This manuscript version is made available under the CC-BY-NC-ND 3.0 license http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/

Accounting for raw material embodied in imports 1 by multi-regional input-output modelling and life 2 cycle assessment, using Finland as a study case 3 Pablo Piñero*a, Ignacio Cazcarrob, Iñaki Artob, Ilmo 4 Mäenpää^{c,d}, Artti Juutinen^{c,e}, Eva Pongrácz^a 5 6 7 ^aEnergy and Environmental Engineering, Faculty of Technology, P.O Box 4300, FI-8 90014, University of Oulu, Finland 9 ^bBasque Centre for Climate Change (BC3), Sede Building 1, 1st floor, Scientific 10 Campus of the University of the Basque Country, 48940 Leioa, Spain 11 ^cDepartment of Economics, Oulu Business School, P.O. Box 4600, FI-90014, 12 University of Oulu, Finland 13 ^dFinnish Environment Institute SYKE, P.O. Box 140, FI-00251, Helsinki, Finland 14 ^eNatural Resources Institute Finland, P.O. Box 413, FI-90014, Oulu, Finland 15 16 **Abstract** 17 The two main methods used to estimate raw material embodied in 18 imports are life cycle assessment (LCA) and multi-regional input-19 output (MRIO) models. The key advantage of LCA is its higher 20 product resolution but it relies on global or regional averages, which 21 could bias results. Our outcomes suggest that this obstacle could be 22 avoided for primary goods if domestic process data are collected, since 23 the necessary raw materials are mostly extracted from the environment

of the direct trade partner. Conversely, for many other products,

E-mail addresses: Pablo.Pinero@oulu.fi

^{*} Corresponding author: Tel +358 41 4778853

intermediate inputs are produced following a wide range of blueprints and cross multiple borders, which makes it challenging to determine how and where raw materials needed for their production originate. For these products, a method to combine the superior coverage of MRIO with the product resolution of LCA is evaluated here, using imports to Finland as a study case. The analysis provides insights on how to identify critical supply chains and illustrates a relatively simple, replicable solution that can be used in other regions or environmental accounts. Nevertheless, the existing resolution of MRIO models and dissimilarities in classifications between the two tools could constitute a new source of errors if not properly handled.

Keywords

material flow accounting, raw material equivalents, material footprint

39 1. Introduction

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

In analyses of the metabolism of socioeconomic systems, all materials required by the economy are ideally taken into account on the basis of mass conservation. To this end, a set of indicators has been developed within the framework of material flow accounting (MFA), so that present and past trends can be analysed and policy targets for a more sustainable future can be set (e.g. OECD, 2011; UNEP, 2011; European Commission, 2011). Standard practice in MFA suggests that all raw material extracted within the boundaries of the system called 'domestic extraction' (DE) and material flows associated with trade need to be accounted for (EUROSTAT, 2013; OECD, 2008). Accounting for DE is relatively straightforward, using official statistics, while there are two distinct ways of incorporating the material flows of traded products in MFA that can give different results regarding the raw material requirements of a given system. On one hand, indicators such as direct material input and domestic material consumption consider only mass of imports and exports ('direct' imports and exports, using MFA terminology). In particular, the direct material input is obtained by adding together the direct imports to the DE, whereas the domestic material consumption is direct material input minus direct exports. On the other hand, broader-scope indicators, such as raw material input and raw material consumption, are based on the concept of 'raw material equivalents' (RME) of imports and exports, which refer to all raw material extracted and used for production of traded products. Thus in the RME approach, all upstream raw materials involved in the production of imports and exports are considered, regardless of the mass that finally crosses the border. Indicators based on direct imports and

65 exports are easier to calculate, but it is acknowledged that they are not able 66 to capture appropriately the existence of dislocation of material-intensive 67 industries and, consequently, burden shifting of raw material extraction 68 among countries. Moreover, evidence of increasing dependence on non-69 domestic raw material in most rich economies highlights the urgency of 70 including RME-based indicators in resource efficiency policies (Giljum et 71 al., 2015a; Wiedmann et al., 2015). Accordingly, the RME approach 72 appears preferable for assessing the material basis of socioeconomic 73 systems.

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

However, estimation of RME is challenging because, in contrast to the survey estimation of direct flows, it requires modelling technology of industries and countries involved in complex supply chains (from extraction to final production) using diverse data sources and strong assumptions, which can have a marked impact on the outcomes. Two broad methods can be distinguished in RME calculations: Life cycle assessment (LCA) and input-output (IO) models. LCA adopts a bottomup perspective, modelling coefficients of RME for particular products employing process data collected using technical information on (ideally) all upstream production processes in the supply chain. These coefficients are usually first estimated for representative individual products and later adapted or employed for all trade products. In contrast, IO models adopt a top-down approach whereby coefficients of RME are modelled at macro level for broad product groups or industries. This is done by linking physical data about biomass harvested by agriculture and forestry and minerals extracted by mining companies with monetary data about transactions among economic sectors and final consumers, so that raw

materials flows can be tracked along supply chains. The most promising
IO models are multi-regional IO (MRIO) models, which have the highest
geographical coverage, since world economies are interconnected via
trade and domestic transactions. Furthermore, there is increasing interest
in combining approaches in order to take advantage of their main features,
in the so-called hybrid or life cycle assessment input-output (LCA-IO)
approach.

In this paper, we focus on the issue of the (limited) regional coverage of LCA compared with MRIO models. We begin by assuming that existing LCA-based approaches oversimplify the diversity in technology in exporting regions, which has the potential to bias results (Dittrich et al., 2012), since they are often based on global or regional averages. To this end, we first explore the extent to which including specific process data from exporting nations can improve accuracy, especially for products originating from long, complex supply chains. We then introduce and assess a method making use of the higher degree of detail in the bottom-up perspective and also expanding the system boundaries to full coverage of the world using MRIO.

2. Life cycle assessment vs. multi-regional input-output models in estimation of raw material equivalents

Material flow accounting has become one of the key tools in industrial ecology and ecological economics since its development by Ayres and Kneese (1969), as reviewed by Ayres and Ayres (1998), Daniels and Moore (2002), Daniels (2002) and Fischer-Kowalski et al. (2011). Since the early days of MFA, the relevance of the RME concept has been

acknowledged and significant efforts supported by international policy bodies are underway to improve the estimation methods. Below, use of LCA, MRIO and mixed models in RME estimation is compared, focusing on methodological differences relevant for the present analysis.

In the LCA-based approach (also process-based or coefficient approach), RME coefficients (also 'cradle-to-product' or life cycle inventory coefficients) are estimated based on process data for individual products. This approach considers all exchanges between social and natural spheres that occur during the product life cycle, as summarised by the general expression:

$$\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{\alpha}' \mathbf{N} \mathbf{m} \tag{1}$$

where lower case letters are vertical vectors, 'denotes transposition, ${\bf r}$ is RME of imports, ${\bf \alpha}$ is a vector of process-based coefficients expressed in kg of RME per kg or euro imported, ${\bf N}$ is an aggregation matrix with dimensions number of coefficients by number of imported products with elements 1 and 0 appropriately placed, and ${\bf m}$ is the vector of imports. In the literature, RME estimated in this way are also termed 'ecological rucksack' (Dittrich et al., 2012).

