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In Brief

Rhythmic deposition of posttranslational modifications such as ubiquitin could underlie circadian rhythms. Here, Szabó et al. explore the cycling ubiquitylation landscape of the proteome in Drosophila and investigate the ubiquitylation of TIMELESS, a core clock protein, by its cognate ubiquitin ligases.
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SUMMARY

Circadian clocks have evolved as time-measuring molecular devices to help organisms adapt their physiology to daily changes in light and temperature. Transcriptional oscillations account for a large fraction of rhythmic protein abundance. However, cycling of various posttranslational modifications, such as ubiquitylation, also contributes to shape the rhythmic protein landscape. In this study, we used an in vivo ubiquitin labeling assay to investigate the circadian ubiquitylated proteome of Drosophila melanogaster. We find that cyclic ubiquitylation affects MEGATOR (MTOR), a chromatin-associated nucleoporin that, in turn, feeds back to regulate the core molecular oscillator. Furthermore, we show that the ubiquitin ligase subunits CULLIN-3 (CUL-3) and SUPERNUMERARY LIMBS (SLMB) cooperate for ubiquitylating the TIMELESS protein. These findings stress the importance of ubiquitylation pathways in the Drosophila circadian clock and reveal a key component of this system.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Characterization of the Circadian Ubiquitylated Proteome

We took advantage of the biotinylated Ub (bioUb) system to analyze the circadian control of protein ubiquitylation in clock-containing cells of the Drosophila head. bioUb allows Ub purification based on BirA-mediated in vivo biotinylation of Ub fused to AviTag (Franco et al., 2011; Martinez et al., 2017; Pirone et al., 2017). First, we asked whether overexpression of tagged Ub would perturb endogenous timekeeping. When we expressed a GAL4-inducible transgene that encoded biotinylatable Ub (upstream activating sequence [UAS]-(bioUb)6-birA) or the control UAS-birA with the clock cell-specific driver tim-gal4, only one insertion of the UAS-(bioUb)6-birA transgene lengthened the period of sleep-wake cycles, measured as daily changes in locomotor activity (Table S1, bioUb insertion on chromosome II). At the molecular level, TIMELESS (TIM) and PERIOD (PER) oscillations on western blots of head extracts of w; tim-gal4/+ and w; tim-gal4, UAS-(bioUb)6-birA/+ (tim>bioUb) flies were very similar (Figure 1A). Clock proteins in head extracts mainly derive from the retina, which houses its own clock, whereas locomotor activity rhythms in constant darkness largely depend on the small lateral ventral neuron (sLNv) oscillator of the brain clock network. Different effects of bioUb expression may thus occur because of the different cell types. For example, Ub overexpression could slightly affect clock protein accumulation or modify cell physiology to produce sLNv-specific period
Figure 1. Identification of the Rhythmic Ubiquitylated Proteome

CT means circadian time. Gray and black bars represent subjective day and subjective night, respectively.

(A) PER and TIM western blots (WBs) of w; tim-gal4/+(tg4/+) and w; tim-gal4, UAS-(bioUb)6-birA/+ (tim>bioUb) head extracts. CB denotes a Coomassie-stained band as a loading control. Two independent experiments were done.

(B) Coomassie-stained PAGE gel of ubiquitylated proteins from w; tim-gal4, UAS-(bioUb)6-birA/+ and w; tim-gal4, UAS-birA/+ (BirA) control flies. Left: protein markers (in kilodaltons). The PCB band itself was not excised. NeutrAvidin contaminates the 15- to 30-kDa region. The gel is representative of three independent experiments.

(legend continued on next page)
changes. Nevertheless, the bioUb system can be applied to clock studies with minimal perturbation of the timekeeping mechanism, at least in the retina. To capture the ubiquitylated proteome, tim>bioUb and w; tim-gal4, UAS-birA/+ (tim-birA) control flies were entrained to 12 hr:12 hr light-dark (LD) cycles and were collected on the first day of constant darkness (DD1). NeutrAvidin-bound fractions of head lysates were separated by SDS-PAGE (Figure 1B). Two gel regions (50–130 kDa and >130 kDa) were selected to avoid inclusion of the 130-kDa band corresponding to the abundant, endogenously biotinylated PYRUVATE CARBOXYLASE (PCB) protein (Tong, 2013). Proteins of three replicates were in-gel digested with trypsin and subsequently identified and quantified using nano-liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry and label-free quantification (Schilling et al., 2012).

