \wedge . .(n . \wedge \omega$. Moreover, the compatibility condition of $g$ and $J$ implies that $\omega$ is compatible with $J$, which means that

$$
\omega(X, Y)=\omega(J X, J Y)
$$

for $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$.
Gray and Hervella in [74] prove that there are sixteen different classes of almost Hermitian manifolds depending on the behavior of the covariant derivative of the Kähler form. We recall here those that are needed in this thesis:

- $(g, J)$ is almost Kähler iff $d \omega=0$;
- $(g, J)$ is nearly Kähler iff $\nabla_{X}(J) X=0$ or, equivalently, $d \omega=3 \nabla \omega$;
- $(g, J)$ is complex iff $J$ is an integrable almost complex structure, that is, the Nijenhuis tensor $N_{J}$ vanishes, $N_{J}=0$;
- $(g, J)$ is Kähler iff it is complex and almost Kähler or, equivalently, $\nabla J=0$ which is equivalent to $\nabla \omega=0$;
where $\nabla$ denotes the Levi-Civita connection of $g$, and the Nijenhuis tensor $N_{J}$ is given by

$$
N_{J}(X, Y)=[X, Y]+J[J X, Y]+J[X, J Y]-[J X, J Y],
$$

for $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$.
We would like to note the following. A symplectic manifold $(M, F)$ is a pair consisting of a $2 n$-dimensional differentiable manifold $M$ with a closed 2-form $F$ which is non-degenerate (that is, $F^{n}$ never vanishes). The form $F$ is called symplectic. Any symplectic manifold admits an almost Hermitian structure $(g, J)$ such that $F$ is compatible with $J$, and the Riemannian metric $g$ is given by $g(X, Y)=F(X, J Y)$, for any $X, Y$ vector fields on $M$. Thus, $(M, g, J)$ is an almost Kähler manifold, with Kähler form $F$.

Examples of compact almost Hermitian manifolds in the mentioned classes have been constructed by different authors [72, 73, 74, 88, 119]. Let us recall some of these examples. The complex projective space $\mathbb{C P}^{n}$ has a natural Hermitian metric $h$ which is defined as follows. Fix a basis on $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$, and a Hermitian metric $h_{0}$ on the tangent space $T_{p_{0}}\left(\mathbb{C P}^{n}\right)$ at $p_{0}=[1: 0: \ldots: 0]$. Consider the (unique) metric $h$ on $\mathbb{C P}^{n}$ which is $\mathrm{U}(n+1)$-invariant, that is, $h$ is obtained by moving $h_{0}$ by the matrices in $\mathrm{U}(n+1)$. Take homogeneous coordinates $\left[z_{0}: z_{1}: \ldots: z_{n}\right]$, that is, for each $j$ such that $0 \leq j \leq n$, consider the open set $U_{j}$ of $\mathbb{C P}^{n}$ defined by $z_{j} \neq 0$. Now, take $U=\left\{\left[z_{0}: z_{1}: \ldots: z_{n}\right] \mid z_{0} \neq 0\right\} \subset \mathbb{C P}^{n}$. Then, $U \cong \mathbb{C}^{n}$, and the point $\left[1: z_{1}: \ldots: z_{n}\right] \in U$ has coordinates $z=\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$. The Hermitian metric $h$ and the Kähler form $\omega_{0}$ on $\mathbb{C P}^{n}$ are expressed as

$$
h(z)=\frac{\left(1+|z|^{2}\right) \sum d z_{i} \cdot d \bar{z}_{i}-\sum_{i, j} z_{j} \bar{z}_{i} d z_{i} \cdot d \bar{z}_{j}}{\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)^{2}}
$$

and

$$
\omega_{0}=\frac{i}{2} \frac{\left(1+|z|^{2}\right) \sum d z_{i} \wedge d \bar{z}_{i}-\sum_{i, j} z_{j} \bar{z}_{i} d z_{i} \wedge d \bar{z}_{j}}{\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)^{2}}
$$

Then, it is easy to check that

$$
\omega_{0}=\frac{i}{2} \partial \bar{\partial} \log \left(1+|z|^{2}\right)
$$

and so $d \omega_{0}=0$. Moreover, $h=g+i \omega_{0}$, where $g=\operatorname{Re} h$ is the Kähler metric on $\mathbb{C P}^{n}$. Also, any compact complex submanifold $S$ of $\mathbb{C P}^{n}$ is a Kähler manifold whose Kähler metric is the pullback to $S$ of the Kähler metric $g$ of $\mathbb{C P}^{n}$.

The most well known examples of compact nearly Kähler manifolds are the 6 -sphere $S^{6}$ and $S^{3} \times S^{3}$ [57, 72, 74]. They do not admit Kähler metrics since the second Betti number is zero. The products of odd dimensional spheres $S^{2 l+1} \times S^{2 k+1}$ $(k, l \geq 1)$ constitute the Calabi-Eckmann compact complex manifolds. Its complex
structure is defined from the complex structures of $\mathbb{C}^{l+1}$ and $\mathbb{C}^{k+1}$, and considering $S^{2 l+1} \subset \mathbb{C}^{l+1}$ and $S^{2 k+1} \subset \mathbb{C}^{k+1}$. More yet, Vaisman in [125] proved that $S^{1} \times S^{2 k+1}$, where $k \geq 1$, is locally conformally Kähler, but the natural complex structure of $S^{2 l+1} \times S^{2 k+1}$, for $k, l>1$, is not locally conformally Kähler [74]. Of course, $S^{1} \times S^{2 k+1}$ cannot be globally conformally Kähler because it does not have the cohomology of a Kähler manifold.

The first example of a compact manifold admitting complex and symplectic structures but no Kähler metric is the Kodaira-Thurston manifold $K T$ [88, 119]; and the first example of a compact symplectic manifold $M$ not admitting complex structures was constructed by Fernández, Gotay and Gray in 55. These 4 -manifolds are not simply connected; they are actually nilmanifolds. (As we recall below, a compact nilmanifold is the compact quotient of a simply connected and nilpotent Lie group by a discrete subgroup.) In fact, $K T$ is the compact nilmanifold defined by the structure equations $d e^{1}=d e^{2}=d e^{4}=0, d e^{3}=e^{1} \wedge e^{2}$; and $M$ is the compact nilmanifold defined by the equations $d e^{1}=d e^{2}=0, d e^{3}=e^{1} \wedge e^{2}$, $d e^{4}=e^{1} \wedge e^{3}$.

The classification of complex and symplectic nilmanifolds of dimension 6 was given by Salamon in [114]. Generalizations to higher dimension $2 n \geq 6$ of the Kodaira-Thurston manifold are the generalized Iwasawa manifolds considered in [41. Such manifolds have complex and symplectic structures but carry no Kähler metrics since they are non-formal. Examples of simply connected compact symplectic non-Kählerian manifolds were given in [9, 64, 69, 76, 103

Definition 1.1.2. An $\mathrm{SU}(n)$-structure on a differentiable manifold $M$, of dimension $2 n$, is a triple $(g, J, \Psi)$ such that $(g, J)$ is an almost Hermitian structure on $M$, and $\Psi=\psi_{+}+i \psi_{-}$is a complex $(n, 0)$-form, which satisfies

$$
(-1)^{n(n-1) / 2}\left(\frac{i}{2}\right)^{n} \Psi \wedge \bar{\Psi}=\frac{1}{n!} \omega^{n},
$$

where $\bar{\Psi}$ is the complex form obtained from $\Psi$ by conjugation, and $\omega$ is the Kähler form of $(g, J)$.

It is clear that $\omega \wedge \psi_{+}=\omega \wedge \psi_{-}=0$ and $\psi_{-}=J \psi_{+}$, for any $\operatorname{SU}(n)$-structure $(g, J, \Psi)$ on $M$ with Kähler form $\omega$. Moreover, if $\Psi$ is closed, then the almost complex structure $J$ is integrable. In fact, for any ( 1,0 )-form $\mu$, we have that $d \mu \wedge \Psi=d(\mu \wedge \Psi)=0$ since $\mu \wedge \Psi$ is an $(n+1,0)$-form and $\Psi$ is closed. Thus, the component of type $(n, 2)$ of $d \mu \wedge \Psi$ vanishes. This implies that $d \mu$ has no component of type $(0,2)$.

The different classes of $\mathrm{SU}(n)$-structures are defined in terms of the forms $\omega$, $\psi_{+}$and $\psi_{-}$in a similar way to the Gray-Hervella classification of $\mathrm{U}(n)$-structures. Some of these classes are the following [33]:

- $(g, J, \Psi)$ is half-flat $\operatorname{SU}(n)$-structure iff $d \omega^{n-1}=d \psi_{+}=0$;
- $(g, J, \Psi)$ is symplectic half-flat $\mathrm{SU}(n)$-structure iff $d \omega=d \psi_{+}=0$;
- $(g, J, \Psi)$ is integrable $\mathrm{SU}(n)$-structure iff $d \omega=d \psi_{+}=d \psi_{-}=0$ or, equivalently, $\nabla \omega=0, \nabla \psi_{+}=0$ and $\nabla \psi_{-}=0$

Moreover, for $n=3$, a new class of $\mathrm{SU}(3)$-structures is introduced in 57 to produce examples of nearly parallel $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ manifolds which are defined in subsection 1.1.2.

- $(g, J, \Psi)$ is nearly half-flat $\mathrm{SU}(3)$-structure iff $d \psi_{-}=-2 \omega \wedge \omega$.

We will consider nearly half-flat $\mathrm{SU}(3)$ manifolds in Proposition 3.4 .3 of Chapter 3.

In this context on classes of $\mathrm{SU}(n)$-structures, we would like to resalt the following result due to Lichnerowicz [98] (see also [Corollary 2.97 and Proposition 10.29 in [16]]).

Theorem 1.1.3 [16, 98]. Let $(M, g, J)$ be a Kähler manifold of (real) dimension $2 n$. Then, the Kähler metric $g$ is Ricci-flat if and only if there exists a closed complex volume $(n, 0)$-form which is parallel with respect to the Levi-Civita connection of the Kähler metric $g$ or, equivalently, the holonomy group of $(M, g)$ is a subgroup of $\mathrm{SU}(n)$.

Remark 1.1.4. Note that if $(M, g, J, \Psi)$ is an integrable $\mathrm{SU}(n)$-structure, then not only is $(M, g)$ a Kähler manifold but also Definition 1.1.2 implies that $\Psi$ has constant norm, and so $g$ is Ricci-flat by Theorem 1.1.3. However, if $(M, g, J)$ is a Kähler manifold of (real) dimension $2 n$, and $\Psi$ is a closed ( $n, 0$ )-form on $M$, in general $\Psi$ is not parallel with respect to the Levi-Civita connection of the Kähler metric $g$. In fact, $\Psi$ is parallel only if $\Psi$ has constant norm. Nevertheless, if there exists a closed $(n, 0)$-form $\Psi$ on a Kähler manifold $(M, g, J)$, then Yau's Theorem [131] implies that there exists a Ricci-flat Kähler metric $\widetilde{g}$ on M. But, in general, $\widetilde{g}$ is not the metric $g$, and in some cases such a metric $\widetilde{g}$ is not known explicitly. This happens, for example, with Fermat quintic considered in section 1.4.

Definition 1.1.5. An $\operatorname{SU}(n)$-structure $(g, J, \Psi)$ is called torsion free $\mathrm{SU}(n)$ structure if it is integrable; otherwise $(g, J, \Psi)$ is said to be $\mathrm{SU}(n)$-structure with torsion.

Next, we will focus on $\mathrm{SU}(3)$-structures. In this case, one can provide more details. First, we would like to note that, as we explain in subsection 1.1.2 (see identities (1.8)), half-flat $\mathrm{SU}(3)$-structures were used firstly by Hitchin [83] to produce metrics in dimension 7 with holonomy contained in $\mathrm{G}_{2}$. However, the
name half-flat $\mathrm{SU}(3)$-structure on a 6 -manifold is due to Chiossi and Salamon [33]. This nomenclature is due to the behavior of the intrinsic torsion of such a structure. Since $\mathrm{SU}(3)$ is the stabiliser in $\mathrm{SO}(6)$ of $\omega$ and $\Psi=\psi_{+}+i \psi_{-}$, the information about the intrinsic torsion of an $\mathrm{SU}(3)$-structure is contained in $\nabla \omega$ and $\nabla \Psi$, where $\nabla$ denotes the Levi-Civita connection. More precisely, the intrinsic torsion of an $\operatorname{SU}(3)$-structure belongs to the 42 -dimensional space $\mathcal{W} \cong T^{*} \otimes \mathfrak{s u}(3)^{\perp}$, where $T^{*}$ denotes the real space underlying the complex space of $(1,0)$-forms and $\mathfrak{s u}(3)^{\perp}$ denotes the orthogonal complement of $\mathfrak{s u}(3)$ in $\mathfrak{s o}(6, \mathbb{R})$. The space $\mathcal{W}$ decomposes in $\mathrm{SU}(3)$-modules as

$$
\mathcal{W}=\mathcal{W}_{1}^{+} \oplus \mathcal{W}_{1}^{-} \oplus \mathcal{W}_{2}^{+} \oplus \mathcal{W}_{2}^{-} \oplus \mathcal{W}_{3} \oplus \mathcal{W}_{4} \oplus \mathcal{W}_{5},
$$

with $\mathcal{W}_{1}^{ \pm} \cong \mathbb{R}, \mathcal{W}_{2}^{ \pm} \cong \mathfrak{s u}(3), \mathcal{W}_{4}, \mathcal{W}_{5} \cong \mathbb{R}^{6}$ and $\mathcal{W}_{3}$ isomorphic to to real space underlying the space of complex symmetric 2 -tensors over $\mathbb{C}^{3}$. By [33], $d \omega, d \psi_{+}$ and $d \psi_{-}$are sufficient to know the intrinsic torsion. The conditions $d \omega^{2}=0$ and $d \psi_{+}=0$ for a half-flat $\mathrm{SU}(3)$-structure force the intrinsic torsion to belong to the 21-dimensional space $\mathcal{W}_{1}^{-} \oplus \mathcal{W}_{2}^{-} \oplus \mathcal{W}_{3}$ and so half of the total 42 dimensions is eliminated.

Examples of half-flat SU(3)-structures are given by nearly Kähler structures in dimension 6. Indeed, if $M$ is a 6 -dimensional manifold with a nearly Kähler structure $(g, J)$, then $\left(M, g, J, \Psi=\psi_{+}+i \psi_{-}\right)$is a half-flat $\mathrm{SU}(3)$ manifold satisfying

$$
3 \psi_{+}=d \omega, \quad d \psi_{-}=-2 \omega \wedge \omega .
$$

Symplectic half-flat $\mathrm{SU}(3)$-structures were used in [56 to show the first example of a (non-compact) calibrated $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ manifold (see subsection 1.1.2). Examples of symplectic half-flat 6 -manifolds are given at the end of this subsection. Also in Chapter 2 we return to these manifolds.

As it was mentioned before, the existence of an $\mathrm{SU}(3)$-structure on a manifold $M$ implies the existence of a certain metric on $M$ (see [82]), but actually this metric can be described in terms of the forms $\left(\omega, \psi_{+}\right)$as

$$
g(X, Y) \omega^{3}=-3 \iota_{X} \omega \wedge \iota_{Y}\left(\psi_{+}\right) \wedge \psi_{+},
$$

where $X, Y$ are vector fields on $M$, and $\iota_{X}$ denotes the contraction by $X$. We can also recover, up to scaling, its compatible almost complex structure as in [42]

$$
\left(J_{\psi_{+}}^{*} \alpha\right)(X) \omega^{3}=\alpha \wedge \iota_{X} \psi_{+} \wedge \psi_{+},
$$

or, equivalently,

$$
\alpha(J X)=-J^{*} \alpha(X),
$$

for any 1-form $\alpha$ on $M$.

Also, if $\left(M, g, J, \Psi=\psi_{+}+i \psi_{-}\right)$is an $\mathrm{SU}(3)$ manifold, we may choose a local orthonormal frame $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{6}\right\}$ such that $J e_{1}=e_{2}, J e_{3}=e_{4}$ and $J e_{5}=e_{6}$. Then, if $\left\{e^{1}, \ldots, e^{6}\right\}$ is the orthonormal local basis of the 1 -forms on ( $M, g$ ) dual to $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{6}\right\}$, we have that $J e^{1}=-e^{2}, J e^{3}=-e^{4}$ and $J e^{5}=-e^{6}$. So, the Kähler form $\omega$ of $(g, J)$ and the complex volume form $\Psi$ can be locally written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega=e^{12}+e^{34}+e^{56}, \quad \Psi=\left(e^{1}+i e^{2}\right) \wedge\left(e^{3}+i e^{4}\right) \wedge\left(e^{5}+i e^{6}\right), \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, for notational simplicity, we write $e^{i j}$ for the wedge product $e^{i} \wedge e^{j}, e^{i j k}$ for $e^{i} \wedge e^{j} \wedge e^{k}$, and so on. Thus,

$$
\psi_{+}=e^{135}-e^{146}-e^{236}-e^{245}, \quad \psi_{-}=-e^{246}+e^{235}+e^{145}+e^{136}
$$

Moreover, for any $\operatorname{SU}(3)$-structure $\left(g, J, \Psi=\psi_{+}+i \psi_{-}\right)$we can write the forms $d \omega$ (where $\omega$ is the Kähler form of $(g, J)), d \psi_{+}$and $d \psi_{-}$in terms of the so called torsion forms (see below Proposition 1.1.6 and Definition 1.1.7). To this end, we proceed as follows. Let us consider the inner product on $\Omega^{q}(M)$ given by

$$
\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle \operatorname{vol}_{M}=\alpha \wedge * \beta,
$$

for $\alpha, \beta \in \Omega^{q}(M)$. In [14], it is proved that $\Omega^{q}(M)$ splits orthogonally into components $\Omega_{l}^{q}(M)$ of dimension $l$, which are irreducible under the action of $\mathrm{SU}(3)$. The representation of $\mathrm{SU}(3)$ on $\Omega^{1}(M)$ is the six dimensional irreducible representation, and the representation of $\operatorname{SU}(3)$ on $\Omega^{q}(M)$ and $\Omega^{6-q}(M)$ are the same because the Hodge star operator $*: \Omega^{q}(M) \longrightarrow \Omega^{6-q}(M)$ is an isometry. Therefore, it suffices to describe the representations of $\mathrm{SU}(3)$ on $\Omega^{2}(M)$ and $\Omega^{3}(M)$. By [14]

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Omega^{2}(M)=\Omega_{1}^{2}(M) \oplus \Omega_{6}^{2}(M) \oplus \Omega_{8}^{2}(M) \\
& \Omega^{3}(M)=\Omega_{R e}^{3}(M) \oplus \Omega_{I m}^{3}(M) \oplus \Omega_{6}^{3}(M) \oplus \Omega_{12}^{3}(M), \tag{1.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where, using the notation of [14], the summands appearing in (1.2) are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Omega_{1}^{2}(M)=\mathbb{R} \omega \\
& \Omega_{6}^{2}(M)=\left\{\star\left(\alpha \wedge \psi_{+}\right) \mid \alpha \in \Omega^{1}(M)\right\}, \\
& \Omega_{8}^{2}(M)=\left\{\beta \in \Omega^{2}(M) \mid \beta \wedge \psi_{+}=0 \text { and } \star \beta=-\beta \wedge \omega\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Omega_{R e}^{3}(M) & =\mathbb{R} \psi_{+}, \quad \Omega_{I m}^{3}(M)=\mathbb{R} \psi_{-}, \\
\Omega_{6}^{3}(M) & =\left\{\alpha \wedge \omega \mid \alpha \in \Omega^{1}(M)\right\}=\left\{\gamma \in \Omega^{3}(M) \mid \star \gamma=\gamma\right\}, \\
\Omega_{12}^{3}(M) & =\left\{\gamma \in \Omega^{3}(M) \mid \gamma \wedge \omega=0, \gamma \wedge \psi_{+}=0, \gamma \wedge \psi_{-}=0\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using these decompositions of the space $\Omega^{q}(M)$, in [14] it is proved the following.

Proposition 1.1.6 [14]. The differential of the forms $\left(\omega, \psi_{+}, \psi_{-}\right)$of an $\mathrm{SU}(3)-$ structure on $M$ can be written as:

$$
\begin{align*}
d \omega & =-\frac{3}{2} \sigma_{0} \psi_{+}+\frac{3}{2} \pi_{0} \psi_{-}+\nu_{1} \wedge \omega+\nu_{3} \\
d \psi_{+} & =\pi_{0} \omega^{2}+\pi_{1} \wedge \psi_{+}-\pi_{2} \wedge \omega  \tag{1.3}\\
d \psi_{-} & =\sigma_{0} \omega^{2}+J \pi_{1} \wedge \psi_{+}-\sigma_{2} \wedge \omega
\end{align*}
$$

where $\sigma_{0}, \pi_{0} \in \mathcal{F}(M), \nu_{1}, \pi_{1} \in \Omega^{1}(M), \sigma_{2}, \pi_{2} \in \Omega_{8}^{2}(M)$ and $\nu_{3} \in \Omega_{12}^{3}(M)$.
Definition 1.1.7. The forms $\pi_{0}, \sigma_{0}, \pi_{1}, \nu_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \pi_{2}$ and $\nu_{3}$, that appear in (1.3), are called the torsion forms of the $\mathrm{SU}(3)$-structure.

From Proposition $\sqrt{1.1 .6}$, the special classes of $\mathrm{SU}(3)$-structures can also be described by the behavior of the torsion forms as follows:

- $(M, g, J, \Psi)$ is half-flat $\mathrm{SU}(3)$-structure iff $\pi_{0}=\nu_{1}=\pi_{1}=\pi_{2}=0$;
- $(M, g, J, \Psi)$ is nearly half-flat $\mathrm{SU}(3)$-structure iff $\nu_{1}=\pi_{1}=\sigma_{2}=0$;
- $(M, g, J, \Psi)$ is nearly Kähler iff $\pi_{0}=\nu_{1}=\pi_{1}=\sigma_{2}=\pi_{2}=\nu_{3}=0$;
- $(M, g, J, \Psi)$ is symplectic half-flat $\mathrm{SU}(3)$-structure iff $\pi_{0}=\sigma_{0}=\nu_{1}$ $=\pi_{1}=\pi_{2}=\nu_{3}=0 ;$
- $(M, g, J, \Psi)$ is integrable $\operatorname{SU}(3)$-structure $\pi_{0}=\sigma_{0}=\nu_{1}=\pi_{1}=\sigma_{2}$ $=\pi_{2}=\nu_{3}=0$.

An effective technique to obtain compact examples of half-flat manifolds and, in general, examples of some special Riemannian manifolds, consists in considering left invariant structures on a rational nilpotent Lie group, that is, on a connected, simply connected and nilpotent Lie group such that its structure constants are rational numbers, for some basis of left invariant 1-forms. Six dimensional nilpotent Lie algebras are classified in [100, and recently in [11] was given the classification of nilmanifolds up to rational and real homotopy type. Moreover, according with Mal'cev Theorem [102], each associated Lie group has a uniform discrete subgroup, giving rise to a compact quotient, called compact nilmanifold. Thus, an SU(3)structure on the Lie algebra determines a left invariant $\mathrm{SU}(3)$-structure on the associated nilmanifold, and viceversa.

Before showing examples of half-flat $\mathrm{SU}(3)$-structures, let us recall that, for compact nilmanifolds, Nomizu in [108] proves the following result:

Theorem 1.1.8 [108]. Let $N=\Gamma \backslash G$ be a compact nilmanifold, and let $\mathfrak{g}$ be the Lie algebra of $G$. Denote by $\left(\bigwedge \mathfrak{g}^{*}, d\right)$ the Chevalley-Eilenberg complex of forms on
$\mathfrak{g}$. Then the natural inclusion $\left(\bigwedge \mathfrak{g}^{*}, d\right) \subset\left(\Omega^{*}(N), d\right)$ induces an isomorphism in cohomology,

$$
H^{k}(N) \cong H^{k}\left(\mathfrak{g}^{*}\right)
$$

where $H^{*}(N)$ denotes the de Rham cohomology group, of degree $k$, of the nilmanifold $N=\Gamma \backslash G$.

The compact nilmanifolds admitting symplectic half-flat structure have been classified by Conti and Tomassini in [40]. There it is proved the following result.

Theorem 1.1.9 [40]. The 6-dimensional nilpotent Lie algebras admitting symplectic half-flat structures are:

$$
(0,0,0,0,0,0), \quad(0,0,0,0,12,13), \quad(0,0,0,12,13,23)
$$

A symplectic half-flat structure $\left(\omega_{1}, \psi_{1}^{+}\right),\left(\omega_{2}, \psi_{2}^{+}\right)$and $\left(\omega_{3}, \psi_{3}^{+}\right)$, respectively, is given by

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\omega_{1}=e^{12}+e^{34}+e^{56}, & \psi_{1}^{+}=e^{135}-e^{146}-e^{236}-e^{245} \\
\omega_{2}=e^{14}+e^{26}+e^{35}, & \psi_{2}^{+}=e^{123}+e^{156}-e^{245}-e^{346}
\end{array}
$$

and

$$
\omega_{3}=e^{16}+2 e^{25}+e^{34}, \quad \psi_{3}^{+}=e^{123}+2 e^{145}+e^{246}-2 e^{356}
$$

In all these cases, the dual basis $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{6}\right\}$ of $\left\{e^{1}, \ldots, e^{6}\right\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $\mathfrak{g}$.

Here and in what follows, we use the following notation for Lie algebras. For instance,

$$
\mathfrak{g}=(0,0,0,0,12,13),
$$

means that there is a basis $\left\{e^{1}, \ldots, e^{6}\right\}$ of $\mathfrak{g}^{*}$ such that the Chevalley-Eilenberg differential $d$ is given by

$$
d e^{1}=0, \quad d e^{2}=0, \quad d e^{3}=0, \quad d e^{4}=0, \quad d e^{5}=e^{12}, \quad d e^{6}=e^{13},
$$

and similarly for the other Lie algebras.
The 6-dimensional compact nilmanifolds admitting either symplectic or halfflat structures have been classified by Salamon in [114] and by Conti in [37], respectively. In the following table we summarize the existence of symplectic and half-flat structures on 6 -dimensional nilpotent Lie algebras. There, for every Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, we suppose that $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{6}\right\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $\mathfrak{g}$.

Table 1.1: $\mathrm{SU}(3)$-structures on 6 -dimensional nilpotent Lie algebras.

| Structure equations | Symplectic | Half-flat |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (0, $0,0,0,0,0)$ | $e^{12}+e^{34}+e^{56}$ | $\begin{array}{r} e^{12}+e^{34}+e^{56} \\ e^{135}-e^{146}-e^{236}-e^{245} \end{array}$ |
| ( $0,0,0,0,0,12$ ) | $e^{16}+e^{25}+e^{34}$ | $\begin{array}{r} e^{13}+e^{24}+e^{56} \\ e^{125}-e^{146}-e^{236}-e^{245} \end{array}$ |
| $(0,0,0,0,0,12+34)$ | - | $\begin{array}{r} e^{12}-e^{34}+e^{56} \\ e^{145}-e^{136}-e^{246}-e^{235} \end{array}$ |
| (0, 0, 0, 0, 12, 13) | $e^{14}+e^{26}+e^{35}$ | $\begin{array}{r} e^{14}+e^{26}+e^{35} \\ e^{123}+e^{156}-e^{245}-e^{346} \end{array}$ |
| (0, $0,0,0,12,34)$ | $e^{15}+e^{36}+e^{24}$ | $-e^{126}+e^{236}+e^{145}+e^{345}+e^{146}+e^{56}$ |
| $(0,0,0,0,12,14+23)$ | $e^{16}-e^{35}+e^{24}$ | $\begin{array}{r} e^{13}-e^{56}+e^{24} \\ e^{126}-e^{145}+e^{235}-e^{346} \end{array}$ |
| $(0,0,0,0,13-24,14+23)$ | $e^{16}+e^{25}+e^{34}$ | $\begin{array}{r} e^{12}+e^{34}+e^{56} \\ e^{135}-e^{146}-e^{236}-e^{245} \end{array}$ |
| (0, 0, 0, 0, 12, 14+25) | $e^{13}+e^{26}+e^{45}$ | $\begin{array}{r} e^{14}+e^{46}-e^{25}-e^{36} \\ e^{156}-e^{123}+e^{236}-e^{345}+e^{246} \end{array}$ |
| (0,0,0, 0, 12, 15) | $e^{16}+e^{25}+e^{34}$ |  |
| (0, 0, 0, 0, 12, 15 + 34) | - | $\begin{array}{r} e^{13}-e^{45}-e^{26} \\ e^{156}+e^{124}-e^{235}-e^{346} \end{array}$ |
| ( $0,0,0,12,13,23)$ | $e^{16}+2 e^{25}+e^{34}$ | $\begin{array}{r} e^{16}+2 e^{25}+e^{34} \\ e^{123}+2 e^{145}+e^{246}-e^{356} \end{array}$ |
| (0, 0, 0, 12, 13, 14) | $e^{16}+e^{25}+e^{34}$ |  |
| ( $0,0,0,12,13,24$ ) | $e^{14}+e^{26}+e^{35}$ | $\begin{array}{r} e^{16}+e^{23}+e^{45} \\ e^{124}-e^{135}-e^{256}-e^{346} \end{array}$ |
| (0, 0, 0, 12, 13, 14+23) | $-e^{16}+e^{25}+2 e^{34}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -e^{12}+e^{25}+e^{16}-e^{56}-e^{14}-e^{36} \\ e^{246}+e^{123}+e^{136}+e^{134}+e^{345}+e^{156} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| $(0,0,0,12,13+14,24)$ | $e^{16}+e^{25}+e^{34}$ |  |
| (0, 0, 0, 12, 14, 13-24) | $e^{15}+e^{26}+e^{34}$ | - |
| (0,0,0,12, 13-24,14+23) | $e^{15}-e^{26}+e^{25}+e^{34}$ | - |
| (0,0,0,12,14,24) | - |  |
| $(0,0,0,12,23,14+35)$ | - | $\begin{array}{r} e^{13}+e^{45}-e^{26} \\ e^{146}+e^{125}-e^{234}+e^{356} \end{array}$ |
| $(0,0,0,12,23,14-35)$ | - | $\begin{array}{r} e^{13}+e^{26}-e^{45} \\ e^{125}+e^{146}+e^{234}+e^{356} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| $(0,0,0,12,13,14+35)$ | - | $\begin{array}{r} e^{26}-e^{34}-e^{12}-e^{15} \\ -e^{123}+e^{245}+e^{236}-e^{356}+e^{146} \end{array}$ |
| (0, 0, 0, 12, 14, 15) | $e^{26}+e^{35}+e^{14}$ | $\begin{array}{r} e^{13}+e^{25}+e^{46} \\ e^{124}-e^{156}+e^{236}+e^{345} \end{array}$ |
| $(0,0,0,12,14,15+24)$ | $e^{13}+e^{26}-e^{45}$ | $\begin{array}{r} e^{13}+e^{24}-e^{36}-e^{56} \\ e^{123}+e^{125}+e^{146}-e^{236}-e^{345} \end{array}$ |
| $(0,0,0,12,14,15+24+23)$ | $e^{16}+e^{25}-e^{34}$ | $\begin{array}{r} e^{123}+e^{125}+e^{146}-e^{126} \\ e^{236}-e^{345}-e^{235} \end{array}$ |
| $(0,0,0,12,14,15+23)$ | $e^{26}+e^{13}-e^{45}$ | $\begin{array}{r} e^{26}-e^{56}-e^{24}-e^{13} \\ -e^{234}-e^{345}-e^{124}+e^{145} \\ +e^{146}-e^{235}-e^{236} \end{array}$ |
| $(0,0,0,12,14-23,15+34)$ | $e^{16}+e^{35}+e^{24}$ | $\begin{array}{r} e^{24}-e^{13}-e^{56} \\ e^{236}+e^{125}+e^{345}+e^{146} \end{array}$ |

Table 1.1: $\mathrm{SU}(3)$-structures on 6-dimensional nilpotent Lie algebras. Continued.

| Structure equations | Symplectic | Half-flat |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(0,0,12,13,23,14+25)$ | $e^{16}+e^{35}+e^{24}+e^{15}$ | $\begin{array}{r} e^{14}-e^{24}-e^{25}-e^{36} \\ e^{156}-e^{256}-e^{123}-e^{345}+e^{246} \end{array}$ |
| $(0,0,12,13,23,14-25)$ | $e^{16}-e^{35}+e^{24}+e^{15}$ | $\begin{array}{r} e^{36}+e^{24}+e^{15} \\ -2 e^{345}-e^{134}-e^{123}-e^{235} \\ e^{146}-2 e^{456}-e^{256} \end{array}$ |
| $(0,0,12,13,23,14)$ | $e^{15}+e^{24}+e^{26}-e^{34}$ | $\begin{array}{r} e^{15}+e^{24}+e^{36} \\ e^{123}-e^{146}+e^{256}+e^{345} \end{array}$ |
| $(0,0,12,13,14,15+23)$ | $e^{16}+e^{24}+e^{25}-e^{34}$ | - |
| $(0,0,12,13,14+23,15+24)$ | $e^{16}+2 e^{34}-e^{25}$ | $\begin{array}{r} e^{25}-e^{14}+e^{36}-e^{56} \\ \sqrt{2} e^{345}-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} e^{156}-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} e^{246} \\ +\sqrt{2} e^{123}-\sqrt{2} e^{125} \end{array}$ |
| (0, 0, 12, 13, 14, 15) | $e^{16}+e^{25}-e^{34}$ | - |
| $(0,0,12,13,14,34-25)$ | - | - |
| $(0,0,12,13,14+23,34-25)$ | - | - |

### 1.1.2 $\quad \mathrm{G}_{2}$-structures

Similarly to the well-known vector product (or Gibbs vector product) of $\mathbb{R}^{3}$, there exists also a vector product on $\mathbb{R}^{7}$ defined via the product of octonions, or Cayley numbers, as follows. Let us consider the 8 -dimensional real vector space $\mathbb{O}$ of the octonions, which is a non-associative algebra over $\mathbb{R}$ with identity 1 . Recall that the product on $\mathbb{O}$, that we denote by $\circ$, is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right) \circ\left(q_{1}, q_{2}\right)=\left(p_{1} q_{1}-q_{2} \overline{p_{2}}, q_{2} p_{1}+p_{2} \overline{q_{1}}\right), \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p_{i}, q_{i}(i=1,2)$ are quaternions, and $\bar{p}$ denotes the conjugate of a quaternion $p$, that is, if $p$ is the quaternion $p=a_{1}+a_{2} i+a_{3} j+a_{4} k\left(a_{k} \in \mathbb{R}\right.$ and $i, j, k$ such that $\left.i^{2}=j^{2}=k^{2}=-1, i j=-j i=k, j k=-k j=i, k i=-i k=j\right)$ then $\bar{p}=a_{1}-a_{2} i-a_{3} j-a_{4} k$. We take an orthonormal basis $\left\{1, e_{0}, \ldots, e_{6}\right\}$ of $\mathbb{O}$, such that the product of the octonions satisfies

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
e_{i}^{2}=-1, & e_{i} e_{j}=-e_{j} e_{i}, \\
e_{i} e_{i+1}=e_{i+3}, \quad(i \neq j), \\
e_{i+3} e_{i}=e_{i+1}, & e_{i+1} e_{i+3}=e_{i} \text { with } i \in \mathbb{Z}_{7}
\end{array}
$$

Such a basis is called Cayley basis. Consider the basis of $\mathbb{O}$, described as the pairs of quaternions

$$
\{(1,0), \quad(i, 0), \quad(j, 0), \quad(k, 0), \quad(0,1), \quad(0, i), \quad(0, j), \quad(0, k)\}
$$

From the expression (1.4) of the product on $\mathbb{O}$, can be easily obtained that the basis $\left\{1, e_{0}, \ldots, e_{6}\right\}$ given, for example, by

$$
\begin{array}{rlll}
1=(1,0), & e_{0}=(i, 0), & e_{1}=(j, 0), & \\
e_{2}=(0, k), \\
e_{3}=(k, 0), & e_{4}=(0, i), & e_{5}=(0,1), & e_{6}=(0, j),
\end{array}
$$

is a Cayley basis.
We identify $\mathbb{R}^{7}$ with the 7 -dimensional subspace of $\mathbb{O}$ consisting of the pure imaginary Cayley numbers, that is, those such that the real part of the first quaternion is zero. Then, the vector product (or 2-fold vector cross product) on $\mathbb{R}^{7}$ is the bilinear map

$$
P: \mathbb{R}^{7} \times \mathbb{R}^{7} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{7}
$$

given by

$$
P(x, y)=x \circ y+(x \cdot y) 1,
$$

for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{7}$, and where $x \cdot y$ denotes the dot product of $x$ and $y$. As the Gibbs vector product on $\mathbb{R}^{3}$, the vector product $P$ on $\mathbb{R}^{7}$ satisfies

$$
(P(x, y)) \cdot x=(P(x, y)) \cdot y=0, \quad\|P(x, y)\|^{2}=\|x\|^{2}\|y\|^{2}-(x \cdot y)^{2}
$$

for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{7}$. So, $P(x, y)=-P(y, x)$. Gray in [71] proves that there exists a 2 -fold vector cross product on $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ if and only if $m=3$ or $m=7$.

It is known that the vector product of $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ determines a volume form on $\mathbb{R}^{3}$, and so a 3 -form. Similarly, the pair $(\cdot, P)$ on $\mathbb{R}^{7}$ determines the 3 -form $\varphi$ defined by

$$
\varphi(x, y, z)=(P(x, y)) \cdot z
$$

Thus, with respect to a Cayley basis, $\varphi$ has the expression

$$
\varphi=\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{7}} e^{i} \wedge e^{i+1} \wedge e^{i+3}
$$

Many authors use different bases in order to obtain an expression adapted to their purposes. We consider an orthonormal basis $\left\{e^{1}, \ldots, e^{7}\right\}$ of $\left(\mathbb{R}^{7}\right)^{*}$ so that the 3 -form $\varphi$ has the following expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi=e^{127}+e^{347}+e^{567}+e^{135}-e^{146}-e^{236}-e^{245} . \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The subgroup of $\mathrm{Gl}(7, \mathbb{R})$ that fixes $\varphi$ is the 14 -dimensional compact, connected, simple Lie group $\mathrm{G}_{2}$, that is,

$$
\mathrm{G}_{2}=\left\{A \in \mathrm{Gl}(7, \mathbb{R}) \mid A^{*} \varphi=\varphi\right\}
$$

The group $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ acts irreducibly on $\mathbb{R}^{7}$ and preserves the metric and orientation for which the basis $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{7}\right\}$ dual to the basis $\left\{e^{1}, \ldots, e^{7}\right\}$ is an oriented orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{R}^{7}$.

Definition 1.1.10. Let $(M, g)$ be a Riemannian manifold of dimension 7, with Riemannian metric $g$. $A$ vector product on $(M, g)$ is a tensor field

$$
P: \mathfrak{X}(M) \times \mathfrak{X}(M) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{X}(M)
$$

satisfying

$$
g(P(X, Y), X)=g(P(X, Y), Y)=0,\|P(X, Y)\|^{2}=\|X\|^{2}\|Y\|^{2}-g(X, Y)^{2}
$$

for $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$. A 7-dimensional Riemannian manifold with a vector product is called $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ manifold.

Note that, in opposite with almost complex structures, if $P$ is a vector product on $(M, g)$, the Riemannian metric $g$ is determined by $P$. In fact, Fernández and Gray in [56] prove that

$$
P(X, P(X, P(X, Y)))=-\|X\|^{2} P(X, Y)
$$

for $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$.
To describe the geometry of a $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ manifold $(M, g, P)$ it is very useful to consider the differential 3 -form $\varphi$ on $M$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(X, Y, Z)=g(P(X, Y), Z) \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$. This form $\varphi$, originally defined by Bonan [20], is called $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form, or fundamental 3-form, of $(M, g, P)$, and it may be locally written as in (1.5). Note that there is also the 4 -form $* \varphi$ on $M$ associated to $(g, P)$, where $*$ denotes de Hodge star operator of $(M, g)$. By (1.5), we have

$$
* \varphi=e^{3456}+e^{1256}+e^{1234}-e^{2467}+e^{2357}+e^{1457}+e^{1367}
$$

Bryant in [23] proves that if $(M, g, P)$ is a $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ manifold, the $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form $\varphi$ of $(g, P)$ determines the metric $g_{\varphi}=g$ on $M$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{\varphi}(X, Y) v o l_{M}=\frac{1}{6} \iota_{X} \varphi \wedge \iota_{Y} \varphi \wedge \varphi \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any vector fields $X, Y$ on $M$, where $v o l_{M}$ denotes the volume form on $M$. The vector product $P$ on $M$ is given by (1.6). This leads to the following definition

Definition 1.1.11. $A$ G $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structure on a 7-manifold $M$ is defined by a differential 3-form $\varphi$ on $M$ such that (1.7) defines a Riemannian metric $g_{\varphi}$, and $\varphi$ can be locally written as in (1.5) with respect to some (local) orthonormal basis $\left\{e^{1}, \ldots, e^{7}\right\}$ of the (local) 1-forms on $M$.

In [56] it is given a classification of $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ manifolds. Some of these classes are the following:

- $(g, P, \varphi)$ is almost parallel or calibrated $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structure iff $d \varphi=0$;
- $(g, P, \varphi)$ is semiparallel or cocalibrated $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structure iff $d * \varphi=0$;
- $(g, P, \varphi)$ is nearly parallel iff $d \varphi=c * \varphi$, where $c \in \mathbb{R}$;
- $(g, P, \varphi)$ is parallel iff $\nabla \varphi=0$ or, equivalently [56], $d \varphi=d * \varphi=0$.

In order to show examples of $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ manifolds in some of these classes, firstly we note that using the product of the octonions one can define a 3 -fold vector cross product $\widetilde{P}$ on $\mathbb{R}^{8}$ [50], so that $\widetilde{P}: \mathbb{R}^{8} \times \mathbb{R}^{8} \times \mathbb{R}^{8} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{8}$ is a trilinear map. In [56] it is proved that if $M$ is an oriented hypersurface of $\mathbb{R}^{8}$, with unit normal vector field $U$, then $M$ has a natural $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structure whose vector product $P$ is defined by

$$
P(X, Y)=\widetilde{P}(U, X, Y),
$$

for $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$. This $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ manifold is always cocalibrated, and it is nearly parallel if and only if $M=S^{7}$; moreover, the $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structure is parallel if and only if $M$ is flat [56].

Regarding calibrated $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ manifolds, the first example of a compact calibrated $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ manifold was given by Fernández in [51. This example is described as follows. Let $K^{7}$ be the 7 -dimensional nilpotent Lie group

$$
K^{7}=\mathrm{H}(1,2) \times \mathbb{R}^{2},
$$

where $\mathrm{H}(1,2)$ is the connected nilpotent Heisenberg group of dimension 5 consisting of matrices of the form

$$
a=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & x_{1} & z_{1} \\
0 & 1 & x_{2} & z_{2} \\
0 & 0 & 1 & y \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right),
$$

where $x_{1}, x_{2}, z_{1}, z_{2}, y \in \mathbb{R}$. Then a global system of coordinates $x_{i}, y, z_{i}(i=1,2)$ for $\mathrm{H}(1,2)$ is given by $x_{i}(a)=x_{i}, y(a)=y, z_{i}(a)=z_{i}$. Let $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ be the natural coordinates on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. A standard calculation shows that a basis $\left\{e^{1}, \ldots, e^{7}\right\}$ for the left invariant 1 -forms on $K^{7}$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e^{1}=d x_{1}, \quad e^{2}=d x_{2}, \quad e^{3}=d y, \quad e^{4}=d z_{1}-x_{1} d y, \\
& e^{5}=d z_{2}-x_{2} d y, \quad e^{6}=d u_{1}, \quad e^{7}=d u_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, the 3 -form $\varphi$ on $K^{7}$ given by

$$
\varphi=e^{367}+e^{157}+e^{247}+e^{123}+e^{345}-e^{146}+e^{256}
$$

is a left invariant and closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form on $K^{7}$. Therefore, it defines a closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form on the compact nilmanifold

$$
V^{7}=\Gamma \backslash K^{7}
$$

where $\Gamma \subset K^{7}$ is the discrete subgroup of $K^{7}$ consisting of the matrices of $K^{7}$ whose entries are integer numbers.

In Chapter 4, Theorem 4.2.1, is described the classification of Conti and Fernández of the compact nilmanifolds having left invariant closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form.

It is well-known that if $(g, P, \varphi)$ is a parallel $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structure on $M$ (that is, $\varphi$ is a closed and coclosed form), then the holonomy group of $(M, g)$ is a subgroup of $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ [56]. The first examples of compact $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ manifolds whose holonomy group is $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ were given by Joyce in [86]. On the other hand, Hitchin in 83 proves that if $M$ is a 6 -manifold with a half-flat $\mathrm{SU}(3)$-structure $\left(\omega, \psi_{+}, \psi_{-}\right)$which belongs to a family $\left(\omega(t), \psi_{+}(t), \psi_{-}(t)\right)$ of half-flat structures on $M$, for some real parameter $t$ lying in some interval $I=\left(t_{-}, t_{+}\right)$, and satisfying the evolution equations

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\partial_{t} \psi_{+}(t)=\hat{d} \omega(t) \\
\omega(t) \wedge \partial_{t}(\omega(t))=-\hat{d} \psi_{-}(t)
\end{array}\right.
$$

then $M \times I$ has a Riemannian metric whose holonomy is contained in $\mathrm{G}_{2}$. In fact, it is easy to check that the 3 -form $\varphi$ and the 4 -form $* \varphi$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi=\omega(t) \wedge d t+\psi_{+}(t), \quad * \varphi=\psi_{-}(t) \wedge d t+\frac{1}{2} \omega(t)^{2} \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

are closed. In general, if $\left(\omega, \psi_{+}, \psi_{-}\right)$is an $\mathrm{SU}(3)$-structure on $M$, then the 3 -form $\varphi$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi=\omega \wedge d t+\psi_{+} \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form on $M \times \mathbb{R}$. Moreover, as we mentioned in the subsection 1.1.1, it is clear that if $\left(\omega, \psi_{+}, \psi_{-}\right)$is a symplectic half-flat $\mathrm{SU}(3)$-structure on $M$ (so we will denote by $F$, instead of $\omega$, its Kähler form), then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi=F \wedge d t+\psi_{+} \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form on $M \times \mathbb{R}$. Similarly, half-flat manifolds produce cocalibrated $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ manifolds. In fact, if $\left(\omega, \psi_{+}, \psi_{-}\right)$is a half-flat $\mathrm{SU}(3)$-structure on $M$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi=\omega \wedge d t-\psi_{-} \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a coclosed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form on $M \times \mathbb{R}$ since

$$
* \varphi=\psi_{+} \wedge d t+\frac{1}{2} \omega^{2}
$$

is closed.

Definition 1.1.12. A $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structure $(g, P)$ is called torsion free $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structure if it is parallel, and otherwise $(g, P)$ is said to be $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structure with torsion.

As in subsection 1.1.1, we denote by $\Omega^{q}(M)$ the space of the differential $q$-forms on $M$, and let $\langle$,$\rangle be the inner product on \Omega^{q}(M)$ given by

$$
\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle \operatorname{vol}_{M}=\alpha \wedge * \beta,
$$

for $\alpha, \beta \in \Omega^{q}(M)$. In [56] it is proved that $\Omega^{q}(M)$ splits orthogonally into components $\Omega_{l}^{q}(M)$ of dimension $l$, which are irreducible under the action of $\mathrm{G}_{2}$. The representation of $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ on $\Omega^{1}(M)$ is the seven-dimensional irreducible representation, and the representation of $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ on $\Omega^{q}(M)$ and $\Omega^{7-q}(M)$ are the same because the Hodge star operator $*: \Omega^{q}(M) \longrightarrow \Omega^{7-q}(M)$ is an isometry. Therefore, it suffices to describe the representations of $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ on $\Omega^{2}(M)$ and $\Omega^{3}(M)$. In [23, 28, 56, 86, 113] it is proved that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Omega^{2}(M)=\Omega_{7}^{2}(M) \oplus \Omega_{14}^{2}(M), \\
& \Omega^{3}(M)=\Omega_{1}^{3}(M) \oplus \Omega_{7}^{3}(M) \oplus \Omega_{27}^{3}(M), \tag{1.12}
\end{align*}
$$

where the summands of (1.12) are characterized as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Omega_{7}^{2}(M) & =\left\{*(\alpha \wedge * \varphi) \mid \alpha \in \Omega^{1}(M)\right\}, \\
& =\left\{\beta \in \Omega^{2}(M) \mid \beta \wedge \varphi=2 * \beta\right\} \\
\Omega_{14}^{2}(M) & =\left\{\beta \in \Omega^{2}(M) \mid \beta \wedge \varphi=-* \beta\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Omega_{1}^{3}(M) & =\{a \varphi \mid a \in \mathbb{R}\} \\
\Omega_{7}^{3}(M) & =\left\{*(\alpha \wedge \varphi) \mid \alpha \in \Omega^{1}(M)\right\}, \\
\Omega_{27}^{3}(M) & =\left\{\gamma \in \Omega^{3}(M) \mid \gamma \wedge \varphi=0, \gamma \wedge * \varphi=0\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

With this $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ decomposition, Bryant gave in [24] a description of $d \varphi$ and $d * \varphi$.
Proposition 1.1.13 (Proposition 1, [24]). Let ( $M, g, P$ ) be a $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ manifold with $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form $\varphi$. Then, the forms $d \varphi$ and $d * \varphi$ are such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
d \varphi & =\tau_{0} * \varphi+3 \tau_{1} \wedge \varphi+* \tau_{3}, \\
d * \varphi & =4 \tau_{1} \wedge * \varphi+\tau_{2} \wedge \varphi,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\tau_{0} \in \mathcal{F}(M), \tau_{1} \in \Omega^{1}(M), \tau_{2} \in \Omega_{14}^{2}(M)$ and $\tau_{3} \in \Omega_{27}^{3}(M)$.
Definition 1.1.14. The forms $\tau_{0}, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}$ and $\tau_{3}$ are called the torsion forms of the $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structure.

Remark 1.1.15. We denote the index of the torsion forms according to its degree. However, several authors write the index of the torsion forms according to the dimension of the invariant subspace they belong to. Thus, the torsion forms $\tau_{0}, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}$ and $\tau_{3}$ are sometimes denoted in the related literature as $\tau_{1}, \tau_{7}, \tau_{14}$ and $\tau_{27}$, respectively.

From Proposition 1.1.13 the classes of $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ manifolds mentioned before, can also be described in terms of the torsion forms as follows:

- $(g, P, \varphi)$ is almost parallel or calibrated $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structure iff $\tau_{0}=\tau_{1}=\tau_{3}=0$;
- $(g, P, \varphi)$ is semiparallel or cocalibrated $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structure iff $\tau_{1}=\tau_{2}=0$;
- $(g, P, \varphi)$ is nearly parallel iff $\tau_{1}=\tau_{2}=\tau_{3}=0$;
- $(g, P, \varphi)$ is parallel iff $\tau_{0}=\tau_{1}=\tau_{2}=\tau_{3}=0$.

Now, we can characterize parallel $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structures as follows.
Proposition 1.1.16 [24, 56]. Let $(M, g)$ be a 7-dimensional Riemannian manifold with a $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form $\varphi$. Denote by $\nabla$ the Levi-Civita connection of $g$. Then, the following conditions are equivalent:

1. $\operatorname{Hol}(\nabla) \subseteq \mathrm{G}_{2}$;
2. $\nabla \varphi=0$;
3. $d \varphi=d * \varphi=0$;
4. $\tau_{0}=\tau_{1}=\tau_{2}=\tau_{3}=0$.

### 1.1.3 Almost contact metric structures

We first recall some properties of almost contact metric structures, and then we see the existence of such a structure on any $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ manifold with a non-zero vector field [6].

Definition 1.1.17. Let $M$ be a $(2 n+1)$-dimensional manifold. An almost contact metric structure on $M$ is a quadruplet $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$, where $\phi: \mathfrak{X}(M) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{X}(M)$ is a tensor field on $M, \xi$ is a nowhere vanishing vector field, $\eta$ is a differential 1-form, and $g$ is a Riemannian metric on $M$ satisfying the conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi^{2}=-I d+\xi \otimes \eta, \quad \eta(\xi)=1, \quad g(\phi X, \phi Y)=g(X, Y)-\eta(X) \eta(Y), \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$. The vector field $\xi$ is called Reeb vector field, and $(M, \phi, \eta, \xi, g)$ is said to be almost contact metric manifold.

Thus, if $(M, \phi, \eta, \xi, g)$ is an almost contact metric manifold, the kernel of $\eta$ defines a codimension one distribution $\mathcal{H}=\operatorname{ker} \eta$, and there is the orthogonal decomposition of the tangent bundle $T M$ of $M$

$$
T M=\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{L}
$$

where $\mathcal{L}$ is the trivial line subbundle generated by $\xi$. Note that conditions 1.13) imply

$$
\phi(\xi)=0, \quad \eta \circ \phi=0 .
$$

If $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is an almost contact metric structure on $M$, the fundamental 2form $F$ of $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is the differential 2-form on $M$ defined by

$$
F(X, Y)=g(\phi X, Y)
$$

where $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$. Hence,

$$
F(\phi X, \phi Y)=F(X, Y)
$$

that is, $F$ is compatible with $\phi$, and $\eta \wedge F^{n} \neq 0$ everywhere. Therefore, $\eta \wedge F^{n}$ is a volume form.

Conversely (see [17, 18]), as for symplectic manifolds, it happens that if $M$ is a $(2 n+1)$-dimensional manifold with a 2 -form $F$ and a 1 -form $\eta$ such that $\eta \wedge F^{n}$ is a volume form on $M$, then there exists an almost contact metric structure ( $\phi, \xi, \eta, g$ ) on $M$ whose fundamental form is $F$. In fact, since $\eta \wedge F^{n}$ is a volume form, it defines an isomorphism between the $\mathcal{F}(M)$-module of the vector fields on $M$ and the $\mathcal{F}(M)$-module of the $2 n$-forms on $M$. Thus, corresponding to the $2 n$-form $F^{n}$, there exists a unique vector field $\xi$ on $M$ such that

$$
\iota_{\xi}\left(\eta \wedge F^{n}\right)=F^{n}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\iota_{\xi} F^{n}=0,
$$

which implies that

$$
\iota_{\xi}(\eta)=1, \quad \iota_{\xi} F=0 .
$$

Then, $F$ is a symplectic form on the distribution $\mathcal{H}=\operatorname{ker}(\eta)$. Thus, proceeding as in the case of symplectic manifolds, there exists an endomorphism $\phi_{\mathcal{H}}: \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}$ and a metric $g_{\mathcal{H}}$ on $\mathcal{H}$ such that

$$
\phi_{\mathcal{H}}^{2}=-I d_{\mathcal{H}},
$$

and

$$
F(X, Y)=g_{\mathcal{H}}\left(\phi_{\mathcal{H}} X, Y\right)
$$

on $\mathcal{H}$. Now we define the metric $g$ on $M$ and the endomorphism $\phi: T M \longrightarrow T M$ by

$$
g(X, Y)=g_{\mathcal{H}}(X, Y), \quad g(X, \xi)=0, \quad g(\xi, \xi)=1
$$

and

$$
\phi(X)=\phi_{\mathcal{H}}(X), \quad \phi(\xi)=0 .
$$

It is easy to check that $(g, \phi, \eta, \xi)$ is an almost contact metric structure on $M$ with fundamental form $F$, that is,

$$
F(X, Y)=g(\phi X, Y)
$$

for any vector fields $X$ and $Y$ on $M$.
An almost contact metric structure $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ on $M$ is said to be contact metric if

$$
g(\phi X, Y)=d \eta(X, Y)
$$

In this case $\eta$ is a contact form, meaning $\eta \wedge(d \eta)^{n} \neq 0$ at every point of $M$, and the fundamental form $F$ of $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is $F=d \eta$.

Just as in the case of an almost Hermitian structure, there is the notion of integrability of an almost contact metric structure. More precisely, an almost contact metric structure $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is called normal if the Nijenhuis tensor $N_{\phi}$ associated to the tensor field $\phi$, defined by

$$
N_{\phi}(X, Y)=\phi^{2}[X, Y]+[\phi X, \phi Y]-\phi[\phi X, Y]-\phi[X, \phi Y]
$$

satisfies the condition

$$
N_{\phi}=-d \eta \otimes \xi
$$

This last condition is equivalent to the condition that the almost complex structure $J$ on $M \times \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$
J\left(X, f \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)=\left(\phi X-f \xi, \eta(X) \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)
$$

is integrable, that is, $(M \times \mathbb{R}, J)$ is a complex manifold, where $f$ is a differentiable function on $M \times \mathbb{R}$, and $t$ is the coordinate on $\mathbb{R}$ [17, 18]. In other words, $\phi$ defines a complex structure on $\operatorname{ker}(\eta)$ compatible with $d \eta$.

A Sasakian structure is a normal contact metric structure, in other words, an almost contact metric structure $(\eta, \xi, \phi, g)$ such that

$$
N_{\Phi}=-d \eta \otimes \xi, \quad d \eta=F
$$

If $(\eta, \xi, \phi, g)$ is a Sasakian structure on $M$, then $(M, \eta, \xi, \phi, g)$ is called a Sasakian manifold.

Boyer and Galicki in [22] prove that Riemannian manifolds with a Sasakian structure can also be characterized in terms of the Riemannian cone over the manifold. More precisely, a Riemannian manifold ( $M, g$ ) admits a compatible Sasakian structure if and only if $M \times \mathbb{R}^{+}$equipped with the cone metric

$$
g^{c}=t^{2} g+d t \otimes d t
$$

is Kähler (but not necessarily Einstein). Furthermore, the Reeb vector field of the Sasakian structure is Killing and the covariant derivative of $\phi$ with respect to the Levi-Civita connection of $g$ is given by

$$
\left(\nabla_{X} \phi\right)(Y)=g(\xi, Y) X-g(X, Y) \xi,
$$

for any pair of vector fields $X$ and $Y$ on $M$.
In Chapter 3, we need some properties of 3-Sasakian manifolds [22]. Let $(M, g)$ be a Riemannian manifold of dimension $4 \mathrm{n}+3$.

- $(M, g)$ is 3 -Sasakian if $M \times \mathbb{R}^{+}$with the cone metric $g^{c}=t^{2} g+d t \otimes d t$ is hyperkähler or, equivalently, the holonomy group of $\left(M \times \mathbb{R}^{+}, g^{c}\right)$ is a subgroup of $\operatorname{Sp}(n+1)$. Thus, $g^{c}$ is Ricci-flat.

Taking into account that cone metrics are a particular type of warped product metrics, we have

Proposition 1.1.18 [22]. Any 3-Sasakian manifold ( $M, g$ ) of dimension $4 n+3$ is Einstein with Einstein constant $\lambda=4 n+2$. Moreover, if $(M, g)$ is complete, it is compact with finite fundamental group.

In terms of tensor fields, 3-Sasakian manifolds can be defined as follows [22]. A Riemannian manifold $(M, g)$ is 3 -Sasakian if and only if there exist 3 almost contact metric structures $\left(\phi_{i}, \xi_{i}, \eta_{i}, g\right)(i=1,2,3)$, with respect to same metric $g$, such that $\left(\phi_{i}, \xi_{i}, \eta_{i}, g\right)$ is Sasakian, for any $i=1,2,3$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi_{i} \xi_{j}=-\phi_{j} \xi_{i}=\xi_{k}, \quad \eta_{i} \circ \phi_{j}=-\eta_{j} \circ \phi_{i}=\eta_{k}, \\
& \phi_{k}=\phi_{i} \phi_{j}-\eta_{j} \otimes \xi_{i}=-\phi_{j} \phi_{i}+\eta_{i} \otimes \xi_{j},
\end{aligned}
$$

for any cyclic permutation $(i, j, k)$ of $(1,2,3)$.
By analogy with the terminology used in almost Hermitian geometry, we say that:

- $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is almost cokähler iff $d F=d \eta=0$;
- $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is cokähler iff it is almost cokähler and normal, that is, $d F=d \eta$ $=0$ and $N_{\phi}=-d \eta \otimes \xi$,
where $F$ is the fundamental 2 -form of $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$.
If $(N, g, J)$ is an almost Kähler manifold with Kähler form $\omega$, then the Riemannian product $N \times \mathbb{R}$ has an almost cokähler structure $(\phi, \xi, \eta, h)$, where

$$
\eta=d t, \quad \xi=\frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \quad \phi(X)=J X, \quad \phi(\xi)=0
$$

and

$$
h(X, Y)=g(X, Y), \quad h(X, \xi)=0, \quad h(\xi, \xi)=1,
$$

for any vector fields $X$ and $Y$ on $N$, and where $t$ is the coordinate on $\mathbb{R}$.
Conversely, if $(M, \phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is an almost cokähler manifold, then the Riemannian product $M \times \mathbb{R}$ (or $M \times S^{1}$ ) is an almost Kähler manifold (in particular, Kähler if $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is a cokähler structure) with Kähler form

$$
\omega=F+\eta \wedge d t
$$

and $(M, \eta, F)$ is a cosymplectic manifold in the sense of Libermann [97] since $d \eta=d F=0$ and $\eta \wedge F^{n}$ is a volume form of $M$. Cosymplectic manifolds are also called almost cosymplectic [18], and recently were called co-symplectic by Li [96].

The following result shows that cosymplectic manifolds constitute the odd dimensional analogue of symplectic manifolds.

Proposition 1.1.19 (Proposition 1, [96]). A manifold $M$ admits a cosymplectic structure if and only if the product $M \times S^{1}$ admits an $S^{1}$-invariant symplectic form.

Before going to the topological characterization of compact cosymplectic manifolds given by Li in [96], we would like to note the following. Suppose that $(M, \varphi)$ is a $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ manifold with a nowhere vanishing vector field $\xi$ on $M$. (This happens for example if $M$ is compact [118].) Denote by $g_{\varphi}$ the Riemannian metric induced by $\varphi$. By normalizing $\xi$ using $g_{\varphi}$, we may assume that $\|\xi\|=1$. Then, in [6] it is proved that $M$ has an almost contact metric structure ( $\eta, F, g_{\varphi}$ ) defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta(X)=g_{\varphi}(X, \xi), \quad F=\iota_{\xi} \varphi \tag{1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$. In [6] it is proved that if $M$ is compact and $d \varphi=0$, then the almost contact metric structure given by $\sqrt{1.14}$ ) does not define a contact structure on $M$ compatible with the closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form $\varphi$, that is, such that $d \eta=\iota_{\xi} \varphi$. Also in [121] it is proved the following result

Proposition 1.1.20 [121]. Let $(M, \varphi)$ be $a \mathrm{G}_{2}$ manifold. Then the fundamental 2-form $F$ of the almost contact metric structure given by (1.14) is closed if and only if $\xi$ is Killing. In this case, $d \eta=0$ and the almost contact metric structure is normal and hence a cokähler structure.

Corollary 1.1.21 [121]. If $(M, \varphi)$ is a $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ manifold with full $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ holonomy, then $d F \neq 0$. In particular, the almost contact metric structure constructed above cannot be almost cokähler, cokähler nor Sasakian.

In the last years, the geometry and topology of cokähler and almost cokähler manifolds and, in particular, of cosymplectic manifolds have been studied by several authors (see for example [8, 10, 12, 18, [22, 31, 30, 96] and the references therein). Some of those results are given using mapping tori.

A theorem by Tischler [120] asserts that a compact manifold is a mapping torus if and only if it admits a non-vanishing closed 1 -form. This result was extended recently to cosymplectic manifolds by Li [96]. Let us recall first some definitions.

Let $N$ be a differentiable manifold and let $\nu: N \rightarrow N$ be a diffeomorphism. Then, we can define the diffeomorphism

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\widetilde{\nu}: \begin{array}{c}
N \times \mathbb{R}
\end{array}{ }^{N \times \mathbb{R}} \\
(x, t) & \longmapsto(x), t+1) .
\end{array}
$$

Denote by $\Gamma_{\widetilde{\nu}}$ the infinite cyclic group of diffeomorphisms of $N \times \mathbb{R}$ generated by $\widetilde{\nu}$. Then, $\Gamma_{\widetilde{\nu}}$ is a discrete group which acts freely and proper discontinuously on $N \times \mathbb{R}$. The mapping torus $N_{\nu}$ of $\nu$ is the quotient

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{\nu}=(N \times \mathbb{R}) / \Gamma_{\widetilde{\nu}} . \tag{1.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, $N_{\nu}$ is a differentiable manifold of dimension $\operatorname{dim} N_{\nu}=(\operatorname{dim} N)+1$. From the topological point of view, the mapping torus $N_{\nu}$ is just $N \times[0,1]$ with the ends identified by $\nu$, that is,

$$
N_{\nu}=\frac{N \times[0,1]}{(x, 0) \sim(\nu(x), 1)} .
$$

The natural map

$$
\pi: N_{\nu} \rightarrow S^{1}
$$

defined by $\pi(x, t)=e^{2 \pi i t}$ is the projection of a locally trivial fiber bundle with fiber $N$.

Definition 1.1.22. Let $(N, \omega)$ be a symplectic manifold, and let $\nu: N \longrightarrow N$ be a diffeomorphism. We say that the mapping torus $N_{\nu}$ of $\nu$ is a symplectic mapping torus if $\nu$ is a symplectomorphism, that is, $\nu^{*} \omega=\omega$.

Remark 1.1.23. We would like to note that if $(N, \omega)$ is a symplectic manifold (not necessarily compact), and $\nu:(N, \omega) \longrightarrow(N, \omega)$ is a symplectomorphism, we can equip $N_{\nu}$ with a cosymplectic structure as follows. First, we consider the pullback to $N \times \mathbb{R}$ of the symplectic form $\omega$ via the projection map $N \times \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow N$, and then we get a closed 2-form $\omega$ on $N \times \mathbb{R}$. Since $\nu$ is a symplectomorphism,
we know that $\omega$ is $\nu$-invariant, and so its pullback to $N \times \mathbb{R}$ is a closed 2-form $\omega$, which is $\Gamma_{\widetilde{\nu}}$-invariant. Hence, it induces a closed 2-form $\widetilde{\omega}$ on $N_{\nu}$. Similarly, for the canonical 1-form dt on $\mathbb{R}$ we consider its pullback to $N \times \mathbb{R}$, and it is also $\Gamma_{\tilde{\nu}}$ invariant, so dt defines a closed 1-form $\eta$ on $N_{\nu}$. The pair $(d t, \omega)$ is a cosymplectic structure on $N \times \mathbb{R}$ and thus $(\eta, \widetilde{\omega})$ is a cosymplectic structure on $N_{\nu}$. Note also that the Reeb vector field on $N \times \mathbb{R}$ is just $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$, which is preserved by $\widetilde{\nu}$, and hence reduces to be the Reeb vector field on $N_{\nu}$.

For compact manifolds, Li in [96] proves the following result.
Theorem 1.1.24 (Theorem 1, [96]). A compact manifold $M$ admits a cosymplectic structure if and only if it is a symplectic mapping torus $M=N_{\nu}$.

Recently, Bazzoni and Goertsches in [10] show conditions under which the Reeb vector field of a symplectic mapping torus is Killing.

Proposition 1.1.25 (Proposition 2.12, [10]). Let ( $N, g, J$ ) be an almost Kähler manifold with Kähler form $\omega$, and let $\nu:(N, \omega) \longrightarrow(N, \omega)$ a symplectomorphism such that $\nu^{*} g=g$. Then, the Reeb vector field of the symplectic mapping torus $N_{\nu}$ is a Killing vector field.

### 1.2 Massey products and formality of mapping tori

In this section some definitions and results about formal manifolds and, in particular, about formality of mapping tori are reviewed [8, 45].

From now on, we work with graded algebras over the field of real numbers $\mathbb{R}$, and we denote by $|a|$ the degree of an element.