Input-output models were introduced to describe technological dependencies between industries and product flows within the economy (Leontief, 1936). An essential feature of these models is the 'Leontief inverse', which consists of direct and indirect inputs required per unit of final demand of each economic sector or product group. To analyse environmental burden flows, information regarding how much raw

material is extracted per euro of economic output is included, so

biophysical requirements by industry and final user can be obtained. The
 MRIO model is summarised by the general expression:

$$\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{e}' \mathbf{L} \mathbf{N} \mathbf{m} = \mathbf{p}' \mathbf{N} \mathbf{m} \tag{2}$$

where $L = (I - A)^{-1}$ is the Leontief inverse (I being the identity matrix 143 144 and **A** the matrix of technical coefficients) and e' is the vector of sectoral 145 coefficients of material input (for further details of IO modelling, see 146 Miller and Blair, 2009; European Comission et al., 2014). Elements of the 147 row vector **p** represent the raw material multipliers or RME coefficients. 148 Therefore, assuming that in both cases the imports are the same, 149 differences in RME estimates across methods should derive from 150 differences between components α and \mathbf{p} . The pros and cons of each 151 method have been explored previously and it has been concluded that both 152 have their advantages and drawbacks and that there is currently no optimal 153 method (Eisenmenger et al., 2016; Lutter et al., 2016; Schoer et al., 2013). 154 In the context of the present study, a key shortcoming of LCA-based 155 coefficients is that they are estimated most commonly as representative 156 regional or world averages (which might refer to a particular moment in 157 time or an average), whereas MRIO models can capture more conveniently 158 differences in resource use between countries (Wiedmann et al., 2011). 159 That is to say, it can be assumed that multipliers **p** in MRIO models 160 represent divergences in technology between nations. Another advantage 161 is that MRIO models can track back RME to the countries of origin and 162 material dependencies between two specific countries can be assessed 163 considering the extractions in their territories. However, MRIO models 164 can be strongly affected by sector or country resolution. These aggregation

errors may appear depending on how products/countries are grouped in the model because averaged inputs need to be considered, which could cause distortions that are passed on via the Leontief inverse to multipliers (de Koning et al., 2015; Piñero et al., 2015). Disaggregation of official or basic data could be performed to alleviate this problem, but at the expense of higher uncertainty. In addition, more country resolution does not necessarily mean more accurate outcomes, particularly if based on poor underlying data (Schoer et al., 2013). In contrast, the main advantage of LCA is its high resolution and product coverage (Dittrich et al., 2012), since calculations can be as detailed as the highest product resolution offered by customs statistics offices (or in other words, matrix N in equation 1 can be suppressed if α is sufficiently detailed). However, this does not mean that process-based coefficients are free from aggregation problems, it being common practice to work with aggregated data (Majeau-Bettez et al., 2011). Furthermore, due to time and resource constraints and to make the model operative, aggregation is frequently applied in RME estimation based on LCA, which involves certain uncertainties as a result of the use of averages for heterogeneous product groups (e.g. the same coefficient may be employed for all types of imported printers, whether a small device intended for home use or professional printing equipment). Moreover, these aggregation problems persist even at the most disaggregated levels of custom statistics (Dittrich et al., 2012) (e.g. because there are multiple models even within the group of printers for home use, with predictably different raw material basis). Other shortcomings of LCA are that it can be severely affected by boundary setting for the system, e.g. truncation errors can arise as a result

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

191 of excluding high-order upstream production stages (Lenzen, 2000). In 192 addition, fewer data are available for finished products or services than for 193 raw materials and semi-manufactured goods, because the former involve 194 much complex supply chains and material composition mixes (Dittrich et 195 al., 2012). This extra degree of complexity in downstream production 196 stages is also acknowledged in studies focusing on particular materials, 197 such as aluminium (Cullen and Allwood, 2013), copper (Graedel et al., 198 2002) or iron (Wang et al., 2007). In contrast, in MRIO models an 199 approximation to such complexity in composition mixes is achieved and 200 the cut-off error is minimised by multiplier estimation itself. 201 Both LCA (Dittrich et al., 2012) and MRIO models (Arto et al., 2012; 202 Bruckner et al., 2012; Giljum et al., 2015a; Tukker et al., 2014; Wiedmann 203 et al., 2015) have been used to estimate RME embodied in trade products. 204 Although possible, combinations between high geographical coverage 205 MRIO models and process-based coefficients have not been developed so 206 far in MFA studies. However, some mixed models combining features of 207 national or EU IO models and LCA data already exist, for example for the 208 European Union (Schoer et al., 2012b), Czech Republic (Kovanda et al., 209 2010), Austria (Schaffartzik et al., 2014) and Italy (Marra Campanale and 210 Femia, 2013). These mixed or hybrid models are described in the early 211 works of Moriguchi et al. (1993), Joshi (2000), Treloar (1997), Suh et al. 212 (2004), Suh (2004) and Suh and Heijungs (2007). In the remainder of this 213 paper such models are referred to using the acronym LCA-IO, because the 214 term hybrid is also applied to mixed units (physical and monetary) in IO 215 models. In the literature, mixed model approaches are also referred as LCI-216 IO or LC-IO, from life cycle inventory or life cycle, respectively.

All approaches model the same reality (i.e. upstream raw material requirements of traded products) and hence the results should be similar. In reality, there are a number of methodological differences which might explain differences in the outcomes. To date, only a few studies have compared existing methods. An evaluation of a LCA-IO method and a MRIO model focusing on the EU found that discrepancies at more aggregated levels remain within 5-10% for RME of imports, although they are significantly higher for broad groups of materials (Schoer et al., 2013). This gap is reduced when further steps are taken to attenuate methodological differences. Another comparison between three MRIO models and one LCA-IO method found that RME of trade products deviate markedly across models, especially when considering disaggregated material groups (Giljum et al., 2015b). In addition, strong deviations between economic sectors or product groups have been reported, whereby the more disaggregated the comparison, the higher the discrepancies. However, differences not only arise between LCA and MRIO models, but also depending on the MRIO databases employed for RME estimation. For instance, Giljum et al. (2017) report notable differences comparing three popular MRIO databases, although for many countries these discrepancies are reduced within a low range at more aggregated levels. Furthermore, using Austria as a case study, six methods have been compared and discrepancies of around 30-40% in aggregated RME-based indicators have been observed (Eisenmenger et al., 2016). In that study, the sign of the physical trade balance (RME of imports minus RME of exports) changed for some raw material categories depending on the approach, which implies some vagueness about whether Austria plays the role of net

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

importer or net exporter of environmental loads in the international arena.

Although the studies by Schoer et al. (2013) and Giljum et al. (2015)

highlight that deviations at more aggregated level are manageable and that

uncertainty does not compromise current policy applications of RME
based estimates, dissimilarities reported for some countries correspond

with the results for Austria and call for a more profound understanding of

existing methodological differences.