Approximately 300 different proteins were identified exclusively in bioUb-purified eluates at each of two time points where BirA-only controls were available (Figure 1C). We compared the ubiquitylome of tim+ clock cells with two recent datasets from embryonic neuronal cells and adult photoreceptors (Ramírez et al., 2015). 91 ubiquitylated proteins were found in both photoreceptors and clock cells, whereas 123 proteins were identified in clock cells only (Figure 1D; Table S2), providing a proxy to identify the clock cell-specific proteome in flies. Notably, ubiquitylated TIM and the clock kinase SHAGGY (SGG) were only subsequently identified and integrated into the cell translatome (Huang et al., 2013; Figure S2B).

We conclude that protein ubiquitylation in clock cells is concentrated around daytime hours. In contrast, circadian-controlled protein synthesis is positioned mostly at CT7 (noon) and CT19 (midnight) in a bimodal fashion (Huang et al., 2013). Interestingly, three proteins (ARR1, NMDMC, and HN) showed cycling in both SDS-PAGE gel regions (50–130 kDa and >130 kDa) (Table S3), suggesting that highly ubiquitylated forms of these low-molecular-weight (33–52 kDa) proteins are also rhythmically regulated. The cycling pool of 52 ubiquitylated proteins was enriched for neuronal and memory proteins versus all ubiquitylated entries (Figure S2A). Gene Ontology (GO) terms for the proteasome regulatory particle subunits and synaptic proteins were also more represented in the cycling ubiquitylome than in the total tim+ cell translatome (Huang et al., 2013; Figure S2B).

Subsequently, we compared the cycling translatome (Huang et al., 2013) and the cycling ubiquitylome of tim+ cells. Only three proteins showed both rhythmic translation and ubiquitylation: W (a transporter for eye pigment precursors), PVR (a receptor tyrosine kinase), and RAB32/LTD (a synaptic Rab GTPase). Their translation phases (maximum at CT21, CT14, and CT17, respectively) appeared offset from their ubiquitylation phases (maximum at CT6 in all cases). The delay between peak translation and ubiquitylation suggested a rather long half-life for these rhythmically translated proteins. 10 of the cycling candidates also showed significant (p < 0.05) abundance changes between opposing phases (Table S4; Figure S2C).

**MTOR Is Cyclically Ubiquitylated and Affects the Core Pacemaker**

To verify the oscillations that were identified by mass spectrometry, we tested seven proteins by western blotting (HSP83, AP-2x, ARI-1, INX3, MTOR, and SGG) based on the strength of their oscillations (low distance value [<0.055] or significant amplitude [p < 0.05]; Table S3) and availability of specific antibodies. Four (HSP83, NRV2, MTOR, and SGG) showed recognizable ubiquitylation on immunoblots of purified bioUb extracts (Figure 2A; Figures S3A and S3C). NRV2, despite being ubiquitylated, did not show cycling ubiquitylated states (Figures S3A and S3B). The ubiquitylated form of the SGG46 isoform of the SGG kinase (Ruel et al., 1993; Martinek et al., 2001) and the HSP83 chaperone demonstrated dispersed phases of oscillation among replicates, although a clear cycling was observed in each time series of samples (Figures S3A, S3C, and S3F). These proteins did not significantly cycle in head extracts (Figures S3B and S3D) and neither did total levels of ubiquitylated proteins in the same material (Figure S3E). In contrast, anti-MTOR immunoblotting

---

(C) Venn diagrams showing the number of proteins identified in bioUb versus control (BirA) pull-down at CT0 and CT18.

(D) Comparison of proteins found in previous bioUb purifications from elav-gal4-expressing embryonic (elav* embryo) and GMR-gal4-expressing adult eye (GMR* adult head) neurons (Ramírez et al., 2015) with our dataset (tim* adult head). Numbers correspond to protein species.

