



Article The Effect of Visible Light on Cell Envelope Subproteome during Vibrio harveyi Survival at 20 °C in Seawater

Maite Orruño ^{1,2,†}, Claudia Parada ^{1,†}, Vladimir R. Kaberdin ^{1,2,3}, and Inés Arana ^{1,2,*}

- 1 Department of Immunology, Microbiology and Parasitology, Faculty of Science and Technology, University of the Basque Country UPV/EHU, 48340 Leioa, Spain; maite.orruno@ehu.eus (M.O.);
- cbparadamorais@gmail.com (C.P.); vladimir.kaberdin@ehu.eus (V.R.K.) 2
- Research Centre for Experimental Marine Biology and Biotechnology (PIE-UPV/EHU), 48620 Plentzia, Spain 3
- IKERBASQUE, Basque Foundation for Science, 48013 Bilbao, Spain
- Correspondence: ines.arana@ehu.eus t
 - Equally contributed.

Abstract: A number of Vibrio spp. belong to the well-studied model organisms used to understand the strategies developed by marine bacteria to cope with adverse conditions (starvation, suboptimal temperature, solar radiation, etc.) in their natural environments. Temperature and nutrient availability are considered to be the key factors that influence Vibrio harveyi physiology, morphology, and persistence in aquatic systems. In contrast to the well-studied effects of temperature and starvation on Vibrio survival, little is known about the impact of visible light able to cause photooxidative stress. Here we employ V. harveyi ATCC 14126^T as a model organism to analyze and compare the survival patterns and changes in the protein composition of its cell envelope during the long-term permanence of this bacterium in seawater microcosm at 20 °C in the presence and absence of illumination with visible light. We found that V. harveyi exposure to visible light reduces cell culturability likely inducing the entry into the Viable but Non Culturable state (VBNC), whereas populations maintained in darkness remained culturable for at least 21 days. Despite these differences, the starved cells in both populations underwent morphological changes by reducing their size. Moreover, further proteomic analysis revealed a number of changes in the composition of cell envelope potentially accountable for the different adaptation pattern manifested in the absence and presence of visible light.

Keywords: Vibrio; seawater; starvation; visible light; membrane subproteome

1. Introduction

Vibrio species are frequently used as models organisms to study the strategies developed by marine bacteria to cope with adverse and changing environments. A large number of studies have demonstrated that the survival of vibrios in the natural environment is largely determined by temperature, and some authors [1,2] have indicated that these bacteria represent an important and tangible barometer sensing the impact of climate change in marine ecosystems.

Unlike low temperatures (below 13 °C) that could often lead to vibrio's dormancy and promote acquisition of the Viable But Non Culturable (VBNC) state in Vibrio alginolyticus [3], V. cholerae [4], V. harveyi [5,6], V. parahaemolyticus [7,8], or V. vulnificus [9], moderate temperatures (13 °C to 22 °C) seem to increase the capacity of vibrios to survive under starvation [10,11], thereby potentially increasing the spread of Vibrio species-associated diseases [12-14].

These effects are more profound during the summer seasons, which are also characterized by more intensive solar radiation. Several studies have reported the complex responses of aquatic bacteria exposed to photosynthetically active radiation (PAR; visible light) (400 to 700 nm). While it positively affects the physiology of autochthonous marine



Citation: Orruño, M.; Parada, C.; Kaberdin, V.R.: Arana, I. The Effect of Visible Light on Cell Envelope Subproteome during Vibrio harveyi Survival at 20 °C in Seawater. Microorganisms 2021. 9. 594. https://doi.org/10.3390/ microorganisms9030594

Academic Editor: Johannes F. Imhoff

Received: 25 January 2021 Accepted: 11 March 2021 Published: 13 March 2021

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/).

bacteria or bacterioplankton communities [15,16], the visible light can also decrease the culturability and viability of allochthonous species [17,18].

Photooxidative reactions attributable to the formation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) (peroxides, superoxide, hydroxyl radical, and singlet oxygen) have been considered to be the main reason of the harmful effect of light [19,20]. In marine environments, the absorption of solar radiation by the dissolved organic matter leads to the photochemical production of ROS [21], thus leading to oxidative stress frequently faced by marine vibrios.

Temperature has been demonstrated to induce changes in expression of some antioxidant enzymes [22,23] as well as those involved in DNA damage repair [24]. Kong et al. [25] found that low temperatures could lead to the loss of catalase activity while Kaberdin et al. [26] detected that genes involved in antioxidant stress responses were upregulated for *Vibrio* populations maintained at 20 °C. The above findings suggest that temperature can affect both the survival of *V. harveyi* and its resistance to oxidative stress. Despite some progress in the field, there is still very little is known about the combined effects of visible light and temperature on *Vibrio* spp. persistence in seawater [27–29].