Overall, there are a number of other differences (such as monetary versus mass units, time window in the functional unit, how capital stocks are modelled etc.) that can explain discrepancies in outcomes and which should also be considered in the choice of approach. In order to improve estimation of RME, in this study we attempted to incorporate the superior coverage of supply chains by MRIO models into more detailed LCA-based approaches. Due to the differences between these two tools and the particularities of the models and databases employed, many obstacles had to be overcome, using rough assumptions in some cases and ad-hoc correspondences between products and materials in others. In this manner, the benefits and risks of combining LCA and MRIO methods for RME estimation in a systematic manner were analysed.

3. Material & Methods

Three models were used in the analysis: the Envimat Imports model, the Eurostat RME tool and the Exiobase MRIO model. The Envimat Imports model (Koskela et al., 2013, 2011; Seppälä et al., 2011) was chosen to represent the LCA approach, since RME of all imported goods are modelled using process-based coefficients and only services are

269 estimated using the IO technique. The Eurostat RME tool (Eurostat, 2015; 270 Schoer et al., 2012a) was selected because is the most popular LCA-IO 271 model for RME estimation. Although different MRIO models exist, with 272 different product and country coverage (Tukker and Dietzenbacher, 2013), 273 in this study Exiobase was chosen because of its high detail in extractive 274 sectors and its focus on the EU (Tukker et al., 2014; Wood et al., 2015). 275 Main features of each of these models are explained in the subsection 276 Model specifications. Full product and material classifications, 277 correspondence tables, model specifications and complementary 278 mathematical descriptions and results are available in Supporting 279 Information. 280 The results are described in two sections. Section 4.1 (Raw material 281 flows in international supply chains) examines the question of how much 282 domestic raw material extraction occurs in direct trade partners compared 283 with extraction in third countries. For the sake of replicability, this analysis 284 was performed using only Exiobase data (for the year 2007). Section 4.2 285 (Country-specific information from the Exiobase MRIO model in LCA-286 based approaches) attempts to refine original RME coefficients from the 287 Envimat (LCA) and Eurostat (LCA-IO) models by accounting for 288 country/regional variations in the embodiments. Finland was chosen as a 289 study case for this purpose and 2010 data as the base, because those are 290 the most recent IO data available for Finland. Further explanations and 291 mathematical details are presented in the subsection The Method. 292 At this point, two issues regarding MFA principles require 293 clarification. First, in this study only the 'Used' fraction of raw material 294 extraction is considered, i.e. only materials entering the economy via prices are studied. Other materials removed but not bought or sold, such as mining overburden or fishing by-catch ('Unused' raw materials), are excluded. The reason is to keep calculations simple, since the method developed would be similar in both cases. Second, estimation of RME of imports for a country depends on whether or not intermediate imports for production of exporting products are included in the calculations. If the goal is to measure environmental pressure exerted by a given domestic final demand, then these loads are usually reallocated to those end-user countries receiving those exports (this approach is applied e.g. by Giljum et al. (2015b) and in the cited studies using MRIO models). However, in the present study, all imports as recorded by customs offices were included, because making a distinction would involve extra effort and probably detract from the focus of the analysis.

3.1. Model specifications

In the Envimat Imports model (hereafter 'Envimat'), basic data in physical and monetary units are obtained mostly from foreign trade statistics compiled at combined nomenclature (CN) eight-digit product resolution and then converted to the Envimat classification system for products (ETTL), which distinguishes around 490 goods and is derived from the classification of products by activity (CPA) 2008. In addition, a hierarchical classification of 85 types of raw materials is made in the Envimat resource classification. Furthermore, process-based coefficients are calculated for goods on a mass basis, i.e. kg RME per kg of goods imported, while for services kg RME per euro imported is used. Most of these coefficients represent world average values, although in some cases

321 they refer to European averages or to particular countries (e.g. natural gas 322 from Russia). Basic data are mainly retrieved from the life cycle inventory 323 database Ecoinvent version 3.0 (Wernet et al., 2016) and, for some 324 products, a direct correspondence with data available in Ecoinvent and 325 ETTL products can be drawn. For other products input data from technical 326 and academic literature is used to build streamlined LCA systems (full 327 description in Supporting Information). 328 The Eurostat RME tool (Eurostat, thereafter) comprises 166 product 329 groups and 52 raw material categories, since standard MFA classification 330 is further disaggregated for metals, through the so-called 'metal model'. 331 Basic calculation was carried out using an IO table for the EU27 region, 332 in which monetary flows of fossil fuels, metal concentrates and base 333 metals are replaced by physical flows (fossil raw materials in oil 334 equivalent tons and metals in tons). In addition, for some raw materials 335 and basic products (metals, oil and gas), LCA data is utilised. For other 336 imported products, manufacturing and services, the so-called 'domestic 337 technology assumption' is followed, i.e. the technology for import 338 production was assumed to be the same as in the importer region (EU27 339 in this case). The model is based on CPA 2002 and coefficients represent 340 EU import average values. 341 Exiobase is a MRIO database that includes data for 200 products and 342 48 countries or world regions, more precisely 27 EU countries, 16 non-EU 343 countries and five regions. Single countries considered (43) cover 90% of 344 global gross domestic product (GDP). In short, the database harmonises 345 official IO tables and material extraction data using auxiliary information 346 from international agencies, such as the Food and Agriculture

Organization (FAO) and International Energy Agency (IEA). The product classification uses the CPA 2002 scheme with high resolution for extractive products (33 product groups) and data currently available are for the years 2000 and 2007. Publicly available data are in various formats, but for this study 'product by product' tables were chosen for two reasons: i) errors dependent on the version chosen are reported to be small (Marin et al., 2012) and ii) the product by product approach gives easier correspondence between models and trade data.

Lastly, it should be stressed that the emphasis in this study was on goods and therefore services were excluded from the calculations, so a fixed amount of RME associated with imported services was included in all models. The reason is twofold: i) customs data for services are more incomplete and ii) services are less relevant than goods as raw material extraction drivers. For instance, in 2010, imported services reached almost 17 050 million euros according to IO data from Statistics Finland, whereas imported services included in customs data were a mere 182 million euros. Unfortunately, the former data do not specify country of origin of service companies. In addition, it has been pointed out that services only accounted for 3.4% of total RME embodied in Finnish imports in 2005 (Seppälä et al., 2011).

3.2. The Method

Raw materials extracted and used for production of same type of product differ between countries, i.e. producing a watch in Switzerland and in China differs in raw material terms, since technology and production blueprints vary from country to country (in the Supporting

Information, dispersion statistics for multipliers of the full Exiobase are presented). Customs statistics usually report where goods are dispatched, so assuming that those traded products are entirely or mostly produced in the dispatching country, which is typically the case of primary products, allows RME coefficients to be estimated based on process data including technology particularities of those countries. In the Envimat and Eurostat models, this approach is followed for some products. However, production of more sophisticated products often takes place in more than one country, so raw material extraction might happen in country A, further processing in countries B and C, and final export to Finland by country D. Therefore, to study the fraction of RME of imports from a particular product and country that has been extracted domestically or elsewhere, multipliers of full Exiobase were aggregated according to this criterion.