(E) Venn diagram of protein species found in bioUb eluates and not in control purifications at the four time points.

(F) Average abundance profile (with SD) of MTOR.

(G) 83% of cycling ubiquitylated proteins change in abundance less than 2-fold across the cycle (1.7 on average).

(H) Number of oscillating ubiquitylated proteins showing maximum abundance at the indicated phase. Ubiquitylation peaks at CT6 for 78% of proteins. See also Figures S1 and S2.
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unequivocally confirmed an oscillation of ubiquitylation in purified bioUb samples (Figures 2A and 2B). To control for a possible rhythmicity in total protein levels, we blotted head lysates with anti-MTOR. Protein levels did not cycle (Figures 2C, S3G, and S3H), indicating that cycling ubiquitylation of this protein is not a mere consequence of rhythm total protein levels. MTOR is the innermost nucleoporin forming the nuclear basket with NUP153 (Zimowska et al., 1997). It is involved in the control of cell division (Zimowska et al., 1997; Qi et al., 2004; Liu et al., 2015) and has additional roles in chromatin modulation (Mendjan et al., 2006; Lagarou et al., 2008; Vaquerizas et al., 2010).

To gauge whether MTOR feeds back onto the core molecular oscillator, we used clock cell Gal4 drivers to express two UAS-RNAi insertions targeting distinct regions of Mtor. Both caused behavioral period lengthening and decreased the amplitude of rhythmicity (Figure 2D; Table S1). To rule out gross neuronal morphology defects as a cause of the behavioral phenotype, we stained key pacemaker neurons, sLNvs, for the neuropeptide pigment dispersing factor (PDF). PDF-expressing cells showed normal projections (Figure S3I). Western blots of head extracts from Mtor RNAi flies showed strongly reduced MTOR levels (Figure S3J) and uncovered increased PER and TIM levels at daybreak (CT0) (Figure 2E), in agreement with the lengthening of the behavioral period. The observed lag in PER and TIM disappearance on western blots in the morning is likely to induce a sustained transcriptional repression by PER, explaining the long behavioral period of Mtor RNAi flies.

The function of MTOR as a nucleoporin prompted us to investigate the subcellular localization of PER, TIM, and CLK in the sLNvs (Figures S4A–S4D) compared with the wild-type, suggesting that defective nuclear localization of these proteins could contribute to the behavioral period lengthening (0.9 hr in this genotype; Table S1). The nuclear localization defect of the clock proteins in Mtor RNAi flies might thus be involved in the broadening of the PER/TIM peak and hence contribute to the lengthening of the behavioral period. Nup153, another basket nucleoporin, was recently shown to be involved in the control of PER/TIM nuclear translocation (Jang et al., 2015).

**Figure 2. Rhythmic Ubiquitylation of MTOR Unveils a Core Clock Component**

Three independent experiments were performed for each blot.

(A) MTOR WB of tim > bioUb and tim > birA NeutrAvidin-purified samples. Input of tim > bioUb is shown on the left. Equal binding and elution in each sample were confirmed by mass spectrometry quantification of eluted ubiquitin (see Figure S1D for an example).

(B) Ubiquitylated MTOR was quantified in tim > NeutrAvidin pull-downs (n = 3). Error bars represent SEM. Values at CT0 were scaled to 100.

(C) MTOR WB of w1118 flies with O-glycosyl transferase (OGT) as loading control. The arrow indicates MTOR.

(D) Double-plotted averaged actograms of control and two different Mtor RNAI-expressing genotypes. * indicates the period (hours).

(E) PER and TIM WB of w Clk-GAL4/Y; UAS-Dcr-2/+ (C) and w Clk-GAL4/Y; UAS-Dcr-2/Mtor RNAI HMS00735 (R) with myosin heavy chain (MHC) as a loading control. C/MB (C/O) served as negative control for PER and TIM immunoreactivity. See also Figures S3 and S4.