A differential graded commutative algebra $(\mathcal{A}, d)$ over $\mathbb{R}$ (DGA for short) is a pair $(\mathcal{A}, d)$, where $\mathcal{A}$ is a graded commutative algebra $\mathcal{A}=\oplus_{i \geq 0} A^{i}$ over $\mathbb{R}$, and $d: A^{*} \rightarrow A^{*+1}$ is a derivation of degree 1 , that is, $d$ is a linear map such that $d^{2}=0$ and, for homogeneous elements $a$ and $b$,

$$
d(a \cdot b)=(d a) \cdot b+(-1)^{|a|} a \cdot(d b)
$$

Given a differential graded commutative algebra $(\mathcal{A}, d)$, we denote its cohomology by $H^{*}(\mathcal{A})$. The cohomology of a differential graded algebra $H^{*}(\mathcal{A})$ is naturally a DGA with the product inherited from that on $\mathcal{A}$ and with the differential being identically zero. The $\operatorname{DGA}(\mathcal{A}, d)$ is connected if $H^{0}(\mathcal{A})=\mathbb{R}$, and $(\mathcal{A}, d)$ is 1 -connected if, in addition, $H^{1}(\mathcal{A})=0$.

In our context, the main examples of DGAs are the de Rham complex $\left(\Omega^{*}(M), d\right)$ of a differentiable manifold $M$, where $d$ is the exterior differential, and the de Rham cohomology algebra ( $\left.H^{*}(M), d=0\right)$.

If $\left(\mathcal{A}, d_{\mathcal{A}}\right)$ and $\left(\mathcal{B}, d_{\mathcal{B}}\right)$ are DGAs, a map

$$
\phi:\left(\mathcal{A}, d_{\mathcal{A}}\right) \longrightarrow\left(\mathcal{B}, d_{\mathcal{B}}\right),
$$

is called morphism of $D G A$ 's if $\phi$ is a morphism of algebras such that preserves the degree and commutes with the differential, so $\phi \circ d_{\mathcal{A}}=d_{\mathcal{B}} \circ \phi$.

Definition 1.2.1. $A D G A(\mathcal{A}, d)$ is said to be minimal if

- $\mathcal{A}$ is the free algebra $\mathcal{A}=\Lambda V$ over a graded (real) vector space $V=\oplus_{k} V^{k} ;$ and
- there exists a basis $\left\{x_{i}, i \in I\right\}$ of $V$, for a well-ordered index set $I$, such that $\left|x_{i}\right| \leq\left|x_{j}\right|$ if $i<j$, and each $d x_{j}$ is expressed in terms of the preceding $x_{i}$ $(i<j)$.

Note that the second condition implies that $d x_{i}$ does not have a linear part.
Definition 1.2.2. Let $\left(\mathcal{M}, d_{\mathcal{M}}\right)$ and $(\mathcal{A}, d)$ be two $D G A$ 's. We say that $\left(\mathcal{M}, d_{\mathcal{M}}\right)$ is a minimal model of $(\mathcal{A}, d)$ if $\left(\mathcal{M}, d_{\mathcal{M}}\right)$ is minimal, so $\mathcal{M}=\Lambda V$, and there exists a morphism

$$
\rho:\left(\mathcal{M}, d_{\mathcal{M}}\right) \longrightarrow(\mathcal{A}, d),
$$

of DGAs, such that it induces an isomorphism in cohomology

$$
\rho^{*}: H^{*}(\mathcal{M}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^{*}(\mathcal{A}) .
$$

In [77], Halperin proved that any connected differential graded algebra $(\mathcal{A}, d)$ has a minimal model unique up to isomorphism. For 1-connected differential algebras, a similar result was proved earlier by Deligne, Griffiths, Morgan and Sullivan [45].

Definition 1.2.3. A minimal model of a connected differentiable manifold $M$ is a minimal model $(\bigwedge V, d)$ of the de Rham complex $\left(\Omega^{*}(M), d\right)$ of differential forms on $M$.

Thus, if ( $\bigwedge V, d)$ is a minimal model of a manifold $M$, then the cohomology of ( $\bigwedge V, d$ ) is, up to isomorphism, the cohomology of $M$. Moreover, if $M$ is a simply connected manifold, then the dual of the real homotopy vector space $\pi_{i}(M) \otimes \mathbb{R}$ is isomorphic to $V^{i}$ for any $i$. This relation also happens when $i>1$ and $M$ is nilpotent, that is, the fundamental group $\pi_{1}(M)$ is nilpotent and its action on $\pi_{j}(M)$ is nilpotent for $j>1$ (see [45]).

Definition 1.2.4. A minimal differential algebra $(\bigwedge V, d)$ is called formal if there exists a morphism of differential algebras

$$
\psi:(\bigwedge V, d) \longrightarrow\left(H^{*}(\bigwedge V), 0\right)
$$

inducing the identity map on cohomology. Also a differentiable manifold $M$ is called formal if its minimal model is formal.

Many examples of formal manifolds are known: spheres, projective spaces, compact Lie groups, homogeneous spaces, flag manifolds, and compact Kähler manifolds.

The formality of a minimal algebra is characterized as follows.
Theorem 1.2.5 [45]. A minimal algebra $(\bigwedge V, d)$ is formal if and only if the space $V$ can be decomposed into a direct sum $V=C \oplus N$ with $d(C)=0$ and $d$ injective on $N$, such that every closed element in the ideal $I(N)$ in $\bigwedge V$ generated by $N$ is exact.

This characterization of formality can be weakened using the concept of $s$ formality introduced in 63].

Definition 1.2.6. A minimal algebra $(\bigwedge V, d)$ is $s$-formal $(s>0)$ if for each $i \leq s$ the space $V^{i}$ of generators of degree $i$ decomposes as a direct sum $V^{i}=C^{i} \oplus N^{i}$, where the spaces $C^{i}$ and $N^{i}$ satisfy the three following conditions:

1. $d\left(C^{i}\right)=0$,
2. the differential map d: $N^{i} \longrightarrow \bigwedge V$ is injective, and
3. any closed element in the ideal $I_{s}=I\left(\bigoplus_{i \leq s} N^{i}\right)$, generated by the space $\underset{i \leq s}{\bigoplus} N^{i}$ in the free algebra $\bigwedge\left(\bigoplus_{i \leq s} V^{i}\right)$, is exact in $\bigwedge V$.

A differentiable manifold $M$ is $s$-formal if its minimal model is $s$-formal. Clearly, if $M$ is formal then $M$ is $s$-formal, for any $s>0$. The main result of [63] shows that sometimes the weaker condition of $s$-formality implies formality.

Theorem 1.2.7 [63]. Let $M$ be a connected and orientable compact differentiable manifold of dimension $2 n$ or $(2 n-1)$. Then $M$ is formal if and only if it is ( $n-1$ )-formal.

One can check that any simply connected compact manifold is 2 -formal. Therefore, Theorem 1.2 .7 implies that any simply connected compact manifold of dimension $\leq 6$ is formal.

In order to detect non-formality, one can use also Massey products, which are obstructions to formality. The simplest type of Massey products are the triple (also known as ordinary) Massey products, which are defined as follows.

Let $(\mathcal{A}, d)$ be a DGA (in particular, it can be the de Rham complex of differential forms on a differentiable manifold). Suppose that there are cohomology classes $\left[a_{i}\right] \in H^{p_{i}}(\mathcal{A}), p_{i}>0,1 \leq i \leq 3$, such that $a_{1} \cdot a_{2}$ and $a_{2} \cdot a_{3}$ are exact. Write

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{1} \cdot a_{2}=d x, \quad a_{2} \cdot a_{3}=d y \tag{1.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The (triple) Massey product of the classes $\left[a_{i}\right]$ is defined as

$$
\left\langle\left[a_{1}\right],\left[a_{2}\right],\left[a_{3}\right]\right\rangle=\left[a_{1} \cdot y+(-1)^{p_{1}+1} x \cdot a_{3}\right] \in \frac{H^{p_{1}+p_{2}+p_{3}-1}(\mathcal{A})}{\left[a_{1}\right] \cdot H^{p_{2}+p_{3}-1}(\mathcal{A})+\left[a_{3}\right] \cdot H^{p_{1}+p_{2}-1}(\mathcal{A})} .
$$

Note that $a_{1} \cdot y+(-1)^{p_{1}+1} x \cdot a_{3}$ is always closed, because $d\left(a_{1} \cdot y+(-1)^{p_{1}+1} x \cdot a_{3}\right)$ $=(-1)^{p_{1}} a_{1} \cdot a_{2} \cdot a_{3}+(-1)^{p_{1}+1} a_{1} \cdot a_{2} \cdot a_{3}=0$, but its cohomology class in $H^{p_{1}+p_{2}+p_{3}-1}(\mathcal{A})$ is not well-defined since it depends of the representatives $x$ and $y$ in 1.16.

Theorem 1.2.8 [45]. A DGA which has a non-zero Massey product is non-formal.
To finish this section we recall the results proved in [8] about the formality of the mapping torus of an orientation-preserving diffeomorphism $\nu$ of a manifold $N$. Those results show that the formality of $N_{\nu}$ depends on $\nu$ but not on the formality of $N$.

Next, by multiplicity of the eigenvalue $\lambda$ of an endomorphism $A: V \rightarrow V$ we mean the multiplicity of $\lambda$ as a root of the minimal polynomial of $A$.

First we notice that if $\nu: N \longrightarrow N$ is a diffeomorphism, the Mayer-Vietoris sequence implies that the de Rham cohomology group of the mapping torus $N_{\nu}$ of $\nu$ is, up to isomorphism,

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{p}\left(N_{\nu}\right) \cong K^{p}(N) \oplus[d t] \wedge C^{p-1}(N) \tag{1.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
K^{p}(N) & =\operatorname{ker}\left(\nu^{*}-I d: H^{p}(N) \rightarrow H^{p}(N)\right) \\
C^{p-1}(N) & =\operatorname{coker}\left(\nu^{*}-I d: H^{p-1}(N) \rightarrow H^{p-1}(N)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

As it is described in $[8],[\alpha] \in K^{p}(N)$ defines a cohomology class $[\widetilde{\alpha}] \in H^{p}\left(N_{\nu}\right)$. In fact, if $[\alpha] \in K^{p}$, then $\nu^{*}[\alpha]=[\alpha]$. Therefore, $\nu^{*} \alpha=\alpha+d \beta$ for some $(p-1)$-form $\beta$ on $N$. Consider now a function $\rho(t)$, such that $\rho=0$ near $t=0$ and $\rho=1$ near $t=1$. Thus, the closed $p$-form $\tilde{\alpha}$ on $N \times[0,1]$ defined by

$$
\tilde{\alpha}(x, t)=\alpha(x)+d(\rho(t) \beta(x)),
$$

where $x \in N$ and $t \in[0,1]$ is such that

$$
\nu^{*} \tilde{\alpha}(x, 0)=\nu^{*} \alpha(x)=\alpha(x)+d \beta(x)=\tilde{\alpha}(x, 1),
$$

and thus defines a closed $p$-form on the mapping torus $N_{\nu}$.
Theorem 1.2.9 (Theorem 13, [8]). Let $N$ be an oriented compact differentiable manifold of dimension $n$, and let $\nu: N \rightarrow N$ be an orientation-preserving diffeomorphism. Let $M=N_{\nu}$ be the mapping torus of $\nu$. Suppose that, for some $p>0$, the homomorphism $\nu^{*}: H^{p}(N) \rightarrow H^{p}(N)$ has eigenvalue $\lambda=1$ with multiplicity 2. Then, $M=N_{\nu}$ is non-formal since there exists a non-zero (triple) Massey product. More precisely, if $[\alpha] \in K^{p} \subset H^{p}(N)$ is such that

$$
[\alpha] \in \operatorname{Im}\left(\nu^{*}-I d: H^{p}(N) \rightarrow H^{p}(N)\right)
$$

the Massey product $\langle[\eta],[\eta],[\tilde{\alpha}]\rangle$ does not vanish.
Also in [8], under certain conditions of $\nu$, a partial computation of the minimal model of $N_{\nu}$ is given.

Theorem 1.2.10. With $M=N_{\nu}$ as above, suppose that there is some $p \geq 2$ such that $\nu^{*}: H^{k}(N) \rightarrow H^{k}(N)$ does not have the eigenvalue $\lambda=1$ for any $k \leq(p-1)$, and that $\nu^{*}: H^{p}(N) \rightarrow H^{p}(N)$ does have the eigenvalue $\lambda=1$ with some multiplicity $r \geq 1$. Put

$$
K_{j}=\operatorname{ker}\left(\left(\nu^{*}-I d\right)^{j}: H^{p}(N) \rightarrow H^{p}(N)\right)
$$

where $j=0, \ldots$, . So $\{0\}=K_{0} \subset K_{1} \subset K_{2} \subset \ldots \subset K_{r}$.
Write $G_{j}=K_{j} / K_{j-1}$, for $j=1, \ldots, r$. The map $F=\nu^{*}-$ Id induces maps $F: G_{j} \rightarrow G_{j-1}, j=1, \ldots, r$ (here $G_{0}=0$ ). Then the minimal model $(\Lambda W, d)$ of $M=N_{\nu}$ is, up to degree $p$, given by the following generators:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W^{1}=\langle a\rangle, \quad d a=0, \\
& W^{k}=0, \quad k=2, \ldots, p-1, \\
& W^{p}=G_{1} \oplus G_{2} \oplus \ldots \oplus G_{r}, \quad d w=a \cdot F(w), w \in G_{j} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking into account Definition 1.2.6. Theorem 1.2 .10 implies that the spaces $C^{i}$ and $N^{i}(i=1, \ldots, p)$ of the minimal model $(\bigwedge W, d)$ of $N_{\nu}$ are

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
C^{1}=\langle a\rangle, & N^{1}=0, \quad C^{i}=0=N^{i} \quad(i=2, \ldots, p-1), \\
C^{p}=G_{1}, & N^{p}=G_{2} \oplus \ldots \oplus G_{r} .
\end{array}
$$

Also if $w \in G_{r}$, then $a \cdot w \in I(N)$ and $d(a \cdot w)=0$, but $a \cdot w$ is not exact. Thus,

Corollary 1.2.11. Under the conditions of Theorem 1.2.10, the mapping torus $N_{\nu}$ is always $(p-1)$-formal (in the sense of Definition 1.2.6), and if $r=1$, then $N_{\nu}$ is $p$-formal. Moreover, if $r \geq 2$, then $N_{\nu}$ is non-formal.

Note that if $N$ is a compact symplectic manifold, and $\nu: N \rightarrow N$ is a symplectomorphism, then $\nu^{*}: H^{2}(N) \rightarrow H^{2}(N)$ always has the eigenvalue $\lambda=1$, since $\nu^{*}: H^{2}(N) \rightarrow H^{2}(N)$ fixes the symplectic form on $N$. Thus, as a consequence of Theorem 1.2.10, we have
Corollary 1.2.12. Let $N$ be a compact symplectic $2 n$-manifold, and assume that $\nu: N \rightarrow N$ is a symplectomorphism such that the map induced on cohomology $\nu^{*}: H^{1}(N) \rightarrow H^{1}(N)$ does not have the eigenvalue $\lambda=1$. Then, $N_{\nu}$ is 2-formal if and only if the eigenvalue $\lambda=1$ of $\nu^{*}: H^{2}(N) \rightarrow H^{2}(N)$ has multiplicity $r=1$.

We will need also the following
Lemma 1.2.13. Let $N$ be a compact manifold, and let $\nu: N \longrightarrow N$ be a diffeomorphism of finite order, that is, there exists $p \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(\nu)^{p}=I d$. If there exists $k \geq 1$, such that $\lambda=1$ is an eigenvalue of

$$
\nu^{*}: H^{k}(N) \longrightarrow H^{k}(N),
$$

then the multiplicity of $\lambda=1$ is $r=1$.
Proof. Suppose that, for some $k \geq 1$, the map $\nu^{*}: H^{k}(N) \longrightarrow H^{k}(N)$ has the eigenvalue $\lambda=1$ with multiplicity $r>1$. Then, there exists a non-zero cohomology class $a \in H^{k}(N)$ such that

$$
a \in \operatorname{ker}\left(\nu^{*}-I d: H^{k}(N) \longrightarrow H^{k}(N)\right),
$$

and

$$
a=\left(\nu^{*}-I d\right)(b),
$$

where $b \in \operatorname{ker}\left(\left(\nu^{*}-I d\right)^{2}: H^{k}(N) \longrightarrow H^{k}(N)\right)-\operatorname{ker}\left(\nu^{*}-I d: H^{k}(N) \longrightarrow H^{k}(N)\right)$.
Since the order of $\nu$ is finite, there exists $p \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\left(\nu^{*}\right)^{p}=I d$. Now let us consider the map $T: H^{k}(N) \longrightarrow H^{k}(N)$ given by

$$
T=I d+\nu^{*}+\left(\nu^{*}\right)^{2}+\cdots+\left(\nu^{*}\right)^{p-1} .
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(a)=p a . \tag{1.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
T(a)=T\left(\left(\nu^{*}-I d\right)(b)\right)=\left(I d+\nu^{*}+\left(\nu^{*}\right)^{2}+\cdots\right. & \left.+\left(\nu^{*}\right)^{p-1}\right)\left(\nu^{*}-I d\right)(b) \\
& =\left(\left(\nu^{*}\right)^{p}-I d\right)(b)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

which contradicts (1.18) since $p \geq 1$ and the cohomology class $a$ is non-zero. Hence

$$
\operatorname{ker}\left(\nu^{*}-I d\right) \cap \operatorname{Im}\left(\nu^{*}-I d\right)=0
$$

### 1.3 Mapping torus of an $\mathrm{SU}(3)$-diffeomorphism

As we mention in subsection 1.1.2, the Riemannian product of a symplectic half-flat $\mathrm{SU}(3)$ manifold with $\mathbb{R}$ has a closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form. In this section, we show conditions under which the mapping torus of a diffeomorphism of a symplectic half-flat $\mathrm{SU}(3)$ manifold has such a $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form. Moreover, we show that if a 6 -dimensional symplectic half-flat Lie algebra is endowed with a particular type of derivation, then one can construct a Lie algebra with a closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form.

Definition 1.3.1. Let $\left(N, g, J, \Psi=\psi_{+}+i \psi_{-}\right)$be an $\mathrm{SU}(3)$ manifold, and let $\nu: N \longrightarrow N$ be a diffeomorphism. We say that $\nu: N \longrightarrow N$ is an $\mathrm{SU}(3)$-diffeomorphism if $\nu$ preserves the $\mathrm{SU}(3)$-structure, that is

$$
\nu^{*} g=g, \quad \nu_{*} \circ J=J \circ \nu_{*}, \quad \nu^{*}\left(\psi_{+}\right)=\psi_{+} .
$$

Thus, $\nu$ also preserves the Kähler form $\omega$ of $(g, J)$ and the 3 -form $\psi_{-}=J \psi_{+}$, that is,

$$
\nu^{*} \omega=\omega, \quad \nu^{*} \psi_{-}=\psi_{-} .
$$

Hence, if $\omega$ is closed, $N_{\nu}$ is a 7 -dimensional cosymplectic manifold since $\nu$ is a symplectomorphism (see Remark 1.1.23). Moreover, we have

Theorem 1.3.2. Let $\left(N, g, J, \Psi=\psi_{+}+i \psi_{-}\right)$be a symplectic half-flat $\mathrm{SU}(3)$ manifold, and let $\nu: N \longrightarrow N$ be an $\mathrm{SU}(3)$-diffeomorphism. Then, the symplectic half-flat $\mathrm{SU}(3)$-structure ( $g, J, \Psi=\psi_{+}+i \psi_{-}$) on $N$ induces a closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form on the mapping torus $N_{\nu}$ of $\nu$.

Proof. Since ( $N, g, J, \Psi=\psi_{+}+i \psi_{-}$) is a symplectic half-flat $\mathrm{SU}(3)$ manifold, we know that the 3 -form $\varphi$ on $N \times \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi=\psi_{+}+F \wedge d t \tag{1.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form, where $F$ is the Kähler form (now, symplectic form) of $(g, J)$ and $t$ is the coordinate of $\mathbb{R}$. The form $\varphi$ determines the metric $h=g+(d t)^{2}$ on $N \times \mathbb{R}$. Moreover, $\varphi$ and $h$ are both $\Gamma_{\widetilde{\nu}}$-invariant. So, taking into account (1.15), they define a closed 3 -form $\widetilde{\varphi}$ and a Riemannian metric $\widetilde{h}$ on $N_{\nu}$, respectively, where $\widetilde{h}$ is the metric induced by $\widetilde{\varphi}$. The local expression of $\widetilde{\varphi}$ is as in 1.5) because locally $\varphi$ has such an expression with respect to some local orthonormal basis of 1-forms on $N \times \mathbb{R}$. Hence $\widetilde{\varphi}$ is a closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form.

We would like to note that the converse of the previous Theorem is not true even if we assume that $N_{\nu}$ is compact. For this, it is sufficient to show an example of a compact calibrated $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ manifold which does not admit cosymplectic structures.

Such an example is given as follows. Let us consider the 7-dimensional nilpotent Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ whose dual space is spanned by $\left\{e^{1}, \ldots, e^{7}\right\}$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& d e^{1}=0, \quad d e^{2}=0, \quad d e^{3}=e^{12}, \quad d e^{4}=e^{13}, \quad d e^{5}=e^{23}, \\
& d e^{6}=e^{15}+e^{24}, \quad d e^{7}=e^{16}+e^{34} . \tag{1.20}
\end{align*}
$$

Mal'cev Theorem [102] implies that the simply connected Lie group $G$ associated with $\mathfrak{g}$ has a uniform discrete subgroup $\Gamma$, so that

$$
M=\Gamma \backslash G
$$

is a compact nilmanifold.
Proposition 1.3.3. The compact manifold $M=\Gamma \backslash G$ given by (1.20) has a closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form but does not carry cosymplectic structures.

Proof. Using Theorem of Nomizu (Theorem 1.1.8) we have that the real cohomology groups of $M$ are

$$
\begin{aligned}
H^{0}(M) & =\langle 1\rangle, \\
H^{1}(M) & =\left\langle\left[e^{1}\right],\left[e^{2}\right]\right\rangle, \\
H^{2}(M) & =\left\langle\left[e^{14}\right],\left[e^{25}\right],\left[e^{26}-e^{35}\right]\right\rangle, \\
H^{3}(M) & =\left\langle\left[e^{456}-e^{357}+e^{267}\right],\left[-e^{345}+e^{246}-e^{237}\right],\left[e^{256}\right],\left[e^{147}\right],\left[e^{126}\right],\left[e^{135}\right],\left[e^{137}\right]\right\rangle, \\
H^{4}(M) & =\left\langle\left[e^{2356}\right],\left[e^{2357}-e^{2456}\right],\left[e^{1467}\right],\left[e^{1347}\right],\left[e^{1357}\right],\left[e^{1237}\right],\left[e^{1247}\right]\right\rangle, \\
H^{5}(M) & =\left\langle\left[e^{12467}\right],\left[e^{13467}\right],\left[e^{23567}\right]\right\rangle, \\
H^{6}(M) & =\left\langle\left[e^{134567}\right],\left[e^{234567}\right]\right\rangle, \\
H^{7}(M) & =\left\langle\left[e^{1234567}\right]\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

By [38] we know that a closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form $\varphi$ on $M=\Gamma \backslash G$ is given by

$$
\varphi=e^{127}+e^{147}+e^{125}+e^{136}+e^{234}-e^{256}-e^{456}-e^{267}+e^{357} .
$$

Now, let us suppose that $M$ has a cosymplectic structure $(\eta, F)$. Then, $\eta$ is a differential 1-form and $F$ a differential 2-form on $M$ such that $d \eta=d F=0$ and $\eta \wedge F^{3}$ is a volume form. Hence $\eta, F$ and $\eta \wedge F^{3}$ define non-zero cohomology classes on $M$. But

$$
[\eta]=\lambda\left[e^{1}\right]+\mu\left[e^{2}\right], \quad[F]=a\left[e^{14}\right]+b\left[e^{25}\right]+c\left[e^{26}-e^{35}\right],
$$

for some real numbers $\lambda, \mu, a, b$ and $c$. Therefore,

$$
\left[F^{3}\right]=-6 a c^{2} e^{123456}
$$

which implies that $\left[\eta \wedge F^{3}\right]=0$, for any $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$, since $e^{123456}=d e^{23457}$. This is not possible for a cosymplectic structure on a compact manifold.

For cocalibrated $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ manifolds we have:
Theorem 1.3.4. Let $\left(N, g, J, \Psi=\psi_{+}+i \psi_{-}\right)$be a half-flat $\mathrm{SU}(3)$ manifold, and let $\nu: N \longrightarrow N$ be an $\mathrm{SU}(3)$-diffeomorphism. Then, the mapping torus $N_{\nu}$ of $\nu$ has a coclosed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form.

Proof. Since ( $N, g, J, \Psi=\psi_{+}+i \psi_{-}$) is a half-flat $\mathrm{SU}(3)$ manifold, we know that the 3 -form $\varphi$ on $N \times \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$
\varphi=F \wedge d t-\psi_{-}
$$

is such that

$$
* \varphi=\frac{1}{2} F \wedge F+\psi_{+} \wedge d t
$$

and therefore is a coclosed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form, where $F$ is the Kähler form of $(g, J)$ and $t$ is the coordinate of $\mathbb{R}$. The form $\varphi$ determines the metric $h=g+(d t)^{2}$ on $N \times \mathbb{R}$. Moreover, $\varphi$ and $h$ are both $\Gamma_{\widetilde{\nu}}$-invariant. So, taking into account (1.15), they
 $\widetilde{h}$ is the metric induced by $\widetilde{\varphi}$. The local expression of $\widetilde{\varphi}$ is as in 1.5) because locally $\varphi$ has such an expression with respect to some local orthonormal basis of 1 -forms on $N \times \mathbb{R}$. Hence $\widetilde{\varphi}$ is a coclosed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form.

Next, we show that if a symplectic half-flat Lie algebra, of dimension 6, is endowed with a particular type of derivation, then one can construct a Lie algebra of dimension 7 with a closed $G_{2}$ form. For this, we use that if $\mathfrak{h}$ is a 6 -dimensional Lie algebra, and $D$ a derivation of $\mathfrak{h}$, the vector space

$$
\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{h} \oplus_{D} \mathbb{R} \xi
$$

is a Lie algebra with the Lie bracket given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
[U, V]=\left.[U, V]\right|_{\mathfrak{h}}, \quad[\xi, U]=D(U) \tag{1.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $U, V \in \mathfrak{h}$.
We recall that a closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form on a real Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of dimension 7 is a closed 3 -form $\varphi$ on $\mathfrak{g}$ such that $\varphi$ can be written as in (1.5) with respect to some basis $\left\{e^{1}, \ldots, e^{7}\right\}$ of the dual space $\mathfrak{g}^{*}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$.

On the one hand, we know that (see for example [14]) there exists a real representation of the complex matrices via

$$
\rho: \mathfrak{g l}(3, \mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g l}(6, \mathbb{R})
$$

In fact, if $A \in \mathfrak{g l}(3, \mathbb{C}), \rho(A)$ is the matrix $\left(B_{i j}\right)_{i, j=1}^{3}$ with

$$
B_{i j}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\operatorname{Re} A_{i j} & \operatorname{Im} A_{i j} \\
-\operatorname{Im} A_{i j} & \operatorname{Re} A_{i j}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $A_{i j}$ is the $(i, j)$ component of $A$.
Let $\left(g, J, \Psi=\psi_{+}+i \psi_{-}\right)$be an $\mathrm{SU}(3)$-structure on a 6 -dimensional Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}$. We know that the $\operatorname{SU}(3)$-structure on $\mathfrak{h}$ guarantees the existence of a basis $\left\{e^{1}, \ldots, e^{6}\right\}$ of the dual space $\mathfrak{h}^{*}$ of $\mathfrak{h}$, such that the Kähler form $\omega$ of $(g, J)$ and the 3 -forms $\psi_{+}$and $\psi_{-}$have the canonical expression (1.1), that is,

$$
\begin{align*}
\omega & =e^{12}+e^{34}+e^{56} \\
\psi_{+} & =e^{135}-e^{146}-e^{236}-e^{245}  \tag{1.22}\\
\psi_{-} & =e^{136}+e^{145}+e^{235}-e^{246}
\end{align*}
$$

Definition 1.3.5. Let $\left(g, J, \Psi=\psi_{+}+i \psi_{-}\right)$be an $\mathrm{SU}(3)$-structure on a 6 dimensional Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}$, and let $\omega$ be the Kähler form of $(g, J)$. We say that a basis $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{6}\right\}$ of $\mathfrak{h}$ is an $\mathrm{SU}(3)$-basis if $\omega, \psi_{+}$and $\psi_{-}$are expressed as in (1.22) with respect to the dual basis $\left\{e^{1}, \ldots, e^{6}\right\}$ of $\mathfrak{h}^{*}$.

Now, suppose that $\left(g, J, \Psi=\psi_{+}+i \psi_{-}\right)$is a symplectic half-flat structure on a 6 -dimensional Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}$, and let $D$ be a derivation of $\mathfrak{h}$ such that $D=\rho(A)$, where $A \in \mathfrak{s l}(3, \mathbb{C})$. Then, the matrix representation of $D$ with respect to an $\mathrm{SU}(3)$-basis (in the sense of Definition 1.3.5) $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{6}\right\}$ of $\mathfrak{h}$ is the following

$$
D=\left(\begin{array}{cc|cc|cc}
a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} & a_{14} & a_{15} & a_{16}  \tag{1.23}\\
-a_{12} & a_{11} & -a_{14} & a_{13} & -a_{16} & a_{15} \\
\hline a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} & a_{34} & a_{35} & a_{36} \\
-a_{32} & a_{31} & -a_{34} & a_{33} & -a_{36} & a_{35} \\
\hline a_{51} & a_{52} & a_{53} & a_{54} & -a_{11}-a_{33} & -a_{12}-a_{34} \\
-a_{52} & a_{51} & -a_{54} & a_{53} & a_{12}+a_{34} & -a_{11}-a_{33}
\end{array}\right),
$$

where $a_{i j} \in \mathbb{R}$.
Proposition 1.3.6. Let $\left(\mathfrak{h}, g, J, \Psi=\psi_{+}+i \psi_{-}\right)$be a symplectic half-flat Lie algebra of dimension 6, and let $D=\rho(A)(A \in \mathfrak{s l}(3, \mathbb{C}))$ be a derivation of $\mathfrak{h}$ whose matrix representation, with respect to an $\mathrm{SU}(3)$-basis (in the sense of Definition 1.3.5) $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{6}\right\}$ of $\mathfrak{h}$, is as in 1.23). Then, the Lie algebra

$$
\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{h} \oplus_{D} \mathbb{R} \xi
$$

with the Lie bracket given by (1.21), has a closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form.
Proof. We define the $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form $\varphi$ on $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{h} \oplus_{D} \mathbb{R} \xi$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi=\omega \wedge \eta+\psi_{+}, \tag{1.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\eta$ is the 1 -form on $\mathfrak{g}$ such that $\eta(X)=0$, for all $X \in \mathfrak{h}$, and $\eta(\xi)=1$.
Then, for $U, V, W, T \in \mathfrak{h}$ we have

$$
d \varphi(U, V, W, T)=d \psi_{+}(U, V, W, T)=0
$$

since $\psi_{+}$is closed.
Now,

$$
\begin{aligned}
d \varphi(U, V, W, \xi) & =-\varphi([U, V], W, \xi)+\varphi([U, W], V, \xi)-\varphi([U, \xi], V, W) \\
& -\varphi([V, W], U, \xi)+\varphi([V, \xi], U, W)-\varphi([W, \xi], U, V)
\end{aligned}
$$

which by definition of $\varphi$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\omega([U, V], W)+\omega([U, W], V)-\omega([V, W], U)-\psi_{+}([U, \xi], V, W) \\
& +\psi_{+}([V, \xi], U, W)-\psi_{+}([W, \xi], U, V)=d \omega(U, V, W)+\psi_{+}(D(U), V, W) \\
& +\psi_{+}(U, D(V), W)+\psi_{+}(U, V, D(W))
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, since $\omega$ is closed, using (1.21) we obtain

$$
d \varphi(U, V, W, \xi)=\psi_{+}(D(U), V, W)+\psi_{+}(U, D(V), W)+\psi_{+}(U, V, D(W))
$$

Taking into account the expressions of $D$ and $\psi_{+}$in terms of the $\mathrm{SU}(3)$-basis $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{6}\right\}$, we see that

$$
\psi_{+}\left(D\left(e_{i}\right), e_{j}, e_{k}\right)+\psi_{+}\left(e_{i}, D\left(e_{j}\right), e_{k}\right)+\psi_{+}\left(e_{i}, e_{j}, D\left(e_{k}\right)\right)=0
$$

for every triple $\left(e_{i}, e_{j}, e_{k}\right)$ of elements of the basis $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{6}\right\}$ of $\mathfrak{h}$. For example, let us see that $\psi_{+}\left(D\left(e_{1}\right), e_{2}, e_{3}\right)+\psi_{+}\left(e_{1}, D\left(e_{2}\right), e_{3}\right)+\psi_{+}\left(e_{1}, e_{2}, D\left(e_{3}\right)\right)=0$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi_{+}\left(D\left(e_{1}\right)\right. & \left., e_{2}, e_{3}\right)+\psi_{+}\left(e_{1}, D\left(e_{2}\right), e_{3}\right)+\psi_{+}\left(e_{1}, e_{2}, D\left(e_{3}\right)\right) \\
& =\psi_{+}\left(a_{11} e_{1}-a_{12} e_{2}+a_{31} e_{3}-a_{32} e_{4}+a_{51} e_{5}-a_{52} e_{6}, e_{2}, e_{3}\right) \\
& +\psi_{+}\left(e_{1}, a_{12} e_{1}+a_{11} e_{2}+a_{32} e_{3}+a_{31} e_{4}+a_{52} e_{5}+a_{51} e_{6}, e_{3}\right) \\
& +\psi_{+}\left(e_{1}, e_{2}, a_{13} e_{1}-a_{14} e_{2}+a_{33} e_{3}-a_{34} e_{4}+a_{53} e_{5}-a_{54} e_{6}\right) \\
& =a_{52}-a_{52}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, the 3-form $\varphi$ defined by $(1.24)$ is a closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form on $\mathfrak{g}$.
As an application of the previous Proposition, we show a new example of a compact solvmanifold with a closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form. Let $\mathfrak{h}$ be the 6 -dimensional nilpotent Lie algebra defined by the equations

$$
\mathfrak{h}=\left(0, e^{35}, 0,2 e^{15}, 0, e^{13}\right)
$$

The almost Hermitian structure $(g, J)$ on $\mathfrak{h}$ given by

$$
g=\sum_{i=1}^{6} e^{i} \otimes e^{i}, \quad J e_{1}=e_{2}, \quad J e_{3}=e_{4}, \quad J e_{5}=e_{6}
$$

is such that its Kähler form is

$$
\omega=e^{12}+e^{34}+e^{56}
$$

Thus, $(g, J)$ together with the complex volume form $\Psi=\psi_{+}+i \psi_{-}$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \psi_{+}=e^{135}-e^{146}-e^{236}-e^{245} \\
& \psi_{-}=e^{136}+e^{145}+e^{235}-e^{246}
\end{aligned}
$$

define a symplectic half-flat structure on $\mathfrak{h}$, since $\omega$ and $\psi_{+}$are closed.
Consider now the derivation $D$ of $\mathfrak{h}$ that with respect to the $\mathrm{SU}(3)$-basis $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{6}\right\}$ of $\mathfrak{h}$ has the following representation

that is,

$$
D\left(e_{1}\right)=2 e_{3}, \quad D\left(e_{2}\right)=2 e_{4}, \quad D\left(e_{3}\right)=e_{1}, \quad D\left(e_{4}\right)=e_{2}, \quad D\left(e_{5}\right)=D\left(e_{6}\right)=0
$$

Now, consider the Lie algebra

$$
\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{h} \oplus_{D} \mathbb{R} e_{7}
$$

which, according to (1.21), is defined by the equations

$$
\mathfrak{g}=\left(e^{37}, e^{35}+e^{47}, 2 e^{17}, 2 e^{27}+2 e^{15}, 0, e^{13}, 0\right) .
$$

Then, Proposition 1.3 .6 implies that the 3 -form $\varphi$ given by

$$
\varphi=e^{127}+e^{347}+e^{567}+e^{135}-e^{146}-e^{236}-e^{245}
$$

is a closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form on $\mathfrak{g}$. Indeed, using the equations defining $\mathfrak{g}$, one can check that $\varphi$ is closed because $0=d\left(e^{127}+e^{347}+e^{567}\right)=d\left(e^{135}\right)=d\left(e^{146}+e^{236}\right)=d\left(e^{245}\right)$.

Let $G$ be the simply connected solvable Lie group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, and let $H$ be the simply connected nilpotent Lie group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}$. Denote by $e \in H$ the identity element. Note that $G=\mathbb{R} \ltimes_{\phi} H$, where $\phi$ is the unique action $\phi: \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(H)$ such that, for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$, the morphism $\left.\left(\phi_{t}\right)_{*}\right|_{e}: \mathfrak{h} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{h}$ is given by

$$
\left.\left(\phi_{t}\right)_{*}\right|_{e}=\exp (t D),
$$

where $D$ is the derivation previously defined of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}$ of $H$, and $\exp$ denotes the map exp : $\operatorname{Der}(\mathfrak{h}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(\mathfrak{h})$.

In order to show that there exists a discrete subgroup $\Gamma$ of $G$ such that the quotient space $\Gamma / G$ is compact we proceed as follows. The $\operatorname{SU}(3)$-basis $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{6}\right\}$ of $\mathfrak{h}$ is a rational basis for $\mathfrak{h}$ and, with respect to this basis, we have
$\exp (t D)=\left(\begin{array}{cc|cc|c}\cosh (\sqrt{2} t) & & & \\ & \cosh (\sqrt{2} t) & & & \\ & & & \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \sinh (\sqrt{2} t) & \\ \hline \sqrt{2} \sinh (\sqrt{2} t) & \sqrt{2} \sinh (\sqrt{2} t) & \cosh (\sqrt{2} t) & & \\ & & & \cosh (\sqrt{2} t) & \\ \hline & & & 1 & \\ & & & & \end{array}\right)$.
In particular, if we consider $t_{0}=\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \operatorname{arc} \cosh (3)$, then $\cosh \left(\sqrt{2} t_{0}\right)=3$ and $\sinh \left(\sqrt{2} t_{0}\right)=2 \sqrt{2}$. Thus $\exp \left(t_{0} D\right)$ is a matrix whose entries are integer numbers. Therefore, $\exp ^{H}\left(\mathbb{Z}\left\langle e_{1}, \ldots, e_{6}\right\rangle\right)$ is a co-compact subgroup of $H$ preserved by $\phi_{t o}$. Consequently,

$$
\Gamma=\left(t_{0} \mathbb{Z}\right) \ltimes_{\phi} \exp ^{H}\left(\mathbb{Z}\left\langle e_{1}, \ldots, e_{6}\right\rangle\right)
$$

is a co-compact subgroup of $G$ (see [19, Proposition 7.2.5]). Hence, the compact quotient $\Gamma / G$ is a compact solvmanifold endowed with an invariant closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form.

Remark 1.3.7. Note that the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}$ of the previous example is the third nilpotent Lie algebra that appears in Theorem 1.1.9. In fact, consider the basis $\left\{f^{1}, f^{2}, f^{3}, f^{4}, f^{5}, f^{6}\right\}$ of $\mathfrak{h}$ given by

$$
f^{1}=e^{1}, \quad f^{2}=e^{3}, \quad f^{3}=e^{5}, \quad f^{4}=e^{6}, \quad f^{5}=\frac{1}{2} e^{6}, \quad f^{6}=e^{2}
$$

Then, the structure equations of $\mathfrak{h}$ with respect to the basis $\left\{f^{i}\right\}$ are $\left(0,0,0, f^{12}, f^{13}, f^{23}\right)$ which are the equations defining $\mathfrak{h}$ in Theorem 1.1.9.

For cocalibrated Lie algebras we have the following result.
Proposition 1.3.8. Let $\left(\mathfrak{h}, g, J, \Psi=\psi_{+}+i \psi_{-}\right)$be a half-flat Lie algebra, and let $D$ be a derivation of $\mathfrak{h}$ whose matrix representation, with respect to an $\mathrm{SU}(3)$-basis (in the sense of Definition 1.3.5) $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{6}\right\}$ of $\mathfrak{h}$, lies in $\mathfrak{s p}(6, \mathbb{R})$. Then, the Lie algebra

$$
\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{h} \oplus_{D} \mathbb{R} \xi
$$

with the Lie bracket given by (1.21), has a coclosed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form.

Proof. Since $D \in \mathfrak{s p}(6, \mathbb{R})$ with respect to the $\operatorname{SU}(3)$-basis $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{6}\right\}$, we can write

$$
D=\left(\begin{array}{cc|cc|cc}
a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} & a_{14} & a_{15} & a_{16} \\
a_{21} & -a_{11} & a_{23} & a_{24} & a_{25} & a_{26} \\
\hline-a_{24} & a_{14} & a_{33} & a_{34} & a_{35} & a_{36} \\
a_{23} & -a_{13} & a_{43} & -a_{33} & a_{45} & a_{46} \\
\hline-a_{26} & a_{16} & -a_{46} & a_{36} & a_{55} & a_{56} \\
a_{25} & -a_{15} & a_{45} & -a_{35} & a_{65} & -a_{55}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Consider the $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form on $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{h} \oplus_{D} \mathbb{R} \xi$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi=\omega \wedge \eta-\psi_{-} . \tag{1.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus

$$
* \varphi=\frac{1}{2} \omega \wedge \omega+\psi_{+} \wedge \eta,
$$

where $\eta$ is the 1 -form satisfying that $\eta(X)=0$, for all $X \in \mathfrak{h}$, and $\eta(\xi)=1$.
For $U, V, W, T, R \in \mathfrak{h}$, we have

$$
d * \varphi(U, V, W, T, R)=d \omega \wedge \omega(U, V, W, T, R)=0
$$

since $\omega \wedge \omega$ is closed.
Now, we determine $d * \varphi(U, V, W, T, \xi)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
d * \varphi(U, V, W, T, \xi) & =-* \varphi([U, V], W, T, \xi)+* \varphi([U, W], V, T, \xi)-* \varphi([U, T], V, W, \xi) \\
& +* \varphi([U, \xi], V, W, T)-* \varphi([V, W], U, T, \xi)+* \varphi([V, T], U, W, \xi) \\
& -* \varphi([V, \xi], U, W, T)-* \varphi([W, T], U, V, \xi)+* \varphi([W, \xi], U, V, T) \\
& -* \varphi([T, \xi], U, V, W),
\end{aligned}
$$

which by the definition of $* \varphi$ is exactly

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\psi_{+}([U, V], W, T)+\psi_{+}([U, W], V, T)-\psi_{+}([U, T], V, W)-\psi_{+}([V, W], U, T) \\
& +\psi_{+}([V, T], U, W)-\psi_{+}([W, T], U, V)+\frac{1}{2} \omega \wedge \omega([U, \xi], V, W, T) \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \omega \wedge \omega([V, \xi], U, W, T)+\frac{1}{2} \omega \wedge \omega([W, \xi], U, V, T)-\frac{1}{2} \omega \wedge \omega([T, \xi], U, V, W) \\
& =d \psi_{+}(U, V, W, T)+\frac{1}{2} \omega \wedge \omega(D(U), V, W, T)+\frac{1}{2} \omega \wedge \omega(U, D(V), W, T) \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \omega \wedge \omega(U, V, D(W), T)+\frac{1}{2} \omega \wedge \omega(U, V, W, D(T)) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, since $\psi_{+}$is closed, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
d * \varphi(U, V, W, T, \xi)= & +\frac{1}{2} \omega \wedge \omega(D(U), V, W, T)+\frac{1}{2} \omega \wedge \omega(U, D(V), W, T) \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \omega \wedge \omega(U, V, D(W), T)+\frac{1}{2} \omega \wedge \omega(U, V, W, D(T)) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By using the expressions of $D$ and $\omega$ with respect to the $\operatorname{SU}(3)$-basis $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{6}\right\}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \omega \wedge \omega\left(D\left(e_{i}\right), e_{j}, e_{k}, e_{l}\right)+\omega \wedge \omega\left(e_{i}, D\left(e_{j}\right), e_{k}, e_{l}\right) \\
& +\omega \wedge \omega\left(e_{i}, e_{j}, D\left(e_{k}\right), e_{l}\right)+\omega \wedge \omega\left(e_{i}, e_{j}, e_{k}, D\left(e_{l}\right)\right)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

for every quadruplet $\left(e_{i}, e_{j}, e_{k}, e_{l}\right)$ of elements of the $\mathrm{SU}(3)$-basis of $\mathfrak{h}$. Thus, the $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form $\varphi$ defined by (1.25) is coclosed on $\mathfrak{g}$.

Next, we show a new example of a compact solvmanifold with a coclosed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form. Let $\mathfrak{h}$ be the 6 -dimensional Abelian Lie algebra defined by the structure equations

$$
\mathfrak{h}=(0,0,0,0,0,0) .
$$

The almost Hermitian structure $(g, J)$ on $\mathfrak{h}$ given by

$$
g=\sum_{i=1}^{6} e^{i} \otimes e^{i}, \quad J e_{1}=e_{2}, \quad J e_{3}=e_{4}, \quad J e_{5}=e_{6}
$$

is such that its Kähler form is

$$
\omega=e^{12}+e^{34}+e^{56}
$$

Thus, $(g, J)$ together with the complex volume form $\Psi=\psi_{+}+i \psi_{-}$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \psi_{+}=e^{135}-e^{146}-e^{236}-e^{245} \\
& \psi_{-}=e^{136}+e^{145}+e^{235}-e^{246}
\end{aligned}
$$

define an $\operatorname{SU}(3)$-structure on $\mathfrak{h}$. Clearly, $d \omega^{2}=d \psi_{+}=0$. So $\left(g, J, \Psi=\psi_{+}+i \psi_{-}\right)$ is a half-flat $\operatorname{SU}(3)$-structure on $\mathfrak{h}$.
Consider now the derivation $D$ of $\mathfrak{h}$ given by

that is,

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
D\left(e_{1}\right)=e_{1}, & D\left(e_{2}\right)=-e_{2}, & D\left(e_{3}\right)=e_{3} \\
D\left(e_{4}\right)=-e_{4}, & D\left(e_{5}\right)=e_{5}, & D\left(e_{6}\right)=-e_{6}
\end{array}
$$

Take the Lie algebra

$$
\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{h} \oplus_{D} \mathbb{R} e_{7}
$$

Thus, according to (1.21), the structure equations of $\mathfrak{g}$ are

$$
\mathfrak{g}=\left(e^{17},-e^{27}, e^{37},-e^{47}, e^{57},-e^{67}, 0\right) .
$$

Then, Proposition 1.3 .8 implies that the 3 -form $\varphi$ given by

$$
\varphi=e^{127}+e^{347}+e^{567}+e^{136}+e^{145}+e^{235}-e^{246}
$$

is a coclosed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form on $\mathfrak{g}$. In this case, the 4 -form $* \varphi$ is given by

$$
* \varphi=e^{1234}+e^{1256}+e^{3456}+e^{1357}-e^{1467}-e^{2367}-e^{2457},
$$

which is closed because each term that appear in that expression is closed.
Let $G$ be the simply connected and completely solvable Lie group of dimension 7 consisting of matrices of the form.

$$
a=\left(\begin{array}{c|c|c|c}
e^{x_{7}} & & & \\
& e^{-x_{7}} & & \\
& & & \\
& e^{x_{7}} & & \\
x_{2} \\
\hline & & e^{-x_{7}} & \\
& x_{3} \\
& & e^{x_{7}} & \\
& & & e^{-x_{7}} \\
& & & \\
\hline & & & 1 \\
& & & x_{5} \\
\hline & & & \\
\hline
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $x_{i} \in \mathbb{R}$, for $1 \leq i \leq 7$. Then a global system of coordinates $\left\{x_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq 7\right\}$ for $G$ is defined by $x_{i}(a)=x_{i}$, and a standard calculation shows that a basis for the left invariant 1-forms on $G$ consists of

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
e^{1}=e^{-x_{7}} d x_{1}, & e^{2}=e^{x_{7}} d x_{2}, & e^{3}=e^{-x_{7}} d x_{3}, & e^{4}=e^{x_{7}} d x_{4}, \\
e^{5}=e^{-x_{7}} d x_{5}, & e^{6}=e^{-x_{7}} d x_{6}, & e^{7}=d x_{7}, &
\end{array}
$$

which means that $\mathfrak{g}$ is the Lie algebra of $G$. Now we notice that the Lie group $G$ may be described as a semi direct product $G=\mathbb{R} \ltimes_{\phi} \mathbb{R}^{6}$, where $\mathbb{R}$ acts on $\mathbb{R}^{6}$ via the linear transformation $\phi_{t}$ of $\mathbb{R}^{6}$ given by the matrix

$$
\phi_{t}=\left(\begin{array}{ll|ll|ll}
e^{t} & & & & & \\
& e^{-t} & & & & \\
\hline & & e^{t} & & & \\
& & & e^{-t} & & \\
\hline & & & & e^{t} & \\
& & & & & e^{-t}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Thus the operation on the group $G$ is given by
$a \cdot b=\left(b_{1} e^{a_{7}}+a_{1}, b_{2} e^{-a_{7}}+a_{2}, b_{3} e^{a_{7}}+a_{3}, b_{4} e^{-a_{7}}+a_{4}, b_{5} e^{a_{7}}+a_{5}, b_{6} e^{-a_{7}}+a_{6}, b_{7}+a_{7}\right)$,
where $a=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{7}\right)$ and $b=\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{7}\right)$. Hence $G=\mathbb{R} \ltimes_{\phi} \mathbb{R}^{6}$, where $\mathbb{R}$ is a connected Abelian sugbroup, and $\mathbb{R}^{6}$ is the nilpotent commutator subgroup.

Now we show that there exists a discrete subgroup $\Gamma$ of $G$ such that the quotient space $\Gamma \backslash G$ is compact. To construct $\Gamma$ it suffices to find some real number $t_{0}$ such that the matrix defining $\phi_{t_{0}}$ is conjugated to an element $A$ of the special linear group $S L(6, \mathbb{Z})$ with distinct real eigenvalues $\lambda$ and $\lambda^{-1}$. In these conditions we could find a lattice $\Gamma_{0}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{6}$ which is invariant under $\phi_{t_{0}}$, and take

$$
\Gamma=\left(t_{0} \mathbb{Z}\right) \ltimes_{\phi} \Gamma_{0}
$$

To this end, we consider the matrix $A \in S L(6, \mathbb{Z})$ given by

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{ll|l|ll}
2 & 1 & & & \\
1 & 1 & & & \\
\hline & & 2 & 1 & \\
\hline & & 1 & 1 & \\
\\
& & & 2 & 1 \\
& & & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

with triple eigenvalues $\frac{3+\sqrt{5}}{2}$ and $\frac{3-\sqrt{5}}{2}$. Taking $t_{0}=\log \left(\frac{3+\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)$, we have that the matrices $\phi_{t_{0}}$ and $A$ are conjugated. In fact, take

$$
P=\left(\begin{array}{cc|cc|c}
1 & \frac{-1+\sqrt{5}}{2} & & & \\
1 & \frac{-1-\sqrt{5}}{2} & & & \\
\hline & & 1 & \frac{-1+\sqrt{5}}{2} & \\
& & 1 & \frac{-1-\sqrt{5}}{2} & \\
\hline & & & 1 & \frac{-1+\sqrt{5}}{2} \\
& & & 1 & \frac{-1-\sqrt{5}}{2}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Then, a direct calculation shows that

$$
P A=\phi_{t_{0}} P=\left(\begin{array}{cc|cc|cc}
\frac{3+\sqrt{5}}{2} & \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} & & & & \\
\frac{3-\sqrt{5}}{2} & \frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2} & & & & \\
\hline & & \frac{3+\sqrt{5}}{2} & \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} & & \\
& & \frac{3-\sqrt{5}}{2} & \frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2} & & \\
\hline & & & \frac{3+\sqrt{5}}{2} & \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} \\
& & & & \frac{3-\sqrt{5}}{2} & \frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

So, the lattice $\Gamma_{0}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{6}$ defined by

$$
\Gamma_{0}=P\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, m_{3}, m_{4}, m_{5}, m_{6}\right)^{t}
$$

where $m_{1}, m_{2}, m_{3}, m_{4}, m_{5}, m_{6} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, m_{3}, m_{4}, m_{5}, m_{6}\right)^{t}$ is the transpose of the vector $\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, m_{3}, m_{4}, m_{5}, m_{6}\right)$, is invariant under the group $t_{0} \mathbb{Z}$. Thus

$$
\Gamma=\left(t_{0} \mathbb{Z}\right) \ltimes_{\phi} \Gamma_{0}
$$

is a co-compact subgroup of $G$. Hence, the compact solvmanifold $\Gamma \backslash G$ has an invariant coclosed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form.

### 1.4 Examples of compact calibrated $G_{2}$ manifolds

In this section, we apply the results of the previous sections to produce new examples of compact calibrated $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ manifolds. Moreover, we prove that 7-dimensional Lie algebras with $b_{1}=6$ cannot admit closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ forms.

Example 1. A compact calibrated $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ manifold with $b_{1}=1$
According with (1.10), we know that the product of a compact symplectic half-flat manifold $M$ with $S^{1}$ has a closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form. Moreover, this $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form is also coclosed if the symplectic half-flat structure on $M$ is integrable (in the sense mentioned in subsection 1.1.1).

Next, using the results of the previous section, we show a closed and coclosed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form on a non-trivial mapping torus of an $\mathrm{SU}(3)$-diffeomorphism of a 6-dimensional Calabi-Yau manifold.

We consider the so-called Fermat quintic $X$, that is, the Calabi-Yau manifold of (complex) dimension 3 defined as the zero-locus of

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{0}^{5}+z_{1}^{5}+z_{2}^{5}+z_{3}^{5}+z_{4}^{5}=0 \tag{1.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left[z_{0}: z_{1}: z_{2}: z_{3}: z_{4}\right]$ denotes the five homogeneous coordinates on the complex projective space $\mathbb{C P}^{4}$. Then, $X$ is a complex submanifold of $\mathbb{C P}^{4}$ of complex dimension 3. In fact, as we explained in subsection 1.1.1, the standard holomorphic atlas of $\mathbb{C P}^{4}$ contains 5 charts. If

$$
U=\left\{\left[z_{0}: z_{1}: z_{2}: z_{3}: z_{4}\right] \mid z_{0} \neq 0\right\} \subset \mathbb{C P}^{4},
$$

then $U \cong \mathbb{C}^{4}$, and the point $\left[1: z_{1}: z_{2}: z_{3}: z_{4}\right] \in U \subset \mathbb{C P}^{4}$ has coordinates $z=\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}, z_{4}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{4}$. Now, if $\left[1: z_{1}: z_{2}: z_{3}: z_{4}\right] \in X$, there is a constraint on $z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}$ and $z_{4}$, namely,

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+z_{1}^{5}+z_{2}^{5}+z_{3}^{5}+z_{4}^{5}=0 \tag{1.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the point $[1: 0: 0: 0: 0] \in \mathbb{C P}^{4}$ does not live in $X$. Suppose that $z_{4} \neq 0$ or, equivalently,

$$
1+z_{1}^{5}+z_{2}^{5}+z_{3}^{5} \neq 0
$$

Then, equation (1.27) means that we can express $z_{4}$ in terms of the coordinates $z_{1}, z_{2}$ and $z_{3}$ as

$$
z_{4}=z_{4}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}\right)=-\lambda^{k} \sqrt[5]{1+z_{1}^{5}+z_{2}^{5}+z_{3}^{5}}
$$

where $\lambda=e^{2 \pi i / 5}$ and $k \in\{0,1,2,3,4\}$. Therefore, this generates 5 charts for $X$. Also note that these charts could be also described as subsets of the collection of points of the form $\left[z_{4}^{\prime}: z_{1}^{\prime}: z_{2}^{\prime}: z_{3}^{\prime}: 1\right]$, with $z_{4}^{\prime} \neq 0$. In a similar way, we can also express, for example, $z_{1}$ in terms of $z_{2}, z_{3}$ and $z_{4}$. So, we have $50=5 \cdot 5 \cdot(4 / 2)$ charts which describe completely the Fermat quintic $X$.

Consider the inclusion $j: X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C P}^{4}$. Then, the pullback of the Kähler form $\omega_{0}$ (given in subsection 1.1.1) on $\mathbb{C P}{ }^{4}$ defines on the Fermat quintic $X$ the Kähler form

$$
\omega=j^{*}\left(\omega_{0}\right),
$$

which is compatible with the metric $g$ induced on $X$ by the Fubini-Study metric on $\mathbb{C P}^{4}$. Moreover, the Kähler manifold $(X, g, \omega)$ has a non-vanishing holomorphic 3 -form $\Psi$, which is given as follows [13, 112]. Let $\left[1: z_{1}: z_{2}: z_{3}: z_{4}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}\right)\right]$ be a chart of $X$. Then,

$$
\Psi=\frac{d z_{1} \wedge d z_{2} \wedge d z_{3}}{z_{4}^{4}}
$$

This form was defined in [13], and one can see in [112] that $\Psi$ is preserved by changes of coordinates. Thus, $\Psi$ is a (globally) defined holomorphic 3 -form on $X$. Clearly, if we examine the behavior of $\Psi$ on any chart of $X$, we see that it is nowhere vanishing. But $(g, \omega, \Psi)$ does not define a Calabi-Yau structure on $X$ since the norm of $\Psi$ is non-constant.

Therefore, by Yau's Theorem [131], we know that there exists a Ricci-flat Kähler metric $\widetilde{g}$ on the complex manifold $(X, J)$. (But, as we mentioned in Remark 1.1.4, the explicit expression of the Ricci-flat Kähler metric $\widetilde{g}$ on $X$ is not known.) Then, Lichnerowicz's Theorem (see Theorem 1.1.3) implies that there exists a closed complex volume ( $n, 0$ )-form $\Phi$ which is parallel with respect to the Levi-Civita connection of the metric $\widetilde{g}$. From now on, we denote by $\widetilde{\omega}$ the Kähler
form of the Ricci-flat Kähler structure $(\widetilde{g}, J)$. Thus, $(\widetilde{g}, J, \Phi)$ is an integrable $\mathrm{SU}(3)$-structure on $X$, that is, $\left(X, \widetilde{g}, J, \Phi=\phi_{+}+i \phi_{-}\right)$is a Calabi-Yau manifold.

To determine the cohomology of the quintic $X$ we use that $X$ satisfies Lefschetz theorem on hyperplane sections [75, p.156]. This means that $j: X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C P}^{4}$ is 3connected, that is, up to homotopy $\mathbb{C P}^{4}$ is constructed out of $X$ by attaching cells of dimension 4 and higher. Thus [21, p.217], for $r<3$, there is an isomorphism $j^{*}: H^{r}\left(\mathbb{C P}^{4}\right) \rightarrow H^{r}(Z)$ induced by $j$ on cohomology and, for $r=3$, there is a monomorphism $j^{*}: H^{3}\left(\mathbb{C P}^{4}\right) \hookrightarrow H^{3}(X)$. Hence, the Betti numbers $b_{i}(X)$ of $X$ are such that

$$
b_{0}(X)=1, \quad b_{1}(X)=b_{5}(X)=0, \quad b_{2}(X)=b_{4}(X)=1, \quad b_{3}(X)=204
$$

because the Euler characteristic $\chi(X)$ of $X$ is $\chi(X)=-200$ (see for example [112]). Therefore, if we denote by $h^{i, j}$ the dimension of the Dolbeault cohomology group $H^{i, j}(X)$, we conclude that $h^{1,0}=h^{0,1}=0, h^{2,0}=h^{0,2}=0$ and $h^{1,1}=1$. Since $h^{3,0}=h^{0,3}=1$, we obtain $h^{2,1}=h^{1,2}=101$. Hence, if $\widetilde{\omega}$ and $\Phi=\phi_{+}+i \phi_{-}$are the Kähler form and the complex volume form on the Ricci-flat Kähler manifold $(X, \widetilde{g}, J)$, respectively, considered before, then $H^{1,1}(X)=\langle[\widetilde{\omega}]\rangle, H^{3,0}(X)=\langle[\Phi]\rangle$ and $H^{0,3}(X)=\langle[\bar{\Phi}]\rangle$.

In order to define an $\mathrm{SU}(3)$-diffeomorphism of $\left(X, \widetilde{g}, J, \Phi=\phi_{+}+i \phi_{-}\right)$we proceed as follows. Let $G$ be the group of the Kähler isometries of $(X, \widetilde{g}, J)$. We know that $G$ is a finite group because $(X, \widetilde{g})$ is a simply connected compact Ricciflat manifold (Corollary 6.2 of [7]). Consider $\mu \in G$. Let us see when $\mu$ is an $\mathrm{SU}(3)$-diffeomorphism of $\left(X, \tilde{g}, J, \Phi=\phi_{+}+i \phi_{-}\right)$. Since $\mu$ defines an action of $G$ on $H^{3,0}(X)$, we have a morphism of groups

$$
\mu: G \rightarrow \operatorname{GL}\left(H^{3,0}(X)\right)
$$

Because the space $H^{3,0}(X)$ has (complex) dimension 1, GL $\left(H^{3,0}(X)\right)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^{*}$ and so Abelian. Now, the first group isomorphism theorem (or fundamental homomorphism theorem) implies that $G / \operatorname{ker} \mu$ is Abelian since it is isomorphic to a subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}\left(H^{3,0}(X)\right)$. Thus, the commutator subgroup $[G, G]$ of $G$ is such that

$$
[G, G] \subset \operatorname{ker} \mu
$$

This means that any element of $[G, G]$ preserves the complex volume form $\Phi$, and so it defines an $\mathrm{SU}(3)$-diffeomorphism of the Fermat quintic ( $X, \widetilde{g}, J, \Phi=\phi_{+}+i \phi_{-}$) as a Calabi-Yau manifold.

In order to construct a compact $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ calibrated manifold, we need also the following result on the Kähler isometries of a simply connected compact CalabiYau manifold.

Proposition 1.4.1 [101]. Let $M$ be a simply connected compact Calabi-Yau manifold of complex dimension $n$, and let $f$ be an isometry of $M$. Then, $f$ fixes the Kähler cohomology class $[\omega]$ on $M$ if and only if the order of $f$ is finite.

Now, we have the following
Theorem 1.4.2. Let $\left(\widetilde{g}, J, \Phi=\phi_{+}+i \phi_{-}\right)$be the Calabi-Yau structure on the Fermat quintic $X$ defined by (1.26), and let $G$ be the group of the Kähler isometries of $(X, \widetilde{g}, J)$. Take $\nu \in[G, G]$. Then, the mapping torus $X_{\nu}$ of $\nu$ is a compact formal calibrated and cocalibrated $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ manifold with first Betti number $b_{1}\left(X_{\nu}\right)=1$.

Proof. By Theorem 1.3 .2 and Theorem 1.3 .4 , we know that the $\mathrm{SU}(3)$-structure $\left(\widetilde{g}, J, \Phi=\phi_{+}+i \phi_{-}\right)$on $X$ induces the closed and coclosed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form $\varphi$ on $X_{\nu}$ given by

$$
\varphi=\psi_{+}+\widetilde{\omega} \wedge \eta .
$$

In fact, $\varphi$ is also coclosed because $* \varphi=\psi_{-} \wedge \eta+\frac{1}{2} \widetilde{\omega}^{2}$ is closed.
Since $X$ is simply connected, (1.17) implies that $b_{1}\left(X_{\nu}\right)=1$. Moreover, using again 1.17), and taking into account Lemma 1.2.13 and Proposition 1.4.1, we have that the de Rham cohomology groups of $X_{\nu}$ are

$$
\begin{aligned}
H^{0}\left(X_{\nu}\right) & =\langle 1\rangle, \\
H^{1}\left(X_{\nu}\right) & =\langle[\eta]\rangle, \\
H^{2}\left(X_{\nu}\right) & =\langle[\widetilde{\omega}]\rangle, \\
H^{3}\left(X_{\nu}\right) & =\langle[\eta \wedge \widetilde{\omega}]\rangle \oplus \operatorname{ker}\left(\nu^{*}-I d: H^{3}(X) \rightarrow H^{3}(X)\right), \\
H^{4}\left(X_{\nu}\right) & =\left\langle\left[\widetilde{\omega}^{2}\right]\right\rangle \oplus[\eta] \wedge H^{3}(X), \\
H^{5}\left(X_{\nu}\right) & =\left\langle\left[\eta \wedge \widetilde{\omega}^{2}\right]\right\rangle \\
H^{6}\left(X_{\nu}\right) & =\left\langle\left[\widetilde{\omega}^{3}\right]\right\rangle, \\
H^{7}\left(X_{\nu}\right) & =\left\langle\left[\eta \wedge \widetilde{\omega}^{3}\right]\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $\phi_{+}$and $\phi_{-}$define cohomology classes in $H^{3}\left(X_{\nu}\right)$ since $\phi_{+}$and $\phi_{-}$are closed $\nu^{*}$-invariant forms on $X$, that is, the cohomology classes $\left[\phi_{+}\right]$and $\left[\phi_{-}\right]$ belong both to the space $\operatorname{ker}\left(\nu^{*}-I d: H^{3}(X) \rightarrow H^{3}(X)\right)$. More yet, if

$$
x \in \operatorname{ker}\left(\nu^{*}-I d: H^{3}(X) \rightarrow H^{3}(X)\right),
$$

then $[\eta] \wedge x$ is a non-zero cohomology class in $H^{4}\left(X_{\nu}\right)$. Indeed,

$$
x \notin \operatorname{Im}\left(\nu^{*}-I d: H^{3}(X) \rightarrow H^{3}(X)\right),
$$

because, by Lemma 1.2.13, the eigenvalue $\lambda=1$ of the map

$$
\nu^{*}-I d: H^{3}(X) \rightarrow H^{3}(X)
$$

has multiplicity $r=1$.
By Theorem 1.2.10, we know that $X_{\nu}$ is 2 -formal (in the sense of Definition 1.2.6). To prove that it is 3 -formal, we see that the minimal model of $X_{\nu}$ is the differential graded algebra $(\Lambda W, d)$, where $W^{i}=C^{i}+N^{i}$ is such that, for $i \leq 3$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
C^{1}=\langle a\rangle, \quad N^{1}=0 \\
C^{2}=\langle b\rangle, \quad N^{2}=0 \\
C^{3} \cong \operatorname{ker}\left(\nu^{*}-I d: H^{3}(X) \longrightarrow H^{3}(X)\right), \quad N^{3}=0
\end{gathered}
$$

Since $N^{i}=0(i=1,2,3)$, we conclude that $X_{\nu}$ is 3 -formal and, by Theorem 1.2.7, $X_{\nu}$ is formal.
Example 2. Compact calibrated non-flat $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ manifolds with $b_{1}=7$.
Tomassini and Vezzoni in [122] construct a family of symplectic half-flat structures $\left(J_{t}, \omega, \Psi_{t}\right)$ on the 6 -dimensional torus $\mathbb{T}^{6}$, coinciding with the standard Calabi-Yau structure for $t=0$, but which is not Calabi-Yau for $t \neq 0$.