As mentioned previously, there is extensive literature on combining LCA and IO approaches. Such studies have, at their core, the definition of system boundaries, consideration of possible miscounting or double counting and the importance of sectoral, regional and time frame details, depending on the object of the study. In the present study, a method for including MRIO information from Exiobase in the Envimat and Eurostat models was developed. The method is based on a correction matrix $\bf C$ dimension number of countries by number of products, the elements of which are the ratio between full Exiobase multipliers and those from an averaged Exiobase version that describes world or EU average values, rearranged in country by product form. Thus c_{ij} informs for product j about deviations of country i in relation to the regional average under

consideration (i.e. if $c_{ij} > 1$, RME for product j coming from country i

are above average, while if $c_{ij} < 1$, the opposite occurs). Using algebra,

400 matrix **C** can be estimated as:

$$\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{P}\widehat{\mathbf{p}}_{\mathbf{A}}^{-1} \tag{3}$$

401 where **P** is a multiplier matrix whose elements are disposed in country by

402 product form (i.e. p_{ij} is the RME coefficient for product j from country i)

and \hat{p}_A indicates the diagonal matrix of p_A , which is the vector describing

404 average multipliers for products.

405 Matrix W, which describes coefficients corrected including MRIO

information in country by product form, can then be calculated as:

$$\mathbf{W} = \mathbf{C}\widehat{\mathbf{\alpha}} \tag{4}$$

407 where w_{ij} describes the 'MRIO-refined' RME coefficient for product j

408 imported from country i.

After refining original RME coefficients, RME embodied in imports

can be estimated considering technological differences among countries:

411 if matrix **M** is an imports matrix re-arranged in country by product form,

412 then matrix \mathbf{R}^* can be obtained using the Hadamard product denoted by \circ

413 as:

$$\mathbf{R}^* = \mathbf{W} \circ \mathbf{M} \tag{5}$$

414 where r_{ij}^* informs about RME embodied in imports of product j from

415 country i.

Finally, to obtain RME after correction by product r_p^* , R^* can be pre-

multiplied by a row vector of ones, i.e. $\mathbf{r}_p^* = \mathbf{i}' \mathbf{R}^*$. Similarly, raw material

embodied after correction by country $\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{c}}^*$ can be calculated following $\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{c}}^* =$

419 $\mathbf{R}^*\mathbf{i}$.

420 Hereafter, the MRIO-corrected versions of the Envimat and Eurostat 421 models are referred to as 'Envimat-MRIO' and 'Eurostat-MRIO', 422 respectively. For the Envimat-MRIO version, refinements refer mainly to 423 global averages, although EU values are also employed for some products. 424 Conversely, country-specific coefficients are not corrected. For the 425 Eurostat-MRIO version, EU averages are mostly utilised, except for 426 minerals and fossil fuels, for which world averages are used. Both 427 corrections are based on values from Exiobase for 2007, and therefore it 428 is assumed that variations between countries within a particular year are 429 not greatly affected by price changes. 430 In addition, RME of imports using original versions of Envimat and 431 Eurostat are presented. The calculation is straightforward: imports from 432 customs statistics in CN eight-digit resolution in mass (Envimat) or mixed

435

436

437

438

439

440

441

442

434

433

4. Results & Discussion

and multiplied by a set of RME coefficients.

In this section, we first present results for countries with the lowest and highest domestic (vs. foreign) extraction, and their shares by product group and by type of extraction. These results provide insights and rules for types of extraction and justify the integration performed in the Envimat-MRIO and Eurostat-MRIO models for some products and countries.

units (Eurostat) are appropriately converted using correspondence tables

443

444

4.1 Raw material flows in international supply chains

Tables 1 and 2 list exporting countries with the lowest and the highest percentage domestic extraction, respectively, per euro imported to Finland in 2007 (aggregated regions excluded). As Table 1 shows, small countries with high population/GDP density tend to have low domestic extraction in their exports. On the other hand, countries endowed with significant amounts of natural resources (usually also large in area and population size) show high domestic extraction. An interesting exception is Denmark, for which a high score was obtained. This score is better explained by Figure 1, in which percentage domestic extraction in RME and country falls into broad groups of raw materials (biomass, metals, fossil fuels and other minerals). The dot size indicates RME by country in absolute values for 2007. The x-axis follows the Exiobase ordering of countries, with the EU countries displayed from left to centre and other economies to the right. It can be seen that the high domestic extraction of Denmark is due to other mineral products exported to Finland, broken or crushed stones and chalk mainly, as reflected in publicly available custom statistics. Overall, Figure 1 informs modellers about when to use LCA based on national data or MRIO combined models in RME estimation. It can be observed that, in general, EU countries have low domestic extraction of metals and fossil fuels in their exports (with the exception of Sweden for metals and Estonia for fossil fuels). Therefore, in these cases, modelling RME via LCA would involve an extra degree of complexity, particularly for some key trade partners such as Germany and Belgium. In contrast, for other products, most of the raw materials come from the direct partner. In addition to Sweden and Estonia, this is the case for Russia for fossil fuels, for China, India and Spain for other minerals and for Brazil for biomass

445

446

447

448

449

450

451

452

453

454

455

456

457

458

459

460

461

462

463

464

465

466

467

468

469

embodied in agricultural and forestry products. Therefore, it could be argued that, for these countries, performing a LCA based on national data would potentially improve RME estimates with less effort than in previous examples.

475

476

477

471

472

473

474

Tables 1 and 2.

Figure 1.

478

479

480

481

482

483

484

485

486

487

488

489

490

491

492

493

494

495

496

Table 3 depicts the percentage of domestic extraction by industry embodied in imports. It can be seen that extractive sectors (agriculture, forestry and mining), along with electricity and food production, show high domestic extraction per euro imported in direct partner countries. The relative importance of the extractive sector means that, overall, almost 73% of all raw materials embodied in Finnish imports in 2007 were extracted from the environment of direct trade partners. Regarding manufacturing, there are a wide range of domestic extraction forms, although most sectors have an approximately equal share. Accordingly, if only sectors C1 to C10 are considered, total DE in direct partners drops to 53%. In Figure 2, the percentage of domestic extraction in RME by industry is plotted by raw material type. As can be seen from the diagram, the domestic share of metals seems lowest for most products (between 8% and 42%), while other minerals and biomass show a wider dispersion of shares across products. Moreover, considering their volume and low domestic extraction share, LCA modelling of products belonging to C7 (Basic metals and fabricated metal products) seems particularly problematic.