**CUL-3 and SCFSLMB Play a Partly Redundant Role in the Rhythmic Ubiquitylation of TIM**

We noticed that TIM was detected with two peptides specific to the bioUb-purified mass spectrometry samples only at CT18. Although quantification of peptide intensities did not show a significant oscillation in TIM levels, we endeavored to analyze the temporal dynamics of TIM ubiquitylation. We observed slowly migrating TIM bands in tim > bioUb pull-downs (Figure 3A). The bulk of the TIM signal in NeutrAvidin eluates was concentrated around the highest phosphorylated forms of non-ubiquitylated TIM in the input. A roughly 10-kDa difference between the lowest bands in the input and pull-down strongly suggested that TIM is modified by at least one Ub moiety at all circadian times. In the late night and morning, putative polyubiquitylated TIM (Ub-TIM) species were observed. Although Ub-TIM quantity appeared to cycle with a peak at CT15–CT21 (Figure 3A), TIM was found to be substantially more ubiquitylated at CT0, CT3, and CT6 after normalization to total TIM levels (Figure 3B). This enrichment in TIM ubiquitylation temporally correlated with the strong decrease in TIM levels that occurs at the night/day transition, supporting that TIM ubiquitylation cycling drives its proteasomal degradation.

To independently validate the results obtained with bioUb pull-down of TIM, we explored other Ub purification possibilities. In vivo expression of tagged cognate Ub receptors showing affinity to ubiquitylated TIM forms could also allow the isolation of Ub-TIM by tag-specific affinity chromatography (Low et al., 2013). Knockdown of known polyubiquitin receptors (Table S1) revealed that all of them participated in the circadian control of activity rhythms. Adult-restricted downregulation of the extra-proteasomal polyubiquitin receptor Dsk2/Ubiquilin (Ubpq) increased TIM levels during the daytime and early evening, suggesting that it was involved in TIM degradation (Figure 3C). We thus used FLAG-Dsk2 to pull down Ub-TIM. Head extracts from flies expressing FLAG-Dsk2 only in tim+ cells were prepared under mild conditions to preserve the Dsk2-Ub-TIM interaction. TIM co-precipitated with FLAG-Dsk2 and showed daily cycling (Figures 3D and 3E), in agreement with the Ub-TIM oscillations in bioUb precipitates. Expression of a tagged version of the proteasomal Ub receptor p54/Rpn10 and subsequent pull-down also resulted in purification of Ub-TIM in the morning (Figure 3F).

Substrates of Ub ligases can be modified by Ub in various forms. Besides the addition of a single Ub moiety at the target lysine, the attached Ub can be further polyubiquitylated on its internal lysines (for example K48, K11, or K63), resulting in Ub chains or on its N-terminal methionine, which yields a linear chain

---
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Figure 3. Cyclic TIM Ubiquitylation Can Be Revealed by bioUb Purification, Ub Receptor Pull-Down and Ub Chain-Specific Antibodies

At least two independent experiments were performed for each blot. (A) TIM WB of NeutrAvidin-purified samples from tim > bioUb and tim > birA flies. Inputs are shown below as well as on the same gel for two time points. Ub-TIM means ubiquitylated TIM. Asterisks mark non-specific bands in the input. (B) Ubiquitylated TIM (framed in the inset) was quantified and normalized to total TIM (input). Error bars represent deviation from the mean (n = 2). The mean ratio at CT0 was scaled to 100. (legend continued on next page)
conformation (Kwon and Ciechanover, 2017). To decipher the Ub code on TIM, we immunoprecipitated hemagglutinin (HA)-tagged TIM from w; tim0/+; timHA flies and revealed Ub chain types by western blotting with Ub linkage type-specific antibodies. K48-linked polyubiquitylation is a classical proteasomal degradation signal. Intriguingly, we found K48-linked polyubiquitylation on TIM only at CT12 (evening), when freshly synthesized TIM is presumed to undergo heavy degradation to delay protein accumulation as opposed to the peak production of the tim mRNA (Figure 3G). The hypo-phosphorylated TIM that is present at this time is preferentially bound by CUL-3 Ub ligase complexes (Grima et al., 2012). Taken together, combined data from three approaches strongly support an oscillation of TIM ubiquitylation during a circadian cycle.