Theorem 1.4.3 [122]. There exists a family $\left(J_{t}, \omega, \Psi_{t}\right)$ of symplectic half-flat structures on the 6-dimensional torus $\mathbb{T}^{6}$, such that $\left(J_{0}, \omega, \Psi_{0}\right)$ is the standard Calabi-Yau structure on $\mathbb{T}^{6}$, but $\left(J_{t}, \omega, \Psi_{t}\right)$ is not integrable for $t \neq 0$. Such a structure $\left(J_{t}, \omega, \Psi_{t}\right)$ is defined as follows:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
J_{t}\left(\partial_{r}\right)=e^{-t \lambda_{r}} \partial_{3+r}, \\
J_{t}\left(\partial_{3+r}\right)=-e^{t \lambda_{r}} \partial_{r},
\end{array}\right.
$$

for $r=1,2,3$, where $\partial_{r}=\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{r}}$, and $a=a\left(x_{1}\right), b=b\left(x_{2}\right), c=c\left(x_{3}\right)$ are three smooth functions on $\mathbb{R}^{6}$ such that

$$
\lambda_{1}=b\left(x_{2}\right)-c\left(x_{3}\right), \quad \lambda_{2}=-a\left(x_{1}\right)+c\left(x_{3}\right), \quad \lambda_{3}=a\left(x_{1}\right)-b\left(x_{2}\right)
$$

are $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$-periodic;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega=d x_{1} \wedge d x_{4}+d x_{2} \wedge d x_{5}+d x_{3} \wedge d x_{6} \tag{1.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{t}=i\left(d x_{1}+i e^{t \lambda_{1}} d x_{4}\right) \wedge\left(d x_{2}+i e^{t \lambda_{2}} d x_{5}\right) \wedge\left(d x_{3}+i e^{t \lambda_{3}} d x_{6}\right) . \tag{1.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

In [122] it is proved that $\left(\mathbb{T}^{6}, J_{t}, \omega, \Psi_{t}\right)$ is a symplectic half-flat manifold, for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$, and $J_{t}$ is non-integrable for $t \neq 0$; moreover, in this case $(t \neq 0)$, $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}$ are non-constant. Note that the corresponding metric $g_{t}$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{t} & =e^{\left(\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}\right) t} d x_{1} \otimes d x_{1}+e^{\left(\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{3}\right) t} d x_{2} \otimes d x_{2}+e^{\left(\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}\right) t} d x_{3} \otimes d x_{3} \\
& +e^{\left(2 \lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}\right) t} d x_{4} \otimes d x_{4}+e^{\left(\lambda_{1}+2 \lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}\right) t} d x_{5} \otimes d x_{5}+e^{\left(\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}+2 \lambda_{3}\right) t} d x_{6} \otimes d x_{6} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, taking into account subsection 1.1 .2 and, in particular, the expression of the $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form given by (1.10), we have

Proposition 1.4.4. The 7-torus $\mathbb{T}^{7}$ has a family of closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ forms $\varphi_{t}$, inducing a non-flat metric for $t \neq 0$,

$$
\varphi_{t}=\psi_{t}^{+}+\omega_{t} \wedge \eta
$$

where $\omega_{t}, \Psi_{t}=\psi_{t}^{+}+i \psi_{t}^{-}$are given by (1.28) and (1.29), respectively, and $\eta$ is the volume form on $S^{1}$.

In order to prove that 7-dimensional Lie algebras with $b_{1}=6$ do not carry closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ forms, we need the following restriction to the existence of closed $\mathrm{G}_{2^{-}}$ structures proved in [38].

Proposition 1.4.5 [38]. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a 7-dimensional Lie algebra. If there is a nonzero $X$ in $\mathfrak{g}$ such that $\left(\iota_{X} \varphi\right)^{3}=0$ for every closed 3-form on $\mathfrak{g}$, then $\mathfrak{g}$ has no closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structures.

Proposition 1.4.6. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a 7-dimensional Lie algebra with $b_{1}=6$. Then, $\mathfrak{g}$ cannot admit closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ forms.

Proof. Since $b_{1}=6$, there exists a basis $\left\{e^{1}, \ldots, e^{7}\right\}$ of $\mathfrak{g}^{*}$ such that $\mathfrak{g}$ is defined by the equations

$$
\begin{align*}
d e^{j} & =0, \quad j=1, \ldots, 6, \\
d e^{7} & =\beta+e^{7} \wedge \alpha, \tag{1.30}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\beta \in \Lambda^{2}\left(e^{1}, \ldots, e^{6}\right)$ and $\alpha \in \Lambda^{1}\left(e^{1}, \ldots, e^{6}\right)$. (Note that $\mathfrak{g}$ has to be solvable because $\operatorname{dim}[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]=1$.) Suppose that $\mathfrak{g}$ has a closed $G_{2}$ form $\varphi$. Then we can write

$$
\varphi=\gamma+e^{7} \wedge \delta
$$

where $\gamma \in \Lambda^{3}\left(e^{1}, \ldots, e^{6}\right)$ and $\delta \in \Lambda^{2}\left(e^{1}, \ldots, e^{6}\right)$. Using (1.30), we see that $d \gamma=0$, since $\gamma \in \Lambda^{3}\left(e^{1}, \ldots, e^{6}\right)$. Also, $d \delta=0$ because $\delta \in \Lambda^{2}\left(e^{1}, \ldots, e^{6}\right)$. Then, the condition $d \varphi=0$ is equivalent to $d e^{7} \wedge \delta=0$, that is, to

$$
\left(\beta+e^{7} \wedge \alpha\right) \wedge \delta=0
$$

This gives

$$
\beta \wedge \delta=0, \quad \alpha \wedge \delta=0
$$

Since $\delta \in \Lambda^{2}\left(e^{1}, \ldots, e^{6}\right), \gamma \in \Lambda^{3}\left(e^{1}, \ldots, e^{6}\right)$ and $\varphi=\gamma+e^{7} \wedge \delta$, we have that $\delta=\iota_{e_{7}} \varphi$. Now, by Proposition 1.4.5, $\delta$ is non-degenerate, that is, $\delta^{3} \neq 0$. Moreover, $\alpha \in \Lambda^{1}\left(e^{1}, \ldots, e^{6}\right)$ is a 1 -form, so the condition $\alpha \wedge \delta=0$ implies that $\alpha=0$ and hence $\mathfrak{g}$ has to be nilpotent. But, by the Conti-Fernández classification [38], there are no nilpotent Lie algebras with $b_{1}=6$ and admitting closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structure.

## Chapter 2

## Six dimensional symplectic half-flat solvable Lie algebras

"La géométrie est l'art de raisonner juste sur des figures fausses." René Descartes

In order to construct examples of 7-dimensional manifolds with a closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form, a natural place to look is left invariant symplectic half-flat structures on 6 -dimensional Lie groups. According to Magnin's classification [100], there are 34 isomorphism classes of nilpotent Lie algebras, of which exactly 3 (including the Abelian Lie algebra) admit symplectic half-flat structures [40].

In this Chapter, we give a complete classification of 6-dimensional solvable Lie algebras for which the corresponding simply connected solvable Lie group has left invariant symplectic half-flat structure.

Taking into account the classification of 6-dimensional decomposable Lie algebras with half-flat structures given in [115] and [65], we show that there are 21 (non-nilpotent) solvable Lie algebras as well as 4 one-parameter families of solvable Lie algebras having both half-flat structures and symplectic forms. Then, we prove that only 2 of those 21 Lie algebras and only 1 of the 4 one-parameter families carry symplectic half-flat structures (Propositions 2.2.1, 2.2.2 and 2.2.3).

For indecomposable Lie algebras we study separately unimodular and nonunimodular ones. For unimodular Lie algebras we consider the classification given in 99] of 6-dimensional unimodular Lie algebras with symplectic forms. We show that only 3 of those Lie algebras have symplectic half-flat structures (Proposition 2.3.1). Non-unimodular indecomposable Lie algebras are studied taking into account the dimension of their nilradical. For those with 5 -dimensional nilradical we consider the classification given in [66] of 6-dimensional Lie algebras (with 5 -dimensional nilradical) endowed with half-flat structures. On the other hand,
we use the list of Turkowski [124] of the 6-dimensional solvable Lie algebras with 4-dimensional nilradical. For these latter Lie algebras, there is no classification of those with a half-flat structure. In Propositions 2.4.1 and 2.4.2, we determine a symplectic half-flat structure on 4 non-unimodular indecomposable (non-nilpotent) solvable Lie algebras and on 1 one-parameter family. To prove that the remaining Lie algebras do not admit such a structure we consider, in section 2.1, two obstructions to the existence of symplectic half-flat structures on a Lie algebra. All these results appear in 62].

### 2.1 Obstructions

In this section we give some obstructions to the existence of symplectic half-flat structures on a 6 -dimensional Lie algebra, and use them in the following sections to classify the solvable Lie algebras with such a structure. To this end, we need the characterization of $\mathrm{SU}(3)$-structures given in [82, 83] in terms of certain stable forms which satisfy some additional compatibility conditions as we explain in the following:

Definition 2.1.1 [82, 83]. A 3-form $\rho$ on a 6-dimensional oriented vector space $(V, \nu)$ is stable if its orbit under the action of the group $G L(V)$ of the automorphisms of $V$ is open.

If $(V, \nu)$ is a 6 -dimensional oriented vector space, we have the isomorphism

$$
\begin{aligned}
\kappa: \Lambda^{5} V^{*} & \longrightarrow V \otimes \Lambda^{6} V^{*} \\
\eta & \longmapsto X \otimes \nu,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $X \in V$ is such that $\eta=\iota_{X} \nu$. Then, for any 3 -form $\rho$ on $(V, \nu)$, we have the linear transformation $K_{\rho}: V \longrightarrow V \otimes \Lambda^{6} V^{*}$ given by

$$
K_{\rho}(X)=\kappa\left(\iota_{X} \rho \wedge \rho\right) .
$$

Thus, we also have $\lambda(\rho) \in\left(\Lambda^{6} V^{*}\right)^{2}$ defined by

$$
\lambda(\rho)=\frac{1}{6} \operatorname{tr} K_{\rho}^{2} .
$$

Moreover, $\lambda(\rho)$ enables us to construct a volume form $\phi(\rho)$ on $V$ as

$$
\phi(\rho)=\sqrt{|\lambda(\rho)|} \in \Lambda^{6} V^{*} .
$$

Here, we would like to note that for any one-dimensional vector space $L$, an element $u \in L \otimes L$ is defined to be positive $(u>0)$ if $u=s \otimes s$ for some $s \in L$; and $u$
is negative if $-u>0$. Therefore, we have well-defined square roots of positive elements in $L \otimes L$.

In [83] it is shown that the stability of $\rho$ is characterized by the open condition $\lambda(\rho) \neq 0$ or, equivalently, by $\phi(\rho) \neq 0$.

It is well known that a 2 -form $F \in \Lambda^{2} V^{*}$ is stable if and only if it is nondegenerate, that is, if

$$
\phi(F)=\frac{1}{6} F^{3} \neq 0 .
$$

Definition 2.1.2 [82, 83]. A pair of stable forms $(F, \rho) \in \Lambda^{2} V^{*} \times \Lambda^{3} V^{*}$ is called compatible if

$$
F \wedge \rho=0
$$

and normalized if

$$
\phi(\rho)=2 \phi(F)
$$

Note that if $\lambda(\rho)<0$, the endomorphism

$$
J_{\rho}=\frac{1}{\phi(\rho)} K_{\rho}
$$

defined by a stable 3 -form $\rho$, gives rise to an almost complex structure. The action of $J_{\rho}^{*}$ on 1-forms is given by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{\rho}^{*} \alpha(X) \phi(\rho)=\alpha \wedge \iota_{X} \rho \wedge \rho . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, such a pair $(F, \rho)$ induces a pseudo Euclidean metric, $g(\cdot, \cdot)=F\left(J_{\rho} \cdot, \cdot\right)$ which satisfies on 1-forms the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha \wedge J_{\rho}^{*} \beta \wedge F^{2}=\frac{1}{2} g(\alpha, \beta) F^{3} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\alpha, \beta \in V^{*}$.
For us the relevant result is the following characterization of $\mathrm{SU}(3)$-structures.
Proposition 2.1.3 [82, 83]. An $\mathrm{SU}(3)$-structure on a 6 -dimensional oriented vector space $(V, \nu)$ is determined by a pair of compatible and normalized stable forms $\left(\omega, \psi_{+}\right) \in \Lambda^{2} V^{*} \times \Lambda^{3} V^{*}$ inducing a positive-definite metric and with $\lambda\left(\psi_{+}\right)<0$.

A symplectic half-flat structure on an oriented Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is an $\mathrm{SU}(3)$ structure $\left(\omega, \psi_{+}\right)$such that $d \omega=0$ and $d \psi_{+}=0$, where $d$ denotes the ChevalleyEilenberg differential on the dual $\mathfrak{g}^{*}$.

Notice that if $\left(\omega, \psi_{+}\right)$defines an $\mathrm{SU}(3)$-structure, $\omega$ is non-degenerate and $\Psi=\psi_{+}+i \psi_{-}$is a complex volume form.

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a 6 -dimensional Lie algebra with an $\operatorname{SU}(3)$-structure $\left(\omega, \psi_{+}\right)$. We denote by $Z^{k}(\mathfrak{g})$ the space of closed $k$-forms on $\mathfrak{g}$, by $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g})$ the space of symplectic
forms on $\mathfrak{g}$, and by $\operatorname{Ann}\left(\psi_{+}\right)$the annihilator of $\psi_{+}$in the exterior algebra $\Lambda^{*} \mathfrak{g}^{*}$, that is

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z^{k}(\mathfrak{g}) & =\left\{\theta \in \Lambda^{k} \mathfrak{g}^{*} \mid d \theta=0\right\}, \\
\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}) & =\left\{\omega \in Z^{2}(\mathfrak{g}) \mid \omega \wedge \omega \wedge \omega \neq 0\right\}, \\
\operatorname{Ann}\left(\psi_{+}\right) & =\left\{\theta \in \Lambda^{*} \mathfrak{g}^{*} \mid \theta \wedge \psi_{+}=0\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In [65] it is proved the following obstruction to the existence of half-flat structures on Lie algebras.

Proposition 2.1.4 65]. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a 6-dimensional Lie algebra with a volume form $\nu \in \Lambda^{6} \mathfrak{g}^{*}$. Then, $\mathfrak{g}$ does not admit any half-flat $\mathrm{SU}(3)$-structures if there is a non-zero 1 -form $\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}^{*}$ satisfying

$$
\alpha \wedge \tilde{J}_{\rho}^{*} \alpha \wedge \sigma=0
$$

for all closed 3-forms $\rho \in \Lambda^{3} \mathfrak{g}^{*}$ and all closed 4 -forms $\sigma \in \Lambda^{4} \mathfrak{g}^{*}$, where $\tilde{J}_{\rho}^{*} \alpha$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{J}_{\rho}^{*} \alpha(X) \nu=\alpha \wedge\left(\iota_{X} \rho\right) \wedge \rho, \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $X \in \mathfrak{g}$.
Proposition 2.1.5. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a 6 -dimensional Lie algebra. Then $\mathfrak{g}$ has no symplectic half-flat structures if one of the following conditions is satisfied:

1. There is a non-zero 1 -form $\alpha$ on $\mathfrak{g}$ such that

$$
\alpha \wedge \tilde{J}_{\rho}^{*} \alpha \wedge F^{2}=0
$$

for each $F \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}) \cap \operatorname{Ann}(\rho)$, and for each $0 \neq \rho \in Z^{3}(\mathfrak{g})$.
2. There are some $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$ such that

$$
F\left(\tilde{J}_{\rho}(X), X\right) \cdot F\left(\tilde{J}_{\rho}(Y), Y\right) \leq 0
$$

for any $F \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}) \cap \operatorname{Ann}(\rho)$, and for any $0 \neq \rho \in Z^{3}(\mathfrak{g})$.
Proof. Suppose that $\mathfrak{g}$ has a symplectic half-flat structure $\left(\omega, \psi_{+}\right)$. From (2.3) we have that for any 1-form $\alpha$ on $\mathfrak{g}$ and any $X$ in $\mathfrak{g}$,

$$
J_{\psi_{+}}^{*} \alpha(X) \omega^{3}=3 \alpha \wedge\left(\iota_{X} \psi_{+}\right) \wedge \psi_{+} .
$$

On the other hand, if $\nu$ denotes a volume form on $\mathfrak{g}^{*}$

$$
\tilde{J}_{\psi_{+}}^{*} \alpha(X) \nu=\alpha \wedge\left(\iota_{X} \psi_{+}\right) \wedge \psi_{+} .
$$

Therefore, $J_{\psi_{+}}^{*}$ and $\tilde{J}_{\psi_{+}}^{*}$ are proportional since

$$
\tilde{J}_{\psi_{+}}^{*} \alpha(X) \nu=\frac{1}{3} J_{\psi_{+}}^{*} \alpha(X) \omega^{3} .
$$

Now, from (2.2) it follows that if the condition of the part (1.) is satisfied, then the induced metric by $\left(\omega, \psi_{+}\right)$is degenerate since there exists $\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}^{*}$ such that

$$
g(\alpha, \alpha)=0 .
$$

So there is no symplectic half-flat structure on $\mathfrak{g}$.
To prove part (2.) we use that the metric induced by an $\mathrm{SU}(3)$-structure $\left(\omega, \psi_{+}\right)$is determined by $g(\cdot, \cdot)=\omega\left(\cdot, J_{\psi_{+}} \cdot\right)$. Hence, condition (2.) implies that there exist $X, Y$ on $\mathfrak{g}$ such that

$$
g(X, X) \cdot g(Y, Y) \leq 0,
$$

and thus $g$ is not positive-definite.
Moreover, from Proposition 1.4.5, we obtain the following obstruction to the existence of symplectic half-flat structures on Lie algebras.

Lemma 2.1.6. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a 6 -dimensional Lie algebra. If there exists a non-zero vector $X$ of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}=\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathbb{R}$ such that for all closed 3-forms $\phi$ on $\mathfrak{h}$ the 2 -form $\iota_{X} \phi$ is degenerate, that is

$$
\left(\iota_{X} \phi\right)^{3}=0,
$$

then $\mathfrak{g}$ has no symplectic half-flat structures.
Proof. We know that a symplectic half-flat structure $\left(\omega, \psi_{+}\right)$on a 6 -dimensional Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ induces the closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form $\varphi=\omega \wedge d t+\psi_{+}$on the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}=\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathbb{R}$, where $t$ is the coordinate of $\mathbb{R}$. Suppose that for any closed 3 -form $\phi$ on $\mathfrak{h}$, there exists $X \in \mathfrak{h}$ such that $\left(\iota_{X} \phi\right)^{3}=0$. Then, by Proposition 1.4.5, $\mathfrak{h}$ does not admit closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ forms, and so $\mathfrak{g}$ has no symplectic half-flat structures.

### 2.2 Decomposable symplectic half-flat Lie algebras

We determine the 6-dimensional decomposable and (non-nilpotent) solvable Lie algebras admitting symplectic half-flat structure. A Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is said to be decomposable if $\mathfrak{g}$ is the direct sum $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{g}_{1} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{2}$ of two ideals $\mathfrak{g}_{1}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{2}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$.

From now on, we use

$$
p \oplus q,
$$

to denote a decomposable Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{g}_{1} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{2}$ such that $\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{1}\right)=p$ and $\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{2}\right)=q$.

### 2.2.1 $3 \oplus 3$ Lie algebras

We use the classification of the 6 -dimensional solvable Lie algebras with half-flat structures given in [115. Then we see that the $3 \oplus 3$ decomposable (non-nilpotent) solvable Lie algebras which have both symplectic and half-flat structures are those appearing in the following Table 2.1, where $F$ denotes a symplectic form and $\left(\omega, \psi_{+}\right)$a half-flat structure.

Table 2.1: $\quad 3 \oplus 3$ decomposable (non-nilpotent) solvable Lie algebras admitting both symplectic and half-flat structures.

| $\mathfrak{g}$ | str. equations | half-flat str. | simplectic str. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathfrak{e}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{e}(2)$ | $\left(0,-e^{13}, e^{12}, 0,-e^{46}, e^{45}\right)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \omega=2 e^{14}+e^{25}+e^{36} \\ & \psi_{+}=e^{123}-e^{156}+e^{246}-e^{345} \\ & +e^{126}-e^{135}+e^{234}-e^{456} \end{aligned}$ | $F=e^{14}+e^{23}+e^{56}$ |
| $\mathfrak{e}(1,1) \oplus \mathfrak{e}(1,1)$ | $\left(0,-e^{13},-e^{12}, 0,-e^{46},-e^{45}\right)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \omega=e^{14}+e^{23}+2 e^{56} \\ & \psi_{+}=e^{125}-e^{126}-e^{135}-e^{136} \\ & +e^{245}+e^{246}+e^{345}-e^{346} \end{aligned}$ | $F=e^{14}+e^{23}+2 e^{56}$ |
| $\mathfrak{e}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}^{3}$ | $\left(0,-e^{13}, e^{12}, 0,0,0\right)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \omega=e^{14}+e^{25}+e^{36} \\ & \psi_{+}=e^{126}-e^{135}+e^{234}-e^{456} \end{aligned}$ | $F=e^{14}+e^{23}+e^{56}$ |
| $\mathfrak{e}(1,1) \oplus \mathbb{R}^{3}$ | $\left(0,-e^{13},-e^{12}, 0,0,0\right)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \omega=e^{14}+e^{25}+e^{36} \\ & \psi_{+}=e^{126}-e^{135}+e^{234}-e^{456} \end{aligned}$ | $F=e^{14}+e^{23}+e^{56}$ |
| $\mathfrak{e}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{e}(1,1)$ | $\left(0,-e^{13}, e^{12}, 0,-e^{46},-e^{45}\right)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \omega=e^{14}+e^{25}+e^{36} \\ & \psi_{+}=-2 e^{234}+e^{135}-e^{126} \\ & -e^{246}-e^{345}+e^{456} \end{aligned}$ | $F=e^{14}+e^{23}+e^{56}$ |
| $\mathfrak{e}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{h}$ | $\left(0,-e^{13}, e^{12}, 0,0, e^{45}\right)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \omega=e^{14}+e^{25}+e^{36} \\ & \psi_{+}=-e^{234}+\frac{5}{4} e^{135}-e^{126} \\ & +e^{345}+e^{456} \end{aligned}$ | $F=e^{14}+e^{23}+e^{56}$ |
| $\mathfrak{e}(1,1) \oplus \mathfrak{h}$ | $\left(0,-e^{13},-e^{12}, 0,0, e^{45}\right)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \omega=e^{14}+e^{25}+e^{36} \\ & \psi_{+}=-e^{234}+\frac{5}{4} e^{135}-e^{126} \\ & -e^{345}+e^{456} \end{aligned}$ | $F=e^{14}+e^{23}+e^{56}$ |
| $\mathfrak{e}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{r}_{2} \oplus \mathbb{R}$ | $\left(0,-e^{13}, e^{12}, 0,-e^{45}, 0\right)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \omega=e^{12}+e^{34}-e^{56} \\ & \psi_{+}=e^{236}-e^{245}+e^{135}+e^{146} \end{aligned}$ | $F=e^{16}+e^{23}+e^{45}$ |
| $\mathfrak{e}(1,1) \oplus \mathfrak{r}_{2} \oplus \mathbb{R}$ | $\left(0,-e^{13},-e^{12}, 0,-e^{45}, 0\right)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \omega=e^{12}+e^{34}-e^{56} \\ & \psi_{+}=e^{236}-e^{245}+e^{135}+e^{146} \end{aligned}$ | $F=e^{16}+e^{23}+e^{45}$ |

Note that, in Table 2.1, the only $3 \oplus 3$ non-unimodular solvable Lie algebras are $\mathfrak{e}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{r}_{2} \oplus \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathfrak{e}(1,1) \oplus \mathfrak{r}_{2} \oplus \mathbb{R}$.

Proposition 2.2.1. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a 6 -dimensional decomposable and (non-nilpotent) solvable Lie algebra such that $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{g}_{1} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{2}$, where $\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{1}\right)=\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{2}\right)=3$. Then, $\mathfrak{g}$ has symplectic half-flat structure if and only if $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{e}(1,1) \oplus \mathfrak{e}(1,1)$.

Proof. First we observe that in [122] it is proved that the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{e}(1,1) \oplus \mathfrak{e}(1,1)$ defined by the structure equations

$$
\mathfrak{e}(1,1) \oplus \mathfrak{e}(1,1)=\left(0,-\mathrm{e}^{13},-\mathrm{e}^{12}, 0,-\mathrm{e}^{46},-\mathrm{e}^{45}\right),
$$

has a symplectic half-flat structure. The differential forms $\omega$ and $\psi_{+}$appearing in Table 2.1 are closed and determine an $\mathrm{SU}(3)$-structure since with the change of
basis given by

$$
f^{1}=e^{1}, \quad f^{2}=e^{4}, \quad f^{3}=e^{2}, \quad f^{4}=e^{3}, \quad f^{5}=e^{5}-e^{6}, \quad f^{6}=e^{5}+e^{6},
$$

the forms $\omega$ and $\psi_{+}$have the following expression

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega & =f^{12}+f^{34}+f^{56} \\
\psi_{+} & =f^{135}-f^{146}-f^{236}-f^{245}
\end{aligned}
$$

Next, we show that no other Lie algebras included in Table 2.1 have a symplectic half-flat structure. For the following Lie algebras we use Proposition 2.1.5 (2.) for suitable vectors $X$ and $Y$ of the Lie algebra.

- For the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{e}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{e}(2)$ defined by the structure equations

$$
\mathfrak{e}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{e}(2)=\left(0,-\mathrm{e}^{13}, \mathrm{e}^{12}, 0,-\mathrm{e}^{46}, \mathrm{e}^{45}\right)
$$

the spaces $Z^{k}(\mathfrak{e}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{e}(2))(k=2,3)$ are:

$$
Z^{2}(\mathfrak{e}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{e}(2))=\left\langle e^{12}, e^{13}, e^{14}, e^{23}, e^{45}, e^{46}, e^{56}\right\rangle
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z^{3}(\mathfrak{e}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{e}(2))= & \left\langle e^{123}, e^{124}, e^{134}, e^{145}, e^{146}, e^{156}, e^{234}, e^{136}+e^{245},\right. \\
& \left.-e^{135}+e^{246},-e^{126}+e^{345}, e^{125}+e^{346}, e^{456}\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, the expression of any pair $(F, \rho) \in Z^{2}(\mathfrak{e}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{e}(2)) \times Z^{3}(\mathfrak{e}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{e}(2))$ is

$$
F=b_{1} e^{12}+b_{2} e^{13}+b_{3} e^{14}+b_{4} e^{23}+b_{5} e^{45}+b_{6} e^{46}+b_{7} e^{56}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho= & a_{1} e^{123}+a_{2} e^{124}+a_{11} e^{125}-a_{10} e^{126}+a_{3} e^{134}-a_{9} e^{135}+a_{8} e^{136}+a_{4} e^{145} \\
& +a_{5} e^{146}+a_{6} e^{156}+a_{7} e^{234}+a_{8} e^{245}+a_{9} e^{246}+a_{10} e^{345}+a_{11} e^{346}+a_{12} e^{456},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $a_{i}$ and $b_{j}$ are real numbers for $1 \leq i \leq 12$ and $1 \leq j \leq 7$. From these expressions of $F$ and $\rho$, we have

$$
g\left(e_{5}, e_{5}\right) \cdot g\left(e_{6}, e_{6}\right)=F\left(\tilde{J}_{\rho} e_{5}, e_{5}\right) \cdot F\left(\tilde{J}_{\rho} e_{6}, e_{6}\right)=-\left(a_{3} a_{4}+a_{6} a_{7}\right)^{2} b_{7}^{2} \leq 0
$$

Then, Proposition 2.1.5 (2.) applies for $X=e_{5}$ and $Y=e_{6}$. Thus, $\mathfrak{e}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{e}(2)$ has no symplectic half-flat structure.

- For

$$
\mathfrak{e}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}^{3}=\left(\mathbf{0},-\mathrm{e}^{13}, \mathrm{e}^{12}, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0}\right),
$$

the spaces of closed 2 -forms and 3 -forms are

$$
Z^{2}\left(\mathfrak{e}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)=\left\langle e^{12}, e^{13}, e^{14}, e^{15}, e^{16}, e^{23}, e^{45}, e^{46}, e^{56}\right\rangle
$$

and

$$
\begin{array}{r}
Z^{3}\left(\mathfrak{e}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)=\left\langle e^{123}, e^{124}, e^{125}, e^{126}, e^{134}, e^{135}, e^{136},\right. \\
\left.e^{145}, e^{146}, e^{156}, e^{234}, e^{235}, e^{236}, e^{456}\right\rangle .
\end{array}
$$

Then, if $(F, \rho) \in Z^{2}\left(\mathfrak{e}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}^{3}\right) \times Z^{3}\left(\mathfrak{e}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
F= & b_{1} e^{12}+b_{2} e^{13}+b_{3} e^{14}+b_{4} e^{15}+b_{5} e^{16}+b_{6} e^{23}+b_{7} e^{45}+b_{8} e^{46}+b_{9} e^{56} \\
\rho= & a_{1} e^{123}+a_{2} e^{124}+a_{3} e^{125}+a_{4} e^{126}+a_{5} e^{134}+a_{6} e^{135}+a_{7} e^{136}+a_{8} e^{145} \\
& +a_{9} e^{146}+a_{10} e^{156}+a_{11} e^{234}+a_{12} e^{235}+a_{13} e^{236}+a_{14} e^{456},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $a_{i}$ and $b_{j}$ are real numbers. These expressions of $F$ and $\rho$ imply that

$$
F\left(\tilde{J}_{\rho} e_{2}, e_{2}\right)=0 .
$$

Again, Proposition 2.1.5 (part (2.)) applies for $X=Y=e_{2}$.

- For $\mathfrak{e}(1,1) \oplus \mathbb{R}^{3}$ defined by

$$
\mathfrak{e}(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}) \oplus \mathbb{R}^{3}=\left(\mathbf{0},-\mathbf{e}^{13},-\mathrm{e}^{12}, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0}\right),
$$

we have

$$
Z^{2}\left(\mathfrak{e}(1,1) \oplus \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)=\left\langle e^{12}, e^{13}, e^{14}, e^{15}, e^{16}, e^{23}, e^{45}, e^{46}, e^{56}\right\rangle
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z^{3}\left(\mathfrak{e}(1,1) \oplus \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)= & \left\langle e^{123}, e^{124}, e^{125}, e^{126}, e^{134}, e^{135}, e^{136}, e^{145}, e^{146},\right. \\
& \left.e^{156}, e^{234}, e^{235}, e^{236}, e^{456}\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, any pair $(F, \rho) \in Z^{2}\left(\mathfrak{e}(1,1) \oplus \mathbb{R}^{3}\right) \times Z^{3}\left(\mathfrak{e}(1,1) \oplus \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ is of the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
F= & b_{1} e^{12}+b_{2} e^{13}+b_{3} e^{14}+b_{4} e^{15}+b_{5} e^{16}+b_{6} e^{23}+b_{7} e^{45}+b_{8} e^{46}+b_{9} e^{56}, \\
\rho= & a_{1} e^{123}+a_{2} e^{124}+a_{3} e^{125}+a_{4} e^{126}+a_{5} e^{134}+a_{6} e^{135}+a_{7} e^{136}+a_{8} e^{145} \\
& +a_{9} e^{146}+a_{10} e^{156}+a_{11} e^{234}+a_{12} e^{235}+a_{13} e^{236}+a_{14} e^{456},
\end{aligned}
$$

for any real numbers $a_{i}$ and $b_{j}$. Now we obtain that

$$
F\left(\tilde{J}_{\rho} e_{2}, e_{2}\right)=0 .
$$

Hence, it is sufficient to consider $X=Y=e_{2}$.

- Consider

$$
\mathfrak{e}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{e}(1,1)=\left(0,-e^{13}, e^{12}, 0,-e^{46},-e^{45}\right) .
$$

The spaces $Z^{k}(\mathfrak{e}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{e}(1,1))$, for $k=2,3$, are

$$
Z^{2}(\mathfrak{e}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{e}(1,1))=\left\langle e^{12}, e^{13}, e^{14}, e^{23}, e^{45}, e^{46}, e^{56}\right\rangle
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z^{3}(\mathfrak{e}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{e}(1,1))= & \left\langle e^{123}, e^{124}, e^{134}, e^{145}, e^{146}, e^{156}, e^{234},\right. \\
& \left.-e^{136}+e^{245},-e^{135}+e^{246}, e^{126}+e^{345}, e^{125}+e^{346}, e^{456}\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, any pair $(F, \rho) \in Z^{2}(\mathfrak{e}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{e}(1,1)) \times Z^{3}(\mathfrak{e}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{e}(1,1))$ has the following expression

$$
\begin{array}{rl}
F & F b_{1} e^{12}+b_{2} e^{13}+b_{3} e^{14}+b_{4} e^{23}+b_{5} e^{45}+b_{6} e^{46}+b_{7} e^{56}, \\
\rho= & a_{1} e^{123}+a_{2} e^{124}+a_{3} e^{134}+a_{4} e^{145}+a_{5} e^{146}+a_{6} e^{156}+a_{7} e^{234} \\
& +a_{8}\left(-e^{136}+e^{245}\right)+a_{9}\left(-e^{135}+e^{246}\right)+a_{10}\left(e^{126}+e^{345}\right) \\
& +a_{11}\left(e^{125}+e^{346}\right)+a_{12} e^{456},
\end{array}
$$

and we obtain that

$$
F\left(\tilde{J}_{\rho} e_{2}, e_{2}\right) \cdot F\left(\tilde{J}_{\rho} e_{3}, e_{3}\right)=-4\left(a_{8} a_{10}-a_{9} a_{11}\right)^{2} b_{4}^{2} \leq 0
$$

Thus, we take $X=e_{2}$ and $Y=e_{3}$ in Proposition 2.1.5 (2.).

- The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{e}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{h}$ defined by the structure equations

$$
\mathfrak{e}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{h}=\left(0,-\mathrm{e}^{13}, \mathrm{e}^{12}, 0,0, \mathrm{e}^{45}\right)
$$

is such that

$$
Z^{2}(\mathfrak{e}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{h})=\left\langle e^{12}, e^{13}, e^{14}, e^{15}, e^{23}, e^{45}, e^{46}, e^{56}\right\rangle
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z^{3}(\mathfrak{e}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{h})= & \left\langle e^{123}, e^{124}, e^{125}, e^{134}, e^{135}, e^{145}, e^{146}, e^{156}\right. \\
& \left.e^{234}, e^{235}, e^{136}+e^{245},-e^{126}+e^{345}, e^{456}\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, any pair $(F, \rho) \in Z^{2}(\mathfrak{e}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{h}) \times Z^{3}(\mathfrak{e}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{h})$ is given by

$$
F=b_{1} e^{12}+b_{2} e^{13}+b_{3} e^{14}+b_{4} e^{15}+b_{5} e^{23}+b_{6} e^{45}+b_{7} e^{46}+b_{8} e^{56}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho= & a_{1} e^{123}+a_{2} e^{124}+a_{3} e^{125}+a_{4} e^{134}+a_{5} e^{135}+a_{6} e^{145}+a_{7} e^{146}+a_{8} e^{156} \\
& +a_{9} e^{234}+a_{10} e^{235}+a_{11}\left(e^{136}+e^{245}\right)+a_{12}\left(-e^{126}+e^{345}\right)+a_{13} e^{456}
\end{aligned}
$$

It is not necessary to impose the condition $F \wedge \rho=0$ since

$$
F\left(\tilde{J}_{\rho} e_{6}, e_{6}\right)=0
$$

Hence, we take $X=Y=e_{6}$.

- Take the Lie algebra

$$
\mathfrak{e}(1,1) \oplus \mathfrak{h}=\left(0,-\mathrm{e}^{13},-\mathrm{e}^{12}, 0,0, \mathrm{e}^{45}\right)
$$

We have

$$
Z^{2}(\mathfrak{e}(1,1) \oplus \mathfrak{h})=\left\langle e^{12}, e^{13}, e^{14}, e^{15}, e^{23}, e^{45}, e^{46}, e^{56}\right\rangle
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z^{3}(\mathfrak{e}(1,1) \oplus \mathfrak{h})= & \left\langle e^{123}, e^{124}, e^{125}, e^{134}, e^{135}, e^{145}, e^{146}, e^{156}\right. \\
& \left.e^{234}, e^{235}, e^{136}+e^{245}, e^{126}+e^{345}, e^{456}\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently, any pair $(F, \rho) \in Z^{2}(\mathfrak{e}(1,1) \oplus \mathfrak{h}) \times Z^{3}(\mathfrak{e}(1,1) \oplus \mathfrak{h})$ can be expressed as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F=b_{1} e^{12}+b_{2} e^{13}+b_{3} e^{14}+b_{4} e^{15}+b_{5} e^{23}+b_{6} e^{45}+b_{7} e^{46}+b_{8} e^{56}, \\
& \rho= a_{1} e^{123}+a_{2} e^{124}+a_{3} e^{125}+a_{4} e^{134}+a_{5} e^{135}+a_{6} e^{145}+a_{7} e^{146}+a_{8} e^{156} \\
&+a_{9} e^{234}+a_{10} e^{235}+a_{11}\left(e^{136}+e^{245}\right)+a_{12}\left(e^{126}+e^{345}\right)+a_{13} e^{456} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Exactly as for $\mathfrak{e}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{h}$ we also obtain that

$$
F\left(\tilde{J}_{\rho} e_{6}, e_{6}\right)=0,
$$

Therefore, we can consider $X=Y=e_{6}$.

For the two Lie algebras $\mathfrak{e}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{r}_{2} \oplus \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathfrak{e}(1,1) \oplus \mathfrak{r}_{2} \oplus \mathbb{R}$ we use Proposition 2.1.5 (1.) for an appropriate 1 -form $\alpha$ on the Lie algebra.

- For $\mathfrak{e}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{r}_{2} \oplus \mathbb{R}$,

$$
\mathfrak{e}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{r}_{2} \oplus \mathbb{R}=\left(0,-\mathbf{e}^{13}, \mathrm{e}^{12}, 0,-\mathrm{e}^{45}, 0\right)
$$

the spaces $Z^{k}(k=2,3)$ are

$$
Z^{2}\left(\mathfrak{e}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{r}_{2} \oplus \mathbb{R}\right)=\left\langle e^{12}, e^{13}, e^{14}, e^{16}, e^{23}, e^{45}, e^{46}\right\rangle
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z^{3}\left(\mathfrak{e}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{r}_{2} \oplus \mathbb{R}\right)= & \left\langle e^{123}, e^{124}, e^{126}, e^{134}, e^{136}, e^{145}, e^{146}, e^{234},\right. \\
& \left.e^{236},-e^{135}+e^{245}, e^{125}+e^{345}, e^{456}\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, any pair $(F, \rho) \in Z^{2}\left(\mathfrak{e}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{r}_{2} \oplus \mathbb{R}\right) \times Z^{3}\left(\mathfrak{e}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{r}_{2} \oplus \mathbb{R}\right)$ is given by

$$
F=b_{1} e^{12}+b_{2} e^{13}+b_{3} e^{14}+b_{4} e^{16}+b_{5} e^{23}+b_{6} e^{45}+b_{7} e^{46}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho= & a_{1} e^{123}+a_{2} e^{124}+a_{3} e^{126}+a_{4} e^{134}+a_{5} e^{136}+a_{6} e^{145}+a_{7} e^{146}+a_{8} e^{234} \\
& +a_{9} e^{236}+a_{10}\left(-e^{135}+e^{245}\right)+a_{11}\left(e^{125}+e^{345}\right)+a_{12} e^{456} .
\end{aligned}
$$

An easy computation shows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{J}_{\rho}^{*} e^{4}= & \left(2 a_{3} a_{10}+2 a_{5} a_{11}\right) e^{1}+2 a_{9} a_{11} e^{2}-2 a_{9} a_{10} e^{3} \\
& +\left(a_{6} a_{9}-a_{5} a_{10}+a_{3} a_{11}-a_{1} a_{12}\right) e^{4},
\end{aligned}
$$

for arbitrary real numbers $a_{i}$ and $b_{j}$. So,

$$
e^{4} \wedge \tilde{J}_{\rho}^{*} e^{4} \wedge F^{2}=0
$$

Hence, we can apply Proposition 2.1.5 (1.) with $\alpha=e^{4}$.

- For $\mathfrak{e}(1,1) \oplus \mathfrak{r}_{2} \oplus \mathbb{R}$,

$$
\mathfrak{e}(1,1) \oplus \mathfrak{r}_{2} \oplus \mathbb{R}=\left(0,-\mathbf{e}^{13},-\mathbf{e}^{12}, \mathbf{0},-\mathbf{e}^{45}, 0\right)
$$

the spaces $Z^{k}(k=2,3)$ are

$$
Z^{2}\left(\mathfrak{e}(1,1) \oplus \mathfrak{r}_{2} \oplus \mathbb{R}\right)=\left\langle e^{12}, e^{13}, e^{14}, e^{16}, e^{23}, e^{45}, e^{46}\right\rangle
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z^{3}\left(\mathfrak{e}(1,1) \oplus \mathfrak{r}_{2} \oplus \mathbb{R}\right)= & \left\langle e^{123}, e^{124}, e^{126}, e^{134}, e^{136}, e^{145}, e^{146}, e^{234},\right. \\
& \left.e^{236},-e^{135}+e^{245},-e^{125}+e^{345}, e^{456}\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, any pair $(F, \rho) \in Z^{2}\left(\mathfrak{e}(1,1) \oplus \mathfrak{r}_{2} \oplus \mathbb{R}\right) \times Z^{3}\left(\mathfrak{e}(1,1) \oplus \mathfrak{r}_{2} \oplus \mathbb{R}\right)$ can be expressed as follows

$$
F=b_{1} e^{12}+b_{2} e^{13}+b_{3} e^{14}+b_{4} e^{16}+b_{5} e^{23}+b_{6} e^{45}+b_{7} e^{46}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho= & a_{1} e^{123}+a_{2} e^{124}+a_{3} e^{126}+a_{4} e^{134}+a_{5} e^{136}+a_{6} e^{145}+a_{7} e^{146}+a_{8} e^{234} \\
& +a_{9} e^{236}+a_{10}\left(-e^{135}+e^{245}\right)+a_{11}\left(-e^{125}+e^{345}\right)+a_{12} e^{456} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{J}_{\rho}^{*} e^{4}= & \left(2 a_{3} a_{10}+2 a_{5} a_{11}\right) e^{1}-2 a_{9} a_{11} e^{2}-2 a_{9} a_{10} e^{3} \\
& +\left(a_{6} a_{9}-a_{5} a_{10}+a_{3} a_{11}-a_{1} a_{12}\right) e^{4},
\end{aligned}
$$

for arbitrary real numbers $a_{i}$ and $b_{j}$. So,

$$
e^{4} \wedge \tilde{J}_{\rho}^{*} e^{4} \wedge F^{2}=0
$$

Hence, we can apply Proposition 2.1.5 (1.) with $\alpha=e^{4}$.

### 2.2.2 $4 \oplus 2$ Lie algebras

According to [65], there are 6 (non-nilpotent) solvable Lie algebras as well as a one-parameter family of type $4 \oplus 2$ with half-flat structures. Below, in Table 2.2, we consider only those also admitting symplectic forms and such that cannot be decomposed as $3 \oplus 3$. As usual, we denote by $F$ a symplectic form and by ( $\omega, \psi_{+}$) a half-flat structure.

Table 2.2: $4 \oplus 2$ decomposable (non-nilpotent) solvable Lie algebras admitting both symplectic and half-flat structures.

| $\mathfrak{g}$ | str. equations | half-flat str. | symplectic str. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| $A_{4,1} \oplus \mathfrak{r}_{2}$ | $\left(e^{24}, e^{34}, 0,0,0, e^{56}\right)$ | $\omega=-e^{16}+e^{25}-e^{34}$ | $F=e^{14}+e^{23}+e^{56}$ |
|  |  | $\psi_{+}=e^{123}-e^{145}+e^{156}$ |  |
| $A_{4,9}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \oplus \mathfrak{r}_{2}$ | $\left(\frac{1}{2} e^{14}+e^{23}, e^{24},-\frac{1}{2} e^{34}, 0,0, e^{56}\right)$ | $\omega=e^{16}-3 e^{24}+2 e^{25}+e^{35}$ | $F=e^{13}+e^{24}+e^{56}$ |
|  |  | $\psi_{+}=\sqrt{3}\left(e^{124}+2 e^{134}-e^{135}\right.$ |  |
|  | $+e^{146}-2 e^{156}+2 e^{236}$ |  |  |
|  | $\left.+4 e^{245}-e^{345}+\frac{29}{2} e^{456}\right)$ |  |  |
| $A_{4,12} \oplus \mathfrak{r}_{2}$ | $\left(e^{13}+e^{24},-e^{14}+e^{23}, 0,0,0, e^{56}\right)$ | $\omega=e^{16}-2 e^{23}+e^{25}+e^{34}-e^{36}$ |  |
|  |  | $\psi+=e^{123}+2 e^{134}-e^{136}+e^{145}$ | $F=e^{13}+e^{24}+e^{56}$ |
|  |  | $+e^{156}-e^{235}-e^{246}+2 e^{356}$ |  |
| $\mathfrak{r}_{2} \oplus \mathfrak{r}_{2} \oplus \mathfrak{r}_{2}$ | $\left(0,-e^{12}, 0,-e^{34}, 0,-e^{56}\right)$ | $\omega=e^{12}-e^{23}-e^{25}-e^{35}+e^{46}$ | $F=e^{12}+e^{34}+e^{56}$ |
|  |  | $\psi+e^{124}-e^{126}+2 e^{134}+3 e^{156}$ |  |
|  |  | $-e^{234}+e^{256}+e^{345}+2 e^{356}$ |  |

We should remark that all the Lie algebras appearing in Table 2.2 are nonunimodular.

Proposition 2.2.2. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a 6 -dimensional decomposable and (non-nilpotent) solvable Lie algebra such that $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{g}_{1} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{2}$, where $\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{1}\right)=4$, $\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{2}\right)=2$. Then, $\mathfrak{g}$ has no symplectic half-flat structure.

Proof. We use Proposition 2.1.5 (1.) for an appropriate 1-form $\alpha$ on the Lie algebra.

- For the Lie algebra

$$
\mathbf{A}_{4,1} \oplus \mathfrak{r}_{2}=\left(\mathrm{e}^{24}, \mathrm{e}^{34}, 0,0,0, \mathrm{e}^{56}\right)
$$

we have

$$
Z^{2}\left(A_{4,1} \oplus \mathfrak{r}_{2}\right)=\left\langle e^{14}, e^{15}, e^{24}, e^{34}, e^{45}, e^{56}\right\rangle
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z^{3}\left(A_{4,1} \oplus \mathfrak{r}_{2}\right)= & \left\langle e^{124}, e^{134}, e^{145}, e^{156}, e^{234}, e^{245},-e^{246}+e^{256}\right. \\
& \left.e^{345},-e^{346}+e^{356}, e^{456}\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, any pair $(F, \rho) \in Z^{2}\left(A_{4,1} \oplus \mathfrak{r}_{2}\right) \times Z^{3}\left(A_{4,1} \oplus \mathfrak{r}_{2}\right)$ is of the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
F= & b_{1} e^{14}+b_{2} e^{15}+b_{3} e^{24}+b_{4} e^{34}+b_{5} e^{45}+b_{6} e^{56}, \\
\rho= & a_{1} e^{124}+a_{2} e^{134}+a_{3} e^{145}+a_{4} e^{156}+a_{5} e^{234}+a_{6} e^{245} \\
& -a_{7} e^{246}+a_{7} e^{256}+a_{8} e^{345}-a_{9} e^{346}+a_{9} e^{356}+a_{10} e^{456},
\end{aligned}
$$

for any real numbers $a_{i}$ and $b_{j}$. So,

$$
e^{4} \wedge \tilde{J}_{\rho}^{*} e^{4} \wedge F^{2}=0
$$

Hence, we can apply Proposition 2.1.5 (1.) with $\alpha=e^{4}$.

- The Lie algebra $A_{4,9}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \oplus \mathfrak{r}_{2}$ with structure equations

$$
\mathrm{A}_{4,9}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \oplus \mathfrak{r}_{2}=\left(\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{e}^{14}+\mathrm{e}^{23}, \mathrm{e}^{24},-\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{e}^{34}, 0,0, \mathrm{e}^{56}\right)
$$

is such that

$$
Z^{2}\left(A_{4,9}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \oplus \mathfrak{r}_{2}\right)=\left\langle e^{13}, \frac{1}{2} e^{14}+e^{23}, e^{24}, e^{34}, e^{45}, e^{56}\right\rangle
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z^{3}\left(A_{4,9}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \oplus \mathfrak{r}_{2}\right)= & \left\langle e^{124}, e^{134}, e^{135}, e^{234}, \frac{1}{2} e^{145}+e^{235}, \frac{1}{2} e^{146}-e^{156}+e^{236}\right. \\
& \left.e^{245},-e^{246}+e^{256}, e^{345}, \frac{1}{2} e^{346}+e^{356}, e^{456}\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, any pair $(F, \rho) \in Z^{2}\left(A_{4,9}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \oplus \mathfrak{r}_{2}\right) \times Z^{3}\left(A_{4,9}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \oplus \mathfrak{r}_{2}\right)$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
F= & b_{1} e^{13}+\frac{b_{2}}{2} e^{14}+b_{2} e^{23}+b_{3} e^{24}+b_{4} e^{34}+b_{5} e^{45}+b_{6} e^{56} \\
\rho= & a_{1} e^{124}+a_{2} e^{134}+a_{3} e^{135}+\frac{a_{5}}{2} e^{145}+\frac{a_{6}}{2} e^{146}-a_{6} e^{156}+a_{4} e^{234}+a_{5} e^{235} \\
& +a_{6} e^{236}+a_{7} e^{245}-a_{8} e^{246}+a_{8} e^{256}+a_{9} e^{345}+\frac{a_{10}}{2} e^{346}+a_{10} e^{356}+a_{12} e^{456} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, the expression of $\tilde{J}_{\rho}^{*} e^{4}$ is
$\tilde{J}_{\rho}^{*} e^{4}=2 a_{3} a_{6} e^{3}+\left(a_{4} a_{6}+a_{2} a_{8}-a_{3} a_{8}-a_{1} a_{10}\right) e^{4}+\left(2 a_{5} a_{6}+2 a_{3} a_{8}\right) e^{5}+2 a_{6}^{2} e^{6}$,
so that

$$
e^{4} \wedge \tilde{J}_{\rho}^{*} e^{4} \wedge F^{2}=0
$$

Thus, we apply Proposition 2.1.5 (1.) with $\alpha=e^{4}$.

- For $A_{4,12} \oplus \mathfrak{r}_{2}$, that is,

$$
\mathrm{A}_{4,12} \oplus \mathfrak{r}_{2}=\left(\mathrm{e}^{13}+\mathrm{e}^{24},-\mathrm{e}^{14}+\mathrm{e}^{23}, 0,0,0, \mathrm{e}^{56}\right)
$$

the spaces $Z^{k}\left(A_{4,12} \oplus \mathfrak{r}_{2}\right)$, with $k=2,3$, are

$$
Z^{2}\left(A_{4,12} \oplus \mathfrak{r}_{2}\right)=\left\langle e^{13}+e^{24},-e^{14}+e^{23}, e^{34}, e^{35}, e^{45}, e^{56}\right\rangle
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z^{3}\left(A_{4,12} \oplus \mathfrak{r}_{2}\right)= & \left\langle e^{123}, e^{134}, e^{234},-e^{145}+e^{235}, e^{135}+e^{245}, e^{136}-e^{156}+e^{246}\right. \\
& \left.e^{146}-e^{236}+e^{256}, e^{345}, e^{356}, e^{456}\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, any pair $(F, \rho) \in Z^{2}\left(A_{4,12} \oplus \mathfrak{r}_{2}\right) \times Z^{3}\left(A_{4,12} \oplus \mathfrak{r}_{2}\right)$ has the following expression

$$
\begin{aligned}
F= & b_{2} e^{13}-b_{1} e^{14}+b_{1} e^{23}+b_{2} e^{24}+b_{3} e^{34}+b_{4} e^{35}+b_{5} e^{45}+b_{6} e^{56}, \\
\rho= & a_{1} e^{123}+a_{2} e^{134}+a_{5} e^{135}+a_{6} e^{136}-a_{4} e^{145}+a_{7} e^{146}-a_{6} e^{156}+a_{3} e^{234} \\
& +a_{4} e^{235}-a_{7} e^{236}+a_{5} e^{245}+a_{6} e^{246}+a_{7} e^{256}+a_{8} e^{345}+a_{9} e^{356}+a_{10} e^{456} .
\end{aligned}
$$

So,

$$
e^{3} \wedge \tilde{J}_{\rho}^{*} e^{3} \wedge F^{2}=0
$$

that is, we can apply Proposition 2.1.5 (1.) with $\alpha=e^{3}$.

- The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{r}_{2} \oplus \mathfrak{r}_{2} \oplus \mathfrak{r}_{2}$ defined by

$$
\mathfrak{r}_{2} \oplus \mathfrak{r}_{2} \oplus \mathfrak{r}_{2}=\left(0,-\mathrm{e}^{12}, \mathbf{0},-\mathrm{e}^{34}, \mathbf{0},-\mathrm{e}^{56}\right)
$$

is such that

$$
Z^{2}\left(\mathfrak{r}_{2} \oplus \mathfrak{r}_{2} \oplus \mathfrak{r}_{2}\right)=\left\langle e^{12}, e^{13}, e^{15}, e^{34}, e^{35}, e^{56}\right\rangle
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z^{3}\left(\mathfrak{r}_{2} \oplus \mathfrak{r}_{2} \oplus \mathfrak{r}_{2}\right)= & \left\langle e^{123}, e^{125}, e^{134}, e^{135}, e^{156},-e^{124}+e^{234}\right. \\
& \left.-e^{126}+e^{256}, e^{345}, e^{356},-e^{346}+e^{456}\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, any pair $(F, \rho) \in Z^{2}\left(\mathfrak{r}_{2} \oplus \mathfrak{r}_{2} \oplus \mathfrak{r}_{2}\right) \times Z^{3}\left(\mathfrak{r}_{2} \oplus \mathfrak{r}_{2} \oplus \mathfrak{r}_{2}\right)$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
F= & b_{1} e^{12}+b_{2} e^{13}+b_{3} e^{15}+b_{4} e^{34}+b_{5} e^{35}+b_{6} e^{56}, \\
\rho= & a_{1} e^{123}-a_{6} e^{124}+a_{2} e^{125}-a_{7} e^{126}+a_{3} e^{134}+a_{4} e^{135}+a_{5} e^{156} \\
& +a_{6} e^{234}+a_{7} e^{256}+a_{8} e^{345}-a_{10} e^{346}+a_{9} e^{356}+a_{10} e^{456} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\left(e^{1}+e^{3}\right) \wedge \tilde{J}_{\rho}^{*}\left(e^{1}+e^{3}\right) \wedge F^{2}=0
$$

so, we can apply Proposition 2.1.5 (1.) with $\alpha=e^{1}+e^{3}$.

### 2.2.3 $5 \oplus 1$ Lie algebras

According to Theorem 1.1.9, the unique (non-Abelian) nilpotent Lie algebra which is decomposable and has symplectic half-flat structure is the Lie algebra defined by $(0,0,0,0,12,13)$. Thus, we use the classification given in [65] of $5 \oplus 1$ decomposable (non-nilpotent) solvable Lie algebras which have half-flat structure. There it is proved that there are 13 (non-nilpotent) solvable Lie algebras and 4 one-parameter families with such a structure. In the following table we describe which of them also admit a symplectic form.

Table 2.3: $5 \oplus 1$ decomposable (non-nilpotent) solvable Lie algebras admitting both symplectic and half-flat structures.

| $\mathfrak{g}$ | str. equations | half-flat str. | symplectic str. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & A_{5,7}^{-1, \beta,-\beta} \oplus \mathbb{R} \\ & 0<\beta<1 \end{aligned}$ | $\left(e^{15},-e^{25}, \beta e^{35},-\beta e^{45}, 0,0\right)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \omega=-e^{13}+e^{24}+e^{56} \\ & \psi_{+}=e^{126}+e^{145}+e^{235}+e^{346} \end{aligned}$ | $F=e^{12}+e^{34}+e^{56}$ |
| $A_{5,7}^{-1,-1,1} \oplus \mathbb{R}$ | $\left(e^{15},-e^{25},-e^{35}, e^{45}, 0,0\right)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \omega=-e^{13}+e^{24}+e^{56} \\ & \psi_{+}=e^{126}+e^{145}+e^{235}+e^{346} \end{aligned}$ | $F=-e^{13}+e^{24}+e^{56}$ |
| $A_{5,8}^{-1} \oplus \mathbb{R}$ | $\left(e^{25}, 0, e^{35},-e^{45}, 0,0\right)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \omega=-e^{13}+e^{24}+e^{56} \\ & \psi_{+}=e^{126}+e^{145}+e^{235}+e^{346} \end{aligned}$ | $F=e^{12}+e^{34}+e^{56}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & A_{5,13}^{-1,0, \gamma} \oplus \mathbb{R} \\ & \gamma>0 \end{aligned}$ | $\left(e^{15},-e^{25}, \gamma e^{45},-\gamma e^{35}, 0,0\right)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \omega=-e^{13}+e^{24}+e^{56} \\ & \rho=e^{126}+e^{145}+e^{235}+e^{346} \end{aligned}$ | $F=e^{12}+e^{34}+e^{56}$ |
| $A_{5,14}^{0} \oplus \mathbb{R}$ | $\left(e^{25}, 0, e^{45},-e^{35}, 0,0\right)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \omega=-e^{13}+e^{24}+e^{56} \\ & \psi_{+}=e^{126}+e^{145}+e^{235}+e^{346} \end{aligned}$ | $F=e^{12}+e^{34}+e^{56}$ |
| $A_{5,15}^{-1} \oplus \mathbb{R}$ | $\begin{gathered} \left(e^{15}+e^{25}, e^{25},-e^{35}+e^{45}\right. \\ \left.-e^{45}, 0,0\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \omega=-e^{13}+e^{24}+e^{56} \\ & \psi_{+}=e^{125}+e^{146}-e^{236}-e^{345} \end{aligned}$ | $F=-e^{14}+e^{23}+e^{56}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & A_{5,17}^{0,0, \gamma} \oplus \mathbb{R} \\ & 0<\gamma<1 \end{aligned}$ | $\left(e^{25},-e^{15}, \gamma e^{45},-\gamma e^{35}, 0,0\right)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \omega=-e^{13}+e^{24}+e^{56} \\ & \rho=e^{126}+e^{145}+e^{235}+e^{346} \end{aligned}$ | $F=e^{12}+e^{34}+e^{56}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & A_{5,17}^{\alpha,-\alpha, 1} \oplus \mathbb{R} \\ & \alpha \geq 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \left(\alpha e^{15}+e^{25},-e^{15}+\alpha e^{25}\right. \\ \left.-\alpha e^{35}+e^{45},-e^{35}-\alpha e^{45}, 0,0\right) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \omega=e^{13}+e^{24}+e^{56} \\ & \rho=e^{125}-e^{146}+e^{236}-e^{345} \end{aligned}$ | $F=e^{13}+e^{24}+e^{56}$ |
| $A_{5,18}^{0} \oplus \mathbb{R}$ | $\begin{gathered} \left(e^{25}+e^{35},-e^{15}+e^{45}, e^{45}\right. \\ \left.-e^{35}, 0,0\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \omega=e^{12}-e^{34}-e^{56} \\ & \psi_{+}=e^{136}+e^{145}-e^{235}+e^{246} \end{aligned}$ | $F=e^{13}+e^{24}+e^{56}$ |
| $A_{5,19}^{-1,2} \oplus \mathbb{R}$ | $\begin{gathered} \left(-e^{15}+e^{23}, e^{25},-2 e^{35}\right. \\ \left.2 e^{45}, 0,0\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \omega=e^{13}+e^{24}-2 e^{25}-e^{56} \\ & \psi_{+}=-e^{126}+e^{145}-e^{234} \\ & e^{346}-e^{356} \end{aligned}$ | $F=e^{12}+e^{34}+e^{56}$ |
| $A_{5,36} \oplus \mathbb{R}$ | $\begin{gathered} \left(e^{14}+e^{23}, e^{24}-e^{25}\right. \\ \left.e^{35}, 0,0,0\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \omega=\frac{1}{12} e^{12}+e^{13}+e^{16} \\ & -\frac{1}{4} e^{24}+e^{46}+e^{56} \\ & \psi+=-\frac{1}{6} e^{124}+\frac{1}{2} e^{125}-e^{134}-e^{135} \\ & +4 e^{146}+4 e^{236}+3 e^{345}+3 e^{456} \end{aligned}$ | $F=e^{14}+e^{23}+e^{56}$ |
| $A_{5,37} \oplus \mathbb{R}$ | $\begin{gathered} \left(2 e^{14}+e^{23}, e^{24}+e^{35}\right. \\ \left.-e^{25}+e^{34}, 0,0,0\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \omega=-\frac{1}{3} e^{16}+3 e^{24}+e^{35} \\ & \psi_{+}=-e^{125}+3 e^{134}+2 e^{146} \\ & e^{236}+6 e^{345}-\frac{13}{3} e^{456} \end{aligned}$ | $F=2 e^{14}+e^{23}+e^{56}$ |

Note that, in Table 2.3, the only $5 \oplus 1$ non-unimodular solvable Lie algebras are $A_{5,36} \oplus \mathbb{R}$ and $A_{5,37} \oplus \mathbb{R}$.

Proposition 2.2.3. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a 6 -dimensional decomposable and (non-nilpotent) solvable Lie algebra such that $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{g}_{1} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{2}$, with $\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{1}\right)=5$ and $\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{2}\right)=1$. Then, $\mathfrak{g}$ has symplectic half-flat structure if and only if $\mathfrak{g}$ is either $\mathfrak{g}=A_{5,7}^{-1,-1,1} \oplus \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathfrak{g}=A_{5,17}^{\alpha,-\alpha, 1} \oplus \mathbb{R}$, with $\alpha$ a non-negative real number.

Proof. We show first that $A_{5,7}^{-1,-1,1} \oplus \mathbb{R}$ and $A_{5,17}^{\alpha,-\alpha, 1} \oplus \mathbb{R}$ have a symplectic half-flat structure.

- For $A_{5,7}^{-1,-1,1} \oplus \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$
A_{5,7}^{-1,-1,1} \oplus \mathbb{R}=\left(e^{15},-e^{25},-e^{35}, e^{45}, 0,0\right),
$$

the differential forms $\omega$ and $\psi_{+}$appearing in Table 2.3 are closed and determine an $\operatorname{SU}(3)$-structure. In fact, let us consider the change of basis given by

$$
f^{1}=-e^{1}, \quad f^{2}=e^{3}, \quad f^{3}=e^{2}, \quad f^{4}=e^{4}, \quad f^{5}=-e^{6}, \quad f^{6}=e^{5} .
$$

Then, in this new basis, $\omega$ and $\psi_{+}$are

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega & =f^{12}+f^{34}+f^{56} \\
\psi_{+} & =f^{135}-f^{146}-f^{236}-f^{245}
\end{aligned}
$$

- For the one-parameter family of Lie algebras

$$
\mathbf{A}_{5,17}^{\alpha,-\alpha, 1} \oplus \mathbb{R}=\left(\alpha \mathbf{e}^{15}+\mathbf{e}^{25},-\mathbf{e}^{15}+\alpha \mathbf{e}^{25},-\alpha \mathbf{e}^{35}+\mathbf{e}^{45},-\mathbf{e}^{35}-\alpha \mathbf{e}^{45}, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0}\right)
$$

we have that any Lie algebra corresponding to a non-negative real number $\alpha$ has a symplectic half-flat structure. Actually, the differential forms $\omega$ and $\psi_{+}$appearing in Table 2.3 are closed and determine an $\mathrm{SU}(3)$-structure. This can be checked from the fact that with the change of basis

$$
f^{1}=e^{1}, \quad f^{2}=e^{3}, \quad f^{3}=e^{2}, \quad f^{4}=e^{4}, \quad f^{5}=e^{5}, \quad f^{6}=e^{6},
$$

the forms $\omega$ and $\psi_{+}$are described canonically.
Notice that $A_{5,17}^{\alpha, \beta, \gamma} \cong A_{5,17}^{-\alpha,-\beta, \gamma}$, thus we can restrict the study to $\alpha \geq 0$.
Now, we prove that there are no more Lie algebras from Table 2.3 having a symplectic half-flat structure. For the next ones we use Proposition 2.1.5 (2.) with appropriate vectors $X$ and $Y$.

- The Lie algebra $A_{5,7}^{-1, \beta,-\beta} \oplus \mathbb{R}$ (with $0<\beta<1$ ) has the following structure equations

$$
\mathrm{A}_{5,7}^{-1, \beta,-\beta} \oplus \mathbb{R}=\left(\mathbf{e}^{15},-\mathbf{e}^{25}, \beta \mathbf{e}^{35},-\beta \mathbf{e}^{45}, 0,0\right)
$$

Thus, any pair $(F, \rho) \in Z^{2}\left(A_{5,7}^{-1, \beta,-\beta} \oplus \mathbb{R}\right) \times Z^{3}\left(A_{5,7}^{-1, \beta,-\beta} \oplus \mathbb{R}\right)$ is given by

$$
F=b_{1} e^{12}+b_{2} e^{15}+b_{3} e^{25}+b_{4} e^{34}+b_{5} e^{35}+b_{6} e^{45}+b_{7} e^{56}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho= & a_{1} e^{125}+a_{2} e^{126}+a_{3} e^{135}+a_{4} e^{145}+a_{5} e^{156}+a_{6} e^{235}+a_{7} e^{245} \\
& +a_{8} e^{256}+a_{9} e^{345}+a_{10} e^{346}+a_{11} e^{356}+a_{12} e^{456},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $a_{i}$ and $b_{j}$ are real numbers. These expressions of $F$ and $\rho$ imply that

$$
F\left(\tilde{J}_{\rho} e_{1}, e_{1}\right)=0
$$

that is, Proposition 2.1.5 (2.) can be applied for $X=Y=e_{1}$.

- For

$$
A_{5,8}^{-1} \oplus \mathbb{R}=\left(\mathrm{e}^{25}, 0, \mathrm{e}^{35},-\mathrm{e}^{45}, 0,0\right)
$$

any pair $(F, \rho) \in Z^{2}\left(A_{5,8}^{-1} \oplus \mathbb{R}\right) \times Z^{3}\left(A_{5,8}^{-1} \oplus \mathbb{R}\right)$ is of the form

$$
F=b_{1} e^{12}+b_{2} e^{15}+b_{3} e^{25}+b_{4} e^{26}+b_{5} e^{34}+b_{6} e^{35}+b_{7} e^{45}+b_{8} e^{56},
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho= & a_{1} e^{125}+a_{2} e^{126}+a_{3} e^{135}+a_{4} e^{145}+a_{5} e^{156}+a_{6} e^{234}+a_{7} e^{235} \\
& +a_{8} e^{245}+a_{9} e^{256}+a_{10} e^{345}+a_{11} e^{346}+a_{12} e^{356}+a_{13} e^{456} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, we obtain that

$$
F\left(\tilde{J}_{\rho} e_{3}, e_{3}\right)=0
$$

Hence, it is sufficient to consider $X=Y=e_{3}$.

- The Lie algebra $A_{5,13}^{-1,0, \gamma} \oplus \mathbb{R}($ with $0<\gamma)$ with structure equations

$$
\mathbf{A}_{5,13}^{-1,0, \gamma} \oplus \mathbb{R}=\left(\mathrm{e}^{15},-\mathrm{e}^{25}, \gamma \mathrm{e}^{45},-\gamma \mathrm{e}^{35}, 0,0\right)
$$

is such that the pair $(F, \rho) \in Z^{2}\left(A_{5,13}^{-1,0, \gamma} \oplus \mathbb{R}\right) \times Z^{3}\left(A_{5,13}^{-1,0, \gamma} \oplus \mathbb{R}\right)$ is

$$
F=b_{1} e^{12}+b_{2} e^{15}+b_{3} e^{25}+b_{4} e^{34}+b_{5} e^{35}+b_{6} e^{45}+b_{7} e^{56}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho= & a_{1} e^{125}+a_{2} e^{126}+a_{3} e^{135}+a_{4} e^{145}+a_{5} e^{156}+a_{6} e^{235}+a_{7} e^{245} \\
& +a_{8} e^{256}+a_{9} e^{345}+a_{10} e^{346}+a_{11} e^{356}+a_{12} e^{456} .
\end{aligned}
$$

These expressions of $F$ and $\rho$ imply that

$$
F\left(\tilde{J}_{\rho} e_{1}, e_{1}\right)=0
$$

Then, Proposition 2.1.5 (2.) applies with $X=Y=e_{1}$.