Both pieces of information, on industry and country of origin, help modellers in identifying possible sources of bias and also open the way for improvement of MFA indicators, since it is clear that for large countries with highly developed extractive profiles, the LCA-based approach has the potential to refine the calculations. Furthermore, similar procedures can be applied for simple supply chains, i.e. for those trading schemes involving a reduced number of countries and industries. To that end, our combined approach could be complemented with existing techniques, such as production layer decomposition (see e.g. Giljum et al. (2016), where the underlying logic is equivalent to that applied in this study) or structural path analysis (see e.g. Lenzen, 2007), which could bring more detail, regarding the importing countries and sectors across the whole supply chains. On the other hand, the existence of highly complex supply chains and process data constraints at country level for many products exemplifies the limitations of process-based approaches and the importance of integrating precision from LCA with global coverage from MRIO, as described in the following section.

514

515

516

497

498

499

500

501

502

503

504

505

506

507

508

509

510

511

512

513

Tables 3 and 4.

Figure 2.

517

518

520

521

522

4.2 Country-specific information from the Exiobase MRIO model

in LCA-based approaches

In Figure 3, the original Envimat and Eurostat models are compared with the versions extended with MRIO information. Direct imports obtained from official statistics are also shown, as a dashed line. Total

direct imports were 57.1 Mt, while raw materials embodied in Finnish imports amounted to 233.9 Mt (original Envimat), 268.7 Mt (Envimat-MRIO), 144.2 Mt (original Eurostat) or 212.9 Mt (Eurostat-MRIO). The significant differences between direct imports and RME estimates support the idea that the latter concept is important, particularly for metals and other minerals and, to a lesser extent, for fossil fuels. However, it is worth mentioning that these global estimates sometimes mask other differences that are less evident in aggregations. Moreover, there are marked differences in RME figures depending on the method chosen, calling for a deeper understanding on this matter, as mentioned in previous studies. Tables showing most important differences between coefficients by product group and country (see Supporting Information) were used for describing the deviations in the following.

Figure 3.

In Figure 4, the comparison between original Envimat and Envimat-MRIO is disaggregated for broad groups of products. It can be seen that the two most important deviations at this level arise in metals and other minerals. For metals, the almost non-existent difference between both models shown in Figure 3 is revealed to be an offset effect: Envimat-MRIO tends to increase material embodied in extractive products but decrease that in metal products (Sector C7 in Figure 4). In Table 4, changes in multipliers and the most important deviations for metals and other minerals between the two model versions are shown. As can be seen, increases in extractive products occur mainly in 'Iron ores' and 'Copper

ores and concentrates', whose coefficients notably increase in Envimat-MRIO. Regarding iron ores, 97.7% of exports to Finland in 2010 were from its neighbour Sweden. In the Supporting Information, the ratio of DE per euro imported is shown for all countries and products (based on 2007 data). For iron ores from Sweden, 99% of raw materials required were extracted from the Swedish environment and in that case process-based estimation based on national figures would clearly be advisable. In the case of copper, 49.2% of imports to Finland in 2010 came from Peru and Chile, both categorised in Exiobase as 'Rest of America and Caribbean'. In this case too, almost 100% of materials were extracted domestically and a LCA-based estimation considering Peruvian and Chilean technological particularities would be desirable. The reason why the increases described are offset at the macro level can be seen in Table 4. Because Envimat has higher resolution, refinements of metal products in Table 4 were performed using multipliers for three corresponding Exiobase products: 'Basic iron and steel and of ferro-alloys and first products thereof', 'Other non-ferrous metal products' and 'Copper products'. In the Supporting Information, it can be seen that percentages of metal DE for these three products can vary significantly between countries. Focusing on key Finnish trade partners in Table 4, for basic iron and steel products Exiobase delivers 1% metal DE for German products, whereas it increases to 47% for Swedish products. For copper and other non-ferrous metal products, the percentage of metal DE embodied varies from almost 0% for Spanish nickel products to almost 100% for Russian, Brazilian and 'Rest of Africa' products. Considering that Spanish nickel mining and the content of iron from German mines in basic iron and steel products are

549

550

551

552

553

554

555

556

557

558

559

560

561

562

563

564

565

566

567

568

569

570

571

572

573

576 substantial extra effort. Nevertheless, since their mineral intermediate 577 inputs coming from third countries can be followed using MRIO, the 578 method developed in this study could be utilised. In contrast, for copper 579 products coming from Russia, nickel products from Brazil and cobalt 580 products from the Democratic Republic of Congo (which is the other main 581 non-ferrous metal product coming from Africa), LCA-based data 582 estimation would be desirable. For Swedish iron and steel products, an 583 intermediate solution might be best. 584 For other minerals, it is revealed in Figure 4 that the increases in the 585 MRIO version occur mainly in the sectors mining and quarrying and C5 586 (Other chemical products). The rise in mining is because of 'Clays and 587 kaolin' products, mostly coming from India and the United Kingdom. 588 Consulting publicly available disaggregated customs data reveals that the 589 reason for the high score for India is from exports to Finland of 'Bentonite' 590 (6.1 million kg imported in 2010). Including MRIO information greatly 591 increases the original coefficient for clays and kaolin for India (see Table 592 4), explained by existing high analogous differences between world 593 average and Indian values using Exiobase. This enormous difference could 594 be an error in Exiobase original data and might be related to the difficulties 595 in data gathering in India reported by the database's developers (Giljum et 596 al., 2014). However, it serves to illustrate how the existence of outliers or 597 unexpectedly high values can cause errors in the refined method proposed 598 in this study. In addition, Finland imports a high volume of kaolin (927.6 599 million kg in 2010), as an input for the paper industry, from three 600 countries: UK (36%), US (33%) and Brazil (29%). The Envimat original

both negligible, performing a process-based estimation would involve

coefficient for clays and kaolin increases to 37.6 kg/kg for the UK in Envimat-MRIO, while it increases only slightly or decreases for the other two key trade partners, which leads to a significant RME allocation to imports from the UK (-12140.4 Mkg bias). These examples exemplify the two possible causes of re-allocation in the method proposed: high multiplier dissimilarities between original and MRIO versions (India), and less significant variations for substantial import flows (UK). Lastly, most notable deviations in other chemical products occur in imports from Norway of 'Other inorganic basic chemicals' and 'Peptones, modelling pastes, activated carbon, finishing agents, pickling preparations etc.' (see Table 4). The increase in both cases is caused by the differences between multipliers in Exiobase for 'Chemicals not elsewhere classified (nec.)) and salt DE comparing Norway and world-average values. For other inorganic basic chemicals, customs data show that two products are mainly responsible for this increase: 'Calcium carbonate' and 'Sodium hydroxide (caustic soda)'. Total imports of calcium carbonate to Finland in 2010 were 674.9 million kg, of which 99.3% came from Norway, whereas imports of caustic soda were 149.7 million kg, of which 19.8% came from Norway. Although alternative routes exist, calcium carbonate is mainly produced from lime and carbon dioxide (European Commission, 2007). Therefore including MRIO country-specific information could bias allocation of salt DE, rather than refining outcomes. In contrast, caustic soda is mostly produced by electrolysis from sodium chloride solution with mercury, and it is clear that importing caustic soda implies significant amounts of salt embodied, which is also one of the main contributors to the overall environmental burden of the production process (Hong et al.,

601

602

603

604

605

606

607

608

609

610

611

612

613

614

615

616

617

618

619

620

621

622

623

624

625

2014). Similar considerations apply to the second group of products,
 which mainly refer to finishing agents for the paper industry imported
 from Norway.