A number of Ub ligases (Grima et al., 2002, 2012; Ko et al., 2002; Koh et al., 2006; Peschel et al., 2006, 2009; Knowles et al., 2009; Lamaze et al., 2011; Ozturk et al., 2013; Guo et al., 2014) and proteases (Lu et al., 2012) are implicated in the Drosophila circadian oscillator and its synchronization by light. However, actual ubiquitylation of TIM has only been reported by the SCFJETLAG E3 ligase as a consequence of a light pulse (Naidoo et al., 1999; Koh et al., 2006). SCFSLMB and CUL-3 Ub ligase complexes, with one partially substituting for the other. Further investigations are required to elucidate the chain-building specificities of SCFSLMB and CUL-3 on TIM; nonetheless, we demonstrated the presence of K48-linked Ub chains on the circadian control of TIM ubiquitylation and play at least a partly redundant or cooperative role in the ubiquitylation of TIM sites (Figures 4C and 4D). Interestingly, SCFSLMB mainly assembles K11-based Ub chains on CUBITUS INTERRUPTUS, whereas CUL-3Rdx prefers building K48-linked chains on the same protein (Zhang et al., 2013). It is tempting to speculate that TIM is subject to the same modifications by the SCFSLMB and CUL-3 Ub ligase complexes, with one partially substituting for the other.

In this study, we describe a layer of circadian output organization in the form of rhythmic ubiquitylation with a peak of proteome ubiquitylation around CT6. In the mammalian liver, the phase distribution of cycling proteins shows a peak around

Figure 4. Deciphering the Roles of Cognate Ubiquitin Ligases of TIM in TIM Ubiquitylation
At least two independent experiments were performed for each blot. (A and B) Head extracts of flies with (A) Cul-3 or (B) Slmb inhibition were blotted for TIM. (C) TIM WBs of NeutrAvidin-purified samples from various ubiquitin ligase mutants in a bioUb background (see full genotypes in the Experimental Procedures). Input was also loaded next to the purified material (In). Asterisks marks non-specific bands in the input. w; tim0/+; Cul-3DN samples and their controls were run on a separate gel. (D) Ubiquitylated TIM was quantified and normalized to total TIM (input). The ratio in tim0/+ bioUb at CT24 was set to 1. Circles indicate individual data points. Paired two-tailed t test was used for statistics. *p = 0.0143; n.s., not significant.

(C) TIM and Dsk2 WBs of w; tim-gal4/+; tubulin-gal80D10/+ (tg4/+, tg80ts/+)) and w; tim-gal4/UAS-Dsk2 RNAi 16/1; tubulin-gal80D10/+ (tg4/Dsk2 RNAi, tg80ts/+). Flies were grown at 18 °C to repress Gal4 with Gal80D10, and emerged adults were transferred to 29 °C for analysis at DD1 after LD entrainment. PS means Ponceau S staining.

(D) TIM and Dsk2 WBs of w; tim-gal4/+ (w; tg4) and tim>FLAG-Dsk2 anti-FLAG precipitates. A tim>FLAG-Dsk2 CT0 input (In) is loaded next to the precipitates. 20 μg of input were blotted for TIM (input) and Dsk2 (Dsk2 input).

(E) Ubiquitylated TIM was quantified and normalized to total TIM (input). The ratio at CT0 was set to 100.

(F) TIM and p54 WBs of w; daughterless (da-gal4+) and da>FLAG-p54 (FLAG-p54) anti-FLAG precipitates.

(G) Anti-HA immunoprecipitates of w and w; tim1/++; timHA extracts were blotted for TIM and for K48-linked Ub chains. Note that, for anti-Ub K48, a lower exposure image of the input is shown compared with the precipitate lanes for easier discrimination of individual ubiquitylated bands.
13% of the liver proteome in mammals (Wang et al., 2017). A proteome-wide analysis of circadian protein cycling is currently missing in flies, but our results with TIM reveal a large phase delay between the peak of TIM levels (CT18) and TIM bulk ubiquitylation (CT0). However, K48-linked ubiquitylation, a major degradation signal, rather peaks at CT12 on TIM, according to our results, possibly preventing TIM from accumulating synchronously with its mRNA, which peaks at CT12. The Ub chain type that drives quantitative elimination of TIM in the morning remains enigmatic, but the K11 linkage type could contribute (Kwon and Ciechanover, 2017). Using a proteomics approach, we identified 52 rhythmically ubiquitylated proteins. The notion of circadian control of these oscillations is reinforced by differential TIM ubiquitylation in DD2 that is approximately in sync with TIM ubiquitylation patterns throughout DD1 (Figures 3A and 4C).