- For

$$
A_{5,14}^{0} \oplus \mathbb{R}=\left(e^{25}, 0, e^{45},-e^{35}, 0,0\right)
$$

the pairs $(F, \rho) \in Z^{2}\left(A_{5,14}^{0} \oplus \mathbb{R}\right) \times Z^{3}\left(A_{5,14}^{0} \oplus \mathbb{R}\right)$ are given by

$$
F=b_{1} e^{12}+b_{2} e^{15}+b_{3} e^{25}+b_{4} e^{26}+b_{5} e^{34}+b_{6} e^{35}+b_{7} e^{45}+b_{8} e^{56},
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho= & a_{1} e^{125}+a_{2} e^{126}+a_{3} e^{135}+a_{4} e^{145}+a_{5} e^{156}+a_{6} e^{234}+a_{7} e^{235} \\
& +a_{8} e^{245}+a_{9} e^{256}+a_{10} e^{345}+a_{11} e^{346}+a_{12} e^{356}+a_{13} e^{456} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We obtain again that

$$
F\left(\tilde{J}_{\rho} e_{1}, e_{1}\right)=0,
$$

and hence, it is sufficient to consider Proposition 2.1.5 (2.) with $X=Y=e_{1}$.

- The Lie algebra $A_{5,15}^{-1} \oplus \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$
A_{5,15}^{-1} \oplus \mathbb{R}=\left(e^{15}+e^{25}, e^{25},-e^{35}+e^{45},-e^{45}, 0,0\right)
$$

Thus, any pair $(F, \rho) \in Z^{2}\left(A_{5,15}^{-1} \oplus \mathbb{R}\right) \times Z^{3}\left(A_{5,15}^{-1} \oplus \mathbb{R}\right)$ is given by

$$
F=-b_{2} e^{14}+b_{1} e^{15}+b_{2} e^{23}+b_{3} e^{24}+b_{4} e^{25}+b_{5} e^{35}+b_{6} e^{45}+b_{7} e^{56},
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho= & a_{1} e^{125}+a_{2} e^{135}+a_{3} e^{145}-a_{6} e^{146}+a_{4} e^{156}+a_{5} e^{235}+a_{6} e^{236}+a_{7} e^{245} \\
& +a_{8} e^{246}+a_{9} e^{256}+a_{10} e^{345}+a_{11} e^{356}+a_{12} e^{456} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The expressions of $F$ and $\rho$ imply that

$$
F\left(\tilde{J}_{\rho} e_{1}, e_{1}\right)=0 .
$$

Thus, Proposition 2.1.5 (2.) applies for $X=Y=e_{1}$.

- For

$$
\mathbf{A}_{5,17}^{0,0, \gamma} \oplus \mathbb{R}=\left(\mathrm{e}^{25},-\mathrm{e}^{15}, \gamma \mathrm{e}^{45},-\gamma \mathrm{e}^{35}, 0,0\right),
$$

(with $0<\gamma<1$ ), the pairs $(F, \rho) \in Z^{2}\left(A_{5,17}^{0,0, \gamma} \oplus \mathbb{R}\right) \times Z^{3}\left(A_{5,17}^{0,0, \gamma} \oplus \mathbb{R}\right)$ are of the form

$$
F=b_{1} e^{12}+b_{2} e^{15}+b_{3} e^{25}+b_{4} e^{34}+b_{5} e^{35}+b_{6} e^{45}+b_{7} e^{56},
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho= & a_{1} e^{125}+a_{2} e^{126}+a_{3} e^{135}+a_{4} e^{145}+a_{5} e^{156}+a_{6} e^{235} \\
& +a_{7} e^{245}+a_{8} e^{256}+a_{9} e^{345}+a_{10} e^{346}+a_{11} e^{356}+a_{12} e^{456}
\end{aligned}
$$

We obtain that

$$
F\left(\tilde{J}_{\rho} e_{2}, e_{2}\right)=0,
$$

and then, it is sufficient to consider $X=Y=e_{2}$.

- The Lie algebra $A_{5,18}^{0} \oplus \mathbb{R}$, given by the structure equations

$$
A_{5,18}^{0} \oplus \mathbb{R}=\left(e^{25}+e^{35},-e^{15}+e^{45}, e^{45},-e^{35}, 0,0\right)
$$

is such that the pair $(F, \rho) \in Z^{2}\left(A_{5,18}^{0} \oplus \mathbb{R}\right) \times Z^{3}\left(A_{5,18}^{0} \oplus \mathbb{R}\right)$ is of the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
F= & b_{2} e^{13}+b_{1} e^{15}+b_{2} e^{24}+b_{3} e^{25}+b_{4} e^{34}+b_{5} e^{35}+b_{6} e^{45}+b_{7} e^{56}, \\
\rho= & a_{1} e^{125}+a_{2} e^{135}+a_{7} e^{136}+a_{3} e^{145}+a_{4} e^{156}+a_{5} e^{235}+a_{6} e^{245} \\
& +a_{7} e^{246}+a_{8} e^{256}+a_{9} e^{345}+a_{10} e^{346}+a_{11} e^{356}+a_{12} e^{456} .
\end{aligned}
$$

These expressions of $F$ and $\rho$ imply that

$$
F\left(\tilde{J}_{\rho} e_{2}, e_{2}\right)=0 .
$$

Then, Proposition 2.1.5 (2.) applies for $X=Y=e_{2}$.

- For

$$
\mathrm{A}_{5,19}^{-1,2} \oplus \mathbb{R}=\left(-\mathrm{e}^{15}+\mathrm{e}^{23}, \mathrm{e}^{25},-2 \mathrm{e}^{35}, 2 \mathrm{e}^{45}, 0,0\right)
$$

the pairs $(F, \rho) \in Z^{2}\left(A_{5,19}^{-1,2} \oplus \mathbb{R}\right) \times Z^{3}\left(A_{5,19}^{-1,2} \oplus \mathbb{R}\right)$ are given by

$$
F=b_{1} e^{12}-b_{2} e^{15}+b_{2} e^{23}+b_{3} e^{25}+b_{4} e^{34}+b_{5} e^{35}+b_{6} e^{45}+b_{7} e^{56},
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho= & a_{1} e^{125}+a_{2} e^{126}+a_{3} e^{135}-a_{4} e^{145}-a_{6} e^{156}+a_{4} e^{234}+a_{5} e^{235}+a_{6} e^{236} \\
& +a_{7} e^{245}+a_{8} e^{256}+a_{9} e^{345}+a_{10} e^{346}+a_{11} e^{356}+a_{12} e^{456} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We obtain that

$$
F\left(\tilde{J}_{\rho} e_{4}, e_{4}\right)=0,
$$

thus, it is sufficient to consider Proposition 2.1.5 (2.) for $X=e_{4}$ and $Y=e_{4}$.
For the two Lie algebras left, that is, $A_{5,36} \oplus \mathbb{R}$ and $A_{5,37} \oplus \mathbb{R}$ we use Proposition 2.1.5 (1.) with a convenient 1-form $\alpha$ on the Lie algebra.

- For the Lie algebra

$$
\mathrm{A}_{5,36} \oplus \mathbb{R}=\left(\mathrm{e}^{14}+\mathrm{e}^{23}, \mathrm{e}^{24}-\mathrm{e}^{25}, \mathrm{e}^{35}, 0,0,0\right)
$$

any pair $(F, \rho) \in Z^{2}\left(A_{5,36} \oplus \mathbb{R}\right) \times Z^{3}\left(A_{5,36} \oplus \mathbb{R}\right)$ is described by

$$
F=b_{1} e^{14}+b_{1} e^{23}-b_{2} e^{24}+b_{2} e^{25}+b_{3} e^{35}+b_{4} e^{45}+b_{5} e^{46}+b_{6} e^{56}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho= & -2 a_{1} e^{124}+a_{1} e^{125}+a_{2} e^{134}+a_{2} e^{135}+a_{4} e^{145}+a_{5} e^{146}+a_{3} e^{234}+a_{4} e^{235} \\
& +a_{5} e^{236}+a_{6} e^{245}-a_{7} e^{246}+a_{7} e^{256}+a_{8} e^{345}+a_{9} e^{356}+a_{10} e^{456} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Computing the expression of $\tilde{J}_{\rho}^{*}$ we obtain that

$$
\tilde{J}_{\rho}^{*} e^{4}=2 a_{1} a_{5} e^{2}+2 a_{2} a_{5} e^{3}+2 a_{1} a_{9} e^{4}+\left(2 a_{2} a_{7}-2 a_{1} a_{9}\right) e^{5},
$$

for arbitrary real numbers $a_{i}$ and $b_{j}$. So,

$$
e^{4} \wedge \tilde{J}_{\rho}^{*} e^{4} \wedge F^{2}=0
$$

Hence, we can apply Proposition 2.1.5 (1.) with $\alpha=e^{4}$.

- The Lie algebra $A_{5,37} \oplus \mathbb{R}$ is defined by the structure equations

$$
\mathrm{A}_{5,37} \oplus \mathbb{R}=\left(2 \mathrm{e}^{14}+\mathrm{e}^{23}, \mathrm{e}^{24}+\mathrm{e}^{35},-\mathrm{e}^{25}+\mathrm{e}^{34}, 0,0,0\right)
$$

Thus, the pairs $(F, \rho) \in Z^{2}\left(A_{5,37} \oplus \mathbb{R}\right) \times Z^{3}\left(A_{5,37} \oplus \mathbb{R}\right)$ are of the form

$$
F=2 b_{1} e^{14}+b_{1} e^{23}+b_{3} e^{24}-b_{2} e^{25}+b_{2} e^{34}+b_{3} e^{35}+b_{4} e^{45}+b_{5} e^{46}+b_{6} e^{56}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho= & 3 a_{2} e^{124}+a_{1} e^{125}-3 a_{1} e^{134}+a_{2} e^{135}+2 a_{4} e^{145}+2 a_{5} e^{146}+a_{3} e^{234}+a_{4} e^{235} \\
& +a_{5} e^{236}+a_{6} e^{245}+a_{9} e^{246}-a_{8} e^{256}+a_{7} e^{345}+a_{8} e^{346}+a_{9} e^{356}+a_{10} e^{456} .
\end{aligned}
$$

An easy computation shows that

$$
\tilde{J}_{\rho}^{*} e^{4}=2 a_{1} a_{5} e^{2}+2 a_{2} a_{5} e^{3}+\left(2 a_{1} a_{8}-2 a_{2} a_{9}\right) e^{4}+\left(-2 a_{2} a_{8}-2 a_{1} a_{9}\right) e^{5}
$$

for real numbers $a_{i}$ and $b_{j}$. Therefore,

$$
e^{4} \wedge \tilde{J}_{\rho}^{*} e^{4} \wedge F^{2}=0
$$

Hence, we can apply again Proposition 2.1.5 (1.) with $\alpha=e^{4}$.

Remark 2.2.4. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{e}(1,1) \oplus \mathfrak{e}(1,1)$ is unimodular and the corresponding simply connected solvable Lie group admits a compact quotient as it is shown in 123 . For the simply connected solvable Lie groups corresponding to $A_{5,7}^{-1,-1,1}$ and $A_{5,17}^{\alpha,-\alpha, 1}$ with $\alpha \geq 0$, conditions for the existence of lattice are given in [19, Propositions 7.2 .1 and 7.2 .14$]$. In particular, there is a lattice for the cases $A_{5,7}^{-1,-1,1}$ and $A_{5,17}^{\alpha,-\alpha, 1}$ for $\alpha=0$ and for some positive $\alpha$.

### 2.3 Unimodular indecomposable half-flat Lie algebras

This section is dedicated to studying symplectic half-flat structures on unimodular indecomposable solvable Lie algebras of dimension six. The classification of these Lie algebras is given in Proposition 2.3.1.

By Theorem 1.1.9, we know that $\mathfrak{g}_{6, N 3}=(0,0,0,12,13,23)$ is the only indecomposable nilpotent Lie algebra admitting symplectic half-flat structures. Therefore, in the following we focus our attention on (non-nilpotent) solvable Lie algebras.

By [99] there exist 15 unimodular indecomposable 6-dimensional (nonnilpotent) solvable Lie algebras with symplectic forms. We show that only 3 of them have symplectic half-flat structures.

Table 2.4: Unimodular indecomposable (non-nilpotent) solvable Lie algebras admitting symplectic forms [99].

| $\mathfrak{g}$ | str. equations | symplectic str. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathfrak{g}_{6,3}^{0,-1}$ | $\left(e^{26}, e^{36}, 0, e^{46},-e^{56}, 0\right)$ | $F=e^{16}+e^{23}+e^{45}$ |
| $\mathfrak{g}_{6,10}^{0,0}$ | $\left(e^{26}, e^{36}, 0, e^{56},-e^{46}, 0\right)$ | $F=e^{16}+e^{23}+e^{45}$ |
| $\mathfrak{g}_{6,13}^{-1, \frac{1}{2}, 0}$ | $\left(-\frac{1}{2} e^{16}+e^{23},-e^{26}, \frac{1}{2} e^{36}, e^{46}, 0,0\right)$ | $F=e^{13}+e^{24}+e^{56}$ |
| $\mathfrak{g}_{6,13}^{\frac{1}{2},-1,0}$ | $\left(-\frac{1}{2} e^{16}+e^{23}, \frac{1}{2} e^{26},-e^{36}, e^{46}, 0,0\right)$ | $F=e^{12}+e^{34}+e^{56}$ |
| $\mathfrak{g}_{6,15}^{-1}$ | $\left(e^{23}, e^{26},-e^{36}, e^{26}+e^{46}, e^{36}-e^{56}, 0\right)$ | $F=e^{16}+\frac{1}{2} e^{25}+e^{34}$ |
| $\mathfrak{g}_{6,18}^{-1,-1}$ | $\left(e^{23},-e^{26}, e^{36}, e^{36}+e^{46},-e^{56}, 0\right)$ | $F=e^{16}+e^{24}+e^{35}$ |
| $\mathfrak{g}_{6,21}^{0}$ | $\left(e^{23}, 0, e^{26}, e^{46},-e^{56}, 0\right)$ | $F=e^{12}+e^{36}+e^{45}$ |
| $\mathfrak{g}_{6,36}^{0,0}$ | $\left(e^{23}, 0, e^{26},-e^{56}, e^{46}, 0\right)$ | $F=2 e^{16}-e^{34}+e^{25}$ |
| $\mathfrak{g}_{6,38}^{0}$ | $\left(e^{23},-e^{36}, e^{26}, e^{26}-e^{56}, e^{36}+e^{46}, 0\right)$ | $F=-2 e^{16}+e^{34}-e^{25}$ |
| $\mathfrak{g}_{6,54}^{0,-1}$ | $\left(e^{16}+e^{35},-e^{26}+e^{45}, e^{36},-e^{46}, 0,0\right)$ | $F=e^{14}+e^{23}+e^{56}$ |
| $\mathfrak{g}_{6,70}^{0,0}$ | $\left(-e^{26}+e^{35}, e^{16}+e^{45},-e^{46}, e^{36}, 0,0\right)$ | $F=e^{13}+e^{24}+e^{56}$ |
| $\mathfrak{g}_{6,78}$ | $\left(-e^{16}+e^{25}, e^{45}, e^{24}+e^{36}+e^{46}, e^{46},-e^{56}, 0\right)$ | $F=e^{14}+e^{35}-e^{26}$ |
| $\mathfrak{g}_{6,118}^{0,-1,-1}$ | $\left(-e^{16}+e^{25},-e^{15}-e^{26}, e^{36}-e^{45}, e^{35}+e^{46}, 0,0\right)$ | $F=e^{14}+e^{23}-e^{56}$ |
| $\mathfrak{n}_{6,84}^{ \pm 1}$ | $\left(-e^{45},-e^{15}-e^{36},-e^{14}+e^{26} \mp e^{56}, e^{56},-e^{46}, 0\right)$ | $F=\mp e^{16}+e^{25}+e^{34}$ |

Proposition 2.3.1. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a 6-dimensional unimodular indecomposable and (non-nilpotent) solvable Lie algebra. Then, $\mathfrak{g}$ has symplectic half-flat structures if and only if $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{g}_{6,38}^{0}, \mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{g}_{6,54}^{0,-1}$ or $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{g}_{6,118}^{0,-1,-1}$.

Proof. First we give a symplectic half-flat structure on $\mathfrak{g}_{6,38}^{0}, \mathfrak{g}_{6,54}^{0,-1}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{6,118}^{0,-1,-1}$.

- The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{6,38}^{0}$ is defined by the structure equations

$$
\mathfrak{g}_{6,38}^{0}=\left(\mathrm{e}^{23},-\mathrm{e}^{36}, \mathrm{e}^{26}, \mathrm{e}^{26}-\mathrm{e}^{56}, \mathrm{e}^{36}+\mathrm{e}^{46}, 0\right) .
$$

Therefore, the forms

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega & =-2 e^{12}+e^{34}-e^{25} \\
\psi_{+} & =-2 e^{135}-2 e^{124}+e^{236}-e^{456}
\end{aligned}
$$

are closed, and they determine an $\mathrm{SU}(3)$-structure since with the change of basis given by

$$
\left\{f^{1}=-2 e^{1}, \quad f^{2}=e^{6}, \quad f^{3}=e^{3}, \quad f^{4}=e^{4}, \quad f^{5}=e^{5}, \quad f^{6}=e^{2}\right\}
$$

the forms $\omega$ and $\psi_{+}$have the following expression

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega & =f^{12}+f^{34}+f^{56}, \\
\psi_{+} & =f^{135}-f^{146}-f^{236}-f^{245} .
\end{aligned}
$$

- For

$$
\mathfrak{g}_{6,54}^{0,-1}=\left(\mathbf{e}^{16}+\mathrm{e}^{35},-\mathrm{e}^{26}+\mathrm{e}^{45}, \mathrm{e}^{36},-\mathrm{e}^{46}, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0}\right),
$$

the forms

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega & =e^{14}+e^{23}+e^{56} \\
\psi_{+} & =e^{125}-e^{136}+e^{246}+e^{345}
\end{aligned}
$$

are closed, and they define an $\mathrm{SU}(3)$-structure. In fact, with respect to the new basis

$$
\left\{f^{1}=e^{1}, \quad f^{2}=e^{4}, \quad f^{3}=e^{2}, \quad f^{4}=e^{3}, \quad f^{5}=e^{5}, \quad f^{6}=e^{2}\right\}
$$

the pair $\left(\omega, \psi_{+}\right)$is given by the canonical expression.

- The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{6,118}^{0,-1,-1}$ is defined by the structure equations

$$
\mathfrak{g}_{6,118}^{0,-1,-1}=\left(-\mathrm{e}^{16}+\mathrm{e}^{25},-\mathrm{e}^{15}-\mathrm{e}^{26}, \mathrm{e}^{36}-\mathrm{e}^{45}, \mathrm{e}^{35}+\mathrm{e}^{46}, 0,0\right) .
$$

Thus, the forms

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega & =e^{14}+e^{23}-e^{56} \\
\psi_{+} & =e^{126}-e^{135}+e^{245}+e^{346}
\end{aligned}
$$

are closed, and they determine an $\mathrm{SU}(3)$-structure, because with the change of basis given by

$$
\left\{f^{1}=e^{1}, \quad f^{2}=e^{4}, \quad f^{3}=e^{2}, \quad f^{4}=e^{3}, \quad f^{5}=e^{6}, \quad f^{6}=e^{5}\right\}
$$

the forms $\omega$ and $\psi_{+}$are expressed as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega & =f^{12}+f^{34}+f^{56}, \\
\psi_{+} & =f^{135}-f^{146}-f^{236}-f^{245} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For the remaining Lie algebras of Table 2.4 we show the details of how Proposition 2.1.5 rejects the existence of symplectic half-flat structures.

- For the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{6,3}^{0,-1}$, whose structure equations are

$$
\mathfrak{g}_{6,3}^{0,-1}=\left(\mathrm{e}^{26}, \mathrm{e}^{36}, 0, \mathrm{e}^{46},-\mathrm{e}^{56}, 0\right)
$$

any pair $(F, \rho)$ with $F \in Z^{2}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{6,3}^{0,-1}\right)$ and $\rho \in Z^{3}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{6,3}^{0,-1}\right)$ is given by

$$
F=b_{1} e^{16}+b_{2} e^{23}+b_{3} e^{26}+b_{4} e^{36}+b_{5} e^{45}+b_{6} e^{46}+b_{7} e^{56},
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho= & a_{1} e^{123}+a_{2} e^{126}+a_{3} e^{136}+a_{4} e^{146}+a_{5} e^{156}+a_{6} e^{236}+a_{7} e^{246} \\
& +a_{8} e^{256}+a_{9} e^{345}+a_{10} e^{346}+a_{11} e^{356}+a_{12} e^{456} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now $\tilde{J}_{\rho}^{*}$ is such that

$$
\tilde{J}_{\rho}^{*} e^{6}=\left(-a_{2} a_{9}-a_{1} a_{12}\right) e^{6}-2 a_{1} a_{9} e^{3},
$$

for arbitrary real numbers $a_{i}$ and $b_{j}$. Therefore,

$$
e^{6} \wedge \tilde{J}_{\rho}^{*} e^{6} \wedge F^{2}=0
$$

Thus, we apply Proposition 2.1 .5 (1.) for the 1 -form $\alpha=e^{6}$ and consequently $\mathfrak{g}_{6,3}^{0,-1}$ does not admit symplectic half-flat structures.

- The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{6,10}^{0,0}$ has structure equations

$$
\mathfrak{g}_{6,10}^{0,0}=\left(\mathrm{e}^{26}, \mathrm{e}^{36}, 0, \mathrm{e}^{56},-\mathrm{e}^{46}, 0\right) .
$$

Then, any pair $(F, \rho)$ with $F \in Z^{2}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{6,10}^{0,0}\right)$ and $\rho \in Z^{3}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{6,10}^{0,0}\right)$ is given by

$$
F=b_{1} e^{16}+b_{2} e^{23}+b_{3} e^{26}+b_{4} e^{36}+b_{5} e^{45}+b_{6} e^{46}+b_{7} e^{56},
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho= & a_{1} e^{123}+a_{2} e^{126}+a_{3} e^{136}+a_{4} e^{146}+a_{5} e^{156}+a_{6} e^{236}+a_{7} e^{246} \\
& +a_{8} e^{256}+a_{9} e^{345}+a_{10} e^{346}+a_{11} e^{356}+a_{12} e^{456} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now $\tilde{J}_{\rho}^{*}$ is such that

$$
\tilde{J}_{\rho}^{*} e^{6}=-2 a_{1} a_{9} e^{3}+\left(-a_{2} a_{9}-a_{1} a_{12}\right) e^{6}
$$

Thus,

$$
e^{6} \wedge \tilde{J}_{\rho}^{*} e^{6} \wedge F^{2}=0
$$

Hence, we can apply Proposition 2.1.5 (1.) for the 1 -form $\alpha=e^{6}$.

- For the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{6,13}^{-1, \frac{1}{2}, 0}$, whose structure equations are

$$
\mathfrak{g}_{6,13}^{-1, \frac{1}{2}, 0}=\left(-\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{e}^{16}+\mathrm{e}^{23},-\mathrm{e}^{26}, \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{e}^{36}, \mathrm{e}^{46}, 0,0\right)
$$

any pair $(F, \rho) \in Z^{2}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{6,13}^{-1, \frac{1}{2}, 0}\right) \times Z^{3}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{6,13}^{-1, \frac{1}{2}, 0}\right)$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
F= & b_{1} e^{13}+b_{2}\left(-\frac{1}{2} e^{16}+e^{23}\right)+b_{3} e^{24}+b_{4} e^{26}+b_{5} e^{36}+b_{6} e^{46}+b_{7} e^{56} \\
\rho= & a_{1} e^{126}+a_{2} e^{135}+a_{3} e^{136}+a_{4}\left(\frac{1}{2} e^{146}-e^{234}\right)+a_{5}\left(\frac{1}{2} e^{156}+e^{235}\right) \\
& +a_{6} e^{236}+a_{7} e^{245}+a_{8} e^{246}+a_{9} e^{256}+a_{10} e^{346}+a_{11} e^{356}+a_{12} e^{456} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We have that $\tilde{J}_{\rho}$ is such that

$$
\tilde{J}_{\rho} e_{1}=\left(a_{4} a_{5}-a_{3} a_{7}+a_{2} a_{8}\right) e_{1}-a_{2} a_{4} e_{2}+2 a_{1} a_{2} e_{4},
$$

which implies

$$
F\left(\tilde{J}_{\rho} e_{1}, e_{1}\right)=0
$$

Therefore, we apply Proposition 2.1.5 (2.) with $X=Y=e_{1}$.

- The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{6,13}^{\frac{1}{2},-1,0}$ is described by

$$
\mathfrak{g}_{6,13}^{\frac{1}{2},-1,0}=\left(-\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{e}^{16}+\mathrm{e}^{23}, \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{e}^{26},-\mathrm{e}^{36}, \mathrm{e}^{46}, 0,0\right)
$$

Thus, any pair $(F, \rho) \in Z^{2}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{6,13}^{\frac{1}{2},-1,0}\right) \times Z^{3}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{6,13}^{\frac{1}{2},-1,0}\right)$ is of the form

$$
F=b_{1} e^{12}-\frac{b_{2} e^{16}}{2}+b_{2} e^{23}+b_{3} e^{26}+b_{4} e^{34}+b_{5} e^{36}+b_{6} e^{46}+b_{7} e^{56},
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho= & a_{1} e^{125}+a_{2} e^{126}+a_{3} e^{136}-\frac{a_{4} e^{146}}{2}+\frac{a_{5} e^{156}}{2}+a_{4} e^{234}+a_{5} e^{235}+a_{6} e^{236} \\
& +a_{7} e^{246}+a_{8} e^{256}+a_{9} e^{345}+a_{10} e^{346}+a_{11} e^{356}+a_{12} e^{456} .
\end{aligned}
$$

A direct calculation shows that

$$
\tilde{J}_{\rho} e_{1}=\left(-a_{4} a_{5}+a_{2} a_{9}-a_{1} a_{10}\right) e_{1}-a_{1} a_{4} e_{3}-2 a_{1} a_{3} e_{4},
$$

for arbitrary real numbers $a_{i}$ and $b_{j}$. Then

$$
F\left(\tilde{J}_{\rho} e_{1}, e_{1}\right)=0 .
$$

Now, we apply Proposition 2.1.5 (2.) with $X=e_{1}$ and $Y=e_{1}$.

- For the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{6,15}^{-1}$ defined by the structure equations

$$
\mathfrak{g}_{6,15}^{-1}=\left(\mathrm{e}^{23}, \mathrm{e}^{26},-\mathrm{e}^{36}, \mathrm{e}^{26}+\mathrm{e}^{46}, \mathrm{e}^{36}-\mathrm{e}^{56}, 0\right)
$$

any pair $(F, \rho) \in Z^{2}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{6,15}^{-1}\right) \times Z^{3}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{6,15}^{-1}\right)$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
F= & b_{2} e^{16}-b_{4} e^{16}+b_{1} e^{23}+b_{2} e^{25}+b_{3} e^{26}+b_{4} e^{34}+b_{5} e^{36}+b_{6} e^{46}+b_{7} e^{56} \\
\rho= & a_{1} e^{123}+a_{3} e^{125}+a_{2} e^{126}+a_{3} e^{134}+a_{4} e^{136}-a_{5} e^{146}+a_{6} e^{156}+a_{5} e^{234} \\
& +a_{6} e^{235}+a_{7} e^{236}+a_{8} e^{246}+a_{9} e^{256}+a_{10} e^{346}+a_{11} e^{356}+a_{12} e^{456} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,
$\tilde{J}_{\rho} e_{4}=\left(-2 a_{5} a_{12}\right) e_{1}+\left(2 a_{3} a_{12}\right) e_{2}+\left(-a_{3} a_{9}+a_{3} a_{10}+a_{1} a_{12}\right) e_{4}+\left(2 a_{5}^{2}+2 a_{3} a_{8}\right) e_{5}$,
which implies that

$$
F\left(\tilde{J}_{\rho} e_{4}, e_{4}\right)=0 .
$$

Therefore, we can apply Proposition 2.1.5 (2.) with $X=Y=e_{4}$.

- For the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{6,18}^{-1,-1}$ whose structure equations are

$$
\mathfrak{g}_{6,18}^{-1,-1}=\left(\mathrm{e}^{23},-\mathrm{e}^{26}, \mathrm{e}^{36}, \mathrm{e}^{36}+\mathrm{e}^{46},-\mathrm{e}^{56}, 0\right),
$$

any pair $(F, \rho) \in Z^{2}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{6,18}^{-1,-1}\right) \times Z^{3}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{6,18}^{-1,-1}\right)$ is of the form

$$
F=b_{2} e^{16}+b_{1} e^{23}+b_{2} e^{24}+b_{3} e^{26}+b_{4} e^{35}+b_{5} e^{36}+b_{6} e^{46}+b_{7} e^{56},
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho= & a_{1} e^{123}+a_{2} e^{126}+a_{3} e^{135}+a_{4} e^{136}-a_{5} e^{146}+a_{6} e^{156}+a_{5} e^{234}+a_{6} e^{235} \\
& +a_{7} e^{236}+a_{8} e^{246}+a_{9} e^{256}+a_{10} e^{346}+a_{11} e^{356}+a_{12} e^{456} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now $\tilde{J}_{\rho}^{*}$ is such that

$$
\tilde{J}_{\rho}^{*} e^{3}=\left(-2 a_{5} a_{6}-a_{3} a_{8}+a_{1} a_{12}\right) e^{3}+\left(2 a_{6} a_{8}+2 a_{5} a_{9}+2 a_{2} a_{12}\right) e^{6}
$$

therefore

$$
e^{3} \wedge \tilde{J}_{\rho}^{*} e^{3} \wedge F^{2}=0
$$

Thus, we consider Proposition 2.1.5 (1.) for the 1 -form $\alpha=e^{3}$.

- The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{6,21}^{0}$ is defined by

$$
\mathfrak{g}_{6,21}^{0}=\left(e^{23}, 0, e^{26}, e^{46},-e^{56}, 0\right)
$$

We have that any pair $(F, \rho) \in Z^{2}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{6,21}^{0}\right) \times Z^{3}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{6,21}^{0}\right)$ is of the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
F= & b_{1} e^{12}+b_{2} e^{23}+b_{3} e^{26}+b_{4} e^{36}+b_{5} e^{45}+b_{6} e^{46}+b_{7} e^{56}, \\
\rho= & a_{1} e^{123}+a_{2} e^{126}+a_{3} e^{136}-a_{4} e^{146}+a_{5} e^{156}+a_{4} e^{234}+a_{5} e^{235} \\
& +a_{6} e^{236}+a_{7} e^{245}+a_{8} e^{246}+a_{9} e^{256}+a_{10} e^{346}+a_{11} e^{356}+a_{12} e^{456} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, $\tilde{J}_{\rho}^{*}$ satisfies that

$$
\tilde{J}_{\rho}^{*} e^{2}=\left(-2 a_{4} a_{5}-a_{3} a_{7}+a_{1} a_{12}\right) e^{2}+\left(-2 a_{5} a_{10}-2 a_{4} a_{11}-2 a_{3} a_{12}\right) e^{6} .
$$

So,

$$
e^{2} \wedge \tilde{J}_{\rho}^{*} e^{2} \wedge F^{2}=0
$$

and we apply Proposition 2.1.5 (1.) with the 1 -form $\alpha=e^{2}$.

- Consider the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{6,36}^{0,0}$ whose structure equations are

$$
\mathfrak{g}_{6,36}^{0,0}=\left(\mathrm{e}^{23}, 0, \mathrm{e}^{26},-\mathrm{e}^{56}, \mathrm{e}^{46}, 0\right)
$$

Any pair $(F, \rho) \in Z^{2}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{6,36}^{0,0}\right) \times Z^{3}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{6,36}^{0,0}\right)$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
F= & b_{1} e^{12}+b_{2} e^{23}+b_{3} e^{26}+b_{4} e^{36}+b_{5} e^{45}+b_{6} e^{46}+b_{7} e^{56}, \\
\rho= & a_{1} e^{123}+a_{2} e^{126}+a_{3} e^{136}+a_{4} e^{146}+a_{5} e^{156}+a_{5} e^{234}-a_{4} e^{235}+a_{6} e^{236} \\
& +a_{7} e^{245}+a_{8} e^{246}+a_{9} e^{256}+a_{10} e^{346}+a_{11} e^{356}+a_{12} e^{456} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, $\tilde{J}_{\rho}^{*}$ is such that

$$
\tilde{J}_{\rho}^{*} e^{2}=\left(-a_{4}^{2}-a_{5}^{2}-a_{3} a_{7}+a_{1} a_{12}\right) e^{2}+\left(-2 a_{5} a_{10}+2 a_{4} a_{11}-2 a_{3} a_{12}\right) e^{6}
$$

therefore

$$
e^{2} \wedge \tilde{J}_{\rho}^{*} e^{2} \wedge F^{2}=0
$$

Thus, we take $\alpha=e^{2}$ in Proposition 2.1.5 (1.).

- For the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{6,70}^{0,0}$, defined by the equations

$$
\mathfrak{g}_{6,70}^{0,0}=\left(-\mathrm{e}^{26}+\mathrm{e}^{35}, \mathrm{e}^{16}+\mathrm{e}^{45},-\mathrm{e}^{46}, \mathrm{e}^{36}, 0,0\right)
$$

any pair $(F, \rho) \in Z^{2}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{6,70}^{0,0}\right) \times Z^{3}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{6,70}^{0,0}\right)$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
F= & b_{1} e^{13}+b_{1} e^{24}+b_{2} e^{34}-b_{3} e^{26}+b_{3} e^{35}+b_{4} e^{36}+b_{5} e^{16}+b_{5} e^{45}+b_{6} e^{46}+b_{7} e^{56}, \\
\rho= & a_{1} e^{125}+a_{2} e^{136}+a_{3} e^{156}-a_{4} e^{145}+a_{4} e^{235}+a_{5} e^{146}+a_{5} e^{236}+a_{6} e^{135} \\
& +a_{6} e^{245}+a_{7} e^{246}+a_{8} e^{256}+a_{9} e^{345}+a_{10} e^{346}+a_{11} e^{356}+a_{12} e^{456}
\end{aligned}
$$

A direct calculation shows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{J}_{\rho} e_{1}= & \left(2 a_{4} a_{5}-a_{2} a_{6}+a_{6} a_{7}-a_{1} a_{10}\right) e_{1}+\left(-2 a_{2} a_{4}-2 a_{5} a_{6}\right) e_{2}+\left(2 a_{1} a_{5}\right) e_{3} \\
& -\left(2 a_{1} a_{2}\right) e_{4},
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{J}_{\rho} e_{2}= & \left(2 a_{4} a_{7}-2 a_{5} a_{6}\right) e_{1}+\left(-2 a_{4} a_{5}+a_{2} a_{6}-a_{6} a_{7}-a_{1} a_{10}\right) e_{2}+\left(2 a_{1} a_{7}\right) e_{3} \\
& -\left(2 a_{1} a_{5}\right) e_{4},
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies

$$
F\left(\tilde{J}_{\rho} e_{1}, e_{1}\right)=-2 b_{1} a_{1} a_{5}, \quad \text { and } \quad F\left(\tilde{J}_{\rho} e_{2}, e_{2}\right)=2 b_{1} a_{1} a_{5}
$$

Thus, we can consider Proposition 2.1.5 (2.) with the pair $X=e_{1}$ and $Y=e_{2}$.

- Take the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{6,78}$ defined by

$$
\mathfrak{g}_{6,78}=\left(-\mathrm{e}^{16}+\mathrm{e}^{25}, \mathrm{e}^{45}, \mathrm{e}^{24}+\mathrm{e}^{36}+\mathrm{e}^{46}, \mathrm{e}^{46},-\mathrm{e}^{56}, 0\right)
$$

Any pair $(F, \rho) \in Z^{2}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{6,78}\right) \times Z^{3}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{6,78}\right)$ is of the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
F= & b_{1} e^{14}+b_{2} e^{16}+b_{3} e^{24}-b_{2} e^{25}+b_{1} e^{26}+b_{1} e^{35}+b_{3} e^{36}+b_{4} e^{45}+b_{5} e^{46}+b_{6} e^{56}, \\
\rho= & a_{1} e^{124}+a_{2} e^{126}-a_{2} e^{145}+a_{3} e^{146}+a_{4} e^{156}+a_{5} e^{235}+a_{6} e^{236}+a_{1} e^{245} \\
& +a_{8} e^{246}+a_{9} e^{256}-a_{6} e^{345}+a_{10} e^{346}+a_{3} e^{356}-a_{5} e^{356}+a_{11} e^{456} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We obtain that

$$
\tilde{J}_{\rho} e_{1}=\left(a_{1} a_{3}+a_{5} a_{3}-a_{1} a_{5}\right) e_{1}+\left(2 a_{2}^{2}-2 a_{1} a_{4}\right) e_{3}
$$

which implies that

$$
F\left(\tilde{J}_{\rho} e_{1}, e_{1}\right)=0
$$

Hence, we apply Proposition 2.1.5 (2.) for $X=Y=e_{1}$.

- For the Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{n}_{6,84}^{ \pm}$, whose structure equations are

$$
\mathfrak{n}_{6,84}^{ \pm}=\left(-\mathrm{e}^{45},-\mathrm{e}^{15}-\mathrm{e}^{36},-\mathrm{e}^{14}+\mathrm{e}^{26} \mp \mathrm{e}^{56}, \mathrm{e}^{56},-\mathrm{e}^{46}, 0\right),
$$

any pair $(F, \rho) \in Z^{2}\left(\mathfrak{n}_{6,84}^{ \pm}\right) \times Z^{3}\left(\mathfrak{n}_{6,84}^{ \pm}\right)$is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
F= & b_{1} e^{14}-b_{1} e^{26}+b_{2} e^{15}+b_{2} e^{36}+b_{3} e^{16} \mp b_{3} e^{25} \mp b_{3} e^{34}+b_{4} e^{45}+b_{5} e^{46}+b_{6} e^{56}, \\
\rho= & a_{1} e^{124}-a_{1} e^{135}+a_{2} e^{125}+a_{2} e^{134} \pm a_{2} e^{246}+a_{3} e^{126}+a_{3} e^{345}+a_{4} e^{136} \\
& -a_{4} e^{245}+a_{5} e^{145}+a_{6} e^{146}+a_{7} e^{156}+a_{8} e^{125} \pm a_{8} e^{356}+a_{8} e^{134}+a_{9} e^{256} \\
& +a_{10} e^{346}+a_{11} e^{456} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{J}_{\rho} e_{2}= & \left(-a_{1} a_{2}+a_{3}^{2}-a_{4}^{2} \pm a_{1} a_{8}+a_{2} a_{9}+a_{8} a_{9}-a_{2} a_{10}-a_{8} a_{10}\right) e_{2} \\
& +\left(\mp 2 a_{2}^{2}+2 a_{3} a_{4} \mp 2 a_{2} a_{8}-2 a_{1} a_{9}\right) e_{3},
\end{aligned}
$$

and so,

$$
F\left(\tilde{J}_{\rho} e_{2}, e_{2}\right)=0
$$

Now, we apply Proposition 2.1.5 (2.) for $X=e_{2}$ and $Y=e_{2}$.

Remark 2.3.2. The simply connected solvable Lie group whose Lie algebra is $\mathfrak{g}_{6,38}^{0}$ admits a lattice by [19, Proposition 8.3.3]. For $\mathfrak{g}_{6,54}^{0,-1}$, it is shown in [61] that the corresponding simply connected Lie group has also a compact quotient by a lattice. Finally, the simply connected solvable Lie group corresponding to $\mathfrak{g}_{6,118}^{0,-1,-1}$ admits a lattice by [130].

### 2.4 Non-unimodular indecomposable symplectic half-flat Lie algebras

In this section we complete the classification of 6-dimensional solvable Lie algebras carrying symplectic half-flat structures.

A 6-dimensional solvable Lie algebra with nilradical of dimension lower than 4 is decomposable or nilpotent [104]. So, we are left to study Lie algebras with 4 and 5 -dimensional nilradical. We study which of those admit also symplectic forms, and describe them in Table 2.5, where $F$ denotes a symplectic form and $\left(\omega, \psi_{+}\right)$a half-flat structure.

Table 2.5: Non-unimodular indecomposable solvable Lie algebras with 5 -dimensional nilradical admitting both symplectic and half-flat structures.

| $\mathfrak{g}$ | str. equations | half-flat str. | symplectic str. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $A_{6,13}^{-\frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{3},-1}$ | $\begin{gathered} \left(-\frac{1}{3} e^{16}+e^{23},-\frac{2}{3} e^{26}, \frac{1}{3} e^{36}\right. \\ \left.e^{46},-e^{56}, 0\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \omega=-2 e^{16}+e^{34}+e^{52} \\ & \psi_{+}=-2 e^{135}-2 e^{124}-e^{356}+e^{246} \end{aligned}$ | $F=-2 e^{16}+e^{34}-e^{25}$ |
| $A_{6,39}^{\frac{3}{2},-\frac{3}{2}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \left(-\frac{1}{2} e^{16}+e^{45}, e^{15}+\frac{1}{2} e^{26}\right. \\ \left.\frac{3}{2} e^{36},-\frac{3}{2} e^{46}, e^{56}, 0\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \omega=-e^{12}-e^{34}+2 e^{56} \\ & \psi_{+}=e^{135}+2 e^{146}+2 e^{236}-e^{245} \end{aligned}$ | $F=-2 e^{15}+e^{34}+e^{26}$ |
| $A_{6,39}^{1,-1}$ | $\left(e^{45}, e^{15}+e^{26}, e^{36},-e^{46}, e^{56}, 0\right)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \omega=2 e^{16}+e^{23}-e^{34}+e^{45} \\ & \psi_{+}=-e^{124}+e^{135}+2 e^{236} \\ & +2 e^{256}+2 e^{346} \end{aligned}$ | $F=e^{15}+e^{26}+e^{34}$ |
| $A_{6,42}^{-1}$ | $\begin{gathered} \left(e^{45}, e^{15}+e^{26}, e^{36}+e^{56}\right. \\ \left.-e^{46}, e^{56}, 0\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \omega=2 e^{16}+e^{23}-e^{34}+e^{45} \\ & \psi_{+}=-e^{124}+e^{135}+2 e^{236} \\ & +2 e^{256}+2 e^{346} \end{aligned}$ | $F=e^{15}+e^{26}+e^{34}-e^{16}$ |
| $A_{6,51}^{ \pm 1}$ | $\left(e^{45}, e^{15} \pm e^{46}, e^{36}, 0,0,0\right)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \omega=e^{16}+e^{23}-e^{34}+e^{45} \\ & \psi_{+}=-e^{124}+e^{135}+e^{236} \\ & +e^{256}+e^{346} \end{aligned}$ | $F=e^{14}+e^{16} \pm e^{25}+e^{36}$ |
| $A_{6,54}^{-1,-2}$ | $\begin{gathered} \left(e^{16}+e^{35},-2 e^{26}+e^{45}, 2 e^{36}\right. \\ \left.-e^{46},-e^{56}, 0\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \omega=-e^{13}-e^{24}+e^{56} \\ & \psi_{+}=e^{126}-e^{145}+e^{235}-e^{346} \end{aligned}$ | $F=e^{14}+e^{23}+e^{56}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & A_{6,54}^{\alpha, \alpha-1} \\ & 0<\alpha<2 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \left(e^{16}+e^{35},(\alpha-1) e^{26}+e^{45}\right. \\ \left.(1-\alpha) e^{36},-e^{46}, \alpha e^{56}, 0\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \omega=-e^{13}-e^{24}-\alpha e^{56} \\ & \psi_{+}=-\alpha e^{126}-e^{145}+e^{235}+\alpha e^{346} \end{aligned}$ | $F=e^{14}+e^{23}+e^{56}$ |
| $A_{6,54}^{2,1}$ | $\begin{gathered} \left(e^{16}+e^{35}, e^{26}+e^{45},-e^{36}\right. \\ \left.\quad-e^{46}, 2 e^{56}, 0\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \omega=e^{31}+e^{42}+2 e^{65} \\ & \psi_{+}=2 e^{346}+e^{235}-e^{145}-2 e^{126} \end{aligned}$ | $F=-e^{13}-e^{24}-2 e^{56}$ |
| $A_{6,56}^{1}$ | $\begin{gathered} \left(e^{16}+e^{35}, e^{36}+e^{45}, 0\right. \\ \left.-e^{46}, e^{56}, 0\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \omega=-e^{13}-e^{24}-e^{56} \\ & \psi_{+}=-e^{126}-e^{145}+e^{235}+e^{346} \end{aligned}$ | $F=e^{14}+e^{23}+e^{56}$ |
| $A_{6,65}^{1,2}$ | $\begin{gathered} \left(e^{16}+e^{35}, e^{16}+e^{26}+e^{45}\right. \\ \left.-e^{36}, e^{36}-e^{46}, 2 e^{56}, 0\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \omega=-e^{13}-e^{24}-2 e^{56} \\ & \psi_{+}=-2 e^{126}-e^{145}+e^{235}+2 e^{346} \end{aligned}$ | $F=e^{13}+e^{16}+e^{26}+e^{45}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & A_{6,70}^{\alpha, \frac{\alpha}{2}} \\ & \alpha \neq 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \left(\frac{\alpha}{2} e^{16}-e^{26}+e^{35},\right. \\ e^{16}+\frac{\alpha}{2} e^{26}+e^{45},-\frac{\alpha}{2} e^{36}-e^{46}, \\ \left.e^{36}-\frac{\alpha}{2} e^{46}, \alpha e^{56}, 0\right) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \omega=e^{13}+e^{24}-\alpha e^{65} \\ & \psi_{+}=-\alpha e^{126}-e^{145}+e^{235}+\alpha e^{346} \end{aligned}$ | $F=e^{13}+e^{24}-\alpha e^{65}$ |
| $A_{6,71}^{-\frac{3}{2}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \left(\frac{3}{2} e^{16}+e^{25}, \frac{1}{2} e^{26}+e^{35},\right. \\ \left.-\frac{1}{2} e^{36}+e^{45},-\frac{3}{2} e^{46}, e^{56}, 0\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \omega=e^{41}+e^{23}+2 e^{56} \\ & \psi_{+}=-e^{245}+2 e^{346}-2 e^{126}-e^{135} \end{aligned}$ | $F=-e^{14}+e^{23}+2 e^{56}$ |
| $A_{6,76}^{-3}$ | $\begin{gathered} \left(-5 e^{16}+e^{25},-2 e^{26}+e^{45}\right. \\ \left.e^{24}-e^{36}, e^{46},-3 e^{56}, 0\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \omega=e^{13}-8 e^{26}-\frac{3}{4} e^{34}+e^{45} \\ & \psi_{+}=e^{124}-6 e^{136}-8 e^{156} \\ & +e^{235}-8 e^{346} \end{aligned}$ | $F=-5 e^{16}+e^{25}+e^{34}$ |
| $A_{6,82}^{1,5,9}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \left(2 e^{16}+e^{24}+e^{35}, 6 e^{26}\right. \\ & \left.10 e^{36},-4 e^{46},-8 e^{56}, 0\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \omega=e^{14}-3 e^{24}-12 e^{26}-e^{35} \\ & \psi_{+}=e^{125}-12 e^{136}-e^{234} \\ & 36 e^{236}-12 e^{456} \end{aligned}$ | $F=2 e^{16}+e^{24}+e^{35}$ |
| $A_{6,94}^{-3}$ | $\begin{gathered} \left(-e^{16}+e^{25}+e^{34},-2 e^{26}+e^{35}\right. \\ \left.-3 e^{36}, 2 e^{46}, e^{56}, 0\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \omega=-e^{14}-e^{25}-\frac{3}{2} e^{34}-3 e^{36} \\ & \psi_{+}=3 e^{126}-e^{135}+e^{234} \\ & -\frac{9}{2} e^{236}-3 e^{456} \end{aligned}$ | $F=-e^{16}+e^{25}+e^{34}$ |
| $A_{6,94}^{-\frac{5}{3}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \left(\frac{1}{3} e^{16}+e^{25}+e^{34},-\frac{2}{3} e^{26}+e^{35}\right. \\ \left.\quad-\frac{5}{3} e^{36}, 2 e^{46}, e^{56}, 0\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \omega=e^{12}-\frac{1}{7} e^{23}+\frac{7}{2} e^{25}+ \\ & e^{34}-\frac{7}{3} e^{36}+\frac{7}{3} e^{56} \\ & \psi_{+}=\frac{1}{3} e^{126}-e^{135}+\frac{7}{3} e^{146}+e^{234} \\ & +\frac{7}{3} e^{236}+e^{245}+\frac{7}{6} e^{256}+\frac{49}{6} e^{456} \end{aligned}$ | $F=\frac{1}{3} e^{16}+e^{25}+e^{34}$ |
| $A_{6,94}^{-1}$ | $\begin{gathered} \left(e^{16}+e^{25}+e^{34}, e^{35}\right. \\ \left.\quad-e^{36}, 2 e^{46}, e^{56}, 0\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \omega=e^{14}+e^{15}-3 e^{16} \\ & -3 e^{26}+e^{34} \\ & \psi_{+}=e^{123}-3 e^{146}+3 e^{156} \\ & -e^{245}+3 e^{246}+3 e^{356} \end{aligned}$ | $F=e^{16}+e^{25}+e^{34}$ |

Proposition 2.4.1. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a 6-dimensional non-unimodular indecomposable and (non-nilpotent) solvable Lie algebra with 5-dimensional nilradical. Then, $\mathfrak{g}$ admits symplectic half-flat structure if and only if $\mathfrak{g}=A_{6,13}^{-\frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{3},-1}, \mathfrak{g}=A_{6,54}^{2,1}, \mathfrak{g}=A_{6,70}^{\alpha, \frac{\alpha}{2}}(\alpha \neq$ $0)$, or $\mathfrak{g}=A_{6,71}^{-\frac{3}{2}}$.

Proof. The half-flat structure $\left(\omega, \psi_{+}\right)$given in Table 2.5 for the Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}=$ $A_{6,13}^{-\frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{3},-1}, \mathfrak{g}=A_{6,54}^{2,1}, \mathfrak{g}=A_{6,70}^{\alpha, \frac{\alpha}{2}}(\alpha \neq 0)$ and $\mathfrak{g}=A_{6,71}^{-\frac{3}{2}}$ is symplectic half-flat.

In the following, we show that there are no more Lie algebras of Table 2.5 having a symplectic half-flat structure. To this end, we use Lemma 2.1.6 for appropriated vector $X$ of the corresponding Lie algebra.

- For the Lie algebra $A_{6,39}^{\frac{3}{2},-\frac{3}{2}}$, defined by the structure equations

$$
\mathrm{A}_{6,39}^{\frac{3}{2},-\frac{3}{2}}=\left(-\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{e}^{16}+\mathrm{e}^{45}, \mathrm{e}^{15}+\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{e}^{26}, \frac{3}{2} \mathrm{e}^{36},-\frac{3}{2} \mathrm{e}^{46}, \mathrm{e}^{56}, 0\right)
$$

we consider the 7 -dimensional Lie algebra

$$
A_{6,39}^{\frac{3}{2},-\frac{3}{2}} \oplus \mathbb{R}
$$

Then, any 3 -form $\phi=Z^{3}\left(A_{6,39}^{\frac{3}{2,-\frac{3}{2}}} \oplus \mathbb{R}\right)$ is of the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi= & a_{1} e^{146}+a_{2} e^{156}+a_{3}\left(e^{135}+2 e^{236}\right)+a_{4} e^{245}-a_{5}\left(e^{145}-e^{246}\right)+a_{6} e^{256} \\
& +a_{7}\left(2 e^{157}+e^{267}\right)-a_{8}\left(e^{136}-e^{345}\right)+a_{9} e^{346}+a_{10} e^{347}+a_{11} e^{356} \\
& +a_{12} e^{367}+e_{13} e^{456}-a_{14}\left(e^{167}-2 e^{457}\right)+a_{15} e^{467}+a_{16} e^{567}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $a_{i}$ are arbitrary real numbers. Thus, the 2 -form $\mu=\iota_{e_{1}} \phi$ has the expression

$$
\mu=a_{1} e^{46}+a_{2} e^{56}+a_{3} e^{35}-a_{5} e^{45}+2 a_{7} e^{57}-a_{8} e^{36}-a_{14} e^{67}
$$

Therefore, $\mu$ is degenerate and Lemma 2.1.6 applies for $X=e_{1}$.

- The Lie algebra $A_{6,39}^{1,-1}$ is defined by the structure equations

$$
A_{6,39}^{1,-1}=\left(e^{45}, e^{15}+e^{26}, e^{36},-e^{46}, e^{56}, 0\right)
$$

Then, $\phi \in Z^{3}\left(A_{6,39}^{1,-1} \oplus \mathbb{R}\right)$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi= & a_{1} e^{135}+a_{2} e^{136}+a_{3} e^{145}+a_{4} e^{146}+a_{5} e^{156}+a_{6} e^{157}+a_{7} e^{167}+2 a_{1} e^{236} \\
& +a_{8} e^{245}-a_{3} e^{246}+a_{9} e^{256}+\frac{a_{6}}{2} e^{267}-a_{2} e^{345}+a_{10} e^{346}+a_{11} e^{347} \\
& +a_{12} e^{356}+a_{13} e^{367}+a_{14} e^{456}-2 a_{7} e^{457}+a_{15} e^{467}+a_{16} e^{567}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, Lemma 2.1.6 applies for $X=e_{2}$.

- For the Lie algebra $A_{6,42}^{-1}$, given by

$$
A_{6,42}^{-1}=\left(e^{45}, e^{15}+e^{26}, e^{36}+e^{56},-e^{46}, e^{56}, 0\right)
$$

we consider a closed 3 -form $\phi \in Z^{3}\left(A_{6,42}^{-1} \oplus \mathbb{R}\right)$. So,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi= & a_{1} e^{124}+a_{2} e^{126}+a_{3} e^{134}+a_{4} e^{135}+a_{5} e^{136}+a_{6} e^{145}+a_{7} e^{146}+a_{8} e^{156} \\
& +a_{9} e^{157}+a_{10} e^{167}+2 a_{4} e^{236}-a_{2} e^{245}-a_{3} e^{246}+a_{11} e^{256}+a_{9} e^{267} \\
& -a_{5} e^{345}+a_{12} e^{346}-a_{10} e^{347}+a_{13} e^{356}+a_{14} e^{367}+a_{15} e^{456}+a_{16} e^{457} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, Lemma 2.1.6 can be applied with $X=e_{2}$.

- The Lie algebras $A_{6,51}^{ \pm 1}$ are defined by

$$
A_{6,51}^{ \pm 1}=\left(e^{45}, e^{15} \pm e^{46}, e^{36}, 0,0,0\right)
$$

Any closed 3 -form $\phi \in Z^{3}\left(A_{6,51}^{ \pm 1} \oplus \mathbb{R}\right)$ has the following expression

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi= & a_{1} e^{124}+a_{2} e^{125}+a_{3} e^{135}+a_{4} e^{136}+a_{5} e^{145}+a_{6} e^{146}+a_{7} e^{147}+a_{8} e^{156} \\
& +a_{9} e^{157}+a_{10} e^{167}+a_{3} e^{236}+a_{11} e^{245} \pm a_{2} e^{246}+a_{12} e^{256} \pm a_{10} e^{257}-a_{4} e^{345} \\
& +a_{13} e^{346}+a_{14} e^{356}+a_{15} e^{367}+a_{16} e^{457}+a_{17} e^{467}+a_{18} e^{567} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, Lemma 2.1.6 fulfils for $X=e_{3}$.

- For the Lie algebra $A_{6,54}^{-1,-2}$, with structure equations

$$
A_{6,54}^{-1,-2}=\left(e^{16}+e^{35},-2 \mathrm{e}^{26}+\mathrm{e}^{45}, 2 \mathrm{e}^{36},-\mathrm{e}^{46},-\mathrm{e}^{56}, 0\right)
$$

a closed 3-form on $A_{6,54}^{-1,-2} \oplus \mathbb{R}$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi= & a_{1} e^{126}+a_{2} e^{136}-a_{1} e^{145}+a_{3} e^{146}+a_{4} e^{147}+a_{5} e^{156}+a_{6} e^{157}+a_{7} e^{167} \\
& +a_{1} e^{235}+a_{3} e^{236}+a_{4} e^{237}+a_{8} e^{246}+a_{9} e^{256}+a_{10} e^{267}+a_{11} e^{345}+a_{12} e^{346} \\
& +a_{13} e^{356}+a_{7} e^{357}+a_{14} e^{367}+a_{15} e^{456}-\frac{a_{10}}{2} e^{457}+a_{16} e^{467}+a_{17} e^{567}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, we apply Lemma 2.1.6 for $X=e_{1}$.

- Take the family of Lie algebras $A_{6,54}^{\alpha, \alpha-1}$ with $0<\alpha<2$. It is given by

$$
\mathbf{A}_{6,54}^{\alpha, \alpha-1}=\left(\mathbf{e}^{16}+\mathbf{e}^{35},(\alpha-1) \mathbf{e}^{26}+\mathrm{e}^{45},(1-\alpha) \mathbf{e}^{36},-\mathrm{e}^{46}, \alpha \mathrm{e}^{56}, 0\right)
$$

The expression of any $\phi \in Z^{3}\left(A_{6,54}^{\alpha, \alpha-1} \oplus \mathbb{R}\right)$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi= & \alpha a_{2} e^{126}+a_{1} e^{136}+a_{2} e^{145}+a_{3} e^{146}+a_{4} e^{147}+a_{5} e^{156}+a_{6} e^{167}-a_{2} e^{235} \\
& +a_{3} e^{236}+a_{4} e^{237}+a_{7} e^{246}+a_{8} e^{256}+(\alpha-1) a_{147} e^{267}+a_{9} e^{345}+a_{10} e^{346} \\
& +a_{11} e^{356}+a_{6} e^{357}+a_{12} e^{367}+a_{13} e^{456}+a_{14} e^{457}+a_{15} e^{467}+a_{16} e^{567} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, we can apply Lemma 2.1.6 with $X=e_{1}$.

- For the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}=A_{6,56}^{1}$, given by the structure equations

$$
A_{6,56}^{1}=\left(e^{16}+e^{35}, e^{36}+e^{45}, 0,-e^{46}, e^{56}, 0\right)
$$

any $\phi \in Z^{3}\left(A_{6,56}^{1} \oplus \mathbb{R}\right)$ is of the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi= & a_{1} e^{126}+a_{2} e^{134}+a_{3} e^{136}+a_{1} e^{145}+a_{4} e^{146}+a_{5} e^{147}+a_{6} e^{156}+a_{7} e^{167}-a_{1} e^{235} \\
& +a_{8} e^{236}+a_{5} e^{237}+a_{4} e^{245}-a_{8} e^{245}+a_{9} e^{246}+a_{10} e^{256}+a_{11} e^{345}+a_{12} e^{346} \\
& +a_{13} e^{356}+a_{7} e^{357}+a_{14} e^{367}+a_{15} e^{456}+a_{16} e^{457}+a_{17} e^{467}+a_{18} e^{567},
\end{aligned}
$$

thus, we use Lemma 2.1.6 with $X=e_{1}$. Hence $A_{6,56}^{1}$ does not admit symplectic half-flat structure.

- The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}=A_{6,65}^{1,2}$ is defined by

$$
A_{6,65}^{1,2}=\left(e^{16}+e^{35}, e^{16}+e^{26}+e^{45},-e^{36}, e^{36}-e^{46}, 2 e^{56}, 0\right)
$$

A closed 3-form $\phi$ on $A_{6,65}^{1,2} \oplus \mathbb{R}$ is expressed as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi= & a_{1} e^{126}+a_{2} e^{136}+a_{3} e^{137}+\frac{a_{1}}{2} e^{145}+a_{4} e^{146}+a_{5} e^{156}+a_{6} e^{167}-\frac{a_{1}}{2} e^{235} \\
& +a_{4} e^{236}+a_{7} e^{246}+a_{8} e^{256}+a_{9} e^{267}+a_{10} e^{345}+a_{11} e^{346}+a_{12} e^{356}+a_{6} e^{357} \\
& -a_{9} e^{357}+a_{13} e^{367}+a_{14} e^{456}+a_{9} e^{457}+a_{15} e^{467}+a_{16} e^{567} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, we apply Lemma 2.1.6 for $X=e_{2}$.
Following the same procedure we obtain that for the remaining Lie algebras of Table 2.5. that is, $A_{6,76}^{-3}, A_{6,82}^{1,5,9}, A_{6,94}^{-3}, A_{6,94}^{\frac{5}{3}}$ and $A_{6,94}^{-1}$ we can apply Lemma 2.1.6 with $X=e_{1}$.

It remains to study solvable Lie algebras with 4-dimensional nilradical. To this end, we use the list of [124] that contains 12 Lie algebras and 31 families depending at least of one parameter. Indeed, there are 14 one-parameter families, 10 twoparameter families, 4 three-parameter families and 3 four-parameter families. We prove that from all them only 1 Lie algebra has symplectic half-flat structures. First we study which of those Lie algebras admit symplectic forms and we describe them in the following table.

Table 2.6: Non-unimodular indecomposable symplectic solvable Lie algebras with 4 -dimensional nilradical.

| $\mathfrak{g}$ | str. equations | symplectic str. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $N_{6,1}^{\alpha, \beta,-\alpha,-\beta} \alpha \beta \neq 0$ | $\left(\alpha e^{15}+\beta e^{16},-\alpha e^{25}-\beta e^{26}, e^{36}, e^{45}, 0,0\right)$ | $F=e^{12}+e^{36}+e^{45}$ |
| $N_{6,1}^{\alpha, \beta, 0,-1} \alpha \beta \neq 0$ | $\left(\alpha e^{15}+\beta e^{16},-e^{26}, e^{36}, e^{45}, 0,0\right)$ | $F=e^{23}+e^{45}+\alpha e^{15}+\beta e^{16}$ |
| $N_{6,1}^{\alpha, \beta,-1,0} \alpha \beta \neq 0$ | $\left(\alpha e^{15}+\beta e^{16},-e^{25}, e^{36}, e^{45}, 0,0\right)$ | $F=e^{24}+e^{36}+\alpha e^{15}+\beta e^{16}$ |
| $N_{6,2}^{-1, \beta,-\beta}$ | $\left(-e^{15}+\beta e^{16}, e^{25}-\beta e^{26}, e^{36}, e^{35}+e^{46}, 0,0\right)$ | $F=e^{12}+e^{35}+e^{46}$ |
| $N_{6,2}^{0,-1, \gamma}$ | $\left(-e^{16}, e^{25}+\gamma e^{26}, e^{36}, e^{35}+e^{46}, 0,0\right)$ | $F=\gamma e^{26}+e^{25}+e^{13}+e^{35}+e^{46}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & N_{6,7}^{0, \beta, 0} \\ & \beta \neq 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\left(-e^{26}, e^{16}, e^{35}, e^{35}+\beta e^{36}+e^{45}, 0,0\right)$ | $F=e^{12}+e^{35}+\beta e^{36}+e^{45}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & N_{6,13}^{\alpha, \beta,-\alpha,-\beta} \\ & \alpha^{2}+\beta^{2} \neq 0 \\ & (\alpha, \beta) \neq(0, \pm 2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \left(\alpha e^{15}+\beta e^{16},-\alpha e^{25}-\beta e^{26}, e^{36}-e^{45},\right. \\ \left.e^{35}+e^{46}, 0,0\right) \end{gathered}$ | $F=e^{12}+e^{36}-e^{45}$ |
| $N_{6,13}^{0,-2,0,2}$ | $\left(-2 e^{16}, 2 e^{26}, e^{36}-e^{45}, e^{35}+e^{46}, 0,0\right)$ | $F=e^{12}+e^{35}+e^{46}$ |
| $N_{6,14}^{\alpha, \beta, 0} \alpha \beta \neq 0$ | $\left(\alpha e^{15}+\beta e^{16}, e^{26},-e^{45}, e^{35}, 0,0\right)$ | $F=\alpha e^{15}+\beta e^{16}+e^{34}+e^{26}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & N_{6,15}^{0, \beta, \gamma, 0} \\ & \beta \neq 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \left(e^{15}+\gamma e^{16}-e^{26}, e^{16}+e^{25}+\gamma e^{26}\right. \\ \left.-\beta e^{45}, \beta e^{35}, 0,0\right) \end{gathered}$ | $F=e^{15}+\gamma e^{16}+e^{34}-e^{26}$ |
| $N_{6,16}^{0,0}$ | $\left(e^{16}, e^{15}+e^{26},-e^{45}, e^{35}, 0,0\right)$ | $F=e^{15}+e^{26}+e^{34}$ |
| $N_{6,17}^{0}$ | $\left(0, e^{15}, e^{36}-e^{45}, e^{35}+e^{46}, 0,0\right)$ | $F=e^{12}+e^{35}+e^{46}$ |
| $N_{6,18}^{0, \beta, 0} \beta \neq 0$ | $\left(e^{16}-e^{25}, e^{15}+e^{26},-\beta e^{45}, \beta e^{35}, 0,0\right)$ | $F=-e^{15}+e^{26}+e^{34}$ |
| $N_{6,20}^{0,-1}$ | $\left(-e^{56},-e^{26}, e^{36}, e^{45}, 0,0\right)$ | $F=e^{23}+e^{45}+e^{16}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & N_{6,22}^{\alpha, 0} \\ & \alpha \neq 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\left(e^{15}+\alpha e^{16}, e^{26}, 0, e^{35}, 0,0\right)$ | $F=e^{15}+\alpha e^{16}+e^{34}+e^{26}$ |
| $N_{6,23}^{\alpha, 0}$ | $\left(e^{15}-e^{26}, e^{16}+e^{25}, 0, e^{35}+\alpha e^{36}, 0,0\right)$ | $F=e^{16}+e^{25}+e^{34}$ |
| $N_{6,26}^{0}$ | $\left(-e^{56}, e^{26},-e^{45}, e^{35}, 0,0\right)$ | $F=e^{15}+e^{26}+e^{34}$ |
| $N_{6,28}$ | $\begin{gathered} \left(-e^{24}+e^{15},-e^{34}+e^{26},-e^{35}+2 e^{36},\right. \\ \left.e^{45}-e^{46}, 0,0\right) \end{gathered}$ | $F=e^{15}-e^{24}-e^{35}+2 e^{26}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & N_{6,29}^{\alpha, \beta} \\ & \alpha^{2}+\beta^{2} \neq 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\left(-e^{23}+e^{15}+e^{16}, e^{25}, e^{36}, \alpha e^{45}+\beta e^{46}, 0,0\right)$ | $F=-e^{23}+e^{15}+e^{16}+\alpha e^{45}+\beta e^{46}$ |
| $N_{6,30}^{\alpha}$ | $\left(-e^{23}+2 e^{15}, e^{25}, e^{26}+e^{35}, \alpha e^{45}+e^{46}, 0,0\right)$ | $F=e^{15}-e^{23}+e^{46}+\alpha e^{45}$ |
| $N_{6,32}^{\alpha}$ | $\begin{gathered} \left(-e^{23}+e^{45}+e^{16}, e^{25}+\alpha e^{26}\right. \\ \left.(1-\alpha) e^{36}-e^{35}, e^{46}, 0,0\right) \end{gathered}$ | $F=-e^{23}+e^{45}+e^{16}$ |
| $N_{6,33}$ | $\left(-e^{23}+e^{15}+e^{16}, e^{25}, e^{36}, e^{36}+e^{46}, 0,0\right)$ | $F=-e^{23}+e^{15}+e^{46}+e^{16}$ |
| $N_{6,34}^{\alpha}$ | $\begin{gathered} \left(-e^{23}+e^{15}+(1+\alpha) e^{16}, e^{25}+\alpha e^{26}\right. \\ \left.e^{36}, e^{35}+e^{46}, 0,0\right) \end{gathered}$ | $F=-e^{23}+e^{15}+(1+\alpha) e^{16}+e^{35}+e^{46}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & N_{6,35}^{\alpha, \beta} \\ & \alpha \neq 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \left(-e^{23}+2 e^{16},-e^{35}+e^{26}, e^{36}+e^{25}\right. \\ \left.\alpha e^{45}+\beta e^{46}, 0,0\right) \end{gathered}$ | $F=-e^{23}+2 e^{16}+\alpha e^{45}+\beta e^{46}$ |
| $N_{6,37}^{\alpha}$ | $\begin{gathered} \left(-e^{23}+e^{45}+2 e^{16}, e^{26}-e^{35}-\alpha e^{36}\right. \\ \left.e^{25}+\alpha e^{26}+e^{36}, 2 e^{46}, 0,0\right) \end{gathered}$ | $F=-e^{23}+e^{45}+2 e^{16}$ |
| $N_{6,38}$ | $\left(-e^{23}+e^{15}+e^{16}, e^{25}, e^{36},-e^{56}, 0,0\right)$ | $F=-e^{23}+e^{15}+e^{16}+e^{46}$ |
| $N_{6,39}$ | $\left(-e^{23}+2 e^{16},-e^{35}+e^{26}, e^{25}+e^{36},-e^{56}, 0,0\right)$ | $F=-e^{23}+e^{45}+2 e^{16}$ |

Proposition 2.4.2. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a 6 -dimensional non-unimodular indecomposable and (non-nilpotent) solvable Lie algebra with 4 -dimensional nilradical. Then, $\mathfrak{g}$ has symplectic half-flat structure if and only if $\mathfrak{g}=N_{6,13}^{0,-2,0,2}$.
Proof. The Lie algebra $N_{6,13}^{0,-2,0,2}$, defined by the structure equations

$$
\mathrm{N}_{6,13}^{0,-2,0,2}=\left(-2 \mathrm{e}^{16}, 2 \mathrm{e}^{26}, \mathrm{e}^{36}-\mathrm{e}^{45}, \mathrm{e}^{35}+\mathrm{e}^{46}, 0,0\right),
$$

has a symplectic half-flat structure. In fact, the differential forms

$$
\omega=e^{12}+e^{35}+e^{46}
$$

and

$$
\psi_{+}=e^{134}-e^{156}-e^{236}+e^{245}
$$

are closed and determine an $\mathrm{SU}(3)$-structure since, with the change of basis given by

$$
\left\{f^{1}=e^{1}, \quad f^{2}=e^{2}, \quad f^{3}=e^{3}, \quad f^{4}=e^{5}, \quad f^{5}=e^{4}, \quad f^{6}=e^{6}\right\}
$$

the forms $\omega$ and $\psi_{+}$have the canonical expression, that is,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega & =f^{12}+f^{34}+f^{56} \\
\psi_{+} & =f^{135}-f^{146}-f^{236}-f^{245}
\end{aligned}
$$

Next, using Proposition 2.1.5 and Lemma 2.1.6, we show that no more Lie algebras appearing in Table 2.6 have symplectic half-flat structure. For the Lie algebras

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{6}, \mathbf{1}}^{\alpha, \beta,-\alpha,-\beta}(\alpha \beta \neq \mathbf{0}), \quad \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{6}, \mathbf{2}}^{-\mathbf{1}, \beta,-\beta}, \quad \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{6 , 2}}^{\mathbf{0 , - 1 , \gamma},}, \quad \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{6 , 7}}^{0, \beta, \mathbf{0}}, \\
& \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{6}, \mathbf{1 3}}^{\alpha, \beta, \alpha, \beta} \text { with } \alpha^{2}+\beta^{\mathbf{2}} \neq \mathbf{0},((\alpha, \beta) \neq(\mathbf{0}, \pm \mathbf{2})) \text { and } \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{6}, \mathbf{1 7}}^{0},
\end{aligned}
$$

we discard the existence of a symplectic half-flat structure by applying Lemma 2.1.6 with $X=e_{4}$.