630

631

632

Figure 4.

Table 4.

633

634

635

636

637

638

639

640

641

642

643

644

645

646

647

648

649

650

651

For the Eurostat models, including MRIO information from Exiobase also caused significant deviations in extractive and metal manufacturing products. However, in this case, both estimates were higher in the Eurostat-MRIO model. This situation is mainly explained by the significant growth taking place in metal embodied in imports of 'Other non-ferrous metal products' as can be observed in Table 5, which shows the main deviations between original Eurostat and Eurostat-MRIO. This happens because the correction is based on an EU average, whereas for Envimat-MRIO a global average is used. This outcome shows that average EU RME embodied per kg imported are significantly lower than global and African values. However, two related issues need to be considered: i) this refers to an 'Other' products category, where many diverse products are included, and ii) it belongs to a 'Rest of' MRIO category. Other notable increases in metal products occur in 'Basic iron and steel products' from Russia and other partners. Thus, in comparison with the outcomes from Envimat-MRIO, these results suggest that, if global values are used (Envimat), RME tend to be overestimated, whereas if EU averages are employed (Eurostat), they seem to be underestimated.

with Eurostat-MRIO compared with the original Eurostat model are due to 'Ceramic products and other non-metallic mineral products' coming from the United States (C6: Other non-metallic mineral products) in Figure 4). According to customs statistics, this is mostly due to 'Carbon fibres and articles of carbon fibres, for non-electrical purposes'. However, the refinement was performed considering multiplier dissimilarities for disaggregated non-metallic DE of Exiobase's 'Other non-metallic mineral products', in particular differences in DE of 'Building stones', which is three orders of magnitude above the average for US multipliers according to Exiobase. Therefore, it seems clear that product aggregation into a single 'Other non-metallic mineral products' category, in combination with the above-average building stones intensity in US multipliers, cause inaccurate re-allocation of raw materials in Eurostat-MRIO. A high domestic share of raw material extraction of other minerals for this product in the US (around 80%, see Supporting Information) suggests that a process-based estimation considering domestic particularities would be a better choice. In biomass flows, deviations are caused by increases in biomass embodied in 'Animal and vegetable oils and fats', along with 'Fruit, nuts, beverage and spice crops' (see Table 5). The increase for the former refers mainly to imported palm crude oil from Malaysia, which comprised about 385 million kg in 2010, to which an extra load of raw material is allocated based on multiplier differences for Exiobase's 'Products of vegetable oils and fats'. However, since agricultural products typically involve shorter supply chains (98% of biomass is domestically harvested for this product

For other minerals, more than 50% of the higher quantities obtained

652

653

654

655

656

657

658

659

660

661

662

663

664

665

666

667

668

669

670

671

672

673

674

675

676

in the Rest of Asia and Pacific region, see Supporting Information), process data could be used to cross-check this outcome. A similar situation arises for fruits, nuts etc. from Brazil and other Latin American countries.

Finally, for fossil fuels, the increase observed is mainly because including MRIO information raises RME embodied for products coming from Russia, in particular for 'Petroleum oils and oils obtained from bituminous minerals' (explaining the growth observed in mining and quarrying in Figure 5), and 'Other basic chemicals' and 'Fertilizers and nitrogen compounds' (explaining the increase in other chemical products in Figure 5). Therefore, in this case, the correction proposed increases the raw material requirements of more fossil fuel-intensive Russian exports of the petrochemical industry.

Figure 5.

Table 5.

5. Conclusions

This study examined the theoretical connection between life cycle assessment (LCA) and input-output (IO) methods. Although there has been more than a decade of key development and application of these tools, there is still a need to provide simple and effective rules for improving the estimation of raw material equivalents (RME) embodied in imports. In particular, this study examined domestic (vs. foreign) extraction contents for countries and products, developed in order to help modellers overcome limitations imposed by the use of averages in LCA-based approaches. One of the conclusions that can be drawn is that

domestic process-based data are preferable for primary mining and biomass products and for manufacturing products, which rely heavily on natural resources from the domestic environments of direct trade partners. This involves mixing physical and monetary flows and coupling bottom-up with top-down methods. It also requires access to detailed custom and LCA data for key trade partners and the development of correspondences between product, country and material classifications.

704

705

706

707

708

709

710

711

712

713

714

715

716

717

718

719

720

721

722

723

724

725

726

727

728

For products involved in longer trade chains, or for which domestic LCA data are not available, a refined method providing a systematic way of analysing the embodied contents of RME based on multi-regional IO (MRIO) was developed. The results suggest that comparisons between original (based on regional averages) and MRIO-refined models could give valuable insights into iteratively correcting possible errors or biases. However, there are also methodological limitations, due to different products or raw material classifications and aggregation into miscellaneous products or material groups (such as 'Other', 'nec.' or 'Rest of' categories) that need to be handled carefully when applying our method. Moreover, the products and regions that serve as reference in MRIO models need to be chosen with care and should be the closest in coverage to the original process-based coefficient being split. Depending on data and resource availability, our approach is equally applicable to more distant tiers of the supply chain (e.g. trade partners of direct trade partners) and could be combined with existing IO tools for assessing chain length and complexity.

Our method may be applicable in the study of exports to any other country and, since the multipliers used for corrections are of a very general nature, they are suitable to other regions or product specific studies. For this reason, basic data for the refinements are offered for all countries (except Finland) in the Supporting Information. Similar comparisons have previously been made between top-down and bottom-up approaches for other environmental accounting tasks, e.g. for water flows in Feng et al. (2011) and for ecological footprint in Weinzettel et al. (2014). Thus the methodological developments presented here are also of interest outside the material flow accounting community. However, more work is needed to explain the differences between current databases and models and to support future developments that make use of the detailed product resolutions from LCA and the higher coverage of supply chains in MRIO models.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to the anonymous reviewer for helpful comments. Pablo Piñero acknowledges the University of Oulu Graduate School and the AURORA Doctoral Programme for financial support for this research. The authors also thank Tuomas Mattila and Mari Heikkinen for their work in improving the Envimat model.