In addition to controlling rhythmic protein degradation, circadian regulated ubiquitylation is likely to direct oscillations of protein function, as exemplified by the robust rhythmic ubiquitylation of MTOR. Constant steady-state levels of MTOR make it improbable that its cycling low-level ubiquitylation would target it for degradation. Our data thus suggest that its nucleoporin or chromatin regulator activity is circadian controlled, and it will be interesting to see whether it contributes to the circadian modulation of the nuclear protein accumulation, which concerns 13% of the liver proteome in mammals (Wang et al., 2017).

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Further details and an outline of resources used in this work can be found in the Supplemental Experimental Procedures.

Experimental Model and Subject Details

Adult Drosophila melanogaster were used throughout this study. Flies were 1–4 days old at the start of experiments. Stocks were maintained on a 12 hr:12 hr LD cycle on standard corn meal-yeast-agar medium at 25°C. w; tim-gal4, UAS-(bioUb)6-birA/+; w; tim-gal4, UAS-birA+/+, and w; UAS-FLAG-Cul-3K717R, simB8, hs-simb were created by standard meiotic recombination. simb0 (simB8 hs-simb) adults were produced by providing HS-SLMB expression with daily heat shocks (1 hr 15 min, 37°C) during development, as described by Grima et al. (2002). flies were entrained to 4 complete LD cycles at 25°C and collected on DD1 unless stated otherwise. Genotypes commonly used in this study were as follows:

- w; tim-gal4, UAS-birA+/ (w; tim > birA)
- w; tim-gal4, UAS-(bioUb)6-birA+ (w; tim > bioUb)
- w; tim-gal4, UAS-birA; UAS-FLAG-Cul-3K717R (w; tim > birA, Cul-3K717R)
- w; tim-gal4, UAS-(bioUb)6-birA/tim-gal4; UAS-FLAG-Cul-3K717R (w; tim > bioUb, Cul-3K717R)
- w; tim-gal4, UAS-birA; UAS-simb0 (w; tim > birA, simB0)
- w; tim-gal4, UAS-(bioUb)6-birA/tim-gal4; UAS-simb0 (w; tim > bioUb, simB0)
- w; tim-gal4, UAS-(bioUb)6-birA/tim-gal4; UAS-FLAG-Cul-3K717R, simB8, hs-simb (w; tim > bioUb, Cul-3K717R, simB8).

Quantification and Statistical Analysis

All statistical analyses for mass spectrometry were done on biological triplicates with the Perseus 1.5.1.6 software (Max Planck Institute of Biochemistry). A p value of 0.2 from Welch’s t test between time points (CT6 versus CT18 or CT0 versus CT12 depending on the phase) was used as cutoff for significance of the peak-to-peak amplitude. Error bars in other experiments represent SD unless stated otherwise (defined in the figure legends). For comparison of protein abundances in Figure 4D, we used paired two-tailed t tests. For experiments on nucleocytoplasmic clock protein localization (Figure S4), we first tested for normal distribution of intensity values under each condition with the D’Agostino-Pearson normality test. In case of normal distribution, Welch’s unpaired two-tailed t test was applied for pairwise comparison between wild-type and AtTor RNAi conditions. In the absence of normal distribution, we used the Mann-Whitney test. The significance threshold in each case was established as p < 0.05. Calculations were done, and the graphs in Figure 4D were created in Prism 7.0c (GraphPad).

DATA AND SOFTWARE AVAILABILITY

The accession numbers for the mass spectrometry proteomics data reported in this paper are ProteomeXchange Consortium: PXD005015 and 10.6019/ PXD005015.
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