For the Lie algebras
$\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{6 , 1}}^{\alpha, \beta, \mathbf{0},-\mathbf{1}}(\alpha \beta \neq \mathbf{0}), \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{6}, \mathbf{1}}^{\alpha, \beta,-\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{0}}(\alpha \beta \neq \mathbf{0}), \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{6}, 14}^{\alpha, \beta, \mathbf{0}}(\alpha \beta \neq \mathbf{0}), \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{6}, 15}^{\mathbf{0}, \beta, \gamma, \mathbf{0}}, \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{6}, \mathbf{1 6}}^{\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0}}, \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{6}, 18}^{0, \beta, \mathbf{0}}(\beta \neq \mathbf{0})$,
$\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{6}, \mathbf{2 0}}^{\mathbf{0 , - 1}}, \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{6}, 2 \mathbf{2}}^{\alpha, 0}(\alpha \neq \mathbf{0}), \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{6}, 2 \mathbf{3}}^{\alpha, 0}, \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{6}, 2 \mathbf{2}}^{0}, \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{6}, 29}^{\alpha, \beta}\left(\alpha^{2}+\beta^{2} \neq \mathbf{0}\right), \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{6}, \mathbf{3 0}}^{\alpha}, \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{6}, \mathbf{3 2}}^{\alpha}, \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{6}, 3 \mathbf{3}}, \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{6}, \mathbf{3 4}}^{\alpha}$,
$\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{6 , 3 5}}^{\alpha, \beta}(\alpha \neq \mathbf{0}), \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{6}, \mathbf{3 7}}^{\alpha}, \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{6 , 3 8}}, \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{6 , 3 9}}$,
we apply Lemma 2.1.6 with $X=e_{1}$.
Finally, on $\mathrm{N}_{6,28}$ we can apply Proposition 2.1.5 (2.) for $X=e_{5}$ and $Y=e_{6}$, which completes the proof.

Therefore, by Theorem 1.1.9, Proposition 2.2.1, Proposition 2.2.2, Proposition 2.2.3 and Proposition 2.3.1 we have

Theorem 2.4.3. A unimodular (non-Abelian) solvable Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ has symplectic half-flat structure if and only if it is isomorphic to one in the following list:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathfrak{e}(1,1) \oplus \mathfrak{e}(1,1)=\left(0,-e^{13},-e^{12}, 0,-e^{46},-e^{45}\right), \\
& \quad \omega=e^{14}+e^{23}+2 e^{56}, \quad \psi_{+}=\left(e^{12}+e^{34}\right) \wedge\left(e^{5}-e^{6}\right)+\left(-e^{13}+e^{24}\right) \wedge\left(e^{5}+e^{6}\right) ; \\
& \mathfrak{g}_{5,1} \oplus \mathbb{R}=\left(0,0,0,0, e^{12}, e^{13}\right), \\
& \omega=e^{14}+e^{26}+e^{35}, \quad \psi_{+}=e^{123}+e^{156}+e^{245}-e^{346} ; \\
& A_{5,7}^{-1,-1,1} \oplus \mathbb{R}=\left(e^{15},-e^{25},-e^{35}, e^{45}, 0,0\right), \\
& \omega \\
& \omega=-e^{13}+e^{24}+e^{56}, \quad \psi_{+}=-e^{126}-e^{145}-e^{235}-e^{346} ; \\
& A_{5,17}^{\alpha,-\alpha, 1} \oplus \mathbb{R}=\left(\alpha e^{15}+e^{25},-e^{15}+\alpha e^{25},-\alpha e^{35}+e^{45},-e^{35}-\alpha e^{45}, 0,0\right), \alpha \geq 0, \\
& \\
& \omega=e^{13}+e^{24}+e^{56}, \quad \psi_{+}=e^{125}-e^{146}+e^{236}-e^{345} ; \\
& \mathfrak{g}_{6, N 3}=\left(0,0,0, e^{12}, e^{13}, e^{23}\right), \\
& \omega=e^{16}+2 e^{25}+e^{34}, \quad \psi_{+}=e^{123}+2 e^{145}+e^{246}-2 e^{356} ; \\
& \mathfrak{g}_{6,38}^{0}=\left(e^{23},-e^{36}, e^{26}, e^{26}-e^{56}, e^{36}+e^{46}, 0\right), \\
& \omega=-2 e^{16}+e^{34}-e^{25}, \psi_{+}=e^{123}-2 e^{124}+e^{236}-e^{456} ; \\
& \mathfrak{g}_{6,54}^{0,-1}=\left(e^{16}+e^{35},-e^{26}+e^{45}, e^{36},-e^{46}, 0,0\right), \\
& \omega=e^{14}+e^{23}+e^{56}, \quad \psi_{+}=e^{125}-e^{136}+e^{246}+e^{345} ; \\
& \mathfrak{g}_{6,118}^{0,-1,-1}=\left(-e^{16}+e^{25},-e^{15}-e^{26}, e^{36}-e^{45}, e^{35}+e^{46}, 0,0\right), \\
& \omega=e^{14}+e^{23}-e^{56}, \quad \psi_{+}=e^{126}-e^{135}+e^{245}+e^{346} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 2.4.4. Note that in the previous theorem, only the first 4 Lie algebras are decomposable while the remaining 4 are indecomposable; and the Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}_{5,1} \oplus \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{6, N 3}$ are the unique (non-Abelian) nilpotent Lie algebras admitting symplectic half-flat structure. (These Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}_{5,1} \oplus \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{6, N 3}$ are, in Theorem 1.1.9, the second and third algebras, respectively.) Moreover, in Proposition 2.2 .1 was defined a symplectic half-flat structure on $\mathfrak{e}(1,1) \oplus \mathfrak{e}(1,1)$; in Proposition 2.2.3 was defined such a structure on $A_{5,7}^{-1,-1,1} \oplus \mathbb{R}$ and $A_{5,17}^{\alpha,-\alpha, 1} \oplus \mathbb{R}$, where $\alpha \geq 0$, and a symplectic half-flat structure on $\mathfrak{g}_{6,38}^{0}, \mathfrak{g}_{6,54}^{0,-1}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{6,118}^{0,-1,-1}$ was defined in Proposition 2.3.1.

Regarding non-unimodular solvable Lie algebras, from Proposition 2.4.1 and Proposition 2.4.2, we have:

Theorem 2.4.5. A non-unimodular solvable Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ has symplectic half-flat
structure if and only if it is isomorphic to one in the following list:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{6,13}^{-\frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{3},-1}=\left(-\frac{1}{3} e^{16}+e^{23},-\frac{2}{3} e^{26}, \frac{1}{3} e^{36}, e^{46},-e^{56}, 0\right) \\
& \omega=-2 e^{16}+e^{34}+e^{52}, \quad \psi_{+}=-2 e^{135}-2 e^{124}-e^{356}+e^{246} \\
& A_{6,54}^{2,1}=\left(e^{16}+e^{35}, e^{26}+e^{45},-e^{36},-e^{46}, 2 e^{56}, 0\right) \\
& \omega=-e^{13}-e^{24}-2 e^{56}, \quad \psi_{+}=e^{346}+e^{235}-e^{145}-2 e^{126} ; \\
& A_{6,70}^{\alpha, \frac{1}{2} \alpha}=\left(\frac{\alpha}{2} e^{16}-e^{26}+e^{35}, e^{16}+\frac{\alpha}{2} e^{26}+e^{45},-\frac{\alpha}{2} e^{36}-e^{46}, e^{36}-\frac{\alpha}{2} e^{46}, \alpha e^{56}, 0\right) \\
& w i t h \alpha \in \mathbb{R}-\{0\}, \\
& \omega=e^{13}+e^{24}+\alpha e^{56}, \\
& A_{6,71}^{-\frac{3}{2}}=\left(\frac{3}{2} e^{16}+e^{25}, \frac{1}{2} e^{26}+e^{35},-\frac{1}{2} e^{36}+e^{45},-\frac{3}{2} e^{46}, e^{56}, 0\right) \\
& \omega=-e^{14}+e^{23}+2 e^{56}, \\
& N_{6,13}^{0,-2,0,2}=\left(-2 e^{16}, 2 e^{26}, e^{36}-e^{45}, e^{35}+e^{46}, 0,0\right) \\
& \omega=e^{12}+e^{35}+e^{46},
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, in all these cases, $\mathfrak{g}$ is indecomposable.

## Chapter 3

## $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structures on Einstein solvmanifolds

"Dios, concédeme Serenidad para aceptar las cosas que no puedo cambiar, Valor para cambiar aquellas que puedo, y Sabiduría para reconocer la diferencia."

Plegaria de la Serenidad

The $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ analogue of the Goldberg conjecture for compact Einstein almost Kähler manifolds was studied in [35]. There, Cleyton and Ivanov proved that if $\varphi$ is a closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form inducing an Einstein metric $g_{\varphi}$ on a compact manifold, then $\varphi$ is parallel with respect to the Levi-Civita connection of the metric $g_{\varphi}$. But nothing is known for closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ forms inducing Einstein metrics on non-compact manifolds.

In this Chapter, we consider (non-compact) solvmanifolds and, for those manifolds, we study a $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ analogue of the Goldberg conjecture. In Theorem 3.3.5 we prove that 7 -dimensional solvable Lie groups do not carry any left invariant closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form defining an Einstein metric, unless the metric is flat. Moreover, in section 3.3.2 we study a $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ analogue for coclosed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ forms of the Goldberg conjecture. In Theorem 3.3.11 we show that 7-dimensional solvable Lie groups do not admit any left invariant coclosed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form defining an Einstein metric, unless the metric is flat. On the other hand, in section 3.4, using warped products we construct a new example of a (non-nearly parallel) coclosed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form $\varphi$ on a non-compact manifold such that $\varphi$ induces an Einstein metric. The results appearing in this chapter can be found in 53].

### 3.1 Standard solvable Lie algebras and Einstein metrics

In this section, we recall some results on solvable Lie groups, of arbitrary dimension, with a left invariant metric $g$ which is Einstein in the Riemannian sense, that is, the Ricci curvature tensor $\operatorname{Ric}(g)$ satisfies

$$
\operatorname{Ric}(g)=\lambda g
$$

where $\lambda$ is a constant.
Note that all the known examples of non-compact homogeneous Einstein manifolds are simply connected solvable Lie groups $S$ endowed with a left invariant metric (see for instance the survey [92]). Moreover, according to a long standing conjecture attributed to D. Alekseevskii (see [16, 7.57]), these might exhaust the class of non-compact homogeneous Einstein manifolds. In [46] the following result concerning unimodular solvable Lie groups admitting a left invariant Einstein metric is proved.

Theorem 3.1.1 [46]. Left invariant Einstein metrics on unimodular solvable Lie groups are flat.

Therefore, we will study non-unimodular solvable Lie groups. A left invariant metric on a Lie group $S$ will always be identified with the inner product $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ determined on the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{s}$ of $S$. The pair $(\mathfrak{s},\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle)$ is said to be a metric Lie algebra.

Lauret in [93] characterizes the Einstein metric solvable Lie algebras as the metric solvable Lie algebras which are standard in the following sense.

Definition 3.1.2. Let $(\mathfrak{n},\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle)$ be a metric nilpotent Lie algebra. A metric solvable extension of $(\mathfrak{n},\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle)$ is a metric solvable Lie algebra $\left(\mathfrak{s},\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{\mathfrak{s}}\right)$ such that $\mathfrak{s}$ has the orthogonal decomposition $\mathfrak{s}=\mathfrak{n} \oplus \mathfrak{a}$, where $\mathfrak{n}=[\mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{s}], \quad[\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{a}] \subset \mathfrak{n}$ and $\left.\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{\mathfrak{s}}\right|_{\mathfrak{n} \times \mathfrak{n}}=\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$. The metric solvable Lie algebra $\left(\mathfrak{s},\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{\mathfrak{s}}\right)$ is standard, or has standard type, if $\mathfrak{a}$ is an Abelian subalgebra of $\mathfrak{s}$; in this case, the dimension of $\mathfrak{a}$ is called the rank of the metric solvable extension.

Remark 3.1.3. Note that an standard metric solvable extension ( $\mathfrak{s},\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{\mathfrak{s}}$ ) of a metric nilpotent Lie algebra $\left(\mathfrak{n},\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{\mathfrak{n}}\right)$ is not unique even if we fix the dimension of $\mathfrak{s}$. For example, consider the metric nilpotent Lie algebra $\left(\mathfrak{h}_{3},\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle\right)$, where $\mathfrak{h}_{3}$ denotes the 3-dimensional Heisenberg Lie algebra defined by

$$
\mathfrak{h}_{3}=\left(0,0, e^{12}\right),
$$

and where the inner product $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ is given by $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle=\left(e^{1}\right)^{2}+\left(e^{2}\right)^{2}+\left(e^{3}\right)^{2}$. Then, it admits different standard metric solvable extensions like

$$
\mathfrak{s}_{1}=\left(\frac{1}{2} e^{14}, \frac{1}{2} e^{24}, e^{12}+e^{34}, 0\right)
$$

and

$$
\mathfrak{s}_{2}=\left(e^{14}, e^{24}, e^{12}+2 e^{34}, 0\right)
$$

In these examples, the first one is Einstein, while the second one is not.
Theorem 3.1.4 [93]. Any Einstein metric solvable Lie algebra $\left(\mathfrak{s},\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{\mathfrak{s}}\right)$ has to be of standard type (in the sense of Definition 3.1.2).

Standard Einstein, simply connected, solvable Lie groups constitute a distinguished class that has been deeply studied by J. Heber, who has obtained many remarkable structural and uniqueness results, by assuming only the standard condition (see [80]).

Theorem 3.1.5 [80]. (Uniqueness) A simply connected solvable Lie group admits at most one standard Einstein left invariant metric up to isometry and scaling.

In [94], it is proved that any nilpotent Lie algebra of dimension lower than or equal to 5 admits an Einstein solvable extension. Moreover, in [127] it is shown that the same is true for any of the 34 nilpotent Lie algebras of dimension six. Using these results, we obtain a classification of all 7-dimensional rank-one Einstein solvable Lie algebras (see Table 3.1, at the end of the subsection 3.3.1). Also, a classification of 6 and 7 -dimensional Einstein solvable Lie algebras of higher rank was given in [128].

Furthermore, the study of standard Einstein simply connected solvable Lie groups can be reduced to the rank-one case, that is, $\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{a}=1$, where $\mathfrak{a}$ is the Abelian part in the decomposition given in Definition 3.1.2 (see [80]). More precisely, in [80, Sections 4.5,4.6] Heber shows the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1.6 [80]. Let $\mathfrak{s}=\mathfrak{n} \oplus \mathfrak{a}$ be a non-unimodular solvable Lie algebra, of standard type, endowed with an Einstein inner product $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$, with Einstein constant $\lambda$. Then $\lambda<0$ and, up to isometry, it can be assumed that $d_{A}$ is symmetric for any $A \in \mathfrak{a}$. In that case, the following conditions hold.

1. There exists $H \in \mathfrak{a}$ such that the eigenvalues of ad $\left._{H}\right|_{\mathfrak{n}}$ are all positive integers without common divisors.
2. The restriction of $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ to the solvable Lie algebra $\mathbb{R} H \oplus \mathfrak{n}$ is also Einstein.
3. $\mathfrak{a}$ is an Abelian Lie algebra of symmetric derivations of $\mathfrak{n}$ and the inner product on $\mathfrak{a}$ must be given by $\langle X, Y\rangle=-\frac{1}{\lambda} \operatorname{tr}\left(a d_{X} a d_{Y}\right)$, for all $X, Y \in \mathfrak{a}$.

Standard Einstein solvable Lie algebras are closely related to solvable metric Lie algebras of Iwasawa type. Such a Lie algebra is defined as follows

Definition 3.1.7. A solvable metric Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{s},\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle)$ is of Iwasawa type if it satisfies the conditions:

1. $\mathfrak{s}$ is the orthogonal decomposition $\mathfrak{s}=\mathfrak{n} \oplus \mathfrak{a}$, where $\mathfrak{n}=[\mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{s}]$ and $\mathfrak{a}$ is Abelian;
2. the operator $a d_{H}$ is symmetric, for $H \in \mathfrak{a}$;
3. there exists $H_{0} \in \mathfrak{a}$ such that $a d_{H_{0}}: \mathfrak{n} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{n}$ has positive eigenvalues.

One defines $H_{0} \in \mathfrak{a}$ such that $\left\langle H_{0}, X\right\rangle=\operatorname{tr}\left(a d_{X}\right)$ holds for all $X \in \mathfrak{s}$. Then, for some positive multiple $H=k H_{0}$, the normal operator $\left.a d_{H}\right|_{\mathfrak{n}}$ has eigenvalues whose real parts $\mu_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{m}$ are positive integers with no common divisors. Thus, Theorem 3.1.6 implies the following

Corollary 3.1.8. Any standard Einstein simply connected solvable Lie group is isometric to a solvable Lie group whose underlying metric Lie algebra is of Iwasawa type.

### 3.2 Almost Kähler manifolds

As in section 1.1.1, an almost Hermitian manifold $(M, g, J)$, with Riemannian metric $g$ and almost complex structure $J$, is said to be almost Kähler if the corresponding Kähler 2-form $\omega$ defined by $\omega(\cdot, \cdot)=g(J \cdot, \cdot)$ is closed.

In this section we study the existence of almost Kähler structures on 6dimensional solvable Lie groups whose underlying metric is Einstein. We obtain that the unique 6 -dimensional solvable Lie group carrying left invariant Einstein (non-Kähler) almost Kähler structure is the example given by Apostolov, Draghici and Moroianu in [5]. Moreover, we determine the 6-dimensional solvable Lie groups admitting left invariant Kähler-Einstein metric.

For convenience, we will use the following notation for the coefficients appearing on the Einstein extensions of the Lie algebras. We will always denote by $a$ the coefficient that appears on the rank-one Einstein extension. However, the coefficients of the higher rank Einstein extensions will be denoted by $b_{i}$.

Proposition 3.2.1 91]. If $\mathfrak{k}$ is a 6-dimensional Einstein solvable Lie algebra of rank one, then $\mathfrak{k}$ is one of the following eight Lie algebras:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathfrak{k}_{1}=\left(\frac{2}{13} a e^{16}, \frac{9}{13} a e^{26}, \frac{10}{13} \sqrt{3} a e^{12}+\frac{11}{13} a e^{36}, \frac{20}{13} a e^{13}+a e^{46}, \frac{10}{13} \sqrt{3} a e^{14}+\frac{15}{13} a e^{56}, 0\right), \\
& \mathfrak{k}_{2}=\left(\frac{1}{4} a e^{16}, \frac{1}{2} a e^{26}, \frac{1}{4} \sqrt{30} a e^{12}+\frac{3}{4} a e^{36}, \frac{1}{4} \sqrt{30} a e^{13}+a e^{46},\right. \\
&\left.\quad-\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{5} a e^{14}-\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{5} a e^{23}+\frac{5}{4} a e^{56}, 0\right), \\
& \mathfrak{k}_{3}=\left(\frac{3}{10} a e^{16}, \frac{2}{5} a e^{26}, \frac{3}{5} a e^{36}, \frac{1}{5} \sqrt{30} a e^{12}+\frac{7}{10} a e^{46}, \frac{1}{5} \sqrt{15} a e^{23}+\frac{1}{5} \sqrt{30} a e^{14}+a e^{56}, 0\right), \\
& \mathfrak{k}_{4}=\left(\frac{1}{2} a e^{16}, \frac{1}{2} a e^{26}, \frac{1}{2} a e^{36}, \frac{1}{2} a e^{46}, a e^{12}+a e^{34}+a e^{56}, 0\right), \\
& \mathfrak{k}_{5}=\left(\frac{1}{2} a e^{16}, \frac{1}{2} a e^{26}, 2 a e^{12}+a e^{36}, \sqrt{3} a e^{13}+\frac{3}{2} a e^{46}, \sqrt{3} a e^{23}+\frac{3}{2} a e^{56}, 0\right), \\
& \mathfrak{k}_{6}=\left(\frac{1}{3} a e^{16}, \frac{1}{2} a e^{26}, \frac{1}{2} a e^{36}, a e^{12}+\frac{5}{6} a e^{46}, a e^{13}+\frac{5}{6} a e^{56}, 0\right), \\
& \mathfrak{k}_{7}=\left(\frac{1}{2} a e^{16}, \frac{1}{2} a e^{26}, \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{7} a e^{12}+a e^{36}, \frac{3}{4} a e^{46}, \frac{3}{4} a e^{56}, 0\right), \\
& \mathfrak{k}_{8}=\left(\frac{1}{4} a e^{16}, \frac{1}{2} a e^{26}, \frac{1}{4} \sqrt{26} a e^{12}+\frac{3}{4} a e^{36}, \frac{1}{4} \sqrt{26} a e^{13}+a e^{46}, \frac{3}{4} a e^{56}, 0\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{6}\right\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $\mathfrak{k}$ with respect to the Einstein metric.
Theorem 3.2.2. Let $(S, g)$ be a 6 -dimensional solvable Lie group, and let $(\mathfrak{s},\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle)$ be the metric Lie algebra of $(S, g)$. Then,

1. $(S, g)$ admits a left invariant Einstein (non-Kähler) almost Kähler structure if and only if $(\mathfrak{s},\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle)$ is isometric to the rank-two Einstein solvable Lie algebra

$$
\mathfrak{s}=\left(\frac{a}{4} e^{15}+\frac{3 a}{4} e^{16}, \frac{a}{2} e^{25}-a e^{26}, \frac{\sqrt{5} a}{2} e^{12}+\frac{3 a}{4} e^{35}-\frac{a}{4} e^{36}, \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{5} a e^{13}+a e^{45}+\frac{a}{2} e^{46}, 0,0\right) .
$$

2. $(S, g)$ admits a left invariant Kähler-Einstein structure if and only if $(\mathfrak{s},\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle)$ is isometric either to the rank-one solvable Lie algebra

$$
\mathfrak{s}=\left(\frac{a}{2} e^{16}, \frac{a}{2} e^{26}, \frac{a}{2} e^{36}, \frac{a}{2} e^{46}, a e^{12}+a e^{34}+a e^{56}, 0\right)
$$

or to the rank-two Einstein solvable Lie algebra

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{s}= & \left(\frac{a}{2} e^{15}+b_{1} e^{16}+b_{2} e^{26}, \frac{a}{2} e^{25}+b_{2} e^{16}+b_{10} e^{26}, \frac{\sqrt{22}}{4} a e^{12}+a e^{35}+\left(b_{1}+b_{10}\right) e^{36},\right. \\
& \left.\frac{3}{4} a e^{45}-2\left(b_{1}+b_{10}\right) e^{46}, 0,0\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $a=\frac{4 \sqrt{66}}{33} \sqrt{3 b_{1}^{2}+5 b_{10} b_{1}+b_{2}^{2}+3 b_{10}^{2}}$, or to the rank-three Einstein solvable Lie algebra
$\mathfrak{s}=\left(a e^{14}-\frac{\sqrt{6}}{2} a e^{15}+\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} e^{16}, a e^{24}+\frac{\sqrt{6}}{2} a e^{25}+\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} e^{26}, a e^{34}-\sqrt{2} a e^{36}, 0,0,0\right)$.
Moreover, in all the Lie algebras that appear in 1. and 2., the Einstein inner product is such that the basis $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{6}\right\}$ of the corresponding Lie algebra is orthonormal.

Proof. A 6-dimensional Einstein solvable Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{s},\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle)$ is necessarily standard, so one has the orthogonal decomposition (with respect to $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ )

$$
\mathfrak{s}=\mathfrak{n} \oplus \mathfrak{a},
$$

with $\mathfrak{n}=[\mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{s}]$ nilpotent and $\mathfrak{a}$ Abelian.
We consider separately the different possibilities according to the rank of $\mathfrak{s}$, that is, to the dimension of $\mathfrak{a}$.

## Rank one

If $\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{a}=1$ and $\mathfrak{n}$ is Abelian, then it is known by [80, Proposition 6.12] that the structure equations of $\mathfrak{s}$ are

$$
\left(a e^{16}, a e^{26}, a e^{36}, a e^{46}, a e^{56}, 0\right)
$$

where $a$ is a non-zero real number. For this Lie algebra $\mathfrak{s}$ we obtain that any closed 2 -form $\omega$ is degenerate, that is, satisfies $\omega^{3}=0$ and so $\mathfrak{s}$ does not admit symplectic forms.

If $\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{a}=1$, but $\mathfrak{n}$ is (non-Abelian) nilpotent, then $(\mathfrak{s},\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle)$ is isometric to one of the solvable Lie algebras $\mathfrak{k}_{i}(i=1, \ldots, 8)$ defined in Proposition 3.2.1 endowed with the inner product $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ such that the basis $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{6}\right\}$ is orthonormal.

For $\mathfrak{k}_{1}, \mathfrak{k}_{j}, 5 \leq j \leq 8$, we have again that any closed 2 -form $\omega$ is degenerate, so they do not carry symplectic form.

The Lie algebras $\mathfrak{k}_{2}$ and $\mathfrak{k}_{3}$ admit symplectic forms. However, one can check that any almost complex structure $J$ on $\mathfrak{k}_{i}(i=2,3)$ is such that $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle \neq \omega(\cdot, J \cdot)$.

For $\mathfrak{k}_{4}$ we have that a symplectic form is

$$
\omega=\mu_{1,2} e^{12}+\mu_{1,6} e^{16}+\mu_{2,6} e^{26}+\mu_{1,2} e^{34}+\mu_{3,6} e^{36}+\mu_{4,6} e^{46}+\mu_{1,2} e^{56},
$$

where $\mu_{i, j}$ are real numbers with $\mu_{1,2} \neq 0$. In fact, such a form $\omega$ is closed and $\omega^{3}=2 \mu_{1,2}^{3} e^{123456} \neq 0$. Thus, if $J$ is the almost complex structure on $\left(\mathfrak{k}_{4},\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle\right)$ satisfying $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle=\omega(\cdot, J \cdot)$, then $J$ is given as follows

$$
J e_{1}=e_{2}, \quad J e_{3}=e_{4}, \quad J e_{5}=e_{6}
$$

where $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{6}\right\}$ is the dual basis to $\left\{e^{1}, \ldots, e^{6}\right\}$. Such a $J$ is integrable. Therefore, $(g, J, \omega)$ are Kähler-Einstein structures on $\mathfrak{k}_{4}$.

## Rank two

In order to determine all the 6 -dimensional rank-two Einstein solvable Lie algebras, we need first to find the rank-one Einstein solvable extensions

$$
\mathfrak{s}_{5}=\mathfrak{n}_{4} \oplus \mathbb{R}\left\langle e_{5}\right\rangle
$$

of the 4 -dimensional nilpotent Lie algebras $\mathfrak{n}_{4}$, and then we consider the standard solvable Lie algebra

$$
\mathfrak{s}_{6}=\mathfrak{s}_{5} \oplus \mathbb{R}\left\langle e_{6}\right\rangle,
$$

or, equivalently,

$$
\mathfrak{s}_{6}=\mathfrak{n}_{4} \oplus \mathfrak{a},
$$

where $\mathfrak{a}=\mathbb{R}\left\langle e_{5}, e_{6}\right\rangle$ is Abelian, and such that the basis $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{6}\right\}$ is orthonormal.
If $\mathfrak{n}=[\mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{s}]$ is Abelian, the rank-one Einstein extension $\mathfrak{s}_{5}$ is defined by

$$
\left(a e^{15}, a e^{25}, a e^{35}, a e^{45}, 0\right)
$$

To find the rank-two Einstein solvable extension $\mathfrak{s}_{6}$ we have to consider the structure equations

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
d e^{1} & =a e^{15}+b_{1} e^{16}+b_{2} e^{26}+b_{3} e^{36}+b_{4} e^{46} \\
d e^{2} & =a e^{25}+b_{5} e^{16}+b_{6} e^{26}+b_{7} e^{36}+b_{8} e^{46} \\
d e^{3} & =a e^{35}+b_{9} e^{16}+b_{10} e^{26}+b_{11} e^{36}+b_{12} e^{46} \\
d e^{4} & =a e^{45}+b_{13} e^{16}+b_{14} e^{26}+b_{15} e^{36}+b_{16} e^{46} \\
d e^{5} & =d e^{6}=0
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

Now, we impose that the inner product on $\mathfrak{s}_{6}$, making the basis $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{6}\right\}$ orthonormal, has to be Einstein and that $d^{2} e^{j}=0$, for $j \in\{1, \ldots, 6\}$. Solving these conditions, we find that the structure equations of $\mathfrak{s}_{6}$ are

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
d e^{1}=a e^{15}+b_{1} e^{16} \\
d e^{2}=a e^{25}+\left(-b_{1}-b_{3}-b_{4}\right) e^{26} \\
d e^{3}=a e^{35}+b_{3} e^{36} \\
d e^{4}=a e^{45}+b_{4} e^{46} \\
d e^{5}=d e^{6}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $a=\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{2\left(b_{1}^{2}+b_{3}^{2}+b_{4}^{2}+b_{1} b_{3}+b_{1} b_{4}+b_{3} b_{4}\right)}$. But this Lie algebra does not admit symplectic forms.

The other possibilities for $\mathfrak{s}_{5}$ are obtained beginning with the 4-dimensional (non-Abelian) nilpotent Lie algebras, that is, the nilpotent Lie algebras defined by the structure equations $\left(0,0, e^{12}, 0\right)$ and $\left(0,0, e^{12}, e^{13}\right)$. Their rank-one solvable extensions are

$$
\left(\frac{1}{2} a e^{15}, \frac{1}{2} a e^{25}, \frac{1}{4} \sqrt{22} a e^{12}+a e^{35}, \frac{3}{4} a e^{45}, 0\right)
$$

and

$$
\left(\frac{1}{4} a e^{15}, \frac{1}{2} a e^{25}, \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{5} a e^{12}+\frac{3}{4} a e^{35}, \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{5} a e^{13}+a e^{45}, 0\right)
$$

respectively. To obtain the rank-two solvable Einstein extension of $\mathfrak{n}=\left(0,0, e^{12}, 0\right)$ we should consider the Lie algebra

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
d e^{1}=\frac{1}{2} a e^{15}+b_{1} e^{16}+b_{2} e^{26}+b_{3} e^{36}+b_{4} e^{46}, \\
d e^{2}=\frac{1}{2} a e^{25}+b_{5} e^{16}+b_{6} e^{26}+b_{7} e^{36}+b_{8} e^{46}, \\
d e^{3}=\frac{1}{4} \sqrt{22} a e^{12}+a e^{35}+b_{9} e^{16}+b_{10} e^{26}+b_{11} e^{36}+b_{12} e^{46}, \\
d e^{4}=\frac{3}{4} a e^{45}+b_{13} e^{16}+b_{14} e^{26}+b_{15} e^{36}+b_{16} e^{46}, \\
d e^{5}=d e^{6}=0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then, we impose the Jacoby identity and the condition that the inner product has to be Einstein. We obtain the rank-two Einstein extension $\mathfrak{s}_{6}$ defined by the equations

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
d e^{1}=\frac{1}{2} a e^{15}+b_{1} e^{16}+b_{2} e^{26}, \\
d e^{2}=\frac{1}{2} a e^{25}+b_{2} e^{16}+b_{10} e^{26}, \\
d e^{3}=\frac{1}{4} \sqrt{22} a e^{12}+a e^{35}+\left(b_{1}+b_{10}\right) e^{36}, \\
d e^{4}=\frac{3}{4} a e^{45}-2\left(b_{1}+b_{10}\right) e^{46}, \\
d e^{5}=d e^{6}=0,
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $a=\frac{4 \sqrt{66}}{33} \sqrt{3 b_{1}^{2}+5 b_{10} b_{1}+b_{2}^{2}+3 b_{10}^{2}}$, which admits the Kähler-Einstein structures given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega= & \mu_{1,2}\left(a e^{12}+2 \sqrt{\frac{2}{11}} a e^{35}+2 \sqrt{\frac{2}{11}}\left(b_{1}+b_{10}\right) e^{36}\right)+\mu_{1,5}\left(a e^{15}+2 b_{1} e^{16}+2 b_{2} e^{26}\right) \\
& +\mu_{2,5}\left(2 b_{2} e^{16}+a e^{25}+2 b_{10} e^{26}\right)+\mu_{4,5}\left(3 a e^{45}-8\left(b_{1}+b_{10} e^{46}\right)\right)+\mu_{5,6} e^{56}, \\
J e_{1}= & e_{2}, \quad J e_{2}=-e_{1}, \quad J e_{3}=2 \sqrt{\frac{2}{11}} e_{5}+\sqrt{\frac{3}{11}} e_{6}, \quad J e_{4}=\sqrt{\frac{3}{11}} e_{5}-2 \sqrt{\frac{2}{11}} e_{6}, \\
J e_{5}= & -2 \sqrt{\frac{2}{11}} e_{3}-\sqrt{\frac{3}{11}} e_{4}, \quad J e_{6}=-\sqrt{\frac{3}{11}} e_{3}+2 \sqrt{\frac{2}{11}} e_{4},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mu_{i}$ are real parameters satisfying $\left(b_{1}+b_{10}\right) \mu_{1,2}^{2} \mu_{4,5} \neq 0$. The almost complex structure $J$ is indeed integrable since the Nijenhuis tensor of $J$ vanishes.

From the rank-one Einstein solvable extension of $\mathfrak{n}=\left(0,0, e^{12}, e^{13}\right)$, we obtain the rank-two Einstein solvable extension. For this, we have to consider the Lie algebra

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
d e^{1}=\frac{a}{4} e^{15}+b_{1} e^{16}+b_{2} e^{26}+b_{3} e^{36}+b_{4} e^{46}, \\
d e^{2}=\frac{a}{2} e^{25}+b_{5} e^{16}+b_{6} e^{26}+b_{7} e^{36}+b_{8} e^{46}, \\
d e^{3}=\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{5} a e^{12}+\frac{3}{4} a e^{35}+b_{9} e^{16}+b_{10} e^{26}+b_{11} e^{36}+b_{12} e^{46} \\
d e^{4}=\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{5} a e^{13}+a e^{45}+b_{13} e^{16}+b_{14} e^{26}+b_{15} e^{36}+b_{16} e^{46} \\
d e^{5}=d e^{6}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Therefore, imposing the condition $d^{2} e^{j}=0(j=1, \ldots, 6)$ and the inner product to be Einstein, the corresponding structure equations of $\mathfrak{s}_{6}$ become

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
d e^{1} & =\frac{a}{4} e^{15}+\frac{3}{4} a e^{16}  \tag{3.1}\\
d e^{2} & =\frac{a}{2} e^{25}-a e^{26} \\
d e^{3} & =\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{5} a e^{12}+\frac{3}{4} a e^{35}-\frac{a}{4} e^{36} \\
d e^{4} & =\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{5} a e^{13}+a e^{45}+\frac{a}{2} e^{46} \\
d e^{5} & =d e^{6}=0
\end{align*}\right.
$$

Moreover, $\mathfrak{s}_{6}$ has the Einstein (non-Kähler) almost Kähler structure given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \omega=e^{13}+\frac{2}{\sqrt{5}} e^{45}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} e^{46}-\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} e^{25}+\frac{2}{\sqrt{5}} e^{26} \\
& J e_{1}=e_{3}, \quad J e_{3}=-e_{1}, \quad J e_{2}=-\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} e_{5}+\frac{2}{\sqrt{5}} e_{6}, \quad J e_{4}=\frac{2}{\sqrt{5}} e_{5}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} e_{6} \\
& J e_{5}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} e_{2}-\frac{2}{\sqrt{5}} e_{4}, \quad J e_{6}=-\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} e_{2}-\frac{2}{\sqrt{5}} e_{4},
\end{aligned}
$$

which defines the inner product $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ on $\mathfrak{s}_{6}$ given by

$$
\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle=\left(e^{1}\right)^{2}+\left(e^{2}\right)^{2}+\left(e^{3}\right)^{2}+\left(e^{4}\right)^{2}+\left(e^{5}\right)^{2}+\left(e^{6}\right)^{2}
$$

Now, let $(S, g)$ be the simply connected Lie group whose Lie algebra is $\mathfrak{s}_{6}$. Then, the Einstein almost Kähler structure on $\mathfrak{s}_{6}$ defines a left invariant almost Kähler structure $(g, J)$ on $S$ whose Kähler form is $\omega$. Clearly the metric $g$ is given by

$$
g=\left(e^{1}\right)^{2}+\left(e^{2}\right)^{2}+\left(e^{3}\right)^{2}+\left(e^{4}\right)^{2}+\left(e^{5}\right)^{2}+\left(e^{6}\right)^{2}
$$

and its Ricci curvature tensor is such that

$$
\operatorname{Ric}(g)=-\frac{15}{4} a^{2} g
$$

This means that $g$ is Einstein with non-positive scalar curvature. The almost complex structure $J$ is not integrable since its Nijenhuis tensor $N_{J}$ does not vanish. In fact,

$$
N_{J}\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)=-\sqrt{5} a e_{3} \neq 0, \quad N_{J}\left(e_{1}, e_{5}\right)=a e_{1} \neq 0, \quad N_{J}\left(e_{1}, e_{6}\right)=-2 a e_{1} \neq 0
$$

## Rank three

For the rank-three Einstein extensions we proceed as for the previous extensions. If $\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{a}=3$ and $\mathfrak{n}$ is Abelian, we already know that the rank-one Einstein extension of $\mathfrak{n}$ is exactly described by

$$
\left(a e^{14}, a e^{24}, a e^{34}, 0\right)
$$

In order to find the rank-three Einstein solvable extension we have to consider the structure equations

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
d e^{1}=a e^{14}+b_{1} e^{15}+b_{2} e^{25}+b_{3} e^{35}+b_{4} e^{16}+b_{5} e^{26}+b_{6} e^{36}, \\
d e^{2}=a e^{24}+b_{7} e^{15}+b_{8} e^{25}+b_{9} e^{35}+b_{10} e^{16}+b_{11} e^{26}+b_{12} e^{36} \\
d e^{3}=a e^{34}+b_{13} e^{15}+b_{14} e^{25}+b_{15} e^{35}+b_{16} e^{16}+b_{17} e^{26}+b_{18} e^{36}, \\
d e^{4}=d e^{5}=d e^{6}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

After imposing the Einstein condition on the inner product and that $d^{2} e^{j}=0, j=$ $1, \ldots, 6$ we obtain that the structure equations of the corresponding Lie algebra are

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
d e^{1}=a e^{14}-\frac{\sqrt{6}}{2} a e^{15}+\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} a e^{16} \\
d e^{2}=a e^{24}+\frac{\sqrt{6}}{2} a e^{25}+\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} a e^{26} \\
d e^{3}=a e^{34}-\sqrt{2} a e^{36} \\
d e^{4}=d e^{5}=d e^{6}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

which admits the almost Kähler structure given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega= & \mu_{1,4}\left(\sqrt{2} e^{14}-\sqrt{3} e^{15}+e^{16}\right)+\mu_{2,4}\left(\sqrt{2} e^{24}+\sqrt{3} e^{25}+e^{26}\right)+\mu_{3,4}\left(-e^{34}+\sqrt{2} e^{36}\right) \\
& +\mu_{4,5} e^{45}+\mu_{4,6} e^{46}+\mu_{5,6} e^{56} ; \\
J e_{1} & =\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} e_{4}-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} e_{5}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} e_{6}, \quad J e_{2}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} e_{4}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} e_{5}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} e_{6}, \quad J e_{3}=-\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} e_{4}+\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} e_{6}, \\
J e_{4} & =-\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} e_{1}-\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} e_{2}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} e_{3}, \quad J e_{5}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} e_{1}-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} e_{2}, \quad J e_{6}=-\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} e_{1}-\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} e_{2}-\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} e_{3},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mu_{1,4} \mu_{2,4} \mu_{3,4} \neq 0$ and actually the almost complex structure $J$ is integrable since $N_{J}=0$. Thus, the metric

$$
g=\left(e^{1}\right)^{2}+\left(e^{2}\right)^{2}+\left(e^{3}\right)^{2}+\left(e^{4}\right)^{2}+\left(e^{5}\right)^{2}+\left(e^{6}\right)^{2},
$$

is Einstein because its Ricci curvature tensor is

$$
\operatorname{Ric}(g)=-3 a^{2} g .
$$

If $\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{a}=3$ and $\mathfrak{n}$ is (non-Abelian) nilpotent, then $\mathfrak{n}$ is exactly $\mathfrak{h}_{3}$ (the 3dimensional real Heisenberg Lie algebra) defined by the structure equations

$$
\left(0,0, e^{12}\right)
$$

We find the following rank-one Einstein solvable extension

$$
\left(\frac{a}{2} e^{14}, \frac{a}{2} e^{24}, a e^{12}+a e^{34}, 0\right) .
$$

Proceeding similarly as in the previous examples we find that $\mathfrak{h}_{3}$ does not admit a rank-three Einstein solvable extension unless it is flat. In fact, the rank-three Einstein solvable extension of $\mathfrak{h}_{3}$ has to be of the form

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
d e^{1}=\frac{a}{2} e^{14}+b_{1} e^{15}+b_{2} e^{25}+b_{3} e^{35}+b_{4} e^{16}+b_{5} e^{26}+b_{6} e^{36}, \\
d e^{2}=\frac{a}{2} e^{24}+b_{7} e^{15}+b_{8} e^{25}+b_{9} e^{35}+b_{10} e^{16}+b_{11} e^{26}+b_{12} e^{36}, \\
d e^{3}=a e^{12}+a e^{34}+b_{13} e^{15}+b_{14} e^{25}+b_{15} e^{35}+b_{16} e^{16}+b_{17} e^{26}+b_{18} e^{36}, \\
d e^{4}=d e^{5}=d e^{6}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

After imposing that $d e^{j}=0$, for any $j \in\{1, \ldots, 6\}$, and solving the equations corresponding to the Einstein condition on the inner product we obtain that the structure equations have to be

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
d e^{1}=\frac{a}{2} e^{14}+b_{1} e^{15}+b_{4} e^{16} \\
d e^{2}=\frac{a}{2} e^{24}-b_{1} e^{25}-b_{4} e^{26} \\
d e^{3}=a e^{12}+a e^{34} \\
d e^{4}=d e^{5}=d e^{6}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Thus, the metric

$$
g=\left(e^{1}\right)^{2}+\left(e^{2}\right)^{2}+\left(e^{3}\right)^{2}+\left(e^{4}\right)^{2}+\left(e^{5}\right)^{2}+\left(e^{6}\right)^{2}
$$

is such that its Ricci curvature tensor is exactly

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Ric}(g)= & -\frac{3}{2} a^{2}\left(e^{1}\right)^{2}-\frac{3}{2} a^{2}\left(e^{2}\right)^{2}-\frac{3}{2} a^{2}\left(e^{3}\right)^{2}-\frac{3}{2} a^{2}\left(e^{4}\right)^{2}-2 b_{1}^{2}\left(e^{5}\right)^{2}-2 b_{4}^{2}\left(e^{6}\right)^{2} \\
& -4 b_{1} b_{4}\left(e^{5} \otimes e^{6}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, it cannot be Einstein unless it is flat.
Higher rank Einstein solvable extensions are always Ricci-flat, and therefore because of Theorem 3.1.1 they are flat.
Remark 3.2.3. We would like to note that Lie algebras with structure equations (3.1) are all isomorphic, for the different values of the parameter $a \neq 0$, since by Theorem 3.1.5 the rank-one Einstein metric solvable extensions are unique. The solvable Lie group corresponding to the Lie algebra defined by (3.1) is the example given by Apostolov, Draghici and Moroianu in [5]. That example is a non-compact, Einstein and non-Kähler almost Kähler manifold.

### 3.3 Ricci curvature of $G_{2}$ manifolds

Bryant in [24] gave a formula for the Ricci curvature of a $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ manifold in terms of the torsion forms and its exterior derivatives. To describe this formula we define the linear map

$$
j_{\varphi}: \Lambda^{3}\left(\mathbb{R}^{7}\right) \longrightarrow S^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{7}\right)
$$

given by

$$
j_{\varphi}(\gamma)(v, w)=*_{\varphi}\left(\left(\iota_{v} \varphi\right) \wedge\left(\iota_{w} \varphi\right) \wedge \gamma\right)
$$

for $\gamma \in \Lambda^{3}\left(\mathbb{R}^{7}\right)$ and $v, w \in \mathbb{R}^{7}$. Note that $j_{\varphi}$ satisfies $j_{\varphi}(\varphi)=6 g_{\varphi}$.
Using the map $j_{\varphi}$, Bryant in [24] describes the Ricci curvature tensor of a $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ manifold in terms of the torsion forms $\tau_{0}, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}$ and $\tau_{3}$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Ric}\left(g_{\varphi}\right)= & -\left(\frac{3}{2} \delta \tau_{1}-\frac{3}{8} \tau_{0}^{2}+15\left|\tau_{1}\right|^{2}-\frac{1}{4}\left|\tau_{2}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left|\tau_{3}\right|^{2}\right) g_{\varphi} \\
& +j_{\varphi}\left(-\frac{5}{4} d\left(*_{\varphi}\left(\tau_{1} \wedge *_{\varphi} \varphi\right)\right)-\frac{1}{4} d \tau_{2}+\frac{1}{4} *_{\varphi} d \tau_{3}\right. \\
& +\frac{5}{2} \tau_{1} \wedge *_{\varphi}\left(\tau_{1} \wedge *_{\varphi} \varphi\right)-\frac{1}{8} \tau_{0} \tau_{3}+\frac{1}{4} \tau_{1} \wedge \tau_{2}  \tag{3.2}\\
& \left.+\frac{3}{4} *_{\varphi}\left(\tau_{1} \wedge \tau_{3}\right)+\frac{1}{8} *_{\varphi}\left(\tau_{2} \wedge \tau_{2}\right)+\frac{1}{64} Q\left(\tau_{3}, \tau_{3}\right)\right),
\end{align*}
$$

where $\delta$ is the codifferential operator associated to $g_{\varphi},|\cdot|$ denotes the norm of differential forms and the map

$$
Q: \Lambda^{3}\left(\mathbb{R}^{7}\right) \times \Lambda^{3}\left(\mathbb{R}^{7}\right) \longrightarrow \Lambda^{3}\left(\mathbb{R}^{7}\right)
$$

is defined as follows: if $\left\{e_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{7}$ is a local orthonormal frame, for $\alpha, \beta \in \Omega^{3}(M)$

$$
Q(\alpha, \beta)=*_{\varphi}\left[\epsilon_{i j k l}\left(\iota_{\left(e_{i} \wedge e_{j}\right)} *_{\varphi} \alpha\right) \wedge\left(\iota_{\left(e_{i} \wedge e_{j}\right)} *_{\varphi} \beta\right)\right],
$$

with $\epsilon_{i j k l}$, the value of $*_{\varphi} \varphi\left(e_{i}, e_{j}, e_{k}, e_{l}\right)$.
In general, for a $n$-dimensional Riemannian manifold ( $N^{n}, g$ ) the codifferential operator $\delta$ is the map

$$
\delta: \Omega^{p}\left(N^{n}\right) \longrightarrow \Omega^{p-1}\left(N^{n}\right),
$$

given by

$$
\delta=(-1)^{p n+n+1} * d *,
$$

so, in particular, for a $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ manifold $M$

$$
\delta: \Omega^{p}(M) \longrightarrow \Omega^{p-1}(M),
$$

the codifferential operator $\delta$ of $g_{\varphi}$ is exactly

$$
\delta=(-1)^{p} *_{\varphi} d *_{\varphi} .
$$

It is also assumed that the norm $|\cdot|$ is the natural norm of differential forms, in explanation, if $F=f_{i j} e^{i} \wedge e^{j}$ with $\left\{e^{k}\right\}$ an orthonormal coframe, then $|F|=f_{i j} \cdot f_{i j}$.

The scalar curvature of a $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ manifold can be described in terms of the torsion forms as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Scal}\left(g_{\varphi}\right)=12 \delta \tau_{1}+\frac{21}{8} \tau_{0}^{2}+30\left|\tau_{1}\right|^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\left|\tau_{2}\right|^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\left|\tau_{3}\right|^{2} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In general, the formulas for the Ricci curvature tensor and the scalar curvature are complicated, but when we restrict them to special classes of $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structures they simplify.

If the $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form is closed, then (as we explain in Chapter 1) the torsion forms satisfy $\tau_{0}=\tau_{1}=\tau_{3}=0$. From (3.2) and (3.3), we obtain that the expression of the Ricci curvature tensor and the scalar curvature of a manifold endowed with a closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structure are given respectively by

$$
\operatorname{Ric}\left(g_{\varphi}\right)=\frac{1}{4}\left|\tau_{2}\right|^{2} g_{\varphi}+j_{\varphi}\left(-\frac{1}{4} d \tau_{2}+\frac{1}{8} *_{\varphi}\left(\tau_{2} \wedge \tau_{2}\right)\right)
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{Scal}\left(g_{\varphi}\right)=-\frac{1}{2}\left|\tau_{2}\right|^{2} .
$$

Thus, the scalar curvature of a closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structure is always non-positive. If a $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ manifold $(M, \varphi)$ is such that $\varphi$ is closed and the induced metric $g_{\varphi}$ is Ricci-flat, then it is parallel and therefore $g_{\varphi}$ has holonomy contained in $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ [56]. Regarding Einstein metrics, if we take into account that $j_{\varphi}(\varphi)=6 g_{\varphi}$ one can check [24, Corollary 2] that the Einstein condition is equivalent to the torsion form $\tau_{2}$ satisfying the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \tau_{2}=\frac{3}{14}\left|\tau_{2}\right|^{2} \varphi+\frac{1}{2} *_{\varphi}\left(\tau_{2} \wedge \tau_{2}\right) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 3.3.1. Note that from the $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-type decomposition, if $\varphi$ is closed, then $\tau_{2}$ is exactly $\delta \varphi$. Thus, the Einstein condition (3.4) implies that the Hodge Laplacian operator $\Delta=\delta \circ d+d \circ \delta$ of $\varphi$ is exactly

$$
\Delta \varphi=\frac{3}{14}\left|\tau_{2}\right|^{2} \varphi+\frac{1}{2} *_{\varphi}\left(\tau_{2} \wedge \tau_{2}\right)
$$

From the $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-type decomposition of exterior forms we already know that $\tau_{2} \in$ $\Omega_{14}^{2}(M)$. It is also known that, if a 2 -form $\beta$ belongs to $\Omega_{14}^{2}(M)$, then $\beta \wedge \beta \in$ $\Omega_{1}^{4}(M) \oplus \Omega_{27}^{4}(M)$, that is, it does not have part in $\Omega_{7}^{4}(M)$. Even more, by [35, Lemma 5.8] the $\Omega_{27}^{4}(M)$ part of $\beta \wedge \beta$ vanishes if and only if $\beta$ vanishes itself.

With all these considerations we have the following:

Proposition 3.3.2 [35]. (Cleyton-Ivanov) No compact manifold $M$ can support a closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structure $\varphi$ whose underlying metric $g_{\varphi}$ is Einstein unless $\varphi$ is parallel (with respect to the Levi-Civita connection $\nabla$ of $g_{\varphi}$ ).

Proof. (Bryant technique). From the Einstein condition (3.4), and the fact that $\tau_{2} \in \Omega_{14}^{2}(M)$ and $\tau_{2} \wedge \tau_{2} \in \Omega_{1}^{4}(M) \oplus \Omega_{27}^{4}(M)$, we obtain that

$$
d\left(\frac{1}{3} \tau_{2}^{3}\right)=\frac{2}{7}\left|\tau_{2}\right|^{4} *_{\varphi} 1
$$

where $*_{\varphi} 1$ denotes the volume form. By Stokes' theorem we get

$$
0=\int_{M} d\left(\frac{1}{3} \tau_{2}^{3}\right)=\int_{M} \frac{2}{7}\left|\tau_{2}\right|^{4} *_{\varphi} 1
$$

which implies that $\tau_{2}=0$ and therefore $\nabla \varphi=0$.

For nearly parallel $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structures we know that the torsion forms are such that $\tau_{1}=\tau_{2}=\tau_{3}=0$. Therefore, from (3.2) and (3.3), the expressions for the Ricci curvature tensor and the scalar curvature of a nearly parallel $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ manifold $(M, \varphi)$ are respectively given by

$$
\operatorname{Ric}\left(g_{\varphi}\right)=\frac{3}{8}\left|\tau_{0}\right|^{2} g_{\varphi},
$$

and

$$
S \operatorname{cal}\left(g_{\varphi}\right)=\frac{21}{8}\left|\tau_{0}\right|^{2}
$$

Thus, nearly parallel $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structures are always Einstein with non-negative scalar curvature. It is also clear that a Ricci-flat nearly parallel manifold is parallel.

Finally, coclosed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structures are determined by the vanishing of the torsion forms $\tau_{1}$ and $\tau_{2}$. In general, for a $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ manifold $(M, \varphi)$, with $\varphi$ coclosed, from (3.2) and (3.3), we have that the Ricci curvature tensor and the scalar curvature are respectively given by

$$
\operatorname{Ric}\left(g_{\varphi}\right)=\left(\frac{3}{8} \tau_{0}^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\left|\tau_{3}\right|^{2}\right) g_{\varphi}+j_{\varphi}\left(\frac{1}{4} *_{\varphi} d \tau_{3}-\frac{1}{8} \tau_{0} \tau_{3}+\frac{1}{64} Q\left(\tau_{3}, \tau_{3}\right)\right)
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{Scal}\left(g_{\varphi}\right)=\frac{21}{8} \tau_{0}^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\left|\tau_{3}\right|^{2}
$$

So, in general, nothing is known about the sign of the scalar curvature of the Riemannian metric induced by a coclosed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structure. In contrast with the closed and nearly parallel ones, manifolds with a coclosed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structure which are Ricciflat do not need to be parallel.

Remark 3.3.3. We would like to note that for cocalibrated $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ manifolds an analogue of Goldberg conjecture is no longer true. Indeed, there exist compact $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ manifolds $(M, \varphi)$, with $\varphi$ coclosed and such that the induced metric $g_{\varphi}$ is Einstein, but $\varphi$ is non-parallel. For example, nearly parallel manifolds. But not all coclosed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ forms inducing Einstein metric are nearly parallel; 3-Sasakian manifolds constitute a counterexample. In section 3.4 we describe an example of Einstein nonnearly parallel manifold with a coclosed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form such that the induced metric is not 3-Sasakian. Such an example is given using warped products.

### 3.3.1 Closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structures

In this section we study the existence of closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ forms $\varphi$ on 7-dimensional solvable Lie algebras whose underlying Riemannian metric $g_{\varphi}$ is Einstein. For this, we use Proposition 1.4.5 (an obstruction to the existence of closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structures), and the following results.

Lemma 3.3.4. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a 7-dimensional Lie algebra and $\varphi$ a $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structure on $\mathfrak{g}$. Then the bilinear form $g_{\varphi}: \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$
g_{\varphi}(X, Y) \text { vol }=\frac{1}{6}\left(\iota_{X} \varphi \wedge \iota_{Y} \varphi \wedge \varphi\right),
$$

has to be a Riemannian metric.
Proof. It is a direct consequence of (1.7).
By [115, Proposition 4.5], if a 3 -form $\varphi$ defines a $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structure on a 7 dimensional Lie algebra and we choose a non-zero vector $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ of length one, with respect to $g_{\varphi}$, then on the orthogonal complement of the span of $X$ one has the $\mathrm{SU}(3)$-structure defined by the pair $(\alpha, \beta)$, where $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are the 2 -form and the 3 -form, respectively, given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=\iota_{X} \varphi, \quad \beta=\varphi-\alpha \wedge \eta, \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\eta=\iota_{X}\left(g_{\varphi}\right)$. So, $\alpha$ and $\beta$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha^{3}=\alpha \wedge \alpha \wedge \alpha \neq 0, \quad \alpha \wedge \beta=0 \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In contrast with the almost Kähler case, we have the following theorem.
Theorem 3.3.5. A 7-dimensional solvable Lie group does not admit any left invariant closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form $\varphi$ such that $g_{\varphi}$ is Einstein, unless $g_{\varphi}$ is flat.

Proof. An Einstein solvable Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{s},\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle)$ is necessarily standard, so one has the orthogonal decomposition (with respect to $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ ), $\mathfrak{s}=\mathfrak{n} \oplus \mathfrak{a}$, with $\mathfrak{n}=[\mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{s}]$ nilpotent and $\mathfrak{a}$ Abelian. We will consider separately the different cases according to the rank of $\mathfrak{s}$, that is, to the dimension of $\mathfrak{a}$.

## Rank one

If $\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{a}=1$ and $\mathfrak{n}$ is Abelian, then by [80, Proposition 6.12] the structure equations of the Einstein solvable extension $\mathfrak{s}$ are

$$
\left(a e^{17}, a e^{27}, a e^{37}, a e^{47}, a e^{57}, a e^{67}, 0\right)
$$

where $a$ is a non-zero real number. Calculating the general expression of a closed 3form on $\mathfrak{s}$, it is easy to check that $\mathfrak{s}$ cannot admit closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ forms since Proposition 1.4.5 is satisfied for $X=e_{1}, \ldots, e_{6}$.

If $\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{a}=1$ and $\mathfrak{n}$ is (non-Abelian) nilpotent, then Will in [127] proves that $(\mathfrak{s},\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle)$ is isometric to one of the solvable Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}_{i}$, with $i \in\{1, \ldots, 33\}$, that appear in Table 3.1 which is included at the end of this subsection, endowed with the inner product such that the basis $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{7}\right\}$ is orthonormal. We may apply Proposition 1.4 .5 with $X=e_{6}$ to all the Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}_{i}(i=1, \ldots, 33)$ with the exception of the Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}_{1}, \mathfrak{g}_{4}, \mathfrak{g}_{9}, \mathfrak{g}_{18}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{28}$, showing in this way that they do not admit any closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structure. For the Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}_{1}, \mathfrak{g}_{4}, \mathfrak{g}_{9}, \mathfrak{g}_{18}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{28}$ we first determine a generic closed 3 -form $\varphi$ and then, we apply [115, Proposition 4.5], for each $X=e_{1}, \ldots, e_{7}$ and $\eta=\iota_{X}\left(g_{\varphi}\right)$. Thus, for each $X$, taking $\alpha$ and $\beta$ as in (3.5), we know by (3.6) that $\alpha \wedge \alpha \wedge \alpha \neq 0$ and $\alpha \wedge \beta=0$.

Moreover, we have that the closed 3-form $\varphi$ defines a $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structure if and only the matrix associated to the symmetric bilinear form $g_{\varphi}$, with respect to the orthonormal basis $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{7}\right\}$, is positive definite. Since the Einstein metric is unique up to scaling, a closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structure induces an Einstein metric if and only if the matrix associated to the symmetric bilinear form $g_{\varphi}$, with respect to the basis $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{7}\right\}$, is a multiple of the identity matrix. Then by a direct calculation we have that the Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}_{1}, \mathfrak{g}_{4}, \mathfrak{g}_{9}, \mathfrak{g}_{18}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{28}$ admit a closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structure (see Table (3.2) but they do not admit any closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structure inducing an Einstein (non-flat) metric. Next, we show the details of this result for the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{28}$. To this end, we see that any closed 3 -form $\varphi$ on $\mathfrak{g}_{28}$ has the following expression:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi= & \rho_{1,2,7} e^{127}-\frac{1}{2} \rho_{5,6,7} e^{136}+\rho_{2,4,7} e^{137}+\frac{1}{2} \rho_{5,6,7} e^{145}-\rho_{2,3,7} e^{147}-\rho_{2,6,7} e^{157} \\
& +\rho_{2,5,7} e^{167}+\frac{1}{2} \rho_{5,6,7} e^{235}+\rho_{2,3,7} e^{237}+\frac{1}{2} \rho_{5,6,7} e^{246}+\rho_{2,4,7} e^{247}+\rho_{2,5,7} e^{257} \\
& +\rho_{2,6,7} e^{267}+\rho_{3,4,7} e^{347}+\rho_{3,5,7} e^{357}+\rho_{3,6,7} e^{367}+\rho_{3,6,7} e^{457}-\rho_{3,5,7} e^{467}+\rho_{5,6,7} e^{567},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\rho_{i, j, k}$ are arbitrary real numbers denoting the coefficients of $e^{i j k}$.

Thus, the induced metric $g=g_{\varphi}$ is given by the matrix $G$ with elements $G_{i, j}=g\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right):$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g\left(e_{1}, e_{1}\right)=-\frac{1}{4} \rho_{1,2,7} \rho_{5,6,7}^{2}, \quad g\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)=0, \quad g\left(e_{1}, e_{3}\right)=\frac{1}{4} \rho_{2,3,7} \rho_{5,6,7}^{2}, \\
& g\left(e_{1}, e_{4}\right)=\frac{1}{4} \rho_{2,4,7} \rho_{5,6,7}^{2}, \quad g\left(e_{1}, e_{5}\right)=\frac{1}{4} \rho_{2,5,6} \rho_{5,6,7}^{2}, \quad g\left(e_{1}, e_{6}\right)=\frac{1}{4} \rho_{2,6,7} \rho_{5,6,7}^{2}, \\
& g\left(e_{1}, e_{7}\right)=0, \quad g\left(e_{2}, e_{2}\right)=-\frac{1}{4} \rho_{1,2,7} \rho_{5,6,7}^{2}, \quad g\left(e_{2}, e_{3}\right)=-\frac{1}{4} \rho_{2,4,7} \rho_{5,6,7}^{2}, \\
& g\left(e_{2}, e_{4}\right)=\frac{1}{4} \rho_{2,3,7} \rho_{5,6,7}^{2}, \quad g\left(e_{2}, e_{5}\right)=\frac{1}{4} \rho_{2,6,7} \rho_{5,6,7}^{2}, \quad g\left(e_{2}, e_{6}\right)=-\frac{1}{4} \rho_{2,5,7} \rho_{5,6,7}^{2}, \\
& g\left(e_{2}, e_{7}\right)=0, \quad g\left(e_{3}, e_{3}\right)=-\frac{1}{4} \rho_{3,4,7} \rho_{5,6,7}^{2}, \quad g\left(e_{3}, e_{4}\right)=0, \\
& g\left(e_{3}, e_{5}\right)=\frac{1}{4} \rho_{3,6,7} \rho_{5,6,7}^{2}, \quad g\left(e_{3}, e_{6}\right)=-\frac{1}{4} \rho_{3,5,7} \rho_{5,6,7}^{2}, \quad g\left(e_{3}, e_{7}\right)=0, \\
& g\left(e_{4}, e_{4}\right)=-\frac{1}{4} \rho_{3,4,7} \rho_{5,6,7}^{2}, \quad g\left(e_{4}, e_{5}\right)=-\frac{1}{4} \rho_{3,5,7} \rho_{5,6,7}^{2}, \quad g\left(e_{4}, e_{6}\right)=-\frac{1}{4} \rho_{3,6,7} \rho_{5,6,7}^{2}, \\
& g\left(e_{4}, e_{7}\right)=0, \quad g\left(e_{5}, e_{5}\right)=\frac{\rho_{5,6,7}^{3}}{4}, \quad g\left(e_{5}, e_{6}\right)=0, \\
& g\left(e_{5}, e_{7}\right)=0, \quad g\left(e_{6}, e_{6}\right)=\frac{\rho_{5,6,7}^{3}}{4}, \quad g\left(e_{6}, e_{7}\right)=0, \\
& g\left(e_{7}, e_{7}\right)=-\rho_{5,6,7} \rho_{2,3,7}^{2}+\rho_{1,2,7} \rho_{3,5,7}^{2}+\rho_{1,2,7} \rho_{3,6,7}^{2}+\rho_{2,5,7}^{2} \rho_{3,4,7}+\rho_{2,6,7}^{2} \rho_{3,4,7} \\
& +\rho_{2,5,7}\left(2 \rho_{2,3,7} \rho_{3,6,7}-2 \rho_{2,4,7} \rho_{3,5,7}\right)-2 \rho_{2,6,7}\left(\rho_{2,3,7} \rho_{3,5,7}+\rho_{2,4,7} \rho_{3,6,7}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, the system $G=k I_{7}$, with $k$ a non-vanishing real number and $I_{7}$ the identity matrix, does not have solution. Equivalently, the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{28}$ does not admit any closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structure inducing an Einstein inner product.