References

Arto, I., Genty, A., Rueda-Cantuche, J.M., Villanueva, A., Andreoni, V.,
 2012. Global Resources Use and Pollution, Volume 1 / Production,
 Consumption and Trade (1995-2008). Seville. doi:10.2791/94365

755	Ayres, R.U., Ayres, L.W., 1998. Accounting for resources, 1. Edwar
756	Elgar, Cheltenham.
757	Ayres, R.U., Kneese, A. V., 1969. Production, Consumption, and
758	Externalities. Am. Econ. Assoc. 59, 282–297.
759	Bruckner, M., Giljum, S., Lutz, C., Wiebe, K.S., 2012. Materials
760	embodied in international trade - Global material extraction and
761	consumption between 1995 and 2005. Glob. Environ. Chang. 22,
762	568–576. doi:10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2012.03.011
763	Cullen, J.M., Allwood, J.M., 2013. Mapping the global flow of
764	aluminum: from liquid aluminum to end-use goods. Environ. Sci.
765	Technol. 47, 3057-64. doi:10.1021/es304256s
766	Daniels, P.L., 2002. Approaches for Quantifying the Metabolism of
767	Physical Economies: A Comparative Survey: Part II: Review of
768	Individual Approaches. J. Ind. Ecol. 6, 65–88.
769	doi:10.1162/108819802320971641
770	Daniels, P.L., Moore, S., 2002. Approaches for Quantifying the
771	Metabolism of Physical Economies Part I: Methodological
772	Overview. J. Ind. Ecol. 5, 69–93. doi:10.1162/10881980160084042
773	de Koning, A., Bruckner, M., Lutter, S., Wood, R., Stadler, K., Tukker,
774	A., 2015. Effect of aggregation and disaggregation on embodied
775	material use of products in input-output analysis. Ecol. Econ. 116,
776	289–299. doi:10.1016/j.ecolecon.2015.05.008
777	Dittrich, M., Bringezu, S., Schütz, H., 2012. The physical dimension of
778	international trade, part 2: Indirect global resource flows between
779	1962 and 2005. Ecol. Econ. 79, 32–43.
780	doi:10.1016/j.ecolecon.2012.04.014

781	Eisenmenger, N., Wiedenhofer, D., Schaffartzik, A., Giljum, S.,
782	Bruckner, M., Schandl, H., Wiedmann, T.O., Lenzen, M., Tukker,
783	A., de Koning, A., 2016. Consumption-based material flow
784	indicators — Comparing six ways of calculating the Austrian raw
785	material consumption providing six results. Ecol. Econ. 128, 177-
786	186. doi:10.1016/j.ecolecon.2016.03.010
787	European Commission, 2011. Roadmap to a Resource Efficient Europe.
788	European Commission, Brussels.
789	European Commission, 2007. Best Available Techniques for the
790	Manufacture of Large Volume Inorganic Chemicals - Solids and
791	Others industry.
792	European Commission, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United
793	Nations, Organisation for Economic Co-operation and
794	Development, United Nations, World Bank, 2014. System of
795	Environmental-Economic Accounting 2012. Applications and
796	Extensions. White cover publication, pre-edited text subject to
797	official editing.
798	Eurostat, 2015. Handbook for estimating Raw Material Equivalents of
799	imports and exports and RME-based indicators on country level -
800	based on Eurostat's EU RME model.
801	Eurostat, 2013. Economy-wide Material Flow Accounts (EW-MFA)
802	Compilation Guide 2013.
803	Feng, K., Chapagain, A., Suh, S., Pfister, S., Hubacek, K., 2011.
804	Comparison of Bottom-Up and Top-Down Approaches To
805	Calculating the Water Footprints of Nations. Econ. Syst. Res. 23,
806	371–385. doi:10.1080/09535314.2011.638276

807	Fischer-Kowalski, M., Krausmann, F., Giljum, S., Lutter, S., Mayer, A.,
808	Bringezu, S., Moriguchi, Y., Schütz, H., Schandl, H., Weisz, H.,
809	2011. Methodology and Indicators of Economy-wide Material Flow
810	Accounting. J. Ind. Ecol. 15, 855-876. doi:10.1111/j.1530-
811	9290.2011.00366.x
812	Giljum, S., Bruckner, M., Martinez, A., 2015a. Material footprint
813	assessment in a global input-output framework. J. Ind. Ecol. 19,
814	792–804. doi:10.1111/jiec.12214
815	Giljum, S., Lutter, S., Bruckner, M., Wieland, H., Eisenmenger, N.,
816	Wiedenhofer, D., Schandl, H., 2017. Empirical assessment of the
817	OECD Inter-Country Input-Output database to calculate demand-
818	based material flows. Paris.
819	Giljum, S., Lutter, S., Lieber, M., Schütz, H., 2014. Report Work
820	Package 8 – Task 2 : Case study report on "resources" (D 8.2).
821	Giljum, S., Lutter, S., Wieland, H., Eisenmenger, N., Wiedenhofer, D.,
822	Schaffartzik, A., Schandl, H., West, J., 2015b. An empirical
823	assessment comparing input-output-based and hybrid
824	methodologies to measure demand-based material flows. Paris.
825	Giljum, S., Wieland, H., Lutter, S., Bruckner, M., Wood, R., Tukker, A.,
826	Stadler, K., 2016. Identifying priority areas for European resource
827	policies: a MRIO-based material footprint assessment. J. Econ.
828	Struct. 5, 17. doi:10.1186/s40008-016-0048-5
829	Graedel, T.E., Bertram, M., Fuse, K., Gordon, R.B., Lifset, R.,
830	Rechberger, H., Spatari, S., 2002. The contemporary European
831	copper cycle: The characterization of technological copper cycles.
832	Ecol. Econ. 42, 9–26. doi:10.1016/S0921-8009(02)00101-5

833	Hong, J., Chen, W., Wang, Y., Xu, C., Xu, X., 2014. Life cycle
834	assessment of caustic soda production: A case study in China. J.
835	Clean. Prod. 66, 113–120. doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2013.10.009
836	Joshi, S., 2000. Product Environmental Life-Cycle Assessment Using
837	Input-Output Techniques. J. Ind. Ecol. 3, 95–120.
838	doi:0.1162/108819899569449
839	Koskela, S., Mattila, T., Antikainen, R., Mäenpää, I., 2013. Identifying
840	Key Sectors and Measures for a Transition towards a Low Resource
841	Economy. Resources 2, 151–166. doi:10.3390/resources2030151
842	Koskela, S., Mäenpää, I., Seppälä, J., Mattila, T., Korhonen, MR.,
843	2011. EE-IO modeling of the environmental impacts of Finnish
844	imports using different data sources. Ecol. Econ. 70, 2341–2349.
845	doi:10.1016/j.ecolecon.2011.07.012
846	Kovanda, J., Weinzettel, J., Hák, T., 2010. Material Flow Indicators in
847	the Czech Republic in Light of the Accession to the European
848	Union. J. Ind. Ecol. 14, 650-665. doi:10.1111/j.1530-
849	9290.2010.00253.x
850	Lenzen, M., 2007. Structural path analysis of ecosystem networks. Ecol.
851	Modell. 200, 334–342. doi:10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2006.07.041
852	Lenzen, M., 2000. Errors in Conventional and Input-Output—based Life-
853	Cycle Inventories. J. Ind. Ecol. 4, 127–148.
854	doi:10.1162/10881980052541981
855	Leontief, W., 1936. Quantitative Input and Output Relations in the
856	Economic Systems of the United States. Rev. Econ. Stat. 18, 105-
857	125.
858	Lutter, S., Giljum, S., Bruckner, M., 2016. A review and comparative

859	assessment of existing approaches to calculate material footprint.
860	Ecol. Econ. 127, 1-10. doi:10.1016/j.ecolecon.2016.03.012
861	Majeau-Bettez, G., Strømman, A.H., Hertwich, E.G., 2011. Evaluation of
862	process- and input-output-based life cycle inventory data with
863	regard to truncation and aggregation issues. Environ. Sci. Technol.
864	45, 10170–7. doi:10.1021/es201308x
865	Marin, G., Mazzanti, M., Montini, A., 2012. Linking NAMEA and Input
866	output for "consumption vs. production perspective" analyses. Ecol.
867	Econ. 74, 71–84. doi:10.1016/j.ecolecon.2011.11.005
868	Marra Campanale, R., Femia, A., 2013. An Environmentally Ineffective
869	Way to Increase Resource Productivity: Evidence from the Italian
870	Case on Transferring the Burden Abroad. Resources 2, 608–627.
871	doi:10.3390/resources2040608
872	Miller, R.E., Blair, P.D., 2009. Input-Output Analysis: Foundations and
873	extensions, Second. ed. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
874	Moriguchi, Y., Kondo, Y., Shimizu, H., 1993. Analyzing the life cycle
875	impact of cars: The case of CO2. Ind. Environ. 1–2, 42–45.
876	Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD),
877	2011. Towards Green Growth: Monitoring Progress. OECD
878	Indicators. Paris. doi:10.1787/9789264111318-en
879	Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD),
880	2008. Measuring material flow and resource productivity. Volume
881	I. The OECD guide.
882	Piñero, P., Heikkinen, M., Mäenpää, I., Pongrácz, E., 2015. Sector
883	aggregation bias in environmentally extended input output
884	modeling of raw material flows in Finland. Ecol. Econ. 119, 217-

885	229. doi:10.1016/j.ecolecon.2015.09.002
886	Schaffartzik, A., Eisenmenger, N., Krausmann, F., Weisz, H., 2014.
887	Consumption-based Material Flow Accounting: Austrian Trade and
888	Consumption in Raw Material Equivalents 1995-2007. J. Ind. Ecol.
889	18, 102–112.
890	Schoer, K., Giegrich, J., Kovanda, J., Lauwigi, C., Liebich, A., Buyny,
891	S., Matthias, J., 2012a. Conversion of European Product Flows into
892	Raw Material Equivalents.
893	Schoer, K., Weinzettel, J., Kovanda, J., Giegrich, J., Lauwigi, C., 2012b.
894	Raw material consumption of the European Union - Concept,
895	Calculation Method, and Results. Environ. Sci. Technol. 46, 8903–
896	9. doi:10.1021/es300434c
897	Schoer, K., Wood, R., Arto, I., Weinzettel, J., 2013. Estimating raw
898	material equivalents on a macro-level: comparison of multi-
899	regional input-output analysis and hybrid LCI-IO. Environ. Sci.
900	Technol. 47, 14282-9. doi:10.1021/es404166f
901	Seppälä, J., Mäenpää, I., Koskela, S., Mattila, T., Nissinen, A.,
902	Katajajuuri, J.M., Härmä, T., Korhonen, M.R., Saarinen, M.,
903	Virtanen, Y., 2011. An assessment of greenhouse gas emissions and
904	material flows caused by the Finnish economy using the
905	ENVIMAT model. J. Clean. Prod. 19, 1833–1841.
906	doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2011.04.021
907	Suh, S., 2004. Functions, commodities and environmental impacts in an
908	ecological economic model. Ecol. Econ. 48, 451–467.
909	doi:10.1016/j.ecolecon.2003.10.013
910	Sub S. Heijungs R. 2007. Power series expansion and structural

911	analysis for life cycle assessment. Int. J. Life Cycle Assess. 12,
912	381–390. doi:10.1007/s11367-007-8360-9
913	Suh, S., Lenzen, M., Treloar, G.J., Hondo, H., Horvath, A., Huppes, G.,
914	Jolliet, O., Klann, U., Krewitt, W., Moriguchi, Y., Munksgaard, J.,
915	Norris, G., 2004. System Boundary Selection in Life-Cycle
916	Inventories Using Hybrid Approaches. Environ. Sci. Technol. 38,
917	657-664. doi:10.1021/es0263745
918	Treloar, G.J., 1997. Extracting embodied energy paths from input-output
919	tables: towards an input-output-based hybrid energy analysis
920	method. Econ. Syst. Res. 9, 375–391.
921	doi:10.1080/09535319700000032
922	Tukker, A., Bulavskaya, T., Giljum, S., Koning, A. De, Lutter, S., Simas
923	M., Stadler, K., Wood, R., 2014. The Global Resource Footprint of
924	Nations. Carbon, water, land and materials embodied in trade and
925	final consumption calculated with EXIOBASE 2.1.
926	Leiden/Delft/Vienna/Trondheim.
927	Tukker, A., Dietzenbacher, E., 2013. Global Multiregional Input-Output
928	Frameworks: an Introduction and Outlook Global Multiregional
929	Input-Output. Econ. Syst. Res. 25, 1–19.
930	doi:10.1080/09535314.2012.761179
931	UNEP, 2011. Towards a Green Economy: Pathways to Sustainable
932	Development and Poverty Eradication. doi:10.1063/1.3159605
933	Wang, T., Müller, D.B., Graedel, T.E., 2007. Forging the Anthropogenic
934	Iron Cycle. Environ. Sci. Technol. 41, 5120-5129.
935	doi:10.1021/es062761t
936	Weinzettel I Steen-Olsen K Hertwich E.G. Borucke M. Galli A

937	2014. Ecological footprint of nations: Comparison of process
938	analysis, and standard and hybrid multiregional input-output
939	analysis. Ecol. Econ. 101, 115–126.
940	doi:10.1016/j.ecolecon.2014.02.020
941	Wernet, G., Bauer, C., Steubing, B., Reinhard, J., Moreno-Ruiz, E.,
942	Weidema, B., 2016. The ecoinvent database version 3 (part I):
943	overview and methodology. Int. J. Life Cycle Assess. 21, 1218-
944	1230. doi:10.1007/s11367-016-1087-8
945	Wiedmann, T., Wilting, H.C., Lenzen, M., Lutter, S., Palm, V., 2011.
946	Quo Vadis MRIO? Methodological, data and institutional
947	requirements for multi-region input-output analysis. Ecol. Econ. 70,
948	1937–1945. doi:10.1016/j.ecolecon.2011.06.014
949	Wiedmann, T.O., Schandl, H., Lenzen, M., Moran, D., Suh, S., West, J.,
950	Kanemoto, K., 2015. The material footprint of nations. Proc. Natl.
951	Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 112, 6271–6276. doi:10.1073/pnas.1220362110
952	Wood, R., Stadler, K., Bulavskaya, T., Lutter, S., Giljum, S., de Koning,
953	A., Kuenen, J., Schütz, H., Acosta-Fernández, J., Usubiaga, A.,
954	Simas, M., Ivanova, O., Weinzettel, J., Schmidt, J.H., Merciai, S.,
955	Tukker, A., 2015. Global Sustainability Accounting—Developing
956	EXIOBASE for Multi-Regional Footprint Analysis. Sustainability
957	7, 138–163. doi:10.3390/su7010138
05.8	