## Rank two

In order to determine all the 7-dimensional rank-two Einstein solvable Lie algebras, we need first to consider the rank-one Einstein solvable extensions $\mathfrak{s}_{6}=\mathfrak{n}_{5} \oplus \mathbb{R}\left\langle e_{6}\right\rangle$ of any of the eight 5-dimensional nilpotent Lie algebras $\mathfrak{n}_{5}$ (see Proposition 3.2.1). Then we obtain the standard solvable Lie algebra $\mathfrak{s}_{7}=\mathfrak{s}_{6} \oplus \mathbb{R}\left\langle e_{7}\right\rangle=\mathfrak{n}_{5} \oplus \mathfrak{a}$, with $\mathfrak{a}=\mathbb{R}\left\langle e_{6}, e_{7}\right\rangle$ Abelian and such that the basis $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{7}\right\}$ is orthonormal.

For the rank-two Einstein extension of the nilpotent Lie algebra

$$
\mathfrak{n}=\left(0,0, e^{12}, e^{13}, e^{14}\right)
$$

we take into account the expression of its rank-one Einstein extension $\mathfrak{k}_{1}$ which is described in Proposition 3.2.1. Thus the structure equations of the rank-two Einstein solvable extension are

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
d e^{1}=\frac{2}{13} a e^{16}+b_{1} e^{17}+b_{2} e^{27}+b_{3} e^{37}+b_{4} e^{47}+b_{5} e^{57}, \\
d e^{2}=\frac{9}{13} a e^{26}+b_{6} e^{17}+b_{7} e^{27}+b_{8} e^{37}+b_{9} e^{47}+b_{10} e^{57}, \\
d e^{3}=\frac{10}{13} \sqrt{3} a e^{12}+\frac{11}{13} a e^{36}+b_{11} e^{17}+b_{12} e^{27}+b_{13} e^{37}+b_{14} e^{47}+b_{15} e^{57}, \\
d e^{4}=\frac{20}{13} a e^{13}+a e^{46}+b_{16} e^{17}+b_{17} e^{27}+b_{18} e^{37}+b_{19} e^{47}+b_{20} e^{57}, \\
d e^{5}=\frac{10}{13} \sqrt{3} a e^{14}+\frac{15}{13} a e^{56}+b_{21} e^{17}+b_{22} e^{27}+b_{23} e^{37}+b_{24} e^{47}+b_{25} e^{57}, \\
d e^{6}=d e^{7}=0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Imposing that the inner product $\sum_{i}\left(e^{i}\right)^{2}$ is Einstein and the condition $d^{2} e^{j}=0$, where $j \in\{1, \ldots, 7\}$, we have that the structure equations of the corresponding 7-dimensional rank-two Einstein solvable Lie algebra are

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
d e^{1} & =\frac{1}{3} \sqrt{6} a e^{16}+4 a e^{17} \\
d e^{2} & =\frac{3}{2} \sqrt{6} a e^{26}-7 a e^{27} \\
d e^{3} & =\frac{5}{3} \sqrt{18} a e^{12}+\frac{11}{6} \sqrt{6} a e^{36}-3 a e^{37} \\
d e^{4} & =\frac{10}{3} \sqrt{6} a e^{13}+\frac{13}{6} \sqrt{6} a e^{46}+a e^{47} \\
d e^{5} & =\frac{5}{3} \sqrt{18} a e^{14}+\frac{5}{2} \sqrt{6} a e^{56}+5 a e^{57} \\
d e^{6} & =d e^{7}=0
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

Now, calculating the general expression of a closed 3-form $\varphi$, and using Lemma 3.3.4 and [115, Proposition 4.5], for $\eta=e^{i}(i \in\{1, \ldots, 7\})$, we have that the matrix associated to $g_{\varphi}$, with respect to the basis $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{7}\right\}$, cannot be a multiple of the identity matrix. Thus, the Lie algebra previously defined does not admit a closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structure inducing the Einstein metric.

For the nilpotent Lie algebra

$$
\mathfrak{n}=\left(0,0, e^{12}, e^{13}, e^{14}+e^{23}\right)
$$

whose rank one Einstein extension is $\mathfrak{k}_{2}$, is not obtained any 7-dimensional Einstein Lie algebra of rank two. Concretely, a rank two Einstein extension of $\mathfrak{n}$ would have to be of the form

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
d e^{1}=\frac{1}{4} a e^{16}+b_{1} e^{17}+b_{2} e^{27}+b_{3} e^{37}+b_{4} e^{47}+b_{5} e^{57}, \\
d e^{2}=\frac{1}{2} a e^{26}+b_{6} e^{17}+b_{7} e^{27}+b_{8} e^{37}+b_{9} e^{47}+b_{10} e^{57} \\
d e^{3}=\frac{1}{4} \sqrt{30} a e^{12}+\frac{3}{4} a e^{36}+b_{11} e^{17}+b_{12} e^{27}+b_{13} e^{37}+b_{14} e^{47}+b_{15} e^{57}, \\
d e^{4}=\frac{1}{4} \sqrt{30} a e^{13}+a e^{46}+b_{16} e^{17}+b_{17} e^{27}+b_{18} e^{37}+b_{19} e^{47}+b_{20} e^{57}, \\
d e^{5}=-\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{5} a e^{14}-\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{5} a e^{23}+\frac{5}{4}+b_{21} e^{17}+b_{22} e^{27}+b_{23} e^{37}+b_{24} e^{47}+b_{25} e^{57}, \\
d e^{6}=d e^{7}=0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

However, after imposing the conditions $d^{2} e^{j}=0(j=1, \ldots, 7)$ and the inner product to be Einstein, we obtain that there are no values on the parameters $b_{i}$ satisfying these conditions.

The nilpotent Lie algebra

$$
\mathfrak{n}=\left(0,0,0, e^{12}, e^{14}+e^{23}\right)
$$

has a rank-one Einstein extension given by $\mathfrak{k}_{3}$. Therefore the structure equations
of the rank-two Einstein solvable extension are

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
d e^{1}=\frac{3}{10} a e^{16}+b_{1} e^{17}+b_{2} e^{27}+b_{3} e^{37}+b_{4} e^{47}+b_{5} e^{57}, \\
d e^{2}=\frac{2}{5} a e^{26}+b_{6} e^{17}+b_{7} e^{27}+b_{8} e^{37}+b_{9} e^{47}+b_{10} e^{57}, \\
d e^{3}=\frac{3}{5} a e^{36}+b_{11} e^{17}+b_{12} e^{27}+b_{13} e^{37}+b_{14} e^{47}+b_{15} e^{57}, \\
d e^{4}=\frac{1}{5} \sqrt{30} a e^{12}+\frac{7}{10} a e^{46}+b_{16} e^{17}+b_{17} e^{27}+b_{18} e^{37}+b_{19} e^{47}+b_{20} e^{57}, \\
d e^{5}=\frac{1}{5} \sqrt{15} a e^{23}+\frac{1}{5} \sqrt{30} a e^{14}+a e^{56}+b_{21} e^{17}+b_{22} e^{27}+b_{23} e^{37}+b_{24} e^{47}+b_{25} e^{57}, \\
d e^{6}=d e^{7}=0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

After solving the equations corresponding to the condition $d^{2} e^{j}=0(j=1, \ldots, 7)$ and the inner product to be Einstein, the structure equations of the rank-two Einstein extension are

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
d e^{1}=\frac{1}{7} \sqrt{21} a e^{16}-a e^{17}, \\
d e^{2}=\frac{4}{21} \sqrt{21} a e^{26}+2 a e^{27}, \\
d e^{3}=\frac{2}{7} \sqrt{21} a e^{36}-2 a e^{37}, \\
d e^{4}=\frac{2}{21} \sqrt{30} \sqrt{21} a e^{12}+\frac{1}{3} \sqrt{21} a e^{46}+a e^{47}, \\
d e^{5}=\frac{2}{21} \sqrt{30} \sqrt{21} a e^{14}+\frac{2}{21} \sqrt{15} \sqrt{21} a e^{23}+\frac{10}{21} \sqrt{21} a e^{56}, \\
d e^{6}=d e^{7}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Calculating the expression of a generic closed 3 -form $\varphi$ and using Lemma 3.3.4 and [115, Proposition 4.5] with $\eta=e^{1}, \ldots, e^{7}$ or equivalently, with $X=e_{1}, \ldots, e_{7}$, we get that the matrix associated to $g_{\varphi}$, with respect to the basis $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{7}\right\}$, cannot be a multiple of the identity matrix. Therefore, the metric induced by a closed 3 -form cannot be Einstein.

We already know that the rank-one Einstein extension of the nilpotent Lie algebra

$$
\mathfrak{n}=\left(0,0,0,0, e^{12}+e^{34}\right)
$$

is described by $\mathfrak{k}_{4}$ (see Proposition 3.2.1). Thus, the rank-two Einstein extension of $\mathfrak{n}$ is of the form

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
d e^{1}=a e^{16}+b_{1} e^{17}+b_{2} e^{27}+b_{3} e^{37}+b_{4} e^{47}+b_{5} e^{57}, \\
d e^{2}=a e^{26}+b_{6} e^{17}+b_{7} e^{27}+b_{8} e^{37}+b_{9} e^{47}+b_{10} e^{57} \\
d e^{3}=a e^{36}+b_{11} e^{17}+b_{12} e^{27}+b_{13} e^{37}+b_{14} e^{47}+b_{15} e^{57}, \\
d e^{4}=a e^{46}+b_{16} e^{17}+b_{17} e^{27}+b_{18} e^{37}+b_{19} e^{47}+b_{20} e^{57}, \\
d e^{5}=2 a e^{12}+2 a e^{34}+2 a e^{56}+b_{21} e^{17}+b_{22} e^{27}+b_{23} e^{37}+b_{24} e^{47}+b_{25} e^{57}, \\
d e^{6}=d e^{7}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Therefore, we solve the corresponding conditions and obtain that the structure equations of the 7 -dimensional rank-two Einstein extension are

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
d e^{1}=a e^{16}-b_{7} e^{17}+b_{2} e^{27}+b_{3} e^{37}+b_{4} e^{47} \\
d e^{2}=a e^{26}+b_{2} e^{17}+b_{7} e^{27}+b_{4} e^{37}-b_{3} e^{47} \\
d e^{3}=a e^{36}+b_{3} e^{17}+b_{4} e^{27}-b_{19} e^{37}+b_{14} e^{47} \\
d e^{4}=a e^{46}+b_{4} e^{17}-b_{3} e^{27}+b_{14} e^{37}+b_{19} e^{47} \\
d e^{5}=2 a\left(e^{12}+e^{34}+e^{56}\right), \\
d e^{6}=d e^{7}=0,
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $a=\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{b_{7}^{2}+b_{2}^{2}+2 b_{3}^{2}+2 b_{4}^{2}+b_{14}^{2}+b_{19}^{2}}$. Then, if we compute the expression of a generic closed 3 -form on this Lie algebra, we can apply Proposition 1.4 .5 with $X=e_{5}$. Thus the rank-two Einstein extension does not admit closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ forms.

Now, we consider the nilpotent Lie algebra defined by the structure equations

$$
\mathfrak{n}=\left(0,0, e^{12}, e^{13}, e^{23}\right)
$$

It admits the rank-one Einstein extension given by $\mathfrak{k}_{5}$. Then, the rank-two Einstein extension of $\mathfrak{n}$ is of the form

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
d e^{1}=a e^{16}+b_{1} e^{17}+b_{2} e^{27}+b_{3} e^{37}+b_{4} e^{47}+b_{5} e^{57}, \\
d e^{2}=a e^{26}+b_{6} e^{17}+b_{7} e^{27}+b_{8} e^{37}+b_{9} e^{47}+b_{10} e^{57} \\
d e^{3}=4 a e^{12}+2 a e^{36}+b_{11} e^{17}+b_{12} e^{27}+b_{13} e^{37}+b_{14} e^{47}+b_{15} e^{57}, \\
d e^{4}=2 \sqrt{3} a e^{13}+3 a e^{46}+b_{16} e^{17}+b_{17} e^{27}+b_{18} e^{37}+b_{19} e^{47}+b_{20} e^{57} \\
d e^{5}=2 \sqrt{3} a e^{23}+3 a e^{56}+b_{21} e^{17}+b_{22} e^{27}+b_{23} e^{37}+b_{24} e^{47}+b_{25} e^{57}, \\
d e^{6}=d e^{7}=0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

We solve the equations corresponding to the inner product to be Einstein and $d^{2} e^{j}=0, j=1, \ldots, 7$. Thus, the structure equations of the rank-two Einstein extension of $\mathfrak{n}$ are

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
d e^{1}=a e^{16}+b_{19} e^{17}+b_{20} e^{27}, \\
d e^{2}=a e^{26}+b_{20} e^{17}-b_{19} e^{27}, \\
d e^{3}=4 a e^{12}+2 a e^{36}, \\
d e^{4}=2 \sqrt{3} a e^{13}+3 a e^{46}+b_{19} e^{47}+b_{20} e^{57}, \\
d e^{5}=2 \sqrt{3} a e^{23}+3 a e^{56}+b_{20} e^{47}-b_{19} e^{57}, \\
d e^{6}=d e^{7}=0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

We take the expression of a closed 3 -form, namely $\varphi$. After calculating its corresponding metric $g_{\varphi}$ and its associated matrix $G$ with respect to $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{7}\right\}$, using [115, Proposition 4.5] for $\eta=e^{1}, \ldots, e^{7}$ we have that the system $G=k I_{7}$ (where $k$ is a non-zero real number) has no solution.

For the nilpotent Lie algebra

$$
\mathfrak{n}=\left(0,0,0, e^{12}, e^{13}\right)
$$

the rank-one Einstein extension is given by $\mathfrak{k}_{6}$. Thus, the structure equations of the rank-two Einstein extension associated to $\mathfrak{n}$ are of the form

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
d e^{1}=\frac{1}{3} a e^{16}+b_{1} e^{17}+b_{2} e^{27}+b_{3} e^{37}+b_{4} e^{47}+b_{5} e^{57}, \\
d e^{2}=\frac{1}{2} a e^{26}+b_{6} e^{17}+b_{7} e^{27}+b_{8} e^{37}+b_{9} e^{47}+b_{10} e^{57}, \\
d e^{3}=\frac{1}{2} a e^{36}+b_{11} e^{17}+b_{12} e^{27}+b_{13} e^{37}+b_{14} e^{47}+b_{15} e^{57} \\
d e^{4}=a e^{12}+\frac{5}{6} a e^{46}+b_{16} e^{17}+b_{17} e^{27}+b_{18} e^{37}+b_{19} e^{47}+b_{20} e^{57}, \\
d e^{5}=a e^{13}+\frac{5}{6} a e^{56}+b_{21} e^{17}+b_{22} e^{27}+b_{23} e^{37}+b_{24} e^{47}+b_{25} e^{57}, \\
d e^{6}=d e^{7}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Therefore, imposing the Einstein condition and the differential operator to vanish when applied twice we obtain the two families of 7 -dimensional rank-two Einstein Lie algebras

$$
\text { 1) }\left\{\begin{aligned}
& d e^{1}=2 a e^{16}+2\left(b_{19}+b_{25}\right) e^{17}, \\
& d e^{2}=3 a e^{26}-\left(b_{19}+2 b_{25}\right) e^{27}+b_{12} e^{37} \\
& d e^{3}=3 a e^{36}+b_{12} e^{27}-\left(b_{19}+2 b_{25}\right) e^{37} \\
& d e^{4}=6 a e^{12}+5 a e^{46}+b_{19} e^{47}+b_{12} e^{57} \\
& d e^{5}=6 a e^{13}+5 a e^{56}+b_{12} e^{47}+b_{25} e^{57} \\
& d e^{6}=d e^{7}=0,
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

and

$$
\text { 2) }\left\{\begin{aligned}
d e^{1} & =\sqrt{2} b_{25} e^{16}+4 b_{25} e^{17} \\
d e^{2} & =\frac{3}{2} \sqrt{2} b_{25} e^{26}-3 b_{25} e^{27}-b_{12} e^{37} \\
d e^{3} & =\frac{3}{2} \sqrt{2} b_{25} e^{36}+b_{12} e^{27}-3 b_{25} e^{37} \\
d e^{4} & =3 \sqrt{2} b_{25} e^{12}+\frac{5}{2} \sqrt{2} b_{25} e^{46}+b_{25} e^{47}-b_{12} e^{57} \\
d e^{5} & =3 \sqrt{2} b_{25} e^{13}+\frac{5}{2} \sqrt{2} b_{25} e^{56}+b_{12} e^{47}+b_{25} e^{57} \\
d e^{6} & =d e^{7}=0
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

For 1) we calculate first a generic closed 3 -forms $\varphi$ and then, using [115, Proposition 4.5] for $X=e_{7}$, equivalently $\eta=e^{7}$, we impose the condition $\alpha \wedge \beta=0$. By this condition we have, in particular, that

$$
\rho_{1,2,3} \rho_{1,3,4}\left(b_{19}+b_{25}\right)=0
$$

where by $\rho_{i, j, k}$ we denote the coefficient of $e^{i j k}$ in $\varphi$. One can immediately exclude the case $\rho_{1,3,4}=0$, since otherwise the element $G_{4,4}$ of the matrix associated to
the metric $g_{\varphi}$ has to be zero. Then we study separately the cases $\rho_{1,2,3}=0$ and $b_{19}=-b_{25}$. In both cases we do not find any solution for the system $S=G-k I_{7}$.

For 2) we consider separately the cases $b_{12} b_{25} \neq 0, b_{12}=0$ and $b_{25}=0$. In the case $b_{12} b_{25} \neq 0$ we compute first a generic closed 3 -form $\varphi$ and then, using [115, Proposition 4.5] for $X=e_{7}$, we impose the condition $\alpha \wedge \alpha \wedge \alpha \neq 0$, getting the condition $\rho_{1,2,5} \neq 0$. Thus, we take the system $S=G-k I_{7}$ and get the values of $\rho_{2,5,6}, \rho_{3,4,6}, \rho_{3,5,6}$ and $\rho_{2,3,6}$ from $S_{5,5}, S_{3,5}, S_{4,4}$ and $S_{3,4}$, respectively. Now $S_{3,3}=-k$, and the system does not admit any solution.

For $b_{12}=0$ we first compute the expression of a general closed 3 -form $\varphi$ and then we use [115, Proposition 4.5] with $X=e_{7}$, obtaining that $\rho_{2,3,6}, \rho_{2,4,6}, \rho_{3,5,6}$ and $\rho_{4,5,6}$ are all different from zero. Thus, the system $S=G-k I_{7}$ does not have solution. In the case $b_{25}=0$ we first compute a generic closed 3 -form $\varphi$ and then we apply Proposition 1.4.5 with $X=e_{1}, \ldots, e_{5}$.

The nilpotent Lie algebra

$$
\mathfrak{n}=\left(0,0, e^{12}, 0,0\right)
$$

admits a rank-one Einstein extension described by $\mathfrak{k}_{7}$. Therefore, the rank-two Einstein extension of $\mathfrak{n}$ is given by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
d e^{1}=a e^{16}+b_{1} e^{17}+b_{2} e^{27}+b_{3} e^{37}+b_{4} e^{47}+b_{5} e^{57}, \\
d e^{2}=a e^{26}+b_{6} e^{17}+b_{7} e^{27}+b_{8} e^{37}+b_{9} e^{47}+b_{10} e^{57} \\
d e^{3}=\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{7} a e^{12}+a e^{36}+b_{11} e^{17}+b_{12} e^{27}+b_{13} e^{37}+b_{14} e^{47}+b_{15} e^{57}, \\
d e^{4}=\frac{3}{4} a e^{46}+b_{16} e^{17}+b_{17} e^{27}+b_{18} e^{37}+b_{19} e^{47}+b_{20} e^{57}, \\
d e^{5}=\frac{3}{4} a e^{56}+b_{21} e^{17}+b_{22} e^{27}+b_{23} e^{37}+b_{24} e^{47}+b_{25} e^{57}, \\
d e^{6}=d e^{7}=0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

We solve the equations corresponding to the inner product to be Einstein and $d^{2} e^{j}=0, j=1, \ldots, 7$. Then, we obtain the four families of 7 -dimensional ranktwo Einstein Lie algebras, with structure equations

$$
\text { 1) }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
d e^{1}=a e^{16}+\left(-b_{7}+b_{13}\right) e^{17}+b_{6} e^{27}, \\
d e^{2}=a e^{26}+b_{6} e^{17}+b_{7} e^{27}, \\
d e^{3}=a\left(\sqrt{7} e^{12}+2 e^{36}\right)+b_{13} e^{37}, \\
d e^{4}=\frac{3}{2} a e^{46}-\left(2 b_{13}+b_{25}\right) e^{47}+b_{24} e^{57}, \\
d e^{5}=\frac{3}{2} a e^{56}+b_{24} e^{47}+b_{25} e^{57}, \\
d e^{6}=d e^{7}=0,
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $a=\frac{2}{21} \sqrt{21 b_{7}^{2}-21 b_{7} f_{13}+63 b_{13}^{2}+21 b_{6}^{2}+42 b_{25} b_{13}+21 b_{25}^{2}+21 b_{24}^{2}}$;

$$
\text { 2) }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
d e^{1}=a e^{16}+\left(-b_{7}+b_{13}\right) e^{17}+b_{6} e^{27}, \\
d e^{2}=a e^{26}+b_{6} e^{17}+b_{7} e^{27}, \\
d e^{3}=a\left(\sqrt{7} e^{12}+2 e^{36}\right)+b_{13} e^{37}, \\
d e^{4}=\frac{3}{2} a e^{46}-b_{13} e^{47}-b_{24} e^{57}, \\
d e^{5}=\frac{3}{2} a e^{56}+b_{24} e^{47}-b_{13} e^{57}, \\
d e^{6}=d e^{7}=0,
\end{array}\right.
$$

with $a=\frac{2}{21} \sqrt{21 b_{7}^{2}-21 b_{7} b_{13}+42 b_{13}^{2}+21 b_{6}^{2}}$;

$$
3)\left\{\begin{aligned}
& d e^{1}=a e^{16}+\frac{1}{2} b_{13} e^{17}-b_{6} e^{27}, \\
& d e^{2}=a e^{26}+b_{6} e^{17}+\frac{1}{2} b_{13} e^{27}, \\
& d e^{3}=a\left(\sqrt{7} e^{12}+2 e^{36}\right)+b_{13} e^{37}, \\
& d e^{4}=\frac{3}{2} a e^{46}-\left(2 b_{13}+b_{25}\right) e^{47}+b_{24} e^{57}, \\
& d e^{5}=\frac{3}{2} a e^{56}+b_{24} e^{47}+b_{25} e^{57}, \\
& d e^{6}=d e^{7}=0,
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

where $a=\frac{1}{21} \sqrt{231 b_{13}^{2}+168 b_{13} b_{25}+84 b_{25}^{2}+84 b_{24}^{2}}$; and

$$
\text { 4) }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
d e^{1}=\frac{1}{3} \sqrt{3} b_{25} e^{16}-\frac{1}{2} b_{25} e^{17}-b_{6} e^{27}, \\
d e^{2}=\frac{1}{3} \sqrt{3} b_{25} e^{26}+b_{6} e^{17}-\frac{1}{2} b_{25} e^{27}, \\
d e^{3}=\frac{1}{3} \sqrt{3} b_{25}\left(\sqrt{7} e^{12}+2 e^{36}\right)-b_{25} e^{37} \\
d e^{4}=\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{3} b_{25} e^{46}+b_{25} e^{47}-b_{24} e^{57} \\
d e^{5}=\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{3} b_{25} e^{46}+b_{24} e^{47}+b_{25} e^{57}, \\
d e^{6}=d e^{7}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

For all of them, after calculating a generic closed 3 -form we can apply Proposition 1.4.5 with $X=e_{3}$. Thus, the rank-two Einstein extension of $\mathfrak{n}$ does not admit closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structures.

The nilpotent Lie algebra given by

$$
\mathfrak{n}=\left(0,0, e^{12}, e^{13}, 0\right)
$$

has the rank-one Einstein extension described by $\mathfrak{k}_{8}$. Thus, the structure equations of the rank-two Einstein extension of $\mathfrak{n}$ are

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
d e^{1}=a e^{16}+b_{1} e^{17}+b_{2} e^{27}+b_{3} e^{37}+b_{4} e^{47}+b_{5} e^{57}, \\
d e^{2}=2 a e^{26}+b_{6} e^{17}+b_{7} e^{27}+b_{8} e^{37}+b_{9} e^{47}+b_{10} e^{57} \\
d e^{3}=\sqrt{26} a e^{12}+3 a e^{36}+b_{11} e^{17}+b_{12} e^{27}+b_{13} e^{37}+b_{14} e^{47}+b_{15} e^{57} \\
d e^{4}=\sqrt{26} a e^{13}+4 a e^{46}+b_{16} e^{17}+b_{17} e^{27}+b_{18} e^{37}+b_{19} e^{47}+b_{20} e^{57} \\
d e^{5}=3 a e^{56}+b_{21} e^{17}+b_{22} e^{27}+b_{23} e^{37}+b_{24} e^{47}+b_{25} e^{57} \\
d e^{6}=d e^{7}=0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Therefore, after solving the equations corresponding to the Einstein condition, and the vanishing of the differential operator when it is applied twice, we obtain that the structure equations of the rank-two Einstein solvable extension of $\mathfrak{n}$ are

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
d e^{1}=a e^{16}+\left(-b_{13}+b_{19}\right) e^{17} \\
d e^{2}=a e^{26}+\left(2 b_{13}-b_{19}\right) e^{27} \\
d e^{3}=a\left(\sqrt{26} e^{12}+3 e^{36}\right)+b_{13} e^{37} \\
d e^{4}=a\left(\sqrt{26} e^{13}+4 e^{46}\right)+b_{19} e^{47} \\
d e^{5}=3 a e^{56}-\left(2 b_{13}+b_{19}\right) e^{57} \\
d e^{6}=d e^{7}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $a=\frac{1}{39} \sqrt{390 b_{13}^{2}-78 b_{13} b_{19}+156 b_{19}^{2}}$.
We study separately the cases $b_{13} b_{19} \neq 0, b_{13}=0$ and $b_{19}=0$.
For $b_{13} b_{19} \neq 0$, using [115, Proposition 4.5] (that is, $\alpha \wedge \alpha \wedge \alpha \neq 0$, with $X=e_{7}$ ), we may suppose $\rho_{1,2,4} \rho_{1,3,5} \neq 0$ for a generic closed 3 -form $\varphi$. Now, we consider the system

$$
S=G-k I_{7},
$$

where $G$ is the matrix associated to $g_{\varphi}$ and $k$ a non-zero real number. From the equation corresponding to $S_{4,5}, S_{3,4}$ and $S_{2,2}$ we obtain the values of the parameters $\rho_{1,2,5}, \rho_{1,2,3}$ and $a$. Substituting these values in the remaining equations and considering the equations corresponding to $S_{2,4}$ and $S_{2,5}$ we conclude that the system $S=0$ does not have solution. Indeed, the two equations corresponding to $S_{2,4}$ and $S_{2,5}$ imply that $b_{13}=-\frac{19}{5} b_{19}$ and $b_{13}=\frac{7}{31} b_{19}$, which is a contradiction since $b_{13} b_{19} \neq 0$.

In the case $b_{13}=0$, using [115, Proposition 4.5] with $\eta=e^{7}$, we may suppose $\rho_{1,3,5} \rho_{3,4,7} \neq 0$ for a generic closed 3 -form. Then, we get the expression of $\rho_{2,5,7}, k$ and $\rho_{2,3,7}$ from $S_{5,5}, S_{5,3}$ and $S_{2,3}$, respectively. After substituting these values on $S$ we have that

$$
S_{4,4}=\frac{7 \rho_{1,3,5}\left(49 \rho_{1,2,5}^{2}+152 \rho_{3,4,7}^{2}\right)}{76 \sqrt{78}}
$$

and thus, $S_{4,4} \neq 0$, since $\rho_{1,3,5}$ and $\rho_{3,4,7}$ cannot vanish.
For $b_{19}=0$, using [115, Proposition 4.5] with $\eta=e^{7}$, we can consider $\rho_{1,3,5} \rho_{3,4,7} \neq 0$ for any closed 3 -form. Then we obtain $\rho_{1,2,3}, \rho_{2,5,6}, \rho_{2,3,7}$ and $\rho_{1,3,5}$ from $S_{3,4}, S_{2,3}, S_{2,4}$ and $S_{3,3}$, respectively. Substituting these values of $\rho_{1,2,3}, \rho_{2,5,6}, \rho_{2,3,7}$ and $\rho_{1,3,5}$ in the remaining equations we have that

$$
S_{4,4}=-\frac{959322 \rho_{1,2,5}^{2} \rho_{3,4,7}^{4}+59711 k^{2}}{59711 k}
$$

which implies again $S_{4,4} \neq 0$. Then, the rank-two Einstein extension of $\mathfrak{n}$ does not admit any closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structure inducing the Einstein metric.

If $\mathfrak{n}$ is the 5 -dimensional Abelian Lie algebra, in order to obtain the rank-two Einstein extension of $\mathfrak{n}$, namely $\mathfrak{s}$, we have to consider for $\mathfrak{s}$ the structure equations

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
d e^{1} & =a e^{16}+b_{1} e^{17} \\
d e^{2} & =a e^{26}+b_{2} e^{27} \\
d e^{3} & =a e^{36}+b_{3} e^{37} \\
d e^{4} & =a e^{46}+b_{4} e^{47} \\
d e^{5} & =a e^{56}+b_{5} e^{57} \\
d e^{6} & =d e^{7}=0
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

By imposing that $\mathfrak{s}$ is an Einstein Lie algebra (the inner product is the one for which $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{7}\right\}$ is orthonormal), we get that the family of Lie algebras with structure equations

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
d e^{1}=a e^{16}+\left(-b_{2}-b_{3}-b_{4}\right) e^{17} \\
d e^{2}=a e^{26}+b_{2} e^{27} \\
d e^{3}=a e^{36}+b_{3} e^{37} \\
d e^{4}=a e^{46}+b_{4} e^{47} \\
d e^{5}=a e^{56} \\
d e^{6}=d e^{7}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $a=\sqrt{10 b_{7}^{2}+10 b_{7} b_{13}+10 b_{7} b_{19}+10 b_{13}^{2}+10 b_{13} b_{19}+10 b_{19}^{2}}$. For these Lie algebras we first calculate a generic closed 3 -form $\varphi$, and then we may apply Proposition 1.4 .5 with $X=e_{1}, \ldots, e_{5}$.

## Rank three

In order to determine all the 7-dimensional rank-three Einstein solvable Lie algebras, we need to find first the rank-one Einstein solvable extensions

$$
\mathfrak{s}_{5}=\mathfrak{n}_{4} \oplus \mathbb{R}\left\langle e_{5}\right\rangle
$$

of the two 4-dimensional (non-Abelian) nilpotent Lie algebras $\mathfrak{n}_{4}$ as well as of the Abelian one. Then we consider the standard solvable Lie algebra $\mathfrak{s}_{7}=$ $\mathfrak{s}_{5} \oplus \mathbb{R}\left\langle e_{6}, e_{7}\right\rangle=\mathfrak{n}_{4} \oplus \mathfrak{a}$, with $\mathfrak{a}=\mathbb{R}\left\langle e_{5}, e_{6}, e_{7}\right\rangle$ Abelian and such that the basis $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{7}\right\}$ is orthonormal. We begin with the 4-dimensional nilpotent Lie algebra

$$
\mathfrak{n}=\left(0,0, e^{12}, e^{13}\right)
$$

which has the rank-one Einstein extension defined by

$$
\left(\frac{1}{4} a e^{15}, \frac{1}{2} a e^{25}, \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{5} a e^{12}+\frac{3}{4} a e^{35}, \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{5} a e^{13}+a e^{45}, 0\right)
$$

Thus, the structure equations of the rank-three Einstein solvable extensions are

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
d e^{1}= & \frac{1}{4} a e^{15}+b_{1} e^{16}+b_{2} e^{26}+b_{3} e^{36}+b_{4} e^{46}+b_{5} e^{17}+b_{6} e^{27}+b_{7} e^{37}+b_{8} e^{47}  \tag{3.7}\\
d e^{2}= & \frac{1}{2} a e^{25}+b_{9} e^{16}+b_{10} e^{26}+b_{11} e^{36}+b_{12} e^{46}+b_{13} e^{17}+b_{14} e^{27}+b_{15} e^{37} \\
& +b_{16} e^{47}, \\
d e^{3}= & \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{5} a e^{12}+\frac{3}{4} a e^{35}+b_{17} e^{16}+b_{18} e^{26}+b_{19} e^{36}+b_{20} e^{46}+b_{21} e^{17}+b_{22} e^{27} \\
& +b_{23} e^{37}+b_{24} e^{47}, \\
d e^{4}= & \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{5} a e^{13}+a e^{45}+b_{25} e^{16}+b_{26} e^{26}+b_{27} e^{36}+b_{28} e^{46}+b_{29} e^{17}+b_{30} e^{27} \\
& +b_{31} e^{37}+b_{32} e^{47}, \\
d e^{5}= & d e^{6}=d e^{7}=0 .
\end{align*}\right.
$$

After considering the equations corresponding to the inner product to be Einstein and the condition of the differential operator to vanish when applied twice, we have that the family of Lie algebras $\mathfrak{n}$ does not admit a rank-three Einstein extension.

Consider now the nilpotent Lie algebra

$$
\mathfrak{n}=\mathfrak{h}_{3} \oplus \mathbb{R}=\left(0,0, e^{12}, 0\right)
$$

where $\mathfrak{h}_{3}$ is the Lie algebra of the 3-dimensional nilpotent Heisenberg group, that is, $\mathfrak{h}_{3}=\left(0,0, e^{12}\right)$. Then, the rank-one Einstein extension of $\mathfrak{n}$ is

$$
\left(\frac{1}{2} a e^{15}, \frac{1}{2} a e^{25}, \frac{1}{4} \sqrt{22} a e^{12}+a e^{35}, \frac{3}{4} a e^{45}, 0\right) .
$$

Therefore, the structure equations of the corresponding rank-three Einstein solvable extensions are

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
d e^{1}= & \frac{1}{2} a e^{15}+b_{1} e^{16}+b_{2} e^{26}+b_{3} e^{36}+b_{4} e^{46}+b_{5} e^{17}+b_{6} e^{27}+b_{7} e^{37}+b_{8} e^{47}, \\
d e^{2}= & \frac{1}{2} a e^{25}+b_{9} e^{16}+b_{10} e^{26}+b_{11} e^{36}+b_{12} e^{46}+b_{13} e^{17}+b_{14} e^{27}+b_{15} e^{37}+b_{16} e^{47}, \\
d e^{3}= & \frac{1}{4} \sqrt{22} a e^{12}+\frac{3}{4} a e^{35}+b_{17} e^{16}+b_{18} e^{26}+b_{19} e^{36}+b_{20} e^{46}+b_{21} e^{17}+b_{22} e^{27} \\
& +b_{23} e^{37}+b_{24} e^{47}, \\
d e^{4}= & \frac{3}{4} a e^{45}+b_{25} e^{16}+b_{26} e^{26}+b_{27} e^{36}+b_{28} e^{46}+b_{29} e^{17}+b_{30} e^{27}+b_{31} e^{37}+b_{32} e^{47}, \\
d e^{5}= & d e^{6}=d e^{7}=0 .
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

Then, we obtain that the 7-dimensional rank-three Einstein extension of $\mathfrak{n}=\mathfrak{h}_{3} \oplus \mathbb{R}$ has the structure equations

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
d e^{1}=\frac{1}{2} a e^{15}-\left(b_{10}+\frac{1}{2} b_{28}\right) e^{16}+b_{2} e^{26}+\left(-b_{14}+b_{23}\right) e^{17}+b_{6} e^{27}  \tag{3.8}\\
d e^{2}=\frac{1}{2} a e^{25}+b_{9} e^{16}+b_{10} e^{26}+b_{13} e^{17}+b_{14} e^{27}, \\
d e^{3}=\frac{1}{4} \sqrt{22} a e^{12}+a e^{35}-\frac{1}{2} b_{28} e^{36}+b_{23} e^{37}, \\
d e^{4}=\frac{3}{4} a e^{45}+b_{28} e^{46}-2 b_{23} e^{47}, \\
d e^{5}=d e^{6}=d e^{7}=0,
\end{array}\right.
$$

satisfying the conditions $d^{2} e^{i}=0(i=1, \ldots, 4)$ and one of the two following:

$$
\text { 1) } \begin{aligned}
a & =\sqrt{\frac{32 b_{14}^{2}-32 b_{14} b_{23}+96 b_{23}^{2}+32 b_{13}^{2}}{33}}, \quad b_{6}=b_{13}, \\
b_{2} & =b_{9}= \pm \sqrt{\frac{11}{4 b_{14}^{2}-4 b_{14} b_{23}+b_{23}^{2}+4 b_{13}^{2}}} b_{13} b_{23}, \\
b_{10} & =\mp \frac{1}{11} \sqrt{\frac{11}{4 b_{14}^{2}-4 b_{14} b_{23}+b_{23}^{2}+4 b_{13}^{2}}}\left(-13 b_{14} b_{23}+6 b_{23}^{2}+2 b_{14}^{2}+2 b_{13}^{2}\right), \\
b_{28} & = \pm \frac{2}{11} \sqrt{\frac{11}{4 b_{14}^{2}-4 b_{14} b_{23}+b_{23}^{2}+4 b_{13}^{2}}}\left(4 b_{14}^{2}-4 b_{14} b_{23}+b_{23}^{2}+4 b_{13}^{2}\right) ; \\
\text { 2) } \quad a & =2 \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} b_{23}, \quad b_{2}= \pm \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{11 b_{23}^{2}-4 b_{10}^{2}}, \quad b_{6}=b_{13}=b_{28}=0, \quad b_{14}=\frac{1}{2} b_{23} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For 1) we determine the general expression of a generic closed 3-form $\varphi$. Using [115, Proposition 4.5] with $X=e_{7}$, and the fact that $a \neq 0$, we consider the condition $\alpha \wedge \beta=0$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha= & \rho_{1,2,7} e^{12}+\left(\frac{2\left(b_{14}-b_{23}\right) \rho_{1,5,6}-\left(2 b_{10}+b_{28}\right) \rho_{1,5,7}-2 b_{13} \rho_{2,5,6}+2 b_{9} \rho_{2,5,7}}{a}\right) e^{16} \\
& +\rho_{1,5,7} e^{15}+\rho_{2,5,7} e^{25}+\left(-\frac{2 b_{6} \rho_{1,5,6}+2 b_{2} \rho_{1,5,7}-2 b_{14} \rho_{2,5,6}+2 b_{10} \rho_{2,5,7}}{a}\right) e^{26} \\
& +\frac{2 \sqrt{\frac{2}{11}} b_{23} \rho_{1,2,4}}{a} e^{34}+\left(2 \sqrt{\frac{2}{11}} \rho_{1,2,7}-\frac{2 \sqrt{\frac{2}{11}} b_{23} \rho_{1,2,5}}{a}\right) e^{35}+\rho_{4,5,7} e^{45} \\
& +\left(-\frac{2 \sqrt{\frac{2}{11}} b_{23} \rho_{1,2,6} \sqrt{\frac{2}{11}} b_{28} \rho_{1,2,7}}{a}\right) e^{36}+\left(\frac{8 b_{23} \rho_{4,5,6}+4 b_{28} \rho_{4,5,7}}{3 a}\right) e^{46}+\rho_{5,6,7} e^{56}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\beta= & \rho_{1,2,4} e^{124}+\rho_{1,2,5} e^{125}+\rho_{1,2,6} e^{126}+\rho_{1,5,6} e^{156}+\rho_{2,5,6} e^{256}-\frac{7 \rho_{1,2,4}}{\sqrt{22}} e^{345} \\
& -\frac{\sqrt{\frac{2}{11}} b_{28} \rho_{1,2,4}}{a} e^{346}+\left(\frac{\sqrt{\frac{2}{11}} b_{28} \rho_{1,2,5}}{a}+2 \sqrt{\frac{2}{11}} \rho_{1,2,6}\right) e^{356}+\rho_{4,5,6} e^{456} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Take the metric induced by $\varphi$, namely $g_{\varphi}$, whose corresponding matrix in terms of the basis $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{7}\right\}$ is denoted by $G$. Then, the Einstein condition is equivalent to the vanishing of the matrix system

$$
S=G-k I_{7}
$$

where $k$ is a non-zero real number. Considering the equations corresponding to $S_{3,4}$ and $S_{3,3}$, we have that $S_{2,2} \neq 0$. Therefore, the family of Lie algebras satisfying condition 1) does not admit closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form inducing the Einstein metric.

For 2) we start considering the conditions

$$
a=\frac{2}{3} \sqrt{6} b_{23}, \quad b_{6}=b_{13}=b_{28}=0, \quad b_{14}=\frac{1}{2} b_{23},
$$

where $b_{23} \neq 0$ since $a \neq 0$.
We calculate the expression of a generic closed 3 -form $\varphi$. Then we use the condition $\alpha \wedge \beta \neq 0$ in [115, Proposition 4.5] for $X=e_{7}$. Studying separately the solutions of the equations corresponding to $S_{3,3}, S_{3,4}, S_{2,3}$ and $S_{1,3}$, can be checked that this Lie algebra does not carry any closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form inducing the Einstein metric.

If $\mathfrak{n}$ is the 4 -dimensional Abelian Lie algebra, to get the rank-three Einstein extension of $\mathfrak{n}$, namely $\mathfrak{s}$, we should consider the structure equations

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
d e^{1} & =a e^{15}+b_{1} e^{16}+b_{2} e^{17}  \tag{3.9}\\
d e^{2} & =a e^{25}+b_{3} e^{26}+b_{4} e^{27} \\
d e^{3} & =a e^{35}+b_{5} e^{36}+b_{6} e^{37} \\
d e^{4} & =a e^{45}+b_{7} e^{46}+b_{8} e^{47} \\
d e^{5} & =d e^{6}=d e^{7}=0
\end{align*}\right.
$$

The conditions of the inner product to be Einstein and $d^{2}\left(e^{i}\right)=0$ imply

$$
\begin{aligned}
& b_{7}=-b_{1}-b_{3}-b_{5}, \quad b_{8}=-b_{2}-b_{4}-b_{6}, \\
& 2 b_{1} b_{2}+2 b_{3} b_{4}+2 b_{5} b_{6}+b_{2} b_{3}+b_{2} b_{5}+b_{1} b_{4}+b_{4} b_{5}+b_{1} b_{6}+b_{3} b_{6}=0, \\
& 2 b_{1}^{2}+2 b_{3}^{2}+2 b_{5}^{2}+2 b_{1} b_{3}+2 b_{1} b_{5}+2 b_{3} b_{5}=4 a^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We take the expression of a generic closed 3 -form $\varphi$ and use [115, Proposition 4.5] with $X=e_{7}$. Then, we consider the equations $S_{1,2}, S_{1,3}, S_{1,4}$ and $S_{2,3}$ obtaining that

$$
\rho_{1,2,5} \rho_{1,3,5} \rho_{1,4,5}=0,
$$

where $\rho_{i, j, k}$ denotes the coefficient of $e^{i j k}$ in $\varphi$. But, from $S_{1,1}=0$ we obtain that $\rho_{1,2,5} \rho_{1,3,5} \rho_{1,4,5} \neq 0$. So the system $S=0$ does not have solution, that is, the rank-three Einstein solvable extension of the 4-dimensional Abelian Lie algebra does not admit any closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form inducing the Einstein metric.
Remark 3.3.6. Note that in the proof of Theorem 3.3.5, we study only the existence of closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ forms inducing Einstein metrics on the Einstein extensions of rank two and three, which is sufficient to prove Theorem 3.3.5. But, we do not care about closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ forms whose corresponding metric is not Einstein.

Remark 3.3.7. Note that for the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{28}$ with structure equations

$$
\mathfrak{g}_{28}=\left(e^{17}, e^{27}, e^{37}, e^{47}, 2 e^{13}-2 e^{24}+2 e^{57}, 2 e^{14}+2 e^{23}+2 e^{67}, 0\right)
$$

we are able to solve 48 of the 49 equations of the system $G=k I_{7}$. The 3-form $\varphi$ on $\mathfrak{g}_{28}$ given by

$$
\varphi=-2 e^{127}-2 e^{347}-e^{136}+e^{145}+e^{235}+e^{246}+2 e^{567}
$$

is a closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form, which induces the metric

$$
g_{\varphi}=2\left(e^{1} \otimes e^{1}+e^{2} \otimes e^{2}+e^{3} \otimes e^{3}+e^{4} \otimes e^{4}+e^{5} \otimes e^{5}+e^{6} \otimes e^{6}+4 e^{7} \otimes e^{7}\right)
$$

We finish this subsection with Table 3.1 and Table 3.2, which were used in the proof of Theorem 3.3.5. Table 3.1 contains the list due to Will [127] of the rank-one Einstein 7-dimensional solvable Lie algebras, each of them defined in terms of a basis $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{7}\right\}$ which is orthonormal with respect to the Einstein inner product. In Table 3.2 we consider those Lie algebras admitting closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form (but clearly not inducing the Einstein inner product) and such a $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form is also given.

Table 3.1: Rank-one Einstein 7-dimensional solvable Lie algebras.

| $\mathfrak{g}_{1}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{a}{2} e^{17}, a e^{27}, \sqrt{13} a e^{12}+\frac{3}{2} a e^{37}, 4 a e^{13}+2 a e^{47}, 2 \sqrt{3} e^{14}+2 a e^{23}+\frac{5}{2} a e^{57},\right. \\ & \left.-\sqrt{13} a e^{25}+2 \sqrt{3} a e^{34}+\frac{7}{2} a e^{67}, 0\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathfrak{g}_{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \left(-\frac{\sqrt{21}}{42} a e^{17},-\frac{\sqrt{21}}{14} a e^{27}, a e^{12}-2 \frac{\sqrt{21}}{21} a e^{37},-2 \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3} a e^{13}-5 \frac{\sqrt{21}}{42} a e^{47},\right. \\ & \left.a e^{14}-\frac{\sqrt{21}}{7} a e^{57}, a e^{34}-a e^{25}-3 \frac{\sqrt{21}}{14} a e^{67}, 0\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| $\mathfrak{g}_{3}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \left(-\frac{\sqrt{14}}{56} a e^{17},-9 \frac{\sqrt{14}}{56} a e^{27}, a e^{12}-5 \frac{\sqrt{14}}{28} a e^{37}, \frac{\sqrt{6}}{2} a e^{13}-11 \frac{\sqrt{14}}{56} a e^{47}, \frac{\sqrt{6}}{2} a e^{14}-3 \frac{\sqrt{14}}{14} a e^{57},\right. \\ & \left.a e^{15}-13 \frac{\sqrt{14}}{56} a e^{67}, 0\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| $\mathfrak{g}_{4}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \left(a e^{17}, 2 a e^{27}, 2 \sqrt{7} e^{12}+3 a e^{37}, \frac{6 \sqrt{154}}{11} a e^{13}+4 a e^{47}, 2 \sqrt{7} a e^{14}+2 \frac{\sqrt{1155}}{11} a e^{23}+5 a e^{57},\right. \\ & \left.2 \frac{\sqrt{1155}}{11} a e^{15}+5 \frac{\sqrt{154}}{11} a e^{24}+6 a e^{67}, 0\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| $\mathfrak{g}_{5}$ | $\left(a e^{17}, 3 a e^{27}, 2 \sqrt{14} a e^{12}+4 a e^{37}, 2 \sqrt{15} a e^{13}+5 a e^{47}, 6 \sqrt{2} a e^{14}+6 a e^{57}, 4 \sqrt{2} a e^{15}+2 \sqrt{15} a e^{23}+7 a e^{67}, 0\right)$ |
| $\mathfrak{g}_{6}$ | $\left(\frac{a}{2} e^{17}, a e^{27}, \sqrt{10} a e^{12}+\frac{3}{2} a e^{37}, \sqrt{10} a e^{13}+2 a e^{47}, \sqrt{10} a e^{23}+\frac{5}{2} a e^{57}, \sqrt{10} a e^{14}+\frac{5}{2} a e^{67}, 0\right)$ |
| $\mathfrak{g}_{7}$ | $\left(a e^{17}, a e^{27}, 4 a e^{12}+2 a e^{37}, 2 \sqrt{5} a e^{13}+3 a e^{47}, 2 \sqrt{5} a e^{23}+3 a e^{57}, 4 a e^{14}-4 a e^{24}+4 a e^{67}, 0\right)$ |
| $\mathfrak{g}_{8}$ | $\left(a e^{17}, a e^{27}, 4 a e^{12}+2 a e^{37}, 2 \sqrt{5} a e^{13}+3 a e^{47}, 2 \sqrt{5} a e^{23}+3 a e^{57}, 4 a e^{14}+4 a e^{24}+4 a e^{67}, 0\right)$ |
| $\mathfrak{g}_{9}$ | $\left(\frac{-3}{14} a e^{17}, \frac{-11}{28} a e^{27}, \frac{-3}{7} a e^{37}, \frac{\sqrt{5}}{2} a e^{12}-\frac{17}{28} a e^{47}, a e^{14}-a e^{23}+\frac{-23}{28} a e^{57}, a e^{34}+\frac{\sqrt{5}}{2} a e^{15}-\frac{29}{28} a e^{67}, 0\right)$ |
| $\mathfrak{g}_{10}$ | $\left(\frac{4}{9} a e^{17}, a e^{27}, \frac{4}{3} a e^{37}, \frac{2 \sqrt{114}}{9} a e^{12}+\frac{13}{9} a e^{47}, \frac{2}{9} \sqrt{190} a e^{14}+\frac{17}{9} a e^{57}, \frac{2 \sqrt{114}}{9} a e^{15}+\frac{2 \sqrt{114}}{9} a e^{23}+\frac{7}{3} a e^{67}, 0\right)$ |
| $\mathfrak{g}_{11}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{a}{3} e^{17}, \frac{2}{3} a e^{27}, \frac{10 \sqrt{7}}{21} a e^{12}+a e^{37}, \frac{4 \sqrt{42}}{21} a e^{12}+a e^{47}, \frac{4 \sqrt{105}}{21} a e^{13}+\frac{2 \sqrt{70}}{21} a e^{14}+\frac{4}{3} a e^{57},\right. \\ & \left.\frac{2 \sqrt{6}}{3} a e^{15}+\frac{2 \sqrt{7}}{3} a e^{24}+\frac{5}{3} a e^{67}, 0\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| $\mathfrak{g}_{12}$ | $\left(\frac{a}{2} e^{17}, a e^{27}, \frac{11}{6} a e^{37}, \frac{2 \sqrt{21}}{3} a e^{12}+\frac{3}{2} a e^{47}, \frac{2 \sqrt{21}}{3} a e^{14}+2 a e^{57}, \frac{2 \sqrt{14}}{3} a e^{15}+\frac{2 \sqrt{14}}{3} a e^{24}+\frac{5}{2} a e^{67}, 0\right)$ |
| $\mathfrak{g}_{13}$ | $\left(\frac{2}{9} a e^{17}, a e^{27}, \frac{4}{3} a e^{37}, \frac{2 \sqrt{93}}{9} a e^{12}+\frac{33}{27} a e^{47}, \frac{4 \sqrt{31}}{9} a e^{14}+\frac{39}{27} a e^{57}, \frac{2 \sqrt{93}}{9} a e^{15}+\frac{5}{3} a e^{67}, 0\right)$ |
| $\mathfrak{g}_{14}$ | $\left(\frac{a}{2} e^{17}, a e^{27}, \frac{3}{4} a e^{37}, \frac{\sqrt{21}}{2} a e^{12}+\frac{3}{2} a e^{47}, \frac{\sqrt{14}}{2} a e^{13}+\frac{5}{4} a e^{57}, \frac{\sqrt{14}}{2} a e^{14}+\frac{\sqrt{21}}{2} a e^{35}+2 a e^{67}, 0\right)$ |
| $\mathfrak{g}_{15}$ | $\left(a e^{17}, a e^{27}, a e^{37}, \sqrt{10} a e^{12}+2 a e^{47}, \sqrt{10} a e^{23}+2 a e^{57}, \sqrt{10} a e^{14}+\sqrt{10} a e^{35}+3 a e^{67}, 0\right)$ |
| $\mathfrak{g}_{16}$ | $\left(a e^{17}, a e^{27}, a e^{37}, \sqrt{10} a e^{12}+2 a e^{47}, \sqrt{10} a e^{23}+2 a e^{57}, \sqrt{10} a e^{14}-\sqrt{10} a e^{35}+3 a e^{67}, 0\right)$ |
| $\mathfrak{g}_{17}$ | $\left(a e^{17}, a e^{27}, \frac{12}{5} a e^{37}, \frac{4}{5} \sqrt{31} a e^{12}+2 a e^{47}, \frac{2}{5} \sqrt{93} a e^{14}+3 a e^{57}, \frac{2}{5} \sqrt{93} a e^{24}+3 a e^{67}, 0\right)$ |
| $\mathfrak{g}_{18}$ | $\left(a e^{17}, a e^{27}, 2 a e^{37}, 4 a e^{12}+2 a e^{47}, 2 a e^{13}-2 \sqrt{3} a e^{24}+3 a e^{57}, 2 \sqrt{3} a e^{14}+2 a e^{23}+3 a e^{67}, 0\right)$ |
| $\mathfrak{g}_{19}$ | $\left(5 a e^{17}, 6 a e^{27}, 12 a e^{37}, 2 \sqrt{134} a e^{12}+11 a e^{47}, \sqrt{402} a e^{14}+16 a e^{57}, \sqrt{134} a e^{13}-\sqrt{402} a e^{24}+17 a e^{67}, 0\right)$ |
| $\mathfrak{g}_{20}$ | $\left(a e^{17}, a e^{27}, 2 a e^{12}+2 a e^{37}, 2 \sqrt{3} a e^{12}+2 a e^{47}, 4 a e^{14}+3 a e^{57}, 2 a e^{24}+2 \sqrt{3} a e^{23}+3 a e^{67}, 0\right)$ |
| $\mathfrak{g}_{21}$ | $\left(3 a e^{17}, 5 a e^{27}, 6 a e^{37}, 2 \sqrt{42} a e^{12}+8 a e^{47}, 2 \sqrt{21} a e^{13}+9 a e^{57}, 2 \sqrt{42} a e^{14}+2 \sqrt{21} a e^{23}+11 a e^{67}, 0\right)$ |
| $\mathfrak{g}_{22}$ | $\left(6 a e^{17}, 5 a e^{27}, 9 a e^{37}, 2 \sqrt{93} a e^{12}+11 a e^{47}, 2 \sqrt{93} a e^{13}+15 a e^{57}, 4 \sqrt{31} a e^{24}+16 a e^{67}, 0\right)$ |
| $\mathfrak{g}_{23}$ | $\left(a e^{17}, \frac{5}{2} a e^{27}, 3 a e^{37}, \sqrt{37} a e^{12}+\frac{7}{2} a e^{47}, \frac{\sqrt{74}}{2} a e^{13}+4 a e^{57}, \sqrt{37} a e^{14}+\frac{9}{2} a e^{67}, 0\right)$ |
| $\mathfrak{g}_{24}$ | $\left(a e^{17}, a e^{27}, a e^{37}, \sqrt{6} a e^{12}+2 a e^{47}, \sqrt{6} a e^{13}+2 a e^{57}, \sqrt{6} a e^{23}+2 a e^{67}, 0\right)$ |
| $\mathfrak{g}_{25}$ | $\left(\frac{5 \sqrt{31}}{124} a e^{17}, \frac{2 \sqrt{31}}{31} a e^{27}, \frac{9 \sqrt{31}}{124} a e^{37}, \frac{9 \sqrt{31}}{124} a e^{47}, a e^{12}+\frac{13 \sqrt{31}}{124} a e^{57},-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} a e^{34}-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} a e^{15}+\frac{9 \sqrt{31}}{62} a e^{67}, 0\right)$ |
| $\mathfrak{g}_{26}$ | $\left(a e^{17}, 2 a e^{27}, 3 a e^{37}, 3 a e^{47}, 4 \sqrt{2} a e^{12}+3 a e^{57}, 4 \sqrt{2} a e^{15}+4 a e^{67}, 0\right)$ |
| $\mathfrak{g}_{27}$ | $\left(a e^{17}, \frac{3}{4} a e^{27}, \frac{7}{4} a e^{37}, \frac{3}{2} a e^{47}, \frac{\sqrt{148}}{4} a e^{12}+\frac{7}{4} a e^{57}, \frac{\sqrt{74}}{4} a e^{14}+\frac{\sqrt{37}}{2} a e^{25}+\frac{5}{2} a e^{67}, 0\right)$ |
| $\mathfrak{g}_{28}$ | $\left(a e^{17}, a e^{27}, a e^{37}, a e^{47}, 2 a e^{13}-2 a e^{24}+2 a e^{57}, 2 a e^{14}+2 a e^{23}+2 a e^{67}, 0\right)$ |
| $\mathfrak{g}_{29}$ | $\left(a e^{17}, a e^{27}, \frac{4}{3} a e^{37}, \frac{4}{3} a e^{47}, \sqrt{6} a e^{12}+2 a e^{57}, \sqrt{6} a e^{14}+\sqrt{6} a e^{23}+\frac{7}{3} a e^{67}, 0\right)$ |
| $\mathfrak{g}_{30}$ | $\left(\frac{a}{2} e^{17}, \frac{a}{2} e^{27}, \frac{a}{2} e^{37}, \frac{a}{2} e^{47}, \sqrt{2} a e^{12}+a e^{57}, \sqrt{2} a e^{34}+a e^{67}, 0\right)$ |
| $\mathfrak{g}_{31}$ | $\left(\frac{\sqrt{11}}{11} a e^{17}, \frac{3 \sqrt{11}}{22} a e^{27}, \frac{3 \sqrt{11}}{22} a e^{37}, \frac{2 \sqrt{11}}{11} a e^{47}, a e^{12}+\frac{5 \sqrt{11}}{22} a e^{57}, a e^{13}+\frac{5 \sqrt{11}}{22} a e^{67}, 0\right)$ |
| $\mathfrak{g}_{32}$ | $\left(\frac{a}{2} e^{17}, \frac{a}{2} e^{27}, \frac{a}{2} e^{37}, \frac{a}{2} e^{47}, \frac{2}{3} a e^{57}, \frac{\sqrt{11}}{3} a e^{12}+\frac{\sqrt{11}}{3} a e^{34}+a e^{67}, 0\right)$ |
| $\mathfrak{g}_{33}$ | $\left(\frac{a}{2} e^{17}, \frac{a}{2} e^{27}, \frac{3}{4} a e^{37}, \frac{3}{4} a e^{47}, \frac{3}{4} a e^{57}, \frac{\sqrt{34}}{4} a e^{12}+a e^{67}, 0\right)$ |

Table 3.2: Closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structures on rank-one Einstein solvable Lie algebras.

| $\mathfrak{s}_{7}$ | Closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structure |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathfrak{g}_{1}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \varphi=\frac{1}{132}(1440-128 \sqrt{3}) e^{123}+\frac{\sqrt{13}}{2} e^{125}-\frac{(13312 \sqrt{3}-748800)}{44928 \sqrt{3}} e^{127} \\ & +\frac{8}{9} e^{135}-2 e^{137}-\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} e^{146}-\sqrt{3} e^{147}+10 e^{157}-e^{167}-\frac{1}{3} e^{236}+e^{237} \\ & +\frac{1}{576}(1440+128 \sqrt{3}) e^{247}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} e^{267}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} e^{345}-e^{357}-e^{457}+e^{567} \end{aligned}$ |
| $\mathfrak{g}_{4}$ | $\begin{gathered} \varphi=-\frac{7}{2 \sqrt{5}} e^{125}+e^{137}-\frac{7}{13} e^{146}-e^{147}+\frac{1}{2} e^{167}+\frac{7}{13} e^{236}-e^{237} \\ +2 e^{247}-e^{267}+\frac{7}{13} e^{345}+\frac{1}{2} e^{357}-e^{457}-e^{567} \end{gathered}$ |
| $\mathfrak{g}_{9}$ | $\begin{gathered} \varphi=-\frac{7}{2 \sqrt{5}} e^{125}+e^{137}-\frac{7}{13} e^{146}-e^{147}+\frac{1}{2} e^{167}+\frac{7}{13} e^{236} \\ -e^{237}+2 e^{247}-e^{267}+\frac{7}{13} e^{345}+\frac{1}{2} e^{357}-e^{457}-e^{567} \end{gathered}$ |
| $\mathfrak{g}_{18}$ | $\begin{gathered} \varphi=e^{123}-e^{127}-e^{136}+\sqrt{3} e^{145} \\ +3 e^{167}+e^{235}+\sqrt{3} e^{246}-\frac{1}{2} e^{347}+3 e^{567} \end{gathered}$ |
| $\mathfrak{g}_{28}$ | $\varphi=-2 e^{127}-2 e^{347}-e^{136}+e^{145}+e^{235}+e^{246}+2 e^{567}$ |

### 3.3.2 Coclosed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structures

In this section we study the existence of coclosed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structures $\varphi$ on 7-dimensional solvable Lie algebras whose underlying Riemannian metric $g_{\varphi}$ is Einstein. We will use the classification of the 7-dimensional Einstein solvable Lie algebras contained in the previous section as well as the following results.
Lemma 3.3.8. Let $(\mathfrak{g},\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle)$ be a 7 -dimensional metric Lie algebra. If there is a non-zero vector $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ such that $\left(\iota_{X} \phi\right)^{3}=0$ for every coclosed 3-form $\phi$ on $(\mathfrak{g},\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle)$, then $(\mathfrak{g},\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle)$ has no coclosed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structure.
Proof. Suppose that $(\mathfrak{g},\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle)$ has a coclosed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form $\varphi$ inducing the inner product $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ on $\mathfrak{g}$. Then, according with [38], for any $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, we know that $\iota_{X} \varphi$ is the two-form of an $\mathrm{SU}(3)$-structure and therefore it is non-degenerate or, equivalently, $\left(\iota_{X} \varphi\right)^{3} \neq 0$. In view of this contradiction we conclude that $(\mathfrak{g},\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle)$ does not admit coclosed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ forms.

Lemma 3.3.9. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a 7-dimensional solvable Lie algebra with a coclosed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form $\varphi$ inducing the inner product $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ on $\mathfrak{g}$. Denote by $*$ the Hodge star operator on $(\mathfrak{g},\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle)$. Then, there exists a real number $\lambda$ such that

$$
\varphi \wedge \tau=0 \quad \text { and } \quad * \varphi \wedge \tau=0
$$

where $\tau$ is the 4 -form on $\mathfrak{g}$ given by

$$
\tau=-*(d \varphi-\lambda * \varphi)
$$

Proof. Suppose that $\varphi$ is a coclosed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form on $\mathfrak{g}$. By Proposition 1.1.13, we know that $d \varphi$ and $d * \varphi$ are expressed in terms of the torsion forms as

$$
\begin{aligned}
d \varphi & =\tau_{0} * \varphi+3 \tau_{1} \wedge \varphi+* \tau_{3}, \\
d * \varphi & =4 \tau_{1} \wedge * \varphi+\tau_{2} \wedge \varphi,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\tau_{0} \in \Lambda^{0} \mathfrak{g}^{*}=\mathbb{R}, \tau_{1} \in \Lambda^{1} \mathfrak{g}^{*}=\mathfrak{g}^{*}, \tau_{2} \in \Lambda_{14}^{2} \mathfrak{g}^{*}$ and $\tau_{3} \in \Lambda_{27}^{3} \mathfrak{g}^{*}$. The coclosed condition $(d * \varphi=0)$ implies that $\tau_{1}=\tau_{2}=0$. Thus,

$$
d \varphi=\tau_{0} * \varphi+* \tau_{3} .
$$

This implies that

$$
\tau_{3}=-*\left(d \varphi-\tau_{0} * \varphi\right)
$$

But, by (1.12), we know that

$$
\Lambda_{27}^{3} \mathfrak{g}^{*}=\left\{\rho \in \Lambda^{3} \mathfrak{g}^{*} \mid \rho \wedge \varphi=0=\rho \wedge * \varphi\right\} .
$$

As $\tau_{3}$ belongs to the space $\Lambda_{27}^{3} \mathfrak{g}^{*}$, the conditions

$$
\varphi \wedge \tau_{3}=0 \quad \text { and } \quad * \varphi \wedge \tau_{3}=0
$$

must be fulfilled. Thus, it is sufficient to take $\lambda=\tau_{0}$ and $\tau=\tau_{3}$.
We recall that a 5 -dimensional manifold $N$ has an $\mathrm{SU}(2)$-structure if there exists a quadruplet of differential forms $\left(\eta, \omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \omega_{3}\right)$, where $\eta$ is a 1 -form and $\omega_{i}$ are 2-forms on $N$, satisfying $\omega_{i} \wedge \omega_{j}=\delta_{i j} v, v \wedge \eta \neq 0$, for some nowhere vanishing 4 -form $v$, and

$$
\iota_{X} \omega_{3}=\iota_{Y} \omega_{1} \Longrightarrow \omega_{2}(X, Y) \geq 0
$$

for any vector fields $X$ and $Y$ on $N$. Such structures were introduced by Conti and Salamon in [39].
Proposition 3.3.10. Let $(\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{n} \oplus \mathfrak{a},\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle)$ be a 7-dimensional rank-two Einstein Lie algebra, and let $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{7}\right\}$ be an orthonormal basis of $(\mathfrak{g},\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle)$ such that $\mathfrak{a}=\mathbb{R}\left\langle e_{6}, e_{7}\right\rangle$. Then, any $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structure $\varphi$ on $\mathfrak{g}$, with $g_{\varphi}=\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$, induces an $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ structure on $\mathfrak{n}$ such that the associated metric $h$ is the restriction of $g_{\varphi}$ to $\mathfrak{n}$.

Proof. By [115, Proposition 4.5] we know that the 2 -form $F=\iota_{e_{7}} \varphi$ and the 3form $\rho$ given by $\rho=\varphi-F \wedge e^{7}$ determine an $\mathrm{SU}(3)$-structure on $\mathbb{R}\left\langle e_{1}, \ldots, e_{6}\right\rangle$ whose associated metric is the restriction of $g_{\varphi}$ to $\mathbb{R}\left\langle e_{1}, \ldots, e_{6}\right\rangle$. Now we can write $F=f^{12}+f^{34}+f^{5} \wedge e^{6}$ and $\rho+\imath \bar{\rho}=\left(f^{1}+i f^{2}\right) \wedge\left(f^{3}+i f^{4}\right) \wedge\left(f^{5}+i e^{6}\right)$, where $f_{i} \in \mathbb{R}\left\langle e_{1}, \ldots, e_{5}\right\rangle$ and $\left\{f_{1}, \ldots, f_{5}, e_{6}\right\}$ is orthonormal. Then by [39, Proposition 1.4] the forms

$$
\eta=f^{5}, \quad \omega_{1}=f^{12}+f^{34}, \quad \omega_{2}=f^{13}+f^{42}, \quad \omega_{3}=f^{14}+f^{23}
$$

define an $\mathrm{SU}(2)$-structure on $\mathfrak{n}$. The basis $\left\{f_{1}, \ldots, f_{5}\right\}$ is orthonormal with respect to the metric $h$ induced by the $\mathrm{SU}(2)$-structure. So, $h$ coincides with the restriction of $g_{\varphi}$ to $\mathfrak{n}$.

Corollary 3.3.11. Let $(\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{n} \oplus \mathfrak{a},\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle)$ be a 7-dimensional rank-two Einstein Lie algebra, and let $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{7}\right\}$ be an orthonormal basis such that $\mathfrak{a}=\mathbb{R}\left\langle e_{6}, e_{7}\right\rangle$. If for any coclosed 3-forme one of the two following conditions

- $\left(\omega_{i}^{2}-\omega_{j}^{2}\right) \wedge \eta \neq 0$ for some $i \neq j$,
- $\omega_{i} \wedge \eta \neq *_{h} \omega_{i}$ for some $i$
is satisfied, where $\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \omega_{3}, \eta\right)$ is the $\mathrm{SU}(2)$-structure as in Proposition 3.3.10. and $h$ its corresponding metric, then, $(\mathfrak{g},\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle)$ does not admit any coclosed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ structure $\varphi$ such that $g_{\varphi}=\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$.

Proof. By Proposition 3.3.10, a $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structure $\varphi$ on $(\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{n} \oplus \mathfrak{a},\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle)$, such that $g_{\varphi}=\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ and $\mathfrak{a}=\mathbb{R}\left\langle e_{6}, e_{7}\right\rangle$, induces an $\operatorname{SU}(2)$-structure $\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \omega_{3}, \eta\right)$ on $\mathfrak{n}$. By definition of $\operatorname{SU}(2)$-structure, the forms ( $\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \omega_{3}, \eta$ ) have to satisfy the conditions $\left(\omega_{i}^{2}-\omega_{j}^{2}\right) \wedge \eta=0$ for all $i, j$, and $\omega_{i} \wedge \eta=*_{h} \omega_{i}$ for all $i=1,2,3$.

We already know that 7-dimensional Einstein solvable Lie algebras cannot admit nearly-parallel $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structures since the scalar curvature of such a structure has to be positive. Next, we show that in general those metric Lie algebras do not admit coclosed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form whose induced metric is Einstein.

Theorem 3.3.12. A 7-dimensional solvable Lie group cannot admit any left invariant coclosed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structure $\varphi$ such that its induced metric $g_{\varphi}$ is Einstein, unless $g_{\varphi}$ is flat.

Proof. According with Theorem 3.1.4, an Einstein solvable Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{s},\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle)$ is necessarily standard, so one has the orthogonal decomposition (with respect to $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle)$

$$
\mathfrak{s}=\mathfrak{n} \oplus \mathfrak{a},
$$

where $\mathfrak{n}=[\mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{s}]$ is a nilpotent Lie algebra and $\mathfrak{a}$ Abelian. We will consider separately the different possibilities according to the rank of $\mathfrak{s}$, that is, the dimension of $\mathfrak{a}$.

## Rank one

If $\mathfrak{n}$ is Abelian, then by [80, Proposition 6.12], we know that $\mathfrak{s}$ has the structure equations

$$
\mathfrak{s}=\left(a e^{17}, a e^{27}, a e^{37}, a e^{47}, a e^{57}, a e^{67}, 0\right)
$$

where $a$ is a non-zero real number. A generic coclosed 3 -form $\varphi$ on $(\mathfrak{s},\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle)$ has the following expression

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi= & \rho_{1,2,3} e^{123}+\rho_{1,2,4} e^{124}+\rho_{1,2,5} e^{125}+\rho_{1,2,6} e^{126}+\rho_{1,3,4} e^{134}+\rho_{1,3,5} e^{135}+\rho_{1,3,6} e^{136} \\
& +\rho_{1,4,5} e^{145}+\rho_{1,4,6} e^{146}+\rho_{1,5,6} e^{156}+\rho_{2,3,4} e^{234}+\rho_{2,3,5} e^{235}+\rho_{2,3,6} e^{236}+\rho_{2,4,5} e^{245} \\
& +\rho_{2,4,6} e^{246}+\rho_{2,5,6} e^{256}+\rho_{3,4,5} e^{345}+\rho_{3,4,6} e^{346}+\rho_{3,5,6} e^{356}+\rho_{4,5,6} e^{456} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We apply the aforementioned result [115, Proposition 4.5]. For example, for $X=$ $e_{1}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha=\iota_{e_{1}} \varphi= & \rho_{1,2,3} e^{23}+\rho_{1,2,4} e^{24}+\rho_{1,2,5} e^{25}+\rho_{1,2,6} e^{26}+\rho_{1,3,4} e^{34}+\rho_{1,3,5} e^{35}+\rho_{1,3,6} e^{36} \\
& +\rho_{1,4,5} e^{45}+\rho_{1,4,6} e^{46}+\rho_{1,5,6} e^{56}
\end{aligned}
$$

and therefore,

$$
\alpha \wedge \alpha \wedge \alpha=0
$$

If $\mathfrak{n}$ is (non-Abelian) nilpotent, then $(\mathfrak{s},\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle)$ is isometric to one of the solvable Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}_{i}(i=1, \ldots, 33)$ in Table 3.1, endowed with the Riemannian metric such that the basis $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{7}\right\}$ is orthonormal. To study the Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}_{3}, \mathfrak{g}_{13}$, $\mathfrak{g}_{23}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{j}(25 \leq j \leq 33)$, we apply Lemma 3.3 .8 with $X=e_{7}$, and we see in this way that they do not admit any coclosed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structure $\varphi$ such that $g_{\varphi}=\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$.

For the Lie algebras

$$
\begin{array}{llllll}
\mathfrak{g}_{1}, & \mathfrak{g}_{2}, & \mathfrak{g}_{4}, & \mathfrak{g}_{5}, & \mathfrak{g}_{6}, & \mathfrak{g}_{20},
\end{array}
$$

we write, for every of these algebras, the expression of a generic coclosed 3 -form $\varphi$, and then we determine the torsion forms $\tau_{0}$ and $\tau_{3}$. In all the cases, we obtain that $\tau_{3} \wedge \varphi \neq 0$ unless $\varphi$ vanishes. So applying Lemma 3.3.9 we have that these Lie algebras do not admit a coclosed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structure.

For the Lie algebras

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{g}_{j}, \quad 7 \leq j \leq 24, \quad j \neq 13,20,23, \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

we first determine a generic coclosed 3 -form $\varphi$ and then, by applying [115, Proposition 4.5] with $\eta=e^{1}, \ldots, e^{7}$, we impose the conditions (3.6) for the corresponding forms $\alpha$ and $\beta$, which are defined as in (3.5). Moreover, we have that the coclosed 3 -form $\varphi$ defines a $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structure if and only if the matrix associated to the symmetric bilinear form $g_{\varphi}$, with respect to the orthonormal basis $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{7}\right\}$, is positive definite. Since the Einstein metric is unique up to scaling, a coclosed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structure induces an Einstein metric if and only if the matrix associated to the symmetric bilinear form $g_{\varphi}$, with respect to the basis $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{7}\right\}$, is a multiple of the identity matrix. By a direct computation we have thus that the Lie algebras (3.10) cannot admit any coclosed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structure inducing an Einstein metric.

## Rank two

For the 7-dimensional rank-two Einstein solvable Lie algebras, using the same notation as for the closed case (Theorem 3.3.5), we obtain the result for $\mathfrak{k}_{1}, \mathfrak{k}_{3}, \mathfrak{k}_{5}, \mathfrak{k}_{6,1}$ and $\mathfrak{k}_{6,2}$ by the first condition of Corollary 3.3.11. For the other Lie algebras, that is, $\mathfrak{k}_{4}, \mathfrak{k}_{7,1}, \mathfrak{k}_{7,2}, \mathfrak{k}_{7,3}, \mathfrak{k}_{7,4}, \mathfrak{k}_{8}$, as well as for the extension of the Abelian one, the result is obtained using the second condition of Corollary 3.3.11.

## Rank three

As we did in the subsection concerning closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structures, we have to consider the rank-three solvable Einstein extensions of the two (non-Abelian) nilpotent 4dimensional Lie algebras, as well as of the Abelian one.

From (3.7), we know that the 4-dimensional nilpotent Lie algebra with structure equations

$$
\mathfrak{n}=\left(0,0, e^{12}, e^{13}\right)
$$

does not admit a rank-three Einstein solvable extension.
For the nilpotent Lie algebra described by

$$
\mathfrak{n}=\mathfrak{h}_{3} \oplus \mathbb{R}=\left(0,0, e^{12}, 0\right),
$$

the rank-three Einstein solvable extension is given by (3.8). Then, we can take the expression of a 3 -form $\varphi$ which is coclosed. The, we calculate the metric $g_{\varphi}$ induced by $\varphi$, and consider the matrix system

$$
S=G-k I_{7},
$$

where $G$ is the matrix corresponding to $g_{\varphi}$ with respect to $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{7}\right\}$, and $k$ a non-zero real number. Thus, if we try to solve the system $S=0$, which is equivalent to the inner product to be Einstein, we obtain that $S_{6,6}=k$. Therefore, the system $S=G-k I_{7}$ does not have solution, and so the rank-three Einstein extension of $\mathfrak{h}_{3} \oplus \mathbb{R}$ does not admit coclosed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structure inducing the Einstein metric of (3.8).

Finally we consider the rank-three Einstein extension of the 4-dimensional Abelian Lie algebra. Its corresponding structure equations are described in (3.9). As before, we take the general expression of a coclosed 3 -form $\varphi$ and we calculate its induced metric. Imposing that the matrix system $S$ (defined as before) must vanish, we obtain again that $S_{6,6}=k$. Therefore, the system $S=0$ does not have solution or, equivalently, the rank-three Einstein extension of the 4-dimensional Abelian Lie algebra does not admit coclosed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structure inducing the Einstein metric of (3.9).

### 3.4 An Einstein cocalibrated $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ manifold from warped products

In this section, using warped products, we show an explicit example of a (nonnearly parallel) coclosed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form inducing an Einstein metric on a non-compact manifold. We point out that, according with Chapter 1 (see (1.11)), the Riemannian product of a half-flat manifold by $\mathbb{R}$ (or by $S^{1}$ in the compact case) has a coclosed $G_{2}$ form but the induced metric is not Einstein. Moreover, Agricola and Friedrich in [1] prove that any 7-dimensional 3-Sasakian manifold $\left(M, \phi_{i}, \xi_{i}, \eta_{i}, g ; i=1,2,3\right)$ has a canonical coclosed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form $\varphi$ such that the induced metric $g_{\varphi}$ is $g_{\varphi}=g$ and so is Einstein. But we will see that the metric of our example is not 3 -Sasakian.

Let $\left(B, g_{B}\right)$ and $\left(F, g_{F}\right)$ be two Riemannian manifolds, and let $f>0$ be a real differentiable function on $B$. We denote by $\pi$ and $\sigma$ the projections of $B \times F$ onto $B$ and $F$, respectively. The warped product, namely $M=B \times{ }_{f} F$, is the product manifold $M=B \times F$ endowed with the metric $g$ given by

$$
g=\pi^{*}\left(g_{B}\right)+f^{2} \sigma^{*}\left(g_{F}\right) .
$$

The manifold $B$ is called the base of $M, F$ the fibre, and the warped product is called trivial if $f$ is a constant function. We denote by Ric $^{B}$ the lift (pullback by $\pi$ ) of the Ricci curvature of $B$, similarly for $\operatorname{Ric}^{F}$; and let $\operatorname{Hess}(f)$ be the lift to $M$ of the Hessian of $f$. By [109, p. 211] the warped product $(M, g)$, where $M=B \times{ }_{f} F$, is Einstein with Ric $=\lambda g$ if and only if $\left(F, g_{F}\right)$ is Einstein $\left(\operatorname{Ric}^{F}=\mu g_{F}\right)$, with Einstein constant $\mu$, and the following conditions are satisfied

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lambda g_{B}=\operatorname{Ric}^{B}-\frac{d}{f} \operatorname{Hess}(f), \\
& \lambda=\frac{\mu}{f^{2}}-\frac{\Delta f}{f}-(d-1)\left|\frac{\nabla f}{f}\right|_{g_{B}}^{2}, \tag{3.11}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Delta f=\operatorname{tr}(\operatorname{Hess}(f)), \nabla f$ denotes the gradient of $f$ and $d=\operatorname{dim}(F)$.
Moreover, when the base space has dimension $1(\operatorname{dim}(B)=1)$, then equations (3.11) reduce to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\frac{\lambda}{d} f^{2}=\frac{\mu}{d-1} . \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The behavior of the solutions of (3.12) depends on the signs of $\lambda$ and $\mu$. Nevertheless, up to homotheties, those solutions (besides the constant case) are given in the following table (Table 3.3) (see also [16]).

Table 3.3: Solutions of the system (3.12)

| $\mu$ | $-(d-1)$ | 0 | $d-1$ | $d-1$ | $d-1$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\lambda$ | $-d$ | $-d$ | $-d$ | 0 | $d$ |
| $f$ | $\cosh t$ | $e^{t}$ | $\sinh t$ | $t$ | $\sin t$ |

From this table follows the next result.
Theorem 3.4.1 (Theorem 9.110, [16). Let ( $M, g$ ) be a warped product, where $M$ $=B \times_{f} F, \operatorname{dim}(B)=1$ and $\operatorname{dim}(F)=d>1$. If $(M, g)$ is a complete Einstein manifold, then either $M$ is a Ricci-flat Riemannian product, or $B=\mathbb{R}, F$ is Einsten with non-positive scalar curvature and $M$ has negative scalar curvature.

Now, let $M=I \times_{f} N$ be a warped product, where $I$ is an open interval, $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a differentiable function, $f>0$, and $\left(N, g_{N}\right)$ is a 6-dimensional Riemannian manifold. Then, by [16], the metric $g$ on $M$ given by

$$
g=d t^{2}+f^{2} g_{N}
$$

is Einstein if and only if $g_{N}$ is Einstein and $\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\rho_{M} f^{2}=\rho_{N}$, where

$$
\rho_{M}=\frac{\operatorname{scal}(g)}{42}, \quad \rho_{N}=\frac{\operatorname{scal}\left(g_{N}\right)}{30}
$$

or equivalently,

$$
\rho_{M}=\frac{\lambda}{6}, \quad \rho_{N}=\frac{\mu}{5}
$$

where, as we mentioned before, $\lambda$ and $\mu$ are the Einstein constant of $(M, g)$ and ( $N, g_{N}$ ), respectively.

From now on, we suppose that the 6 -dimensional manifold $N$ has an $\mathrm{SU}(3)$ structure $\left(\omega, \psi_{+}, \psi_{-}\right)$which belongs to a one-parameter family of $\mathrm{SU}(3)$-structures $\left(\omega(t), \psi_{+}(t), \psi_{-}(t)\right)$ such that

$$
\omega(t)=f_{1}(t) \omega, \quad \psi_{+}(t)=f_{2}(t) \psi_{+}, \quad \psi_{-}(t)=f_{3}(t) \psi_{-},
$$

where the functions $f_{i}=f_{i}(t)(i=1,2,3)$ are real differentiable functions on an open interval $I$. We will show conditions for $\left(\omega, \psi_{+}, \psi_{-}\right)$and for the functions $f_{i}=f_{i}(t)$ under which the 3-form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi=\omega(t) \wedge d t+\psi_{+}(t) \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

is either a closed or a coclosed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form on the warped product $I \times_{f} N$ inducing an Einstein metric $g_{\varphi}=f^{2} g_{N}+d t^{2}$, for some real differentiable function $f$ on $I$,
and where $g_{N}$ is the Riemannian metric on $N$ determined by $\left(\omega, \psi_{+}, \psi_{-}\right)$. We obtain some results taking certain functions $f=f(t)$ and particular types of $\mathrm{SU}(3)$-structures $\left(\omega, \psi_{+}, \psi_{-}\right)$on $N$.

First, we consider a family of $\operatorname{SU}(3)$-structures $\left(\omega(t), \psi_{+}(t), \psi_{-}(t)\right)$ on $N$, for $t$ in some open interval $I$, such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega(t) & =f^{2}(t) \omega, \\
\psi_{+}(t) & =f^{3}(t) \psi_{+}, \\
\psi_{-}(t) & =f^{3}(t) \psi_{-},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $f=f(t)>0$ is a real differentiable function on $I$. By (1.9) we know that, for any $t \in I$, the 3 -form $\varphi(t)$ on $N \times \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(t)=\omega(t) \wedge d t+\psi_{+}(t) \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form inducing the metric

$$
g_{\varphi(t)}=d t^{2}+g_{N}(t),
$$

where $g_{N}(t)$ denotes the Riemannian metric on $N$ determined by the $\mathrm{SU}(3)$ structure $\left(\omega(t), \psi_{+}(t), \psi_{-}(t)\right)$. Thus, the metric $g_{\varphi(t)}$ is the warped product metric

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{\varphi(t)}=d t^{2}+f^{2}(t) g_{N} \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

on $I \times{ }_{f} N$ if and only if

$$
g_{N}(t)=f^{2}(t) g_{N}
$$

In these conditions, the forms $\varphi(t)$ and $*_{\varphi(t)} \varphi(t)$ can be expressed, in terms of the function $f$ and the $\mathrm{SU}(3)$-structure $\left(\omega, \psi_{+}, \psi_{-}\right)$on $N$, as follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi(t) & =f^{2}(t) \omega \wedge d t+f^{3}(t) \psi_{+}, \\
*_{\varphi(t)} \varphi(t) & =\frac{1}{2} f^{4}(t) \omega \wedge \omega+f^{3}(t) \psi_{-} \wedge d t .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{align*}
d \varphi(t) & =f^{2}(t) \widehat{d \omega} \wedge d t-3 f^{2}(t) f^{\prime}(t) \psi_{+} \wedge d t+f^{3}(t) \widehat{d} \psi_{+},  \tag{3.16}\\
d\left(*_{\varphi(t)} \varphi(t)\right) & =f^{4}(t) \widehat{d \omega} \wedge \omega+2 f^{3}(t) f^{\prime}(t) \omega \wedge \omega \wedge d t+f^{3}(t) \widehat{d} \psi_{-} \wedge d t
\end{align*}
$$

where, as it is usual in this context, $d$ denotes the differential on $M=I \times N$, and $\widehat{d}$ denotes the differential on $N$.

Taking into account the first equation of (3.16), the closed condition for $\varphi(t)(d \varphi(t)=0)$, is equivalent to the following conditions on the $\mathrm{SU}(3)$-structure $\left(\omega, \psi_{+}, \psi_{-}\right)$and on the function $f=f(t)$

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
\widehat{d} \psi_{+} & =0  \tag{3.17}\\
\widehat{d} \omega & =3 f^{\prime}(t) \psi_{+}
\end{align*}\right.
$$

since $f(t)>0$, for all $t \in I$. To study this system we use that the scalar curvature of the underlying metric $g_{\varphi}$ of a closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structure $\varphi$ is non-positive. Then, from Table 3.3, we see that the function $f(t)=\sin t$ can be discarded in order to have an Einstein warped product $M=I \times{ }_{f} N$. Concretely, from Table 3.3, can be checked that the Einstein constants $\mu$ and $\lambda$ of $\left(N, g_{N}\right)$ and $(M, g)$, respectively, are such that $\lambda$ and $\mu$ are $>0$. Thus, $(M, g)$ has positive scalar curvature and therefore the metric $g$ cannot be induced by a closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structure. Considering the function $f(t)=\cosh (t)$ the previous system (3.17) has not solution. This can be checked by taking into account the fact that $\omega$ and $\psi_{+}$do not depend on the parameter $t$. Thus, for $f(t)=\cosh (t)$, the second equation of (3.17) does not admit solution. The same happens if $f(t)=e^{t}$ or if $f(t)=\sinh (t)$. However, a solution of (3.17) is obtained if $f(t)=t\left(t \in \mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$. In this case, we get the following well known result of Bryant and Salamon [23, 26] on the cone metric over a 6 -dimensional nearly Kähler manifold.

Proposition 3.4.2 [23, 26]. The cone metric over a Riemannian 6-manifold $N$ has holonomy contained in $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ if and only if $N$ is a nearly Kähler manifold.

Proof. Suppose that $\left(\omega, \psi_{+}, \psi_{-}\right)$is a nearly Kähler structure on $N$, that is, the forms $\omega, \psi_{+}$and $\psi_{-}$satisfy $d \omega=3 \psi_{+}$and $d \psi_{-}=-2 \omega^{2}$. Clearly, $\left(\omega, \psi_{+}, \psi_{-}\right)$ belongs to the family of $\mathrm{SU}(3)$-structures $\left(\omega(t), \psi_{+}(t), \psi_{-}(t)\right)$ on $N$ such that

$$
\omega(t)=t^{2} \omega, \quad \psi_{+}(t)=t^{3} \psi_{+}, \quad \psi_{-}(t)=t^{3} \psi_{-}
$$

and this family satisfies the system (3.17) for $f(t)=t$, where $t \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$. Therefore, according with (3.13), the 3 -form $\varphi$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi=t^{2} \omega \wedge d t+t^{3} \psi_{+} \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form on $M=\mathbb{R}^{+} \times N$. Using that $f(t)=t$ and (3.15), we have that the induced metric $g_{\varphi}$ is the cone metric of $g_{N}$. So $g_{\varphi}=d t^{2}+t^{2} g_{N}$ is Ricci-flat since $\left(N, \omega, \psi_{+}, \psi_{-}\right)$is a nearly Kähler manifold and so $g_{N}$ is Einstein and with Einstein constant 5. Now, by (3.3), both properties ( $\varphi$ closed and $g_{\varphi}$ Ricci-flat) imply that the 3 -form $\varphi$ is also coclosed and so parallel with respect to the LeviCivita connection of the cone metric of $g_{N}$.

Conversely, suppose that $\left(\omega, \psi_{+}, \psi_{-}\right)$is the $\mathrm{SU}(3)$-structure on $N$ inducing the metric $g_{N}$ such that the cone metric $g_{\varphi}=d t^{2}+t^{2} g_{N}$ has holonomy contained in $\mathrm{G}_{2}$. This means that the $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form $\varphi$ defined as in (3.18) is closed and coclosed. But the closedness of $\varphi$ and $*_{\varphi} \varphi=-t^{3} d t \wedge \psi_{-}+\frac{1}{2} t^{4} \omega^{2}$ imply that $d \omega=3 \psi_{+}$ and $d \psi_{-}=-2 \omega^{2}$, respectively. Thus, $\left(\omega, \psi_{+}, \psi_{-}\right)$is a nearly Kähler structure on $N$.

From the second equation of (3.16), and using that $f(t) \neq 0$ since $f(t)>0$, the coclosed condition for $\varphi(t)\left(d *_{\varphi(t)} \varphi(t)=0\right)$ is equivalent to the following system of conditions involving the $\mathrm{SU}(3)$-structure and the function $f(t)$

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
\widehat{d} \omega \wedge \omega & =0  \tag{3.19}\\
\widehat{d} \psi_{-} & =-2 f^{\prime}(t) \omega \wedge \omega
\end{align*}\right.
$$

Regarding the possible functions $f(t)$, from Table 3.3 we obtain that the previous system only admits solution if $f(t)=t$ and $\widehat{d} \psi_{-}=-2 \omega \wedge \omega$. Recall that, as we mentioned in Chapter 1, an SU(3)-structure $\left(\omega, \psi_{+}, \psi_{-}\right)$satisfying $\widehat{d} \psi_{-}=-2 \omega \wedge \omega$ is called nearly half-flat.

Proposition 3.4.3. Let $N$ be a differentiable manifold, of dimension 6, endowed with a nearly half-flat $\mathrm{SU}(3)$-structure $\left(\omega, \psi_{+}, \psi_{-}\right)\left(\widehat{d} \psi_{-}=-2 \omega^{2}\right)$ whose underlying metric $g_{N}$ is Einstein and with Einstein constant 5. Then, the 3-form $\varphi$ on $M=$ $\mathbb{R}^{+} \times N$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi=t^{2} \omega \wedge d t+t^{3} \psi_{+} \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a coclosed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form on $M=\mathbb{R}^{+} \times N$ inducing the Ricci-flat metric given by the cone metric of $g_{N}$, that is,

$$
g_{\varphi}=d t^{2}+t^{2} g_{N} .
$$

Proof. From (3.13) and (3.19), the $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structure on $M=\mathbb{R}^{+} \times N$ determined by the 3 -form given by (3.20) is coclosed. Using (3.15), we have that the induced metric $g_{\varphi}$ is the cone metric of $g_{N}$ and so $g_{\varphi}$ is Ricci-flat since $g_{N}$ is Einstein and with Einstein constant 5 .

Remark 3.4.4. Note that in general a coclosed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form inducing a Ricci-flat metric is not closed, and so (in opposite with closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ forms inducing Ricci-flat metric) it is not parallel with respect to the Levi-Civita connection of the metric. However, as far as we know, examples of non-parallel coclosed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ forms defining a Ricci-flat metric are not known.

Now we consider the family of $\operatorname{SU}(3)$-structures $\left(\omega(t), \psi_{+}(t), \psi_{-}(t)\right)$ on $N$, for $t$ in some open interval $I$, such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega(t) & =f^{2}(t) \omega \\
\psi_{+}(t) & =f^{3}(t)\left(\cos t \psi_{+}-\sin t \psi_{-}\right) \\
\psi_{-}(t) & =f^{3}(t)\left(\sin t \psi_{+}+\cos t \psi_{-}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $f=f(t)>0$ is a real differentiable function on $I$. From (3.14), for any $t \in I$, we have the forms $\varphi(t)$ and ${ }_{\varphi} \varphi(t)$ on $N \times \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\varphi(t) & =f^{2}(t) \omega \wedge d t+f^{3}(t)\left(\cos t \psi_{+}-\sin t \psi_{-}\right), \\
*_{\varphi(t)} \varphi(t) & =\frac{1}{2} f^{4}(t) \omega \wedge \omega+f^{3}(t)\left(\sin t \psi_{+}+\cos t \psi_{-}\right) \wedge d t . \tag{3.21}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{align*}
d \varphi(t)= & f^{2}(t) \widehat{d \omega} \wedge d t-3 f^{2}(t) f^{\prime}(t)\left(\cos t \psi_{+} \wedge d t-\sin t \psi_{-} \wedge d t\right) \\
& +f^{3}(t) \sin t \psi_{+} \wedge d t+f^{3}(t) \cos t \psi_{-} \wedge d t \\
& +f^{3}(t) \cos t \widehat{d} \psi_{+}-f^{3}(t) \sin t \widehat{d} \psi_{-}  \tag{3.22}\\
d\left(*_{\varphi} \varphi(t)\right)= & f^{4}(t) \widehat{d \omega} \wedge \omega+2 f^{3}(t) f^{\prime}(t) \omega \wedge \omega \wedge d t \\
& +f^{3}(t) \cos t \widehat{d} \psi_{-} \wedge d t+f^{3}(t) \sin t \widehat{d} \psi_{+} \wedge d t
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, according with the first equation of (3.22), the closed condition for $\varphi(t)$ is equivalent to the system

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
\widehat{d} \psi_{+}= & 0 \\
\widehat{d} \psi_{-}= & 0 \\
\widehat{d} \omega= & \left(3 f^{\prime}(t) \cos t-f(t) \sin t\right) \psi_{+} \\
& -\left(3 f^{\prime}(t) \sin t+f(t) \cos t\right) \psi_{-}
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

Now, Proposition 1.1.6 and the conditions $\widehat{d} \psi_{+}=0=\widehat{d} \psi_{-}$imply that:

$$
\widehat{d \omega}=\nu_{1} \wedge \omega+\nu_{3}
$$

with $\nu_{1} \in \Omega^{1}\left(N^{6}\right)$ and $\nu_{3} \in \Omega_{12}^{3}\left(N^{6}\right)$. Therefore the previous system does not admit any solution.

Taking into account the second equation of (3.22), the coclosed condition of $\varphi(t)$ is equivalent to the following system

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
& \widehat{d} \omega \wedge \omega=0 \\
& 2 f^{\prime}(t) \omega \wedge \omega \\
&=-\sin t \widehat{d} \psi_{+}-\cos t \widehat{d} \psi_{-}
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

Considering the possible functions $f=f(t)$ making Einstein the warped product metric, Table 3.3 implies that the only possibility is $f(t)=\sin t$. Therefore, the $\mathrm{SU}(3)$-structure must satisfy the conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{d} \psi_{+}=0 \quad \text { and } \quad \widehat{d} \psi_{-}=-2 \omega \wedge \omega . \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

An $\mathrm{SU}(3)$-structure satisfying (3.23) is called double half-flat $\mathrm{SU}(3)$-structure in [115, page 56].

Remark 3.4.5. Note that the existence of an $\operatorname{SU}(3)$-structure $\left(\omega, \psi_{+}, \psi_{-}\right)$on a manifold $N$ satisfying (3.23) is equivalent to the existence of an $\mathrm{SU}(3)$-structure ( $\left.\widetilde{\omega}, \widetilde{\psi}_{+}, \widetilde{\psi}_{-}\right)$on $N$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{d} \widetilde{\psi}_{+}=0 \quad \text { and } \quad \widehat{d} \widetilde{\psi}_{-}=\lambda \widetilde{\omega} \wedge \widetilde{\omega}, \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda$ is a non-zero real number. In fact, if $\left(\omega, \psi_{+}, \psi_{-}\right)$satisfies (3.23), then the $\mathrm{SU}(3)$-structure ( $\left.\widetilde{\omega}, \widetilde{\psi}_{+}, \widetilde{\psi}_{-}\right)$given by

$$
\widetilde{\omega}=(2 / \lambda)^{2} \omega, \quad \widetilde{\psi}_{+}=-(2 / \lambda)^{3} \psi_{+}, \quad \widetilde{\psi}_{-}=-(2 / \lambda)^{3} \psi_{-}
$$

satisfies (3.24). Conversely, if $\left(\widetilde{\omega}, \widetilde{\psi}_{+}, \widetilde{\psi}_{-}\right)$is an $\mathrm{SU}(3)$-structure satisfying (3.24), then the $\mathrm{SU}(3)$-structure $\left(\omega, \psi_{+}, \psi_{-}\right)$given by

$$
\omega=(\lambda / 2)^{2} \widetilde{\omega}, \quad \psi_{+}=-(\lambda / 2)^{3} \widetilde{\psi}_{+}, \quad \psi_{-}=-(\lambda / 2)^{3} \widetilde{\psi}_{-}
$$

satisfies (3.23). Conditions (3.24) are given in [115, page 56] to define double half-flat $\mathrm{SU}(3)$-structures. Note also that in the changes from ( $\left.\widetilde{\omega}, \widetilde{\psi}_{+}, \widetilde{\psi}_{-}\right)$to $\left(\omega, \psi_{+}, \psi_{-}\right)$, and conversely from $\left(\omega, \psi_{+}, \psi_{-}\right)$to $\left(\widetilde{\omega}, \widetilde{\psi}_{+}, \widetilde{\psi}_{-}\right)$, we preserve the almost complex structure but we change the metric.

For a double half-flat $\mathrm{SU}(3)$-structure, we have the following result.
Proposition 3.4.6. Let $\left(N, \omega, \psi_{+}, \psi_{-}\right)$be a 6 -dimensional differentiable manifold endowed with a double half-flat $\mathrm{SU}(3)$-structure (in the sense of (3.23)) whose underlying metric $g_{N}$ is Einstein and with positive scalar curvature. Then, the 3-form $\varphi$ on $(0, \pi) \times N$ defined by

$$
\varphi=\sin ^{2} t \omega \wedge d t+\sin ^{3} t\left(\cos t \psi_{+}-\sin t \psi_{-}\right)
$$

is a coclosed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form on $(0, \pi) \times N$ inducing the well-known Einstein metric with positive scalar curvature

$$
g=d t^{2}+\sin ^{2} t g_{N} .
$$

Proof. A warped product $(M, g)$, with $M=(0, \pi) \times N$ is Einstein with Ric $=\lambda g$ if and only if $\left(N, g_{N}\right)$ is Einstein (with Ric $^{N}=\mu g_{N}$ ) and equation (3.12) is satisfied.

From the possible solutions of system (3.12) (which are described in Table 3.3) we see that the solution $f(t)=\sin t$ requires $\mu$ to be positive, or equivalently $\operatorname{Scal}\left(g_{N}\right)>0$. Therefore, the $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structure

$$
\varphi=\sin ^{2} t \omega \wedge d t+\sin ^{3} t\left(\cos t \psi_{+}-\sin t \psi_{-}\right)
$$

defines the metric

$$
g_{\varphi}=d t^{2}+\sin ^{2} t g_{N}
$$

which is Einstein if and only if $g_{N}$ is Einstein with positive scalar curvature.
On the other hand, if $\left(N, \omega, \psi_{+}, \psi_{-}\right)$is double half-flat, that is

$$
\widehat{d} \psi_{+}=0 \quad \text { and } \quad \widehat{d} \psi_{-}=-2 \omega \wedge \omega
$$

from equation (3.22) we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
d\left(*_{\varphi(t)} \varphi(t)\right)= & \sin ^{4} t \widehat{d} \omega \wedge \omega+2 \sin ^{3} t \cos t \omega \wedge \omega \wedge d t \\
& +\sin ^{3} t \cos t \widehat{d} \psi_{-} \wedge d t+\sin ^{4} t \widehat{d} \psi_{+} \wedge d t \\
= & 2 \sin ^{3} t \cos t \omega \wedge \omega \wedge d t-2 \sin ^{3} t \cos t \omega \wedge \omega \wedge d t=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

For the particular case of $\left(N, \omega, \psi_{+}, \psi_{-}\right)$being nearly Kähler the following known result holds.

Theorem 3.4.7. [57, Theorem 5.3] Let $\left(N, \omega, \psi_{+}, \psi_{-}\right)$be a nearly Kähler manifold. Then, the 3 -form $\varphi$ on $(0, \pi) \times N$ defined by

$$
\varphi=\sin ^{2} t \omega \wedge d t+\sin ^{3} t\left(\cos t \psi_{+}-\sin t \psi_{-}\right)
$$

is a nearly parallel $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form on $(0, \pi) \times N$ inducing the well-known Einstein metric

$$
g=d t^{2}+\sin ^{2} t g_{N}
$$

where $g_{N}$ is the nearly Kähler metric on $N$.
If $\left(N, \omega, \psi_{+}, \psi_{-}\right)$is compact, then $\left(N \times S^{1}, \varphi\right)$ is a compact nearly parallel $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ manifold with two conical singularities at $t=0$ and $t=\pi$.

Proof. Recall, that $\left(N, \omega, \psi_{+}, \psi_{-}\right)$is a nearly Kähler manifold if and only if

$$
\widehat{d \omega} \omega=3 \psi_{+} \quad \text { and } \quad \widehat{d} \psi_{-}=-2 \omega \wedge \omega
$$

Thus, from (3.22) we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
d(\varphi(t))= & 3 \sin ^{2} t \psi_{+} \wedge d t-3 \sin ^{2} t \cos ^{2} t \psi_{+} \wedge d t+3 \sin ^{3} t \cos t \psi_{-} \wedge d t \\
& +\sin ^{4} t \psi_{+} \wedge d t+\sin ^{3} t \cos t \psi_{-} \wedge d t+2 \sin ^{4} t \omega \wedge \omega \\
= & 4 \sin ^{4} t \psi_{+} \wedge d t+4 \sin ^{3} t \cos t \psi_{-} \wedge d t+2 \sin ^{4} t \omega \wedge \omega
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, using (3.21), we obtain that the $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structure $\varphi(t)$ is nearly parallel since

$$
d(\varphi(t))=4 *_{\varphi} \varphi(t)
$$

Next, we construct a 7 -dimensional (non-compact) manifold $M$ with a coclosed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form $\varphi$ which induces an Einstein metric on $M$ which is not 3 -Sasakian. We also show that $\varphi$ does not define a nearly parallel $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structure.

Let us consider the sphere $S^{3}$, viewed as the Lie group $\mathrm{SU}(2)$, with the basis of left invariant 1 -forms $\left\{e^{1}, e^{2}, e^{3}\right\}$ satisfying

$$
d e^{1}=e^{23}, \quad d e^{2}=-e^{13}, \quad \text { and } \quad d e^{3}=e^{12}
$$

Hence, the Lie algebra of $S^{3} \times S^{3}$ is $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{s u}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{s u}(2)$, and its structure equations are

$$
\mathfrak{g}=\left(e^{23},-e^{13}, e^{12}, f^{23},-f^{13}, f^{12}\right)
$$

where $\left\{f^{i}\right\}$ denotes the basis of 1 -forms on the second sphere.
Now, we consider the basis $\left\{h^{1}, \ldots, h^{6}\right\}$ of the dual space $\mathfrak{g}^{*}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ given by
$h^{1}=\frac{1}{4}\left(e^{1}+f^{1}\right), h^{2}=\frac{1}{4}\left(-e^{1}+f^{1}\right), h^{3}=\frac{\sqrt{2}}{4} e^{2}, h^{4}=\frac{\sqrt{2}}{4} f^{2}, h^{5}=\frac{\sqrt{2}}{4} e^{3}, h^{6}=\frac{\sqrt{2}}{4} f^{3}$.
With respect to this basis, the structure equations of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of $S^{3} \times S^{3}$ turn into
$\mathfrak{g}=\left(2 h^{35}+2 h^{46},-2 h^{35}+2 h^{46},-2 h^{15}+2 h^{25},-2 h^{16}-2 h^{26}, 2 h^{13}-2 h^{23}, 2 h^{14}+2 h^{24}\right)$.
We define the $\operatorname{SU}(3)$-structure $\left(\omega, \psi_{+}, \psi_{-}\right)$on $S^{3} \times S^{3}$ by

$$
\begin{align*}
\omega & =h^{12}+h^{34}+h^{56} \\
\psi_{+} & =h^{135}-h^{146}-h^{236}-h^{245}  \tag{3.25}\\
\psi_{-} & =-h^{246}+h^{235}+h^{145}+h^{136}
\end{align*}
$$

Then, an easy calculation shows that

$$
\begin{align*}
\widehat{d} \omega & =3 \psi_{+}+\nu_{3} \\
\widehat{d} \psi_{+} & =0  \tag{3.26}\\
\widehat{d} \psi_{-} & =-2 \omega \wedge \omega
\end{align*}
$$

which means that $\left(\omega, \psi_{+}, \psi_{-}\right)$is a double half-flat structure (in the sense of (3.23)) on $S^{3} \times S^{3}$, where $\nu_{3} \in \Omega_{12}^{3}\left(S^{3} \times S^{3}\right)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu_{3}=-h^{135}+h^{146}-h^{236}-h^{245}+2 h^{235}+2 h^{246} . \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

The second and third equations of (3.26) imply that the $\mathrm{SU}(3)$-structure defined by (3.25) is double half-flat but it is not nearly Kähler because $\nu_{3} \neq 0$, and hence $\widehat{d} \omega \neq 3 \psi_{+}$. We have that the metric $g$ induced by $\left(\omega, \Psi=\psi_{+}+i \psi_{-}\right)$on $S^{3} \times S^{3}$ is Einstein with positive scalar curvature. Indeed, $g$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
g=\left(h^{1}\right)^{2}+\left(h^{2}\right)^{2}+\left(h^{3}\right)^{2}+\left(h^{4}\right)^{2}+\left(h^{5}\right)^{2}+\left(h^{6}\right)^{2}, \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

and its Ricci curvature tensor is

$$
\text { Ric }=4\left(h^{1} \otimes h^{1}+h^{2} \otimes h^{2}+h^{3} \otimes h^{3}+h^{4} \otimes h^{4}+h^{5} \otimes h^{5}+h^{6} \otimes h^{6}\right)
$$

Thus, $g$ is Einstein with Einstein constant $\mu=4$.
Proposition 3.4.8. Let $\left(\omega, \psi_{+}, \psi_{-}\right)$be the double half-flat $\mathrm{SU}(3)$-structure on $S^{3} \times$ $S^{3}$ defined by (3.25). Then, the 3-form $\varphi$ on $M=(0, \pi) \times{ }_{\sin t}\left(S^{3} \times S^{3}\right)$ given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\varphi & =\sin ^{2} t\left(h^{12}+h^{34}+h^{56}\right) \wedge d t+\sin ^{3} t \cos t\left(h^{135}-h^{146}-h^{236}-h^{245}\right) \\
& -\sin ^{4} t\left(h^{136}+h^{145}+h^{235}-h^{246}\right) \tag{3.29}
\end{align*}
$$

is a (non-nearly parallel) coclosed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form whose underlying metric is Einstein but not a 3-Sasakian metric.

Proof. By Proposition 3.4.6, we know that the 3 -form $\varphi$ defined by (3.29) is a coclosed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form on $M=(0, \pi) \times \sin t\left(S^{3} \times S^{3}\right)$. Moreover, from (3.29) (or interchangeably from (3.22) we have

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
d \varphi & =-4 *_{\varphi} \varphi(t)+\sin ^{2}(t) \nu_{3} \wedge d t \\
d\left(*_{\varphi} \varphi\right) & =0
\end{array}
$$

where $\nu_{3}$ is defined by (3.27). These equations not only imply that $\varphi$ is coclosed but also they imply that the $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structure defined by $\varphi$ is not nearly-parallel since $\nu_{3} \wedge d t \neq 0$. Also, by Proposition 3.4.6, we know that $\varphi$ induces the Einstein metric

$$
g_{\varphi}=d t^{2}+\sin ^{2} t g
$$

where $g$ is the Einstein metric on $S^{3} \times S^{3}$ given by (3.28). Now, a direct calculation shows that the Einstein constant of $g_{\varphi}$ is $\lambda=\frac{24}{5}$. Thus, the metric $g_{\varphi}$ is not 3 -Sasakian since, according with Proposition 1.1.18, the Einstein constant of a 3 -Sasakian metric on a 7 -dimensional manifold is 6 .

## Chapter 4

## Closed $G_{2}$ forms inducing nilsoliton metrics

"La matematica è l'alfabeto in cui Dio ha scritto l'Universo."<br>Galileo Galilei

According to the previous chapter, we know that simply connected solvable Lie groups can have an Einstein metric but they do not carry any closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form inducing the Einstein metric, unless the induced metric is flat. For nilsoliton metrics a natural question is the following:

Do there exist 7-dimensional simply connected (non-Abelian) nilpotent Lie groups with nilsoliton metric determined by a closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form?

In this Chapter, we answer this question in the affirmative. Using the classification in [38] and in [47], we show that, up to isomorphism, there is a unique nilpotent Lie algebra (denoted by $\mathfrak{n}_{9}$ in Theorem 4.2 .1 of section 4.2) with a closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form which does not admit nilsoliton metrics. It turns out that all the other ten (non-Abelian) nilpotent Lie algebras have a nilsoliton. However, in Proposition 4.2 .3 we prove that the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{n}_{i}(i=3,5,7,8,11)$ (defined in Theorem 4.2.1 of section 4.2) has a nilsoliton but does not carry closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structures inducing the nilsoliton. For the Lie algebra, namely $\mathfrak{n}_{10}$ in Theorem 4.2.1, the existence of a nilsoliton was shown in [47, Example 2] but we do not know whether or not there is a closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form inducing it since we cannot explicit the nilsoliton. Since $\mathfrak{n}_{10}$ is 4 -step nilpotent, the result of Theorem 4.3.1 is restricted to $s$-step nilpotent Lie algebras, with $s=2,3$. In fact, in Theorem 4.3.1, we show that, up to isomorphism, there are exactly four $s$-step nilpotent Lie algebras $(s=2,3)$ with a closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form inducing a nilsoliton metric.

For each one of those closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ forms inducing nilsoliton metrics, we study in section 4.4 the Laplacian flow introduced by Bryant in [24] for any closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form.

The short time existence and uniqueness of solution for this flow, on a compact manifold $M$, has been proved by Bryant and Xu in [27]. In Theorem4.4.2, Theorem 4.4.5. Theorem 4.4.8 and Theorem 4.4.10, we show the first examples of compact manifolds with a closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form whose Laplacian flow has long time existence of solution. The results of this Chapter can be found in [54].

### 4.1 Nilsoliton metrics. Einstein nilradicals

This section is devoted to recall some definitions and results about nontrivial homogeneous Ricci soliton metrics and, in particular, on nilsolitons (see for instance [34], (90] and [85]).

A complete Riemannian metric $g$ on a manifold $M$ is said to be Ricci soliton if its Ricci curvature tensor $\operatorname{Ric}(g)$ satisfies the following condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ric}(g)=\lambda g+\mathcal{L}_{X} g \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some real constant $\lambda$ and some complete vector field $X$ on $M$, where $\mathcal{L}_{X}$ denotes the Lie derivative with respect to $X$. If in addition $X$ is the gradient vector field of a smooth function $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, then the Ricci soliton is said to be of gradient type. Ricci solitons are called expanding, steady or shrinking depending on whether $\lambda<0, \lambda=0$ or $\lambda>0$, respectively.

We also need to distinguish the following types of Ricci soliton metrics. A Ricci soliton metric $g$ on $M$ is called trivial if $g$ is an Einstein metric or $g$ is the product of a homogeneous Einstein metric with the Euclidean metric; and $g$ is said to be homogeneous if its isometry group acts transitively on $M$, and hence $g$ has bounded curvature [94].

In order to characterize the nontrivial homogeneous Ricci soliton metrics, we note that any homogeneous steady or shrinking Ricci soliton metric $g$ of gradient type is trivial. Indeed, if $g$ is steady, one can check that $g$ is Ricci flat, and so by [4] $g$ must be flat. If $g$ is shrinking, then by the results in [105, Theorem 1.2] and in [111], $(M, g)$ is isometric to a quotient of $P \times \mathbb{R}^{k}$, where $P$ is some homogeneous Einstein manifold with positive scalar curvature. Now, we should notice that this last result for shrinking homogeneous Ricci soliton metrics is also true for homogeneous Ricci solitons of gradient type [111. Moreover, if a homogeneous Ricci soliton $g$ on a manifold $M$ is expanding, then by [84] $M$ must be non-compact; and from [110] all Ricci solitons (homogeneous or non-homogeneous) on a compact manifold are of gradient type. Conversely, as was proved by Lauret in 94 we have the following:

Lemma 4.1.1 [94]. Let $g$ be a nontrivial homogeneous Ricci soliton on a manifold $M$. Then, $g$ is expanding and it cannot be of gradient type. Moreover, $M$ is non-compact.

All known examples of nontrivial homogeneous Ricci solitons are left invariant metrics on simply connected solvable Lie groups whose Ricci operator is a multiple of the identity modulo derivations, and they are called solsolitons or, in the nilpotent case, nilsolitons, which are defined as follows.

Definition 4.1.2. Let $N$ be a simply connected nilpotent Lie group, and denote by $\mathfrak{n}$ its Lie algebra. A left invariant metric $g$ on $N$ is called a Ricci nilsoliton metric (or simply nilsoliton metric) if its Ricci endomorphism Ric (g) differs from a derivation $D$ of $\mathfrak{n}$ by a scalar multiple of the identity map $I$, that is, if there exists a real number $\lambda$ such that

$$
\operatorname{Ric}(g)=\lambda I+D
$$

Lauret in 94 shows that if $g$ is a nilsoliton metric on a simply connected nilpotent Lie group $N$, then $g$ is a Ricci soliton, that is, its Ricci curvature tensor $\operatorname{Ric}(g)$ satisfies (4.1). In fact, since $N$ is simply connected and $D$ is a derivation of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{n}$ of $N$, we can consider the flow $\phi_{t}: N \longrightarrow N, t \in \mathbb{R}$, where $\phi_{t}$ is the unique automorphism of $N$ such such that the morphism $\left(\phi_{t}\right)_{*}: \mathfrak{n} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{n}$ is given by

$$
\left(\phi_{t}\right)_{*}=e^{t D} \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathfrak{n})
$$

Now, let $X_{D}$ be the left invariant vector field on $N$ determined by $\phi_{t}$, that is,

$$
X_{D}(p)=\frac{d}{d t_{0}} \phi_{t}(p)
$$

where $p \in N$. Then

$$
\mathcal{L}_{X_{D}} g=\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{0} \phi_{t}^{*} g=\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{0} g\left(e^{-t D} \cdot, e^{-t D} \cdot\right)=-2 g(D \cdot, \cdot)
$$

This implies that the Ricci tensor is such that $\operatorname{Ric}(g)=c g-\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{L}_{X_{D}} g$, and henceforth $g$ is a Ricci soliton.

From now on, we will always identify a left invariant metric on a Lie group $N$ with an inner product $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{\mathfrak{n}}$ on the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{n}$ of $N$. Then, according to Definition 4.1.2, we say that $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{\mathfrak{n}}$ is a nilsoliton inner product (or simply nilsoliton) on $\mathfrak{n}$ if there exists a real number $\lambda$ and a derivation $D$ of $\mathfrak{n}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ric}\left(\mathfrak{n},\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{\mathfrak{n}}\right)=\lambda I+D \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Nilsoliton metrics have properties that make them preferred left invariant metrics on nilpotent Lie groups in the absence of Einstein metrics. Indeed, non-Abelian nilpotent Lie groups do not admit left invariant Einstein metrics. Nevertheless,
not all nilpotent Lie groups admit nilsoliton metrics, but if a nilsoliton exists, then it is unique up to automorphism and scaling [90].

By Lauret's results it turns out that nilsoliton metrics on simply connected nilpotent Lie groups $N$ are strictly related to Einstein metrics on the so-called solvable rank-one extensions of $N$ which were defined in section 3.1 (Definition 3.1.2).

Theorem 4.1.3 [92, 93]. A simply connected nilpotent Lie group $N$ admits a nilsoliton metric if and only if its Lie algebra $\mathfrak{n}$ is an Einstein nilradical, that is, $\mathfrak{n}$ possesses an inner product $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ such that $(\mathfrak{n},\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle)$ has a metric solvable extension which is Einstein.

### 4.2 Nilsoliton metrics not induced by closed $G_{2}$ forms

We determine the nilpotent Lie algebras with closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form and nilsoliton inner product which is not induced by any closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form. We also show that, up to isomorphism, there is a unique 7-dimensional nilpotent Lie algebra with a closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form but not having nilsolitons.

The classification of nilpotent Lie algebras admitting a closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structure is given in [38] as follows.

Theorem 4.2.1. Up to isomorphism, there are exactly 12 nilpotent Lie algebras that admit a closed $G_{2}$-structure. They are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{n}_{1} & =(0,0,0,0,0,0,0), \\
\mathfrak{n}_{2} & =\left(0,0,0,0, e^{12}, e^{13}, 0\right), \\
\mathfrak{n}_{3} & =\left(0,0,0, e^{12}, e^{13}, e^{23}, 0\right), \\
\mathfrak{n}_{4} & =\left(0,0, e^{12}, 0,0, e^{13}+e^{24}, e^{15}\right), \\
\mathfrak{n}_{5} & =\left(0,0, e^{12}, 0,0, e^{13}, e^{14}+e^{25}\right), \\
\mathfrak{n}_{6} & =\left(0,0,0, e^{12}, e^{13}, e^{14}, e^{15}\right), \\
\mathfrak{n}_{7} & =\left(0,0,0, e^{12}, e^{13}, e^{14}+e^{23}, e^{15}\right), \\
\mathfrak{n}_{8} & =\left(0,0, e^{12}, e^{13}, e^{23}, e^{15}+e^{24}, e^{16}+e^{34}\right), \\
\mathfrak{n}_{9} & =\left(0,0, e^{12}, e^{13}, e^{23}, e^{15}+e^{24}, e^{16}+e^{34}+e^{25}\right), \\
\mathfrak{n}_{10} & =\left(0,0, e^{12}, 0, e^{13}+e^{24}, e^{14}, e^{46}+e^{34}+e^{15}+e^{23}\right), \\
\mathfrak{n}_{11} & =\left(0,0, e^{12}, 0, e^{13}, e^{24}+e^{23}, e^{25}+e^{34}+e^{15}+e^{16}-3 e^{26}\right), \\
\mathfrak{n}_{12} & =\left(0,0,0, e^{12}, e^{23},-e^{13}, 2 e^{26}-2 e^{34}-2 e^{16}+2 e^{25}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using Table 1 in [47] we can determine which indecomposable Lie algebras $\mathfrak{n}_{i}$ $(4 \leq i \leq 12)$ do not have nilsoliton inner products. Note that the existence of nilsolitons on $\mathfrak{n}_{2}$ and $\mathfrak{n}_{3}$ is not studied in 47] since they are decomposable. Concretely, the correspondence between the indecomposable Lie algebras of Theorem 4.2 .1 and Table 1 in [47] is the following:

$$
\begin{array}{llrr}
\mathfrak{n}_{4} \cong 3.8, & \mathfrak{n}_{5} \cong 3.11, & \mathfrak{n}_{6} \cong 3.20, & \mathfrak{n}_{7} \cong 2.39 \\
\mathfrak{n}_{8} \cong 2.5, & \mathfrak{n}_{9} \cong 1.1(i v), & \text { and } & \mathfrak{n}_{10} \cong 1.3\left(i_{1}\right) .
\end{array}
$$

Moreover, $\mathfrak{n}_{11}$ and $\mathfrak{n}_{12}$ are respectively isomorphic to the real form of $1.2\left(i_{-3}\right)$ and 3.1 $\left(i_{2}\right)$. In particular, we have that $\mathfrak{n}_{9}$ is the only 7 -dimensional nilpotent Lie algebra with a closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form but not admitting a nilsoliton.

Remark 4.2.2. Note that the Abelian Lie algebra $\mathfrak{n}_{1}$ admits as rank-one Einstein solvable extension the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{s}_{1}$ with structure equations

$$
\left(a e^{18}, a e^{28}, a e^{38}, a e^{48}, a e^{58}, a e^{68}, a e^{78}, 0\right)
$$

for some real number $a \neq 0$, and the nilsoliton on $\mathfrak{n}_{1}$ is trivial because it is flat.
Since we are interested in non-trivial nilsolitons inner products, in the sequel when we refer to a nilpotent Lie algebra we will mean a non-Abelian nilpotent Lie algebra.

In order to classify the Lie algebras $\mathfrak{n}_{i}$ admitting a (non-trivial) nilsoliton but with no closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ forms inducing it, we will use Proposition 1.4 .5 (see Chapter 1, section (1.4) which shows an obstruction to the existence of closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structures on 7-dimensional Lie algebras.

As we mentioned in Chapter 3, by [115, Proposition 4.5] we know that if $\varphi$ is a $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structure on a 7 -dimensional Lie algebra and we choose a non-zero vector $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ of length one with respect to $g_{\varphi}$, then on the orthogonal complement of the span of $X$ one has an $\mathrm{SU}(3)$-structure given by the 2 -form $\alpha=\iota_{X} \varphi$ and the 3 -form $\beta=\varphi-\alpha \wedge \eta$, where $\eta=\iota_{X}\left(g_{\varphi}\right)$. So in particular $\alpha \wedge \beta=0$.

By using these results we can prove the following proposition
Proposition 4.2.3. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{n}_{i}(i=3,5,7,8,11)$ has a nilsoliton inner product but no closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structure inducing it.

Proof. To prove that $\mathfrak{n}_{3}$ has a nilsoliton, we consider the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{n}_{3}$ defined by the equations given in Theorem 4.2.1. Let $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{\mathfrak{n}_{3}}$ be the inner product on $\mathfrak{n}_{3}$ such that $\left\{e^{1}, \ldots, e^{7}\right\}$ is orthonormal. Then, $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{\mathfrak{n}_{3}}$ is a nilsoliton because its Ricci tensor

$$
\operatorname{Ric}=\operatorname{diag}\left(-1,-1,-1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0\right)
$$

satisfies 4.2), for $\lambda=-5 / 2$ and

$$
D=\operatorname{diag}\left(\frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, 3,3,3, \frac{5}{2}\right)
$$

Since the nilsoliton inner product is unique (up to isometry and scaling) it suffices to prove that there is no closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form inducing such an inner product.

Suppose that $\mathfrak{n}_{3}$ has a closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form $\phi$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{\phi}=\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{\mathbf{n}_{3}}=\sum_{i=1}^{7}\left(e^{i}\right)^{2} . \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, $g_{\phi}$ has to satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{i=1}^{7} g_{\phi}\left(e_{i}, e_{i}\right)=1 \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

A generic closed 3-form $\gamma$ on $\mathfrak{n}_{3}$ has the following expression

$$
\begin{aligned}
\gamma= & \rho_{1,2,3} e^{123}+\rho_{1,2,4} e^{124}+\rho_{1,2,5} e^{125}+\rho_{1,2,6} e^{126}+\rho_{1,2,7} e^{127}+\rho_{1,3,4} e^{134}+\rho_{1,3,5} e^{135} \\
& +\rho_{1,3,6} e^{136}+\rho_{1,3,7} e^{137}+\rho_{1,4,5} e^{145}+\rho_{1,4,6} e^{146}+\rho_{1,4,7} e^{147}+\rho_{1,5,6} e^{156}+\rho_{1,5,7} e^{157} \\
& +\rho_{1,6,7} e^{167}+\rho_{2,3,4} e^{234}+\rho_{2,3,5} e^{235}+\rho_{2,3,6} e^{236}+\rho_{2,3,7} e^{237}+\rho_{1,4,6} e^{245}+\rho_{2,4,6} e^{246} \\
& +\rho_{2,4,7} e^{247}+\rho_{2,5,6} e^{256}+\rho_{2,5,7} e^{257}+\rho_{2,6,7} e^{267}+\rho_{1,5,6} e^{345}+\rho_{2,5,6} e^{346} \\
& +\left(\rho_{2,5,7}-\rho_{1,6,7}\right) e^{347}+\rho_{3,5,6} e^{356}+\rho_{3,5,7} e^{357}+\rho_{3,6,7} e^{367},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\rho_{i, j, k}$ are arbitrary real numbers. Now, we show conditions on the coefficients $\rho_{i, j, k}$ so that $\phi=\gamma$ is a closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form such that $g_{\phi}$ satisfies 4.3). To this end, we apply the aforementioned result of [115, Proposition 4.5] for $X=e_{i}(1 \leq i \leq 7)$ and so $\eta=e^{i}$ by (4.3). For $X=e_{1}$, thus $\eta=e^{1}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{1}= & \iota_{e_{1}} \phi=\rho_{1,2,3} e^{23}+\rho_{1,2,4} e^{24}+\rho_{1,2,5} e^{25}+\rho_{1,2,6} e^{26}+\rho_{1,2,7} e^{27}+\rho_{1,3,4} e^{34}+\rho_{1,3,5} e^{35} \\
& +\rho_{1,3,6} e^{36}+\rho_{1,3,7} e^{37}+\rho_{1,4,5} e^{45}+\rho_{1,4,6} e^{46}+\rho_{1,4,7} e^{47}+\rho_{1,5,6} e^{56}+\rho_{1,5,7} e^{57} \\
& +\rho_{1,6,7} e^{67},
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\beta_{1}= & \phi-\iota_{e_{1}} \phi \wedge e^{1}=\rho_{2,3,4} e^{234}+\rho_{2,3,5} e^{235}+\rho_{2,3,6} e^{236}+\rho_{2,3,7} e^{237}+\rho_{1,4,6} e^{245} \\
& +\rho_{2,4,6} e^{246}+\rho_{2,4,7} e^{247}+\rho_{2,5,6} e^{256}+\rho_{2,5,7} e^{257}+\rho_{2,6,7} e^{267}+\rho_{1,5,6} e^{345} \\
& +\rho_{2,5,6} e^{346}+\left(\rho_{2,5,7}-\rho_{1,6,7}\right) e^{347}+\rho_{3,5,6} e^{356}+\rho_{3,5,7} e^{357}+\rho_{3,6,7} e^{367} .
\end{aligned}
$$

But, $\alpha_{1} \wedge \beta_{1}=0$ describes a system of 6 equations. Hence, after apply the result of [115, Proposition 4.5] for $X=e_{2}, \ldots, e_{7}$, we obtain a system of 42 equations.

This system and condition (4.3) imply that any closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form on $\mathfrak{n}_{3}$ satisfying (4.4) is expressed as follows

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi= & \rho_{1,2,3} e^{123}+\rho_{1,4,5} e^{145}+\rho_{1,6,7} e^{167}+\rho_{2,4,6} e^{246}+\rho_{2,5,7} e^{257} \\
& +\left(\rho_{2,5,7}-\rho_{1,6,7}\right) e^{347}+\rho_{3,5,6} e^{356} . \tag{4.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Because $\phi$ should be a closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form on $\mathfrak{n}_{3}$, at least for certain coefficients $\rho_{i, j, k}$, Proposition 1.4.5 implies that the coefficients appearing on (4.5) cannot vanish. In particular, $\rho_{2,5,7}-\rho_{1,6,7} \neq 0$. Now, denote by $G_{\phi}$ the matrix associated to the inner product on $\mathfrak{n}_{3}$ induced by the 3 -form $\phi$ given by (4.5). Then, (4.3) implies that $G_{\phi}=I_{7}$, for some $\rho_{i, j, k}$ and then

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=G_{\phi}-I_{7}=0 \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for those coefficients. From now on, we denote by $S_{i, j}$ the $(i, j)$ entry of the matrix $S$. One can check that equations $S_{1,1}=S_{2,2}=S_{5,5}=0$ imply that

$$
\rho_{3,5,6}=\frac{1}{\rho_{1,4,5} \rho_{2,5,7}}, \quad \rho_{2,4,6}=-\frac{\rho_{1,4,5} \rho_{1,6,7}}{\rho_{2,5,7}} \quad \text { and } \quad \rho_{1,2,3}=\frac{1}{\rho_{1,4,5} \rho_{1,6,7}} .
$$

Therefore the expression of $S_{6,6}$ becomes

$$
S_{6,6}=\frac{\left(\rho_{1,6,7}-\rho_{2,5,7}\right)\left(\rho_{1,6,7}+\rho_{2,5,7}\right)}{\rho_{2,5,7}^{2}}
$$

and hence $\rho_{1,6,7}= \pm \rho_{2,5,7}$. But we know that $\rho_{1,6,7} \neq \rho_{2,5,7}$, and for $\rho_{1,6,7}=-\rho_{2,5,7}$, we have that $S_{3,3}=-\rho_{1,2,3}\left(\rho_{1,6,7}-\rho_{2,5,7}\right) \rho_{3,5,6}$ and so $S \neq 0$, which is a contradiction with (4.6). This means that $\mathfrak{n}_{3}$ does not admit a closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form inducing the nilsoliton given by (4.3).

To prove that $\mathfrak{n}_{5}$ has a nilsoliton, we consider the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{n}_{5}$ defined by the structure equations

$$
\mathfrak{n}_{5}=\left(0,0, \sqrt{3} e^{12}, 0,0,2 e^{13}, e^{14}+\sqrt{3} e^{25}\right) .
$$

Consider the inner product $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{\mathbf{n}_{5}}$ such that the basis $\left\{e^{1}, \ldots, e^{7}\right\}$ is orthonormal. Then, its Ricci tensor satisfies

$$
\text { Ric }=\operatorname{diag}\left(-4,-3,-\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2},-\frac{3}{2}, 2,2\right) .
$$

Actually, Ric $=-\frac{13}{2} I_{7}+D$, where $D$ is the derivation of $\mathfrak{n}_{5}$ given by

$$
D=\operatorname{diag}\left(\frac{5}{2}, \frac{7}{2}, 6,6,5, \frac{17}{2}, \frac{17}{2}\right)
$$

and so $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{\mathbf{n}_{5}}=\sum_{i=1}^{7}\left(e^{i}\right)^{2}$ is a nilsoliton inner product.
Since the nilsoliton inner product is unique (up to isometry and scaling) it is sufficient to prove that there is no closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form on $\mathfrak{n}_{5}$ inducing such an inner product. Suppose that $\mathfrak{n}_{5}$ has a closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form $\phi$ such that $g_{\phi}=\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{\mathfrak{n}_{5}}$.

A generic closed 3-form $\gamma$ on $\mathfrak{n}_{5}$ has the following expression

$$
\begin{aligned}
\gamma= & \rho_{1,2,3} e^{123}+\rho_{1,2,4} e^{124}+\rho_{1,2,5} e^{125}+\rho_{1,2,6} e^{126}+\rho_{1,2,7} e^{127}+\rho_{1,3,4} e^{134}+\rho_{1,3,5} e^{135} \\
& +\rho_{1,3,6} e^{136}+\rho_{1,3,7} e^{137}+\rho_{1,4,5} e^{145}+\rho_{1,4,6} e^{146}+\rho_{1,4,7} e^{147}+\rho_{1,5,6} e^{156} \\
& +\rho_{1,5,7} e^{157}+\rho_{1,6,7} e^{167}+\rho_{2,3,4} e^{234}+\rho_{2,3,5} e^{235}+\rho_{2,3,6} e^{236}+\rho_{2,3,7} e^{237} \\
& +\rho_{2,4,5} e^{245}+\frac{1}{2} \rho_{2,3,7} e^{246}+\rho_{2,4,7} e^{247}-\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{3} \rho_{1,3,7} e^{256}+\sqrt{3}\left(\rho_{3,4,5}-\rho_{1,4,7}\right) e^{257} \\
& +\rho_{3,4,5} e^{345}-\rho_{1,6,7} e^{356}+\rho_{4,5,7} e^{457},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\rho_{i, j, k}$ are arbitrary real numbers. Now we show conditions on the coefficients $\rho_{i, j, k}$ so that $\phi=\gamma$ is a closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form such that $g_{\phi}=\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{\mathrm{n}_{5}}$. Proposition 1.4.5 (applied for $X=e_{7}$ ) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{1,6,7} \rho_{2,3,7} \rho_{4,5,7} \neq 0 \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we denote by $G_{\phi}$ the matrix associated to the inner product on $\mathfrak{n}_{5}$ induced by the generic closed 3 -form $\phi$. Then the condition $g_{\phi}=\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{\mathfrak{n}_{5}}$ implies (4.6) for some coefficients $\rho_{i, j, k}$. From the equations $S_{6,6}=S_{7,7}=S_{6,7}=S_{3,7}=S_{4,6}=$ $S_{3,3}=S_{3,6}=S_{4,7}=0$ we have that

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\rho_{2,3,7}=\frac{2}{\rho_{1,6,7}^{2}}, & \rho_{4,5,7}=\frac{1}{2} \rho_{1,6,7}, & \rho_{2,3,6}=-2 \rho_{2,4,7}, & \rho_{1,3,6}=-2 \rho_{1,4,7}, \\
\rho_{3,4,5}=0, & \rho_{1,3,4}=\frac{1}{2} \rho_{1,6,7}, & \rho_{1,3,7}=2 \rho_{1,4,6}, & \rho_{2,3,4}=0 .
\end{array}
$$

Therefore, $S_{4,4}=-\frac{3}{8} \rho_{1,6,7}^{2} \rho_{2,3,7}$ which by (4.7) cannot vanish and so $S \neq 0$, which is a contradiction with 4.6).

Consider now the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{n}_{7}$ defined by the structure equations

$$
\mathfrak{n}_{7}=\left(0,0,0, e^{12}, \frac{\sqrt{6}}{2} e^{13}, e^{14}+\frac{\sqrt{6}}{2} e^{23}, \sqrt{2} e^{15}\right) .
$$

Let $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{\mathfrak{n}_{7}}$ be the inner product on $\mathfrak{n}_{7}$ such that the basis $\left\{e^{1}, \ldots, e^{7}\right\}$ is orthonormal. Then, $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{\mathfrak{n}_{7}}=\sum_{i=1}^{7}\left(e^{i}\right)^{2}$ is a nilsoliton since

$$
\operatorname{Ric}=\left(-\frac{11}{4},-\frac{5}{4},-\frac{3}{2}, 0,-\frac{1}{4}, \frac{5}{4}, 1\right)=-4 I_{7}+D
$$

where

$$
D=\operatorname{diag}\left(\frac{5}{4}, \frac{11}{4}, \frac{5}{2}, 4, \frac{15}{4}, \frac{21}{4}, 5\right)
$$

is a derivation of $\mathfrak{n}_{7}$. As before, since the nilsoliton inner product is unique (up to isometry and scaling) it suffices to prove that there is no closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form on $\mathfrak{n}_{7}$ inducing such an inner product.

Suppose that $\mathfrak{n}_{7}$ has a closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form $\phi$ such that $g_{\phi}=\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{\mathfrak{n}_{7}}$. A generic closed 3 -form $\gamma$ on $\mathfrak{n}_{7}$ has the following expression

$$
\begin{aligned}
\gamma= & \rho_{1,2,3} e^{123}+\rho_{1,2,4} e^{124}+\rho_{1,2,5} e^{125}+\rho_{1,2,6} e^{126}+\rho_{1,2,7} e^{127}+\rho_{1,3,4} e^{134}+\rho_{1,3,5} e^{135} \\
& +\rho_{1,3,6} e^{136}+\rho_{1,3,7} e^{137}+\rho_{1,4,5} e^{145}+\rho_{1,4,6} e^{146}+\rho_{1,4,7} e^{147}+\rho_{1,5,6} e^{156}+\rho_{1,5,7} e^{157} \\
& +\rho_{1,6,7} e^{167}+\rho_{2,3,4} e^{234}+\rho_{2,3,5} e^{235}+\left(\frac{\sqrt{6}}{2} \rho_{2,4,5}-\frac{\sqrt{6}}{2} \rho_{1,4,6}\right) e^{236}+\rho_{2,3,7} e^{237} \\
& +\rho_{2,4,5} e^{245}+\rho_{2,4,6} e^{246}+\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \rho_{2,5,6} e^{247}+\rho_{2,5,6} e^{256}+\left(\rho_{1,6,7}+\frac{\sqrt{6}}{3} \rho_{3,4,7}\right) e^{257} \\
& +\left(\frac{\sqrt{6}}{2} \rho_{1,5,6}+\sqrt{2} \rho_{2,3,7}\right) e^{345}+\frac{\sqrt{6}}{2} \rho_{256} e^{346}+\rho_{3,4,7} e^{347}+\sqrt{2} \rho_{3,4,7} e^{356} \\
& +\rho_{3,5,7} e^{357},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\rho_{i, j, k}$ are arbitrary real numbers. Now, we show conditions on the coefficients $\rho_{i, j, k}$ so that $\phi=\gamma$ be a closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form such that $g_{\phi}=\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{\mathbf{n}_{7}}$. Proposition 1.4.5 applied for $X=e_{7}$ implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{1,6,7} \neq 0 \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we apply the result of [115, Proposition 4.5] for $X=e_{i}(1 \leq i \leq 7)$ and so $\eta=e^{i}$ by (4.3). For $X=e_{1}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{1}= & l_{e_{1}} \phi=\rho_{1,2,3} e^{23}+\rho_{1,2,4} e^{24}+\rho_{1,2,5} e^{25}+\rho_{1,2,6} e^{26}+\rho_{1,2,7} e^{27}+\rho_{1,3,4} e^{34}+\rho_{1,3,5} e^{35} \\
& +\rho_{1,3,6} e^{36}+\rho_{1,3,7} e^{37}+\rho_{1,4,5} e^{45}+\rho_{1,4,6} e^{46}+\rho_{1,4,7} e^{47}+\rho_{1,5,6} e^{56}+\rho_{1,5,7} e^{57} \\
& +\rho_{1,6,7} e^{67}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\beta_{1}= & \phi-\iota_{e_{1}} \phi \wedge e^{1}=\rho_{2,3,4} e^{234}+\rho_{2,3,5} e^{235}+\left(\frac{\sqrt{6}}{2} \rho_{2,4,5}-\frac{\sqrt{6}}{2} \rho_{1,4,6}\right) e^{236}+\rho_{2,3,7} e^{237} \\
& +\rho_{2,4,5} e^{245}+\rho_{2,4,6} e^{246}+\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \rho_{2,5,6} e^{247}+\rho_{2,5,6} e^{256}+\left(\rho_{1,6,7}+\frac{\sqrt{6}}{3} \rho_{3,4,7}\right) e^{257} \\
& +\left(\frac{\sqrt{6}}{2} \rho_{1,5,6}+\sqrt{2} \rho_{2,3,7}\right) e^{345}+\frac{\sqrt{6}}{2} \rho_{256} e^{346}+\rho_{3,4,7} e^{347}+\sqrt{2} \rho_{3,4,7} e^{356} \\
& +\rho_{3,5,7} e^{357} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $\alpha_{1} \wedge \beta_{1}=0$ describes a system of 6 equations. Hence, after apply the result of [115, Proposition 4.5] for $X=e_{2}, \ldots, e_{7}$, we obtain a system of 42 equations. This system together with the fact that $\rho_{1,6,7} \neq 0$ and the condition $g_{\phi}=\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{\mathfrak{n}_{7}}$ imply that any closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form on $\mathfrak{n}_{7}$ satisfying (4.4) is expressed as follows

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi= & \rho_{1,2,3} e^{123}+\rho_{1,4,5} e^{145}+\rho_{1,6,7} e^{167}+\rho_{2,4,6} e^{246}+\left(\rho_{1,6,7}+\frac{\sqrt{6}}{3} \rho_{3,4,7}\right) e^{257}  \tag{4.9}\\
& +\rho_{3,4,7} e^{347}+\sqrt{2} \rho_{3,4,7} e^{356} .
\end{align*}
$$

Now we denote by $G_{\phi}$ the matrix associated to the inner product on $\mathfrak{n}_{7}$ induced by the 3 -form $\phi$ given by (4.9). Then, the condition $g_{\phi}=\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{\mathbf{n}_{7}}$ implies (4.6) is satisfied for some coefficients $\rho_{i, j, k}$. From equations $S_{1,1}=S_{3,3}=S_{4,4}=S_{6,6}=0$ we have

$$
\rho_{1,2,3}=\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2 \rho_{3,4,7}^{3}}, \quad \rho_{1,4,5}=-\sqrt{2} \rho_{3,4,7}, \quad \rho_{1,6,7}=-\rho_{3,4,7}, \quad \text { and } \quad \rho_{2,4,6}=\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2 \rho_{3,4,7}^{3}} .
$$

Therefore $S_{5,5}=1$ and so $S \neq 0$ which is a contradiction with (4.6).
The metric Lie algebra $\mathfrak{n}_{8}$ with structure equations Let the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{n}_{8}$ be described by the structure equations

$$
\mathfrak{n}_{8}=\left(0,0, e^{12},-e^{13},-e^{23}, e^{15}+e^{24},-e^{16}-e^{34}\right),
$$

and let $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{\mathfrak{n}_{8}}$ be the inner product on $\mathfrak{n}_{8}$ such that $\left\{e^{1}, \ldots, e^{7}\right\}$ is orthonormal. Then, $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{\mathbf{n}_{8}}=\sum_{i=1}^{7}\left(e^{i}\right)^{2}$ is a nilsoliton because its Ricci tensor

$$
\text { Ric }=\operatorname{diag}\left(-2,-\frac{3}{2},-1,-\frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{1}{2}, 1\right)
$$

satisfies (4.2), for $\lambda=-\frac{5}{2}$ and

$$
D=\operatorname{diag}\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1, \frac{3}{2}, 2, \frac{5}{2}, 3, \frac{7}{2}\right) .
$$

The nilsoliton inner product is unique (up to isometry and scaling) therefore it suffices to prove that there is no closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form on $\mathfrak{n}_{8}$ inducing such an inner product. A generic closed 3 -form $\gamma$ on $\mathfrak{n}_{8}$ has the following expression

$$
\begin{aligned}
\gamma= & \rho_{1,2,3} e^{123}+\rho_{1,2,4} e^{124}+\rho_{1,2,5} e^{125}+\rho_{1,2,6} e^{126}+\rho_{1,2,7} e^{127}+\rho_{1,3,4} e^{134}+\rho_{1,3,5} e^{135} \\
& +\rho_{1,3,6} e^{136}+\rho_{1,3,7} e^{137}+\left(-\rho_{1,2,7}-\rho_{1,3,6}\right) e^{145}+\rho_{1,4,6} e^{146}+\rho_{1,4,7} e^{147}+\rho_{1,5,6} e^{156} \\
& +\rho_{1,5,7} e^{157}+\rho_{2,3,4} e^{234}+\rho_{2,3,5} e^{235}+\rho_{2,3,6} e^{236}+\rho_{2,3,7} e^{237}+\rho_{2,3,6} e^{245} \\
& +\left(\rho_{1,5,6}-\rho_{2,3,7}\right) e^{246}+\rho_{1,5,7} e^{247}+\rho_{2,5,6} e^{256}+\rho_{2,6,7} e^{267}+\left(\rho_{2,3,7}-2 \rho_{1,5,6}\right) e^{345} \\
& +\rho_{1,5,7} e^{346}-\rho_{2,6,7} e^{357}+\rho_{2,6,7} e^{456},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\rho_{i, j, k}$ are real numbers. Now, we show conditions on the coefficients $\rho_{i, j, k}$ so that $\phi=\gamma$ is a closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form such that $g_{\phi}=\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{\mathfrak{n}_{8}}$. We apply the result previously mentioned [115, Proposition 4.5] for $X=e_{i}(1 \leq i \leq 7)$ and so $\eta=e^{i}$ by the condition $g_{\phi}=\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{\mathfrak{n}_{8}}$. After solving the system of 42 equations we have that any closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form on $\mathfrak{n}_{8}$ satisfying (4.4) is expressed as follows

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi= & \rho_{1,2,3} e^{123}+\rho_{1,2,4} e^{124}+\rho_{1,2,5} e^{125}+\rho_{1,2,6} e^{126}+\rho_{1,3,5} e^{135}+\rho_{1,3,6} e^{136}-\rho_{1,3,6} e^{145} \\
& +\rho_{2,3,4} e^{234}+\rho_{2,3,5} e^{235}+\rho_{2,3,6} e^{236}+\rho_{2,3,6} e^{245}+\rho_{2,5,6} e^{256} \tag{4.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Now denote by $G_{\phi}$ the matrix associated to the inner product on $\mathfrak{n}_{8}$ induced by the 3 -form $\phi$ given by (4.10). Then $G_{\phi}=0$ obtaining a contradiction with (4.6).

It only remains to study the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{n}_{11}$. According to Theorem 4.2.1, $\mathfrak{n}_{11}$ is defined by the structure equations

$$
\mathfrak{n}_{11}=\left(0,0, f^{12}, 0, f^{13}, f^{24}+f^{23}, f^{25}+f^{34}+f^{15}+f^{16}-3 f^{26}\right) .
$$

We consider the new basis $\left\{e^{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{7}$ of $\mathfrak{n}_{11}^{*}$ with

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\{e^{1}\right. & =f^{2}, e^{2}=-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{3} f^{1}, e^{3}=\frac{\sqrt{39}}{39} f^{3}+\frac{\sqrt{39}}{78} f^{4}, e^{4}=-\frac{\sqrt{78}}{78} f^{4}, \\
e^{5} & \left.=\frac{\sqrt{3}}{39} f^{6}, e^{6}=-\frac{1}{3} f^{5}, e^{7}=-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{1014} f^{7}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{n}_{11}$ can also be described by the structure equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{n}_{11}= & \left(0,0, \frac{\sqrt{13}}{13} e^{12}, 0, \frac{\sqrt{13}}{13} e^{13}-\frac{\sqrt{26}}{26} e^{14}, \frac{\sqrt{26}}{26} e^{24}+\frac{\sqrt{13}}{13} e^{23},\right. \\
& \left.\frac{\sqrt{13}}{26} e^{25}+\frac{\sqrt{26}}{26} e^{34}+\frac{\sqrt{39}}{26} e^{15}+\frac{\sqrt{13}}{26} e^{16}-\frac{\sqrt{39}}{26} e^{26}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{\mathfrak{n}_{11}}$ be the inner product on $\mathfrak{n}_{11}$ such that $\left\{e^{1}, \ldots, e^{7}\right\}$ is orthonormal. Then, $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{\mathfrak{n}_{11}}=\sum_{i=1}^{7}\left(e^{i}\right)^{2}$ is a nilsoliton because its Ricci tensor

$$
\operatorname{Ric}=\frac{1}{52} \operatorname{diag}(-7,-7,-3,-3,1,1,5)
$$

satisfies Ric $=-\frac{11}{52} I_{7}+D$, where $D$ is the derivation of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{n}_{11}$ given by

$$
D=\frac{1}{13} \operatorname{diag}(1,1,2,2,3,3,4) .
$$

It suffices to prove that there is no closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form on $\mathfrak{n}_{11}$ inducing such an inner product. Lets suppose that $\mathfrak{n}_{11}$ has a closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form $\phi$ such that $g_{\phi}=\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{\mathfrak{n}_{11}}$. A generic closed 3 -form $\gamma$ on $\mathfrak{n}_{11}$ has the following expression

$$
\begin{aligned}
\gamma= & \rho_{1,2,3} e^{123}+\rho_{1,2,4} e^{124}+\rho_{1,2,5} e^{125}+\rho_{1,2,6} e^{126}+\rho_{1,2,7} e^{127}+\rho_{1,3,4} e^{134} \\
& +\rho_{1,3,5} e^{135}+\rho_{1,3,6} e^{136}+\rho_{1,3,7} e^{137}+\rho_{1,4,5} e^{145}+\rho_{1,4,6} e^{146}+\rho_{1,4,7} e^{147} \\
& +\rho_{1,5,6} e^{156}-\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \rho_{3,4,7} e^{157}+\frac{\rho_{3,4,7} e^{167}}{\sqrt{2}}+\rho_{2,3,4} e^{234}+\rho_{2,3,5} e^{235}+\rho_{2,3,6} e^{236} \\
& +\left(\frac{\rho_{1,3,7}}{\sqrt{3}}-\frac{2 \rho_{1,5,6}}{\sqrt{3}}\right) e^{237}+\left(\frac{\rho_{1,2,7}}{\sqrt{2}}-\frac{\rho_{1,3,6}}{\sqrt{2}}+\rho_{1,4,6}-\frac{\rho_{2,3,5}}{\sqrt{2}}\right) e^{245}+\rho_{2,4,6} e^{246} \\
& +\rho_{2,4,7} e^{247}+\left(\frac{\rho_{1,5,6}}{\sqrt{3}}-\frac{2 \rho_{1,3,7}}{\sqrt{3}}\right) e^{256}+\frac{\rho_{3,4,7} e^{257}}{\sqrt{2}}+\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \rho_{3,4,7} e^{267} \\
& +\left(\frac{1}{2} \rho_{1,4,7}-\frac{\rho_{1,5,6}}{\sqrt{2}}-\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{3} \rho_{2,4,7}\right) e^{345} \\
& +\left(-\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \rho_{1,3,7}-\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{3} \rho_{1,4,7}+\frac{\rho_{1,5,6}}{\sqrt{6}}-\frac{1}{2} \rho_{2,4,7}\right) e^{346}+\rho_{3,4,7} e^{347}+\sqrt{2} \rho_{3,4,7} e^{356}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\rho_{i, j, k}$ are arbitrary real numbers.
Now, we show conditions on the coefficients $\rho_{i, j, k}$ so that $\phi=\gamma$ is a closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form such that $g_{\phi}=\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{\mathfrak{n}_{11}}$. We apply the result of [115, Proposition 4.5] for $X=e_{i}(1 \leq i \leq 7)$ and so $\eta=e^{i}$ by the condition $g_{\phi}=\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{\mathfrak{n}_{11}}$. After solving the system of 42 equations we have that any closed $G_{2}$ form on $\mathfrak{n}_{11}$ satisfying (4.4) is expressed as follows

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi= & \rho_{1,2,3} e^{123}-\rho_{2,4,6} e^{145}-\sqrt{3} \rho_{2,4,6} e^{167}-\frac{\sqrt{6}}{2} \rho_{3,4,7} e^{157}+\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \rho_{3,4,7} e^{167}-\sqrt{3} \rho_{2,4,6} e^{245} \\
& +\rho_{2,4,6} e^{246}+\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \rho_{3,4,7} e^{257}+\frac{\sqrt{6}}{2} \rho_{3,4,7} e^{267}+\rho_{3,4,7} e^{347}+\sqrt{2} \rho_{3,4,7} e^{356} . \tag{4.11}
\end{align*}
$$

As before denote by $G_{\phi}$ the matrix associated to the inner product induced by the 3 -form $\phi$ given by (4.11). Then, the condition $g_{\phi}=\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{\mathfrak{n}_{11}}$ implies (4.6), for some $\rho_{i, j, k}$. Equations $S_{6,6}=S_{7,7}=0$ imply that

$$
\rho_{2,4,6}=-\frac{1}{2} \rho_{3,4,7}, \quad \text { and } \rho_{3,4,7}=2^{-1 / 3}
$$

Therefore, $S_{4,4}=-\frac{1}{2}$ and so $S \neq 0$ which contradicts (4.6).

Remark 4.2.4. Note that the 4 -step nilpotent Lie algebra $\mathfrak{n}_{10}$ is isomorphic to the Lie algebra $1.3(i)[\lambda=1]$ in the classification given in [49] and the existence of the nilsoliton was shown in [47, Example 2]. Since an explicit expression of the nilsoliton is not known, we cannot apply the argument used in the proof of Proposition 4.2.3. Thus, it remains open the question of whether the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{n}_{10}$ admits a closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form inducing a nilsoliton or not. Moreover, the explicit expression of the nilsolitons for $\mathfrak{n}_{11}$ and $\mathfrak{n}_{12}$ have been already determined in [49] (see there page 20, Remark 3.5), but our basis is different for the nilsoliton on the other Lie algebras.

### 4.3 Nilsoliton metrics determined by closed $G_{2}$ forms

In this section we prove that, up to isomorphism, there are only four (non-Abelian) $s$-step nilpotent Lie groups $(s=2,3)$ with a nilsoliton metric determined by a left invariant closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form.

Theorem 4.3.1. Up to isomorphism, $\mathfrak{n}_{2}, \mathfrak{n}_{4}, \mathfrak{n}_{6}$ and $\mathfrak{n}_{12}$ are the unique s-step nilpotent Lie algebras $(s=2,3)$ with a nilsoliton inner product determined by a closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structure.

Proof. We will show that the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{n}_{i}(i=2,4,6,12)$ has a closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form, namely, $\varphi_{i}$ such that the Ricci tensor of the inner product $g_{\varphi_{i}}$ satisfies (4.2), for some derivation $D$ of $\mathfrak{n}_{i}$ and some real number $\lambda$.

For the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{n}_{2}$ we consider the closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form $\varphi_{2}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{2}=e^{147}+e^{267}+e^{357}+e^{123}+e^{156}+e^{245}-e^{346} \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The inner product $g_{\varphi_{2}}$ is the one making orthonormal the basis $\left\{e^{1}, \ldots, e^{7}\right\}$, and it is a nilsoliton since Ric $=-2 I_{7}+D$, where

$$
D=\operatorname{diag}\left(1, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, 2, \frac{5}{2}, \frac{5}{2}, 2\right)
$$

is a derivation of $\mathfrak{n}_{2}$.
On the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{n}_{4}$, we define the $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form $\varphi_{4}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{4}=-e^{124}-e^{456}+e^{347}+e^{135}+e^{167}+e^{257}-e^{236} \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, $\varphi_{4}$ is closed and the inner product $g_{\varphi_{4}}$ makes the basis $\left\{e^{1}, \ldots, e^{7}\right\}$ orthonormal. Therefore, $g_{\varphi_{4}}$ is a nilsoliton since Ric $=-\frac{5}{2} I_{7}+D$, where $D$ is the derivation of $\mathfrak{n}_{4}$ given by

$$
D=\operatorname{diag}\left(1, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{5}{2}, 2,2, \frac{7}{2}, 3\right)
$$

For the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{n}_{6}$ we consider the closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structure defined by the 3 -form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{6}=e^{123}+e^{145}+e^{167}+e^{257}-e^{246}+e^{347}+e^{356} \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, the inner product $g_{\varphi_{6}}$ is such that the basis $\left\{e^{1}, \ldots, e^{7}\right\}$ is orthonormal and it is a nilsoliton since Ric $=-\frac{5}{2} I_{7}+D$, where $D$ is the derivation of $\mathfrak{n}_{6}$ given by

$$
D=\operatorname{diag}\left(\frac{1}{2}, 2,2, \frac{5}{2}, \frac{5}{2}, 3,3\right)
$$

Theorem 4.2.1 implies that the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{n}_{12}$ is defined by the equations

$$
\mathfrak{n}_{12}=\left(0,0,0, h^{12}, h^{23},-h^{13}, 2 h^{26}-2 h^{34}-2 h^{16}+2 h^{25}\right) .
$$

If we consider the basis $\left\{e^{1}, \ldots, e^{7}\right\}$ of $\mathfrak{n}_{12}^{*}$ given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\{e^{1}\right. & =\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} h^{2}, e^{2}=h^{1}-\frac{1}{2} h^{2}, e^{3}=h^{3}, e^{4}=-\frac{1}{4} h^{4}, e^{5}=\frac{1}{4} h^{5}+\frac{1}{4} h^{6} \\
e^{6} & \left.=-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{12} h^{5}+\frac{\sqrt{3}}{12} h^{6}, e^{7}=-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{48} h^{7}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

then, $\mathfrak{n}_{12}$ is described as follows

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathfrak{n}_{12}= & \left(0,0,0, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{6} e^{12},-\frac{1}{4} e^{23}+\frac{\sqrt{3}}{12} e^{13},-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{12} e^{23}-\frac{1}{4} e^{13},\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{6} e^{34}+\frac{\sqrt{3}}{12} e^{25}+\frac{1}{4} e^{26}+\frac{\sqrt{3}}{12} e^{16}-\frac{1}{4} e^{15}\right) . \tag{4.15}
\end{align*}
$$

We define the $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form $\varphi_{12}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{12}=-e^{124}+e^{135}+e^{167}-e^{236}+e^{257}+e^{347}-e^{456} \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly $\varphi_{12}$ is closed. Moreover, it defines the inner product $g_{\varphi_{12}}$ which makes the basis $\left\{e^{1}, \ldots, e^{7}\right\}$ orthonormal, and thus $g_{\varphi_{12}}$ is a nilsoliton since Ric $=-\frac{1}{4} I_{7}+\frac{1}{8} D$, where $D$ is the derivation of $\mathfrak{n}_{12}$ given by

$$
D=\operatorname{diag}(1,1,1,2,2,2,3)
$$

### 4.4 Solutions of Laplacian flow with long time existence

Let us consider the nilpotent Lie algebra $\mathfrak{n}_{i}(i=2,4,6)$ defined in Theorem4.2.1, and the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{n}_{12}$ defined by (4.15). Let $N_{i}$ be the simply connected nilpotent Lie group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{n}_{i}$ and closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form $\varphi_{i}(i=2,4,6,12)$ given by (4.12), (4.13), (4.14) and (4.16), for $i=2,4,6$ and 12 , respectively. By Mal'cev theorem [102], we know that $N_{i}$ has a discrete subgroup $\Gamma_{i} \subset N_{i}$ such that the quotient space

$$
M_{i}=\Gamma_{i} \backslash N_{i}
$$

is a compact manifold. Since $\varphi_{i}(i=2,4,6,12)$ is a left invariant closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form on $N_{i}$, it descends to a closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form $\varphi_{i}$ on $M_{i}$.

The purpose of this section is to prove long time existence and uniqueness of solution for the Laplacian flow of $\varphi_{i}$ on $M_{i}$. Moreover, we show that the underlying metrics $g(t)$ of this solution converge smoothly, up to pull-back by time-dependent diffeomorphisms, to a flat metric, uniformly on compact sets in $N_{i}$, as $t$ goes to infinity.

Let $M$ be a 7 -dimensional manifold with an arbitrary $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form $\varphi$. The Laplacian flow of $\varphi$, introduced by Bryant in [24], is given by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{d}{d t} \varphi(t)=\Delta_{t} \varphi(t), \\
\varphi(0)=\varphi_{0},
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\Delta_{t}$ is the Hodge Laplacian of the metric $g_{t}$ determined by the $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form $\varphi(t)$.
In general, the expression of the Laplacian of a $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form $\varphi(t)$ is described in terms of the torsion forms as:

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta_{t} \varphi(t)= & \tau_{0}(t)^{2} \varphi(t)+3 \tau_{0}(t) *\left(\tau_{1}(t) \wedge \varphi(t)\right)+\tau_{0}(t) \tau_{3}(t)+3 * d *\left(\tau_{1}(t) \wedge \varphi(t)\right) \\
& +* d \tau_{3}(t)-4 d\left(*\left(\tau_{1}(t) \wedge * \varphi(t)\right)\right)+d \tau_{2}(t), \tag{4.17}
\end{align*}
$$

with $\tau_{0}(t) \in \Omega^{0}(M), \tau_{1}(t) \in \Omega^{1}(M), \tau_{2}(t) \in \Omega_{14}^{2}(M)$ and $\tau_{3}(t) \in \Omega_{27}^{3}(M)$.

Therefore, the behavior of the solution of the Laplacian flow is very different for the different types of $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structures. For example, the stable solutions of the Laplacian flow, that is, $\frac{d}{d t} \varphi(t)=0$ are given by the $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ manifolds $(M, g)$ such that $\operatorname{Hol}(M) \subseteq \mathrm{G}_{2}$.

The study of the Laplacian flow of a closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form $\varphi_{0}$ on a manifold $M$ consists to study long time existence, convergence and formation of singularities for the system of differential equations

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{d}{d t} \varphi(t)=\Delta_{t} \varphi(t)  \tag{4.18}\\
d \varphi(t)=0 \\
\varphi(0)=\varphi
\end{array}\right.
$$

By Stoke's theorem, the two first equations of the system (4.18) imply that all the $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ forms $\varphi(t)$ are cohomologous for any $t$.

In the case of closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ forms on compact manifolds, Bryant and Xu [27] gave a result of short time existence and uniqueness of solution.

Theorem 4.4.1 [27]. If $M$ is compact, then (4.18) has a unique solution for a short time $0 \leq t<\epsilon$, with $\epsilon$ depending on $\varphi_{0}=\varphi(0)$.

Therefore if the initial 3 -form $\varphi_{0}$ is closed, taking 4.17) into account, the Laplacian flow is described by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{d}{d t} \varphi(t)=d \tau_{2}(t), \\
\varphi(0)=\varphi_{0}
\end{array}\right.
$$

In the following theorem we determine a global solution of Laplacian flow of the closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form $\varphi_{2}$ on $M_{2}$.

Theorem 4.4.2. The family of closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ forms $\varphi_{2}(t)$ on $M_{2}$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{2}(t)=e^{147}+e^{267}+e^{357}+f(t)^{3} e^{123}+e^{156}+e^{245}-e^{346}, \quad t \in\left(-\frac{3}{10},+\infty\right) \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the solution of the Laplacian flow (4.18) of $\varphi_{2}$, where $f=f(t)$ is the function

$$
f(t)=\left(\frac{10}{3} t+1\right)^{\frac{1}{5}}
$$

Moreover, the underlying metrics $g(t)$ of this solution converge smoothly, up to pullback by time-dependent diffeomorphisms, to a flat metric, uniformly on compact sets in $M_{2}$, as $t$ goes to infinity.

Proof. First we study the Laplacian flow of $\varphi_{2}$ on $N_{2}$. Let $f_{i}=f_{i}(t)(i=1, \ldots, 7)$ be some differentiable real functions depending on a parameter $t \in I \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that $f_{i}(0)=1$ and $f_{i}(t) \neq 0$, for any $t \in I$, where $I$ is a real open interval. For each $t \in I$, we consider the basis $\left\{x^{1}, \ldots, x^{7}\right\}$ of left invariant 1 -forms on $N_{2}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{i}=x^{i}(t)=f_{i}(t) e^{i}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq 7 . \tag{4.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

From now on we write $f_{i j}=f_{i j}(t)=f_{i}(t) f_{j}(t), f_{i j k}=f_{i j k}(t)=f_{i}(t) f_{j}(t) f_{k}(t)$, and so forth. Then, the structure equations of $N_{2}$ with respect to this basis are

$$
\begin{equation*}
d x^{i}=0, \quad i=1,2,3,4,7, \quad d x^{5}=\frac{f_{5}}{f_{12}} x^{12}, \quad d x^{6}=\frac{f_{6}}{f_{13}} x^{13} \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, for any $t \in I$, we consider the $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form $\varphi_{2}(t)$ on $N_{2}$ given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\varphi_{2}(t) & =x^{147}+x^{267}+x^{357}+x^{123}+x^{156}+x^{245}-x^{346} \\
& =f_{147} e^{147}+f_{267} e^{267}+f_{357} e^{357}+f_{123} e^{123}+f_{156} e^{156}+f_{245} e^{245}-f_{346} e^{346} \tag{4.22}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that $\varphi_{2}(0)=\varphi_{2}$ and, for any $t$, the 3 -form $\varphi_{2}(t)$ on $N_{2}$ determines the metric $g_{t}$ such that the basis $\left\{x_{i}=\frac{1}{f_{i}} e_{i} ; i=1, \ldots, 7\right\}$ of $\mathfrak{n}_{2}$ is orthonormal. So, $g(t)\left(e_{i}, e_{i}\right)=f_{i}{ }^{2}$.

Using (4.21), one can check that $d \varphi_{2}(t)=0$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{26}(t)=f_{35}(t) \tag{4.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $t$. Assuming $f_{i}(0)=1$ and (4.23), to solve the Laplacian flow (4.18) of $\varphi_{2}$, we need to determine the functions $f_{i}$ and the interval $I$ so that $\frac{d}{d t} \varphi_{2}(t)=\Delta_{t} \varphi_{2}(t)$, for $t \in I$. Using (4.22) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{d t} \varphi_{2}(t)= & \left(f_{147}\right)^{\prime} e^{147}+\left(f_{267}\right)^{\prime} e^{267}+\left(f_{357}\right)^{\prime} e^{357}+\left(f_{123}\right)^{\prime} e^{123}  \tag{4.24}\\
& +\left(f_{156}\right)^{\prime} e^{156}+\left(f_{245}\right)^{\prime} e^{245}-\left(f_{346}\right)^{\prime} e^{346}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, we calculate $\Delta_{t} \varphi_{2}(t)=-d *_{t} d *_{t} \varphi_{2}(t)$. On the one hand, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
*_{t} \varphi_{2}(t)=x^{2356}-x^{1345}-x^{1246}+x^{4567}+x^{2347}-x^{1367}+x^{1257} . \tag{4.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, $x^{4567}$ is the unique nonclosed summand in $*_{t} \varphi_{2}(t)$. Then, taking into account (4.23), we obtain

$$
d\left(*_{t} d *_{t} \varphi_{2}(t)\right)=\frac{f_{6}}{f_{13}}\left(-\frac{f_{6}}{f_{13}} x^{123}-\frac{f_{5}}{f_{12}} x^{123}\right)=-2\left(\frac{f_{6}}{f_{13}}\right)^{2} x^{123}
$$

Therefore, in terms of the forms $e^{i j k}$, the expression of $-d\left(*_{t} d *_{t} \varphi_{2}(t)\right)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
-d\left(*_{t} d *_{t} \varphi_{2}(t)\right)=2 f_{123}\left(\frac{f_{6}}{f_{13}}\right)^{2} e^{123}=2\left(\frac{f_{2}\left(f_{6}\right)^{2}}{f_{13}}\right) e^{123} \tag{4.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Comparing (4.24) and (4.26) we see that, in particular, $f_{156}(t)=1$, for any $t \in I$. Then, using (4.23), we have

$$
\frac{f_{2}\left(f_{6}\right)^{2}}{f_{13}}=\frac{1}{\left(f_{1}\right)^{2}} .
$$

This equality and (4.26) imply that $-d\left(*_{t} d *_{t} \varphi_{2}(t)\right)$ can be expressed as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
-d\left(*_{t} d *_{t} \varphi_{2}(t)\right)=2 \frac{1}{\left(f_{1}\right)^{2}} e^{123} \tag{4.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, from (4.24) and (4.27) we have that $\frac{d}{d t} \varphi_{2}(t)=\Delta_{t} \varphi_{2}(t)$ if and only if the functions $f_{i}(t)$ satisfy the following system of differential equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(f_{147}\right)^{\prime}=\left(f_{267}\right)^{\prime}=\left(f_{357}\right)^{\prime}=\left(f_{156}\right)^{\prime}=\left(f_{245}\right)^{\prime}=\left(f_{346}\right)^{\prime}=0, \\
& \left(f_{123}\right)^{\prime}=2 \frac{1}{\left(f_{1}\right)^{2}} . \tag{4.28}
\end{align*}
$$

Because $\varphi_{2}(0)=\varphi_{2}$, the equations in the first line of (4.28) imply

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{147}(t)=f_{267}(t)=f_{357}(t)=f_{156}(t)=f_{245}(t)=f_{346}(t)=1, \tag{4.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $t \in I$. From the equations (4.29) we obtain

$$
f_{1}^{2}=f_{2}^{2}=f_{3}^{2}
$$

Let us consider $f=f_{1}=f_{2}=f_{3}$. Using again (4.29) we have

$$
f_{i}(t)=(f(t))^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad i=4,5,6,7
$$

Now, the last equation of (4.28) implies that $f^{4} f^{\prime}=\frac{2}{3}$. Integrating this equation, we obtain

$$
f^{5}=\frac{10}{3} t+B, \quad B=\text { constant } .
$$

But $\varphi_{2}(0)=\varphi_{2}$ implies $f^{3}(0)=f_{123}(0)=1$, that is, $B=1$. Hence,

$$
f(t)=\left(\frac{10}{3} t+1\right)^{\frac{1}{5}}
$$

and so the one parameter family of 3-forms $\left\{\varphi_{2}(t)\right\}$ given by (4.19) is the solution of the Laplacian flow of $\varphi_{2}$ on $N_{2}$, and it is defined for every $t \in\left(-\frac{3}{10},+\infty\right)$.

Moreover, $\left\{\varphi_{2}(t)\right\}$ is also the solution of the Laplacian flow of $\varphi_{2}$ on $M_{2}$ since, for any $t \in\left(-\frac{3}{10},+\infty\right), \varphi_{2}(t)$ is a left invariant closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form on $N_{2}$.

To complete the proof, we study the behavior of the underlying metric $g(t)$ of such a solution in the limit for $t \rightarrow+\infty$. Indeed, if we think of the Laplacian flow as a one parameter family of $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ manifolds with a closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structure, it can be checked that, in the limit, the resulting manifold has vanishing curvature. For every $t \in\left(-\frac{3}{10},+\infty\right)$, denote by $g(t)$ the metric on $M_{2}$ induced by the $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form $\varphi_{2}(t)$ given by (4.19). Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
g(t)= & \left(\frac{10}{3} t+1\right)^{2 / 5} e^{1} \otimes e^{1}+\left(\frac{10}{3} t+1\right)^{2 / 5} e^{2} \otimes e^{2}+\left(\frac{10}{3} t+1\right)^{2 / 5} e^{3} \otimes e^{3} \\
& +\left(\frac{10}{3} t+1\right)^{-1 / 5} e^{4} \otimes e^{4}+\left(\frac{10}{3} t+1\right)^{-1 / 5} e^{5} \otimes e^{5}+\left(\frac{10}{3} t+1\right)^{-1 / 5} e^{6} \otimes e^{6} \\
& +\left(\frac{10}{3} t+1\right)^{-1 / 5} e^{7} \otimes e^{7} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Concretely, taking into account the symmetry properties of the Riemannian curvature $R(t)$ we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{1212}=R_{1313}=-\frac{3}{4\left(1+\frac{10}{3} t\right)} \\
& R_{1515}=R_{1616}=R_{3636}=R_{2525}=\frac{1}{4\left(1+\frac{10}{3} t\right)} \\
& R_{2356}=-\frac{1}{4\left(1+\frac{10}{3} t\right)}, \quad R_{i j k l}=0 \quad \text { otherwise },
\end{aligned}
$$

where $R_{i j k l}=R(t)\left(e_{i}, e_{j}, e_{k}, e_{l}\right)$. Therefore, $\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} R(t)=0$.

Remark 4.4.3. Note that, for every $t \in\left(-\frac{3}{10},+\infty\right)$, the metric $g(t)$ is a nilsoliton on the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{n}_{2}$ of $N_{2}$ isometric to $g(0)$. In fact, taking into account (4.20) and (4.22), it is sufficient to consider the isometry $F_{t}:\left(\mathfrak{n}_{2}, g(t)\right) \longrightarrow\left(\mathfrak{n}_{2}, g(0)\right)$ such that, at the level of the dual space $\mathfrak{n}_{2}^{*}$ of $\mathfrak{n}_{2}$, it is given by $F_{t}^{*}\left(e^{i}\right)=x^{i}(t)$, that is,

$$
\begin{array}{lrl}
F_{t}^{*}\left(e^{i}\right)=\left(\frac{10}{3} t+1\right)^{1 / 5} e^{i} & \text { if } i=1,2,3 \text { and } \\
F_{t}^{*}\left(e^{i}\right)=\left(\frac{10}{3} t+1\right)^{-1 / 10} e^{i} & \text { if } i=4,5,6,7
\end{array}
$$

Then, with respect to the orthonormal basis $\left(x_{1}(t), \ldots, x_{7}(t)\right)$ dual to $\left(x^{1}(t), \ldots, x^{7}(t)\right)$, we have
$\operatorname{Ric}(g(t))=-\frac{6}{(3+10 t)} \operatorname{Id}+\frac{3}{(3+10 t)} \operatorname{diag}\left(1, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, 2, \frac{5}{2}, \frac{5}{2}, 2\right)=\frac{3}{(3+10 t)} \operatorname{Ric}(g(0))$,
where $\frac{3}{(3+10 t)} \operatorname{diag}\left(1, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, 2, \frac{5}{2}, \frac{5}{2}, 2\right)$ is a derivation of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{n}_{2}$ of $N_{2}$, for every $t$. Moreover, the Ricci tensor Ric $(g(t))$ of $g(t)$ is expressed as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Ric}(g(t))= & -\left(\frac{10}{3} t+1\right)^{-3 / 5} e^{1} \otimes e^{1}-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{10}{3} t+1\right)^{-3 / 5} e^{2} \otimes e^{2} \\
& -\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{10}{3} t+1\right)^{-3 / 5} e^{3} \otimes e^{3}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{10}{3} t+1\right)^{-6 / 5} e^{5} \otimes e^{5} \\
& +\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{10}{3} t+1\right)^{-6 / 5} e^{6} \otimes e^{6} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 4.4.4. Note that the limit can be also computed fixing the $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structure and changing the Lie bracket as in [95]. We evolve the Lie brackets $\mu(t)$ instead of the 3-form defining the $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structure and we can show that the corresponding bracket flow has a solution for every $t$. Indeed, if we fix on $\mathbb{R}^{7}$ the 3 -form

$$
x^{147}+x^{267}+x^{357}+x^{123}+x^{156}+x^{245}-x^{346},
$$

the basis $\left(x_{1}(t), \ldots, x_{7}(t)\right)$ defines, for every positive $t$, a nilpotent Lie algebra with bracket $\mu(t)$ such that $\mu(0)$ is the Lie bracket of $\mathfrak{n}_{2}$. Moreover, the solution converges to the null bracket corresponding to the Abelian Lie algebra.

In the following theorem we show a long time existence of solution for the Laplacian flow (4.18) of the closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form $\varphi_{4}$ on the compact manifold $M_{4}$.

Theorem 4.4.5. There exists a solution, $\varphi(t)$ of the Laplacian flow of $\varphi(0)=\varphi_{4}$ with

$$
\varphi_{4}=-e^{124}-e^{456}+e^{347}+e^{135}+e^{167}+e^{257}-e^{236}
$$

on $M_{4}$ defined in the interval $I=\left(t_{\min },+\infty\right)$, where $t_{\text {min }}$ is the negative real number given by the elliptic integral

$$
t_{\min }=-\frac{3}{2} \int_{0}^{1} x^{3 / 2}\left(2-x^{3}\right)^{-5 / 2} d x .
$$

Moreover, the underlying metrics $g(t)$ of this solution converge smoothly, up to pullback by time-dependent diffeomorphisms, to a flat metric, uniformly on compact sets in $M_{4}$, as $t$ goes to infinity.

Proof. We study now the Laplacian flow of $\varphi_{4}$ on $N_{4}$. Consider some differentiable real functions $f_{i}=f_{i}(t)(i=1, \ldots, 7)$ and $h_{j}=h_{j}(t)(j=1,2,3)$ depending on a parameter $t \in I \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that $f_{i}(0)=1, h_{j}(0)=0$ and $f_{i}(t) \neq 0$, for any $t \in I$ and for any $i$ and $j$. For each $t \in I$, we consider the basis $\left\{x^{1}, \ldots, x^{7}\right\}$ of left invariant 1-forms on $N_{4}$ defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
x^{i}=x^{i}(t)=f_{i}(t) e^{i}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq 4, & x^{5}=x^{5}(t)=f_{5}(t) e^{5}+h_{1}(t) e^{1}, \\
x^{6}=x^{6}(t)=f_{6}(t) e^{6}+h_{2}(t) e^{2}, & x^{7}=x^{7}(t)=f_{7}(t) e^{7}+h_{3}(t) e^{4} .
\end{aligned}
$$

From now on we write $f_{i j}=f_{i j}(t)=f_{i}(t) f_{j}(t)$ and $f_{i j k}=f_{i j k}(t)=f_{i}(t) f_{j}(t) f_{k}(t)$.
The structure equations of $N_{4}$ with respect to this basis are

$$
\begin{align*}
d x^{i}=0, \quad i=1,2,4,5, \quad d x^{3}=\frac{f_{3}}{f_{12}} x^{12},  \tag{4.30}\\
d x^{6}=\frac{f_{6}}{f_{13}} x^{13}+\frac{f_{6}}{f_{24}} x^{24}, \quad \text { and } \quad d x^{7}=\frac{f_{7}}{f_{15}} x^{15} .
\end{align*}
$$

For any $t \in I$, we define the $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form $\varphi(t)$ on $N_{4}$ by

$$
\begin{align*}
\varphi(t)= & -x^{124}-x^{456}+x^{347}+x^{135}+x^{167}+x^{257}-x^{236} \\
= & \left(-f_{124}-f_{4} h_{12}-f_{2} h_{13}+f_{1} h_{23}\right) e^{124}-f_{456} e^{456}+f_{347} e^{347} \\
& +f_{135} e^{135}+f_{167} e^{167}+f_{257} e^{257}-f_{236} e^{236}+\left(f_{46} h_{1}-f_{16} h_{3}\right) e^{146}  \tag{4.31}\\
& -\left(f_{45} h_{2}+f_{25} h_{3}\right) e^{245}+\left(-f_{27} h_{1}+f_{17} h_{2}\right) e^{127} .
\end{align*}
$$

Clearly $\varphi(0)=\varphi_{4}$ since $f_{i}(0)=1$ and $h_{j}(0)=0$. Moreover, using 4.30) and (4.31), one can check that $d \varphi(t)=0$ if and only if

$$
f_{16}(t)=f_{34}(t), \quad f_{37}(t)=f_{56}(t)
$$

for any $t$.
To study the Laplacian flow (4.18) of $\varphi_{4}$, we need to determine the functions $f_{i}, h_{j}$ and the interval $I$ so that $\frac{d}{d t} \varphi(t)=\Delta_{t} \varphi(t)$, for $t \in I$. On the one hand, using (4.31) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{d t} \varphi(t)= & \left(-f_{124}-f_{4} h_{12}-f_{2} h_{13}+f_{1} h_{23}\right)^{\prime} e^{124}-\left(f_{456}\right)^{\prime} e^{456}+\left(f_{347}\right)^{\prime} e^{347} \\
& +\left(f_{135}\right)^{\prime} e^{135}+\left(f_{167}\right)^{\prime} e^{167}+\left(f_{257}\right)^{\prime} e^{257}-\left(f_{236}\right)^{\prime} e^{236} \\
& +\left(f_{46} h_{1}-f_{16} h_{3}\right)^{\prime} e^{146}-\left(f_{45} h_{2}+f_{25} h_{3}\right)^{\prime} e^{245}+\left(-f_{27} h_{1}+f_{17} h_{2}\right)^{\prime} e^{127} \tag{4.32}
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand,

$$
*_{\varphi(t)} \varphi(t)=x^{3567}+x^{1237}+x^{1256}-x^{2467}+x^{2345}+x^{1457}+x^{1346} .
$$

So, $x^{3567}$ and $x^{2467}$ are the nonclosed summands in $*_{\varphi(t)} \varphi(t)$.
Then, for $\Delta_{t} \varphi(t)=-d *_{\varphi(t)} d *_{\varphi(t)} \varphi(t)$ we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta_{t} \varphi(t)= & -\left(f_{124}\left(\frac{f_{3}^{2}}{f_{1}^{2} f_{2}^{2}}+\frac{f_{6}^{2}}{f_{2}^{2} f_{4}^{2}}\right)-\frac{f_{37} h_{3}}{f_{15}}-\frac{f_{6}^{2} h_{1}}{f_{13}}\right) e^{124}  \tag{4.33}\\
& +f_{135}\left(\frac{f_{2}^{2}}{f_{1}^{2} f_{3}^{2}}+\frac{f_{2}^{2}}{f_{1}^{2} f_{5}^{2}}\right) e^{135}+\frac{f_{5} f_{f}^{2}}{f_{13}} e^{245}+\frac{f_{3} f_{7}^{2}}{f_{1} f_{5}} e^{127} .
\end{align*}
$$

Comparing (4.32) and (4.33) we see that the functions $f_{i}, h_{1}$ and $h_{3}$ satisfy
$f_{167}(t)=f_{236}(t)=f_{257}(t)=f_{347}(t)=f_{456}(t)=1, \quad f_{46}(t) h_{1}(t)-f_{16}(t) h_{3}(t)=0$,
for any $t \in I$. But these equations are satisfied if

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{1}=f_{23}^{2}, \quad f_{4}=f_{2}, \quad f_{5}=f_{3}, \quad f_{6}=f_{7}=\frac{1}{f_{23}}, \quad h_{1}=f_{2} f_{3}^{2} h_{3} . \tag{4.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (4.34), we write (4.32) and (4.33) in terms of $f_{i}, h_{1}$ and $h_{3}$. Then, we see that $\frac{d}{d t} \varphi(t)=\Delta_{t} \varphi(t)$ if and only if

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{1}=u \cdot v, \quad f_{2}=f_{4}=v^{1 / 2}, \quad f_{3}=f_{5}=u^{1 / 2}, \quad f_{6}=f_{7}=(u v)^{-1 / 2} \\
& h_{1}=\frac{1}{2} u^{5 / 2} v-\frac{1}{2} u^{1 / 2}, \quad h_{2}=0, \quad h_{3}=\frac{1}{2} u^{3 / 2} v^{1 / 2}-\frac{1}{2}(u v)^{-1 / 2} \tag{4.35}
\end{align*}
$$

where $u=u(t)$ and $v=v(t)$ are differentiable real functions satisfying the system of ordinary differential equations

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u^{\prime}=+\frac{2}{3} \frac{2-u^{3}}{u^{3} v^{3}}  \tag{4.36}\\
v^{\prime}=-\frac{2}{3} \frac{1-2 u^{3}}{u^{4} v^{2}},
\end{array}\right.
$$

with initial conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(0)=v(0)=1 \tag{4.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us accept for the moment that (4.36)-4.37) has a solution $u=u(t), v=v(t)$ defined in $I=\left(t_{\text {min }},+\infty\right)$. Then, taking into account (4.31) and (4.35), the family of closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ forms $\varphi(t)$ solving (4.18) for $\varphi_{4}$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi(t)= & \frac{1}{4} e^{124}\left(-u^{4} v^{2}+2 u^{2} v-4 u v^{2}-1\right)+\frac{1}{2} e^{127}\left(u^{2} v-1\right)+u^{2} v e^{135} \\
& +e^{167}-e^{236}+\frac{1}{2} e^{245}\left(u^{2} v-1\right)+e^{257}+e^{347}-e^{456},
\end{aligned}
$$

for $t \in\left(t_{\min },+\infty\right)$. Moreover, $\{\varphi(t)\}$ is also the solution of the Laplacian flow of $\varphi_{4}$ on $M_{4}$ since, for any $t \in\left(t_{m i n},+\infty\right), \varphi(t)$ is a left invariant closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form on $N_{4}$.

The underlying metric $g(t)$ of this solution converges to a flat metric. To check that the corresponding manifold in the limit is flat, we note that all nonvanishing coefficients of the Riemannian curvature $R(t)$ of $g(t)$ are proportional to the function $2 u(t)-u^{4}(t)$.

Below (see Corollary 4.4.7) we show that the function $u(t)$ satisfies

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} u(t)=2^{1 / 3}
$$

and so

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} R(t)=0
$$

To study the system (4.36)-(4.37) we note that the first equation of (4.36) implies that $u^{\prime}>0$ since $u(0)=1$ and the functions $u=u(t), v=v(t)$ are both positive. Moreover, we note also that the functions at the second member of 4.36) are $C^{\infty}$ in the domain

$$
\Omega=\left\{(u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \mid 0<u<2^{1 / 3}, v>0\right\},
$$

in the phase plane. Then, for every point $\left(u_{0}, v_{0}\right) \in \Omega$, there exists a unique maximal solution $(u, v)$, which has $\left(u_{0}, v_{0}\right)$ as initial condition, and with existence domain a certain open interval $I$ such that either

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \inf I}\left(u(t)^{2}+v(t)^{2}\right)=+\infty
$$

or

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \inf I}(u(t), v(t)) \in \partial \Omega
$$

and either

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \sup I}\left(u(t)^{2}+v(t)^{2}\right)=+\infty
$$

or

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \sup I}(u(t), v(t)) \in \partial \Omega
$$

where $\partial \Omega$ denotes the boundary of $\Omega$.
To complete the proof of Theorem 4.4.5, we study the system 4.36-4.37) proving the two following results.

Proposition 4.4.6. The maximal solution $(u(t), v(t))$ of 4.36), satisfying the initial conditions (4.37), belongs to the trajectory of equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
v=\frac{1}{\sqrt{u\left(2-u^{3}\right)}} \tag{4.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. From 4.36) we obtain

$$
\frac{d v}{d u}=-\frac{v\left(1-2 u^{3}\right)}{u\left(2-u^{3}\right)}
$$

that is,

$$
\frac{d v}{v}=-\frac{1-2 u^{3}}{u\left(2-u^{3}\right)} d u .
$$

Integrating this equation and using (4.37), we have

$$
\log v=\log \left(u\left(2-u^{3}\right)^{-1 / 2}\right)
$$

Therefore,

$$
v=\frac{1}{\sqrt{u\left(2-u^{3}\right)}}
$$

As a consequence we have the following corollary, which completes the proof of Theorem 4.4.5.

Corollary 4.4.7. The maximal solution of 4.36)-(4.37),

$$
I \ni t \mapsto(u(t), v(t)) \in \Omega
$$

parametrizes the whole curve 4.38). Moreover, the maximal solution is defined in the interval

$$
I=\left(t_{\min },+\infty\right)
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{\min }=-\frac{3}{2} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{x^{3 / 2}}{\left(2-x^{3}\right)^{5 / 2}} d x \tag{4.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\left\{\begin{array} { l } 
{ \operatorname { l i m } _ { t \rightarrow t _ { \operatorname { m i n } } } u ( t ) = 0 , } \\
{ \operatorname { l i m } _ { t \rightarrow t _ { \text { min } } } v ( t ) = + \infty , }
\end{array} \quad \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} u(t)=2^{1 / 3} \\
\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} v(t)=+\infty
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$

Proof. Let $I=\left(t_{\min }, t_{\max }\right)$ the existence interval of the maximal solution $(u(t), v(t))$ of 4.36) satisfying the initial conditions 4.37). Using the previous proposition and the first equation of (4.36) we see that

$$
v(t)=\left(2 u(t)-u(t)^{4}\right)^{-1 / 2}, \quad u^{\prime}(t)=-\frac{2 u(t)^{3}-4}{3 u(t)^{3} v(t)^{3}}
$$

which imply

$$
u^{\prime}(t)=\frac{2}{3} \frac{\left(2-u(t)^{3}\right)^{\frac{5}{2}}}{u(t)^{\frac{3}{2}}}
$$

We define the functions $x(t)$ and $f(x)$ by

$$
x(t)=u(t), \quad f(x)=\frac{2}{3} \frac{\left(2-x^{3}\right)^{\frac{5}{2}}}{x^{\frac{3}{2}}} .
$$

In order to find $t_{\max }$, we can use that $\frac{d x}{d t}=f(x(t))$ or, equivalently,

$$
\frac{d x}{f(x)}=d t
$$

So, in particular, we have

$$
\frac{d t}{d x}=\frac{3}{2} x^{\frac{3}{2}}\left(2-x^{3}\right)^{-\frac{5}{2}}
$$

Note that the function $\frac{3}{2} x^{\frac{3}{2}}\left(2-x^{3}\right)^{-\frac{5}{2}}$ is increasing from 0 , for $x=0$, to $+\infty$, for $x=2^{\frac{1}{3}}$. Then, integrating $\frac{d x}{f(x)}=d t$ between $t_{\text {min }}$ and 0 , and using that $x\left(t_{\text {min }}\right)=0$ and $x(0)=1$, we have that $t_{\text {min }}$ is finite and equal to the real number

$$
t_{\min }=-\frac{3}{2} \int_{0}^{1} x^{3 / 2}\left(2-x^{3}\right)^{-5 / 2} d x
$$

Similarly, in order to find $t_{\max }$ we integrate again $\frac{d x}{f(x)}=d t$ between 0 and $t_{\max }$. Since $x\left(t_{\max }\right)=2^{\frac{1}{3}}$ we get

$$
t_{\max }=-\frac{3}{2} \int_{1}^{2^{\frac{1}{3}}} x^{\frac{3}{2}}\left(2-x^{3}\right)^{-\frac{5}{2}} d x
$$

which implies that $t_{\max }$ is $+\infty$ because this integral is not defined in $x=2^{\frac{1}{3}}$.
Concerning the Laplacian flow (4.18) of the closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form $\varphi_{6}$ on $M_{6}$ we have the following.

Theorem 4.4.8. There exists a solution, $\varphi(t)$ of the Laplacian flow of $\varphi(0)=\varphi_{6}$ with

$$
\varphi_{6}=e^{123}+e^{347}+e^{356}+e^{145}+e^{167}-e^{246}+e^{257}
$$

on $M_{6}$ defined in the interval $I=\left(t_{\min },+\infty\right)$, where $t_{\min }$ is the negative real number given by (4.39). Moreover, the underlying metrics $g(t)$ of this solution converge smoothly, up to pull-back by time-dependent diffeomorphisms, to a flat metric, uniformly on compact sets in $M_{6}$, as t goes to infinity.

Proof. Consider the Laplacian flow of $\varphi_{6}$ on $N_{6}$. We take differentiable real functions $f_{i}=f_{i}(t)(i=1, \ldots, 7)$ and $h_{j}=h_{j}(t)(j=1,2)$ depending on a parameter $t \in I \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that $f_{i}(0)=1, h_{j}(0)=0$ and $f_{i}(t) \neq 0$, for any $t \in I$ and for any $i$ and $j$. Now, for each $t \in I$, we consider the basis $\left\{x^{1}, \ldots, x^{7}\right\}$ of left invariant 1-forms on $N_{6}$ defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
x^{i} & =x^{i}(t) \\
x^{6} & =f_{i}(t) e^{i}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq 5 \\
x^{6}(t) & =f_{6}(t) e^{6}+h_{1}(t) e^{2} \\
x^{7} & =x^{7}(t)=f_{7}(t) e^{7}+h_{2}(t) e^{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For any $t \in I$, let $\varphi(t)$ the $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form on $N_{6}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(t)=x^{123}+x^{145}+x^{167}+x^{257}-x^{246}+x^{347}+x^{356} . \tag{4.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to study the flow (4.18) of $\varphi_{6}$, we proceed as in the proof of Theorem 4.4.5. We see that the forms $\varphi(t)$ defined by (4.40) are a solution of (4.18) if and only if the functions $f_{i}, h_{1}$ and $h_{2}$ satisfy

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{1}=u \cdot v, & f_{2}=f_{3}=v^{1 / 2}, \quad f_{4}=f_{5}=u^{1 / 2} \\
f_{6}=f_{7}=(u v)^{-1 / 2}, & h_{1}=h_{2}=-\frac{1}{2}(u v)^{-1 / 2}+\frac{1}{2} u^{3 / 2} v^{1 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $u=u(t)$ and $v=v(t)$ are differentiable real functions satisfying the system of ordinary differential equations

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u^{\prime}=\frac{2}{3} \frac{2-u^{3}}{u^{3} v^{3}}  \tag{4.41}\\
v^{\prime}=-\frac{2}{3} \frac{1-2 u^{3}}{u^{4} v^{2}}
\end{array}\right.
$$

with initial conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(0)=v(0)=1 \tag{4.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly, the systems (4.41)-(4.42) and (4.36)-(4.37) are the same. Thus, the maximal solution of (4.41)-(4.42) satisfies the properties expressed in Corollary 4.4.7 for the maximal solution of (4.36)-4.37). Moreover, $\{\varphi(t)\}$ is also the solution of the Laplacian flow of $\varphi_{6}$ on $M_{6}$ since, for any $t \in\left(t_{\text {min }},+\infty\right), \varphi(t)$ is a left invariant closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form on $N_{6}$.

To finish the proof we see that, for $t \in\left(t_{\min },+\infty\right)$, the expression of $\varphi(t)$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi(t)= & \frac{1}{4}\left(1+4 u v^{2}-2 u^{2} v+u^{4} v^{2}\right) e^{123}+e^{347}+e^{356}+e^{167}-e^{246}+e^{257} \\
& +u^{2} v e^{145}+\frac{1}{2}\left(1-u^{2} v\right)\left(e^{136}-e^{127}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The underlying metric $g(t)$ of this solution converges to a flat metric. To check that the limit metric is flat, we note that all non-vanishing coefficients of the Riemannian curvature $R(t)$ of $g(t)$ are proportional to the function

$$
u^{p}(t)\left(2-u^{3}(t)\right)^{q},
$$

where $p$ and $q$ are real numbers satisfying that $q>0$. According with Corollary 4.4.7), we have that the function $u(t)$ satisfies

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} u(t)=2^{1 / 3}
$$

and so

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} R(t)=0
$$

Remark 4.4.9. Note that surprising in the $N_{4}$ and $N_{6}$ cases we get the same system of equations.

Finally, for the Laplacian flow of the closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form $\varphi_{12}$ on $M_{12}$ we have the following.

Theorem 4.4.10. The family of closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ forms $\varphi(t)$ on $M_{12}$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(t)=-e^{124}+e^{167}+f(t)^{6} e^{135}-f(t)^{6} e^{236}+e^{257}+e^{347}-e^{456}, \quad t \in(-3,+\infty) \tag{4.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the solution of the Laplacian flow of $\varphi(0)=\varphi_{12}$, where $f=f(t)$ is the function

$$
f(t)=\left(\frac{1}{3} t+1\right)^{1 / 8}
$$

Moreover, the underlying metrics $g(t)$ of this solution converge smoothly, up to pullback by time-dependent diffeomorphisms, to a flat metric, uniformly on compact sets in $M_{12}$, as $t$ goes to infinity.
Proof. It is sufficient to prove that (4.43) solves the Laplacian flow of $\varphi_{12}$ on $N_{12}$. Let $f_{i}=f_{i}(t)(i=1, \ldots, 7)$ be some differentiable real functions depending on a parameter $t \in I \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that $f_{i}(0)=1$ and $f_{i}(t) \neq 0$, for any $t \in I$, where $I$ is an open interval. For each $t \in I$, we consider the basis $\left\{x^{1}, \ldots, x^{7}\right\}$ of left invariant 1-forms on $N_{12}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{i}=x^{i}(t)=f_{i}(t) e^{i}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq 7 \tag{4.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, from (4.15) the structure equations of $N_{12}$ with respect to this basis are

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
d x^{i}=0, \quad i=1,2,3, & d x^{4}= & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{6} \frac{f_{4}}{f_{12}} x^{12}, \\
d x^{5}=-\frac{1}{4} \frac{f_{5}}{f_{23}} x^{23}+\frac{\sqrt{3}}{12} \frac{f_{5}}{f_{13}} x^{13}, & d x^{6}=-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{12} \frac{f_{6}}{f_{23}} x^{23}-\frac{1}{4} \frac{f_{6}}{f_{13}} x^{13},  \tag{4.45}\\
d x^{7}=-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{6} \frac{f_{7}}{f_{34}} x^{34}+\frac{\sqrt{3}}{12} \frac{f_{7}}{f_{25}} x^{25}+\frac{1}{4} \frac{f_{7}}{f_{26}} x^{26}+\frac{\sqrt{3}}{12} \frac{f_{7}}{f_{16}} x^{16}-\frac{1}{4} \frac{f_{7}}{f_{15}} x^{15} .
\end{array}
$$

Now, for any $t \in I$, we consider the $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form $\varphi(t)$ on $N_{12}$ given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\varphi(t) & =-x^{124}+x^{167}+x^{135}-x^{236}+x^{257}+x^{347}-x^{456}= \\
& =-f_{124} e^{124}+f_{167} e^{167}+f_{135} e^{135}-f_{236} e^{236}+f_{257} e^{257}+f_{347} e^{347}-f_{456} e^{456} \tag{4.46}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that $\varphi(0)=\varphi_{12}$ and, for any $t$, the 3 -form $\varphi(t)$ on $N_{12}$ determines the metric $g_{t}$ such that the basis $\left\{x_{i}=\frac{1}{f_{i}} e_{i} ; i=1, \ldots, 7\right\}$ of $\mathfrak{n}_{12}$ is orthonormal. So,
$g_{t}\left(e_{i}, e_{i}\right)=f_{i}^{2}$.
We need to determine the functions $f_{i}$ and the interval $I$ so that $\frac{d}{d t} \varphi(t)=\Delta_{t} \varphi(t)$, for $t \in I$. Using (4.46) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{d t} \varphi(t)= & -\left(f_{124}\right)^{\prime} e^{124}+\left(f_{167}\right)^{\prime} e^{167}+\left(f_{135}\right)^{\prime} e^{135}-\left(f_{236}\right)^{\prime} e^{236}  \tag{4.47}\\
& +\left(f_{257}\right)^{\prime} e^{257}+\left(f_{347}\right)^{\prime} e^{347}-\left(f_{456}\right)^{\prime} e^{456}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, we calculate $\Delta_{t} \varphi(t)=-d *_{\varphi(t)} d *_{\varphi(t)} \varphi(t)$. On the one hand, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
*_{\varphi(t)} \varphi(t)=x^{3567}-x^{2467}+x^{2345}+x^{1457}+x^{1346}+x^{1256}+x^{1237} . \tag{4.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, $x^{2467}$ and $x^{1457}$ are the unique non closed summands in $*_{\varphi(t)} \varphi(t)$. Then, taking into account the structure equations (4.45) and that $x^{i}(t)=f_{i}(t) e^{i}, 1 \leq i \leq 7$ we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta_{t} \varphi(t)= & -\frac{\left(f_{15}+f_{26}\right)\left(f_{5}^{2} f_{6}^{2}+f_{3}^{2} f_{7}^{2}\right)}{16 f_{1} f_{2} f_{3} f_{5} f_{6}}\left(e^{236}-e^{135}\right)  \tag{4.49}\\
& +\frac{\left(f_{15}+f_{26}\right)\left(f_{5}^{2} f_{6}^{2}-f_{3}^{2} f_{7}^{2}\right)}{16 \sqrt{3} f_{1} f_{2} f_{3} f_{5} f_{6}}\left(e^{136}+e^{235}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Comparing (4.47) and (4.49), in particular, we have that

$$
\left(f_{124}\right)^{\prime}=\left(f_{167}\right)^{\prime}=\left(f_{257}\right)^{\prime}=\left(f_{347}\right)^{\prime}=\left(f_{456}\right)^{\prime}=0,
$$

and since $\varphi(0)=\varphi_{12}$ this imply that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{124}(t)=f_{167}(t)=f_{257}(t)=f_{347}(t)=f_{456}(t)=1, \tag{4.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $t \in I$. From the equation 4.50 we obtain that the functions $f_{i}$, where $i \in\{3,4,5,6,7\}$, can be expressed in terms of $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ as follows

$$
f_{3}=\left(f_{1} f_{2}\right)^{2}, \quad f_{4}=\frac{1}{f_{1} f_{2}}, \quad f_{5}=f_{1}, \quad f_{6}=f_{2}, \quad f_{7}=\frac{1}{f_{1} f_{2}}
$$

Let us consider $f=f_{1}=f_{2}$. With these concrete values 4.47) and 4.49 become

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t} \varphi(t)=\left(f^{6}(t)\right)^{\prime}\left(e^{135}-e^{236}\right) \tag{4.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{t} \varphi(t)=\frac{f(t)^{-2}}{4}\left(e^{135}-e^{236}\right) \tag{4.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively. From (4.51) and 4.52) finding a solution of the Laplacian flow is equivalent to solve $f^{7} f^{\prime}=\frac{1}{24}$. Integrating this equation, we obtain

$$
f^{8}=\frac{1}{3} t+B, \quad B=\text { constant } .
$$

But $\varphi(0)=\varphi_{12}$ implies that $f(0)=1$, that is, $B=1$. Hence

$$
f(t)=\left(\frac{1}{3} t+1\right)^{1 / 8}
$$

and so the one parameter family of 3 -forms $\{\varphi(t)\}$ given by (4.43) is the solution of the Laplacian flow of $\varphi_{12}$ on $N_{12}$, and it is defined for every $t \in(-3,+\infty)$.
Finally, we study the behavior of the underlying metric $g(t)$ of such a solution in the limit. If we think of the Laplacian flow as a one parameter family of $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ manifolds with a closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structure, it can also be checked that, in the limit, the resulting manifold has vanishing curvature. Denote by $g(t), t \in(-3,+\infty)$, the metric on $N_{12}$ induced by the $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ form $\varphi_{12}(t)$ defined by 4.43). Then, $g(t)$ has the following expression

$$
\begin{aligned}
g(t)= & \left(\frac{1}{3} t+1\right)^{1 / 4} e^{1} \otimes e^{1}+\left(\frac{1}{3} t+1\right)^{1 / 4} e^{2} \otimes e^{2}+\left(\frac{1}{3} t+1\right)^{-1} e^{3} \otimes e^{3} \\
& +\left(\frac{1}{3} t+1\right)^{-1 / 2} e^{4} \otimes e^{4}+\left(\frac{1}{3} t+1\right)^{1 / 4} e^{5} \otimes e^{5}+\left(\frac{1}{3} t+1\right)^{1 / 4} e^{6} \otimes e^{6} \\
& +\left(\frac{1}{3} t+1\right)^{-1 / 2} e^{7} \otimes e^{7} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Concretely, every non vanishing coefficient appearing in the expression of the Riemannian curvature $R(t)$ of $g(t)$ is proportional to $(t+3)^{-1}$. Therefore, $\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} R(t)=0$.

Remark 4.4.11. Note that, for every $t \in(-3,+\infty)$, the metric $g(t)$ is a nilsoliton on the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{n}_{12}$ of $N_{12}$ isometric to $g(0)$. In fact, taking into account (4.44) and (4.46), it is sufficient to consider the isometry $F_{t}:\left(\mathfrak{n}_{12}, g(t)\right) \longrightarrow\left(\mathfrak{n}_{12}, g(0)\right)$ such that, at the level of the dual space $\mathfrak{n}_{12}^{*}$ of $\mathfrak{n}_{12}$, it is given by $F_{t}^{*}\left(e^{i}\right)=x^{i}(t)$, that is,

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
F_{t}^{*}\left(e^{i}\right) & =\left(\frac{1}{3} t+1\right)^{1 / 8} e^{i} & \text { if } i=1,2,5,6 \\
F_{t}^{*}\left(e^{i}\right)=\left(\frac{1}{3} t+1\right)^{1 / 2} e^{i} & \text { if } i=3, \text { and } \\
F_{t}^{*}\left(e^{i}\right)=\left(\frac{1}{3} t+1\right)^{-1 / 4} e^{i} & \text { if } i=4,7 .
\end{array}
$$

Then, with respect to the orthonormal basis $\left(x_{1}(t), \ldots, x_{7}(t)\right)$ dual to $\left(x^{1}(t), \ldots, x^{7}(t)\right)$, we have

$$
\operatorname{Ric}(g(t))=-\frac{3}{4(3+t)} I d+\frac{3}{8(3+t)} \operatorname{diag}(1,1,1,2,2,2,3)=\frac{3}{(3+t)} \operatorname{Ric}(g(0)),
$$

where $\frac{3}{8(3+t)} \operatorname{diag}(1,1,1,2,2,2,3)$ is a derivation of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{n}_{12}$ of $N_{12}$, for every $t$. Moreover, the Ricci tensor Ric $(g(t))$ of $g(t)$, is expressed as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Ric}(g(t))= & -\frac{1}{8}\left(\frac{1}{3} t+1\right)^{-3 / 4} e^{1} \otimes e^{1}-\frac{1}{8}\left(\frac{1}{3} t+1\right)^{-3 / 4} e^{2} \otimes e^{2} \\
& -\frac{1}{8} e^{3} \otimes e^{3}+\frac{1}{8}\left(\frac{1}{3} t+1\right)^{-3 / 2} e^{7} \otimes e^{7} .
\end{aligned}
$$
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