The aim of the present study was to compare the survival strategy developed by *V. harveyi* during the long-term permanence in a seawater microcosm at 20 °C in the presence and absence of visible light. Given the important role of cell envelope in adaptation to changing environments [5,18,30], the study was mainly focused on analyzing the changes in cell envelope subproteome that take place during this process.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Vibrio harveyi Strain and Inocula Preparation

A *V. harveyi* strain ATCC 14126^T was used throughout this study. For inocula preparation, cells were cultured aerobically in marine broth (MB, PanReac AppliChem, Barcelona, Spain) at 26 °C with shaking (120 rpm) until they reached the stationary phase. The cells were harvested by centrifugation ($4000 \times g$, 4 °C, 20 min), washed three times with sterile saline solution (1.94% NaCl, w/v) and were suspended afterwards in sterile saline solution.

2.2. Survival Experiments

All the glass flasks used for handling *V. harveyi* cultures were cleaned with H_2SO_4 (96%, v/v) beforehand, rinsed with deionized water, and kept at 250 °C for 24 h to get rid of residual organic matter.

Erlenmeyer flasks containing 2 L filtered and autoclaved seawater, collected from Port of Armintza in the North of Spain ($43^{\circ}26'24''$ N and $2^{\circ}54'24''$ W), were inoculated with stationary-phase *V. harveyi* cells to reach a density of 10^{8} cells mL⁻¹ and incubated at 20 °C with shaking (120 rpm) in darkness and exposed to photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) up to 21 days. Illumination was provided by five Sylvania Standard F25W/30'' lamps emitting in the 400 to 700 range. The populations received a light intensity of 15.93 W m⁻². Periodically, samples were collected in triplicate for bacterial count, determination of cell size and extraction of membrane proteins.

All the experiments were performed three times. The values presented in datasets are the means of three experiments, and the standard deviations between replicates were less than 12%. The differences between the means were calculated by a one-way analysis of variances. Probabilities that were less than (or equal to) 0.05 were considered to be significant.

2.3. Cell Counting and Estimation of Bacterial Size

The total number of bacteria (TNB) was determined according to the procedure described by Hobbie et al. [31]. Viable bacteria, estimated as bacteria with intact cytoplasmic membranes (MEMB+), were counted with Live/Dead BacLightTM kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Madrid, Spain) as described by Joux et al. [32]. The number of culturable bacteria (CFU) was determined by spreading cell suspensions on marine agar (MA, PanReac AppliChem, Barcelona, Spain) followed by incubation for 24 h at 26 °C and cell counting.

The length variations of *V. harveyi* cells during their survival at 20 °C were estimated via image analysis of epifluorescence preparations [33] by using an image analysis system, which included a video camera of high resolution (Hamamatsu 2400, Hamamatsu Photonics, Hamamatsu City, Japan). Digitized images of microscopic fields were analyzed by Scion Image 1.62^a software. In total, 200 cells were measured in each sample. The mean value (x) and the corresponding standard deviation (SD), which defined the size of the cells in the initial inoculate, were used to establish three arbitrary ranges of cell size (\leq x-SD, >x-SD and \leq x+SD, >x+SD) subsequently used to present the time-dependent changes of cell size in *V. harveyi* populations [5].

2.4. Isolation of Membrane Proteins by Using Sodium Carbonate Extraction

To extract membrane proteins, cells were harvested by centrifugation (8000× *g*, 40 min, 4 °C) and the pellet obtained was suspended in 10 mL of Tris-buffered saline (TBS, pH 8). The cells were collected by centrifugation again (8000× *g*, 20 min, 4 °C), the cell pellet was briefly washed with TBS, which was decanted and the cell pellet was suspended in 10 mL of TBS followed by addition of 250 µL of Protease Inhibitor Cocktail (Sigma–Aldrich, Madrid, Spain) per g of cell pellet and 90 µL of 2 mM of phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PSMF, PanReac AppliChem, Barcelona, Spain), the suspensions were frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80 °C.

Cells were disrupted by intermittent sonication (SONICS VibraCellTM VCX130 Ultrasonic Cell Disruptor, Sonics & Materials Inc., Newtown, CT, USA) using a 6-mm-diameter probe (65% amplitude setting, 30 s on/45 s off cycles for 3 min in total). Unbroken cells and cellular debris were removed by centrifugation at $6000 \times g$ for 20 min at 4 °C. The supernatant fractions were stored on ice while the pellets were suspended in 10 mL of TBS and sonicated under the same conditions as specified above. This procedure was repeated at least three times.

The supernatants obtained from the same samples were combined, diluted (1:1) with 0.2 M sodium carbonate solution, incubated on ice for 1 h with gentle shaking and ultracentrifuged at $115,000 \times g$ for 1 h at 4 °C. The supernatants were discarded and protein pellets containing membrane proteins were suspended in 1 mL of TBS.

2.5. Protein Identification and Quantification

Analysis of protein samples containing membrane proteins was performed in the Proteomics Core Facility-SGIKER at the University of the Basque Country, using the protocol previously described by González-Fernández et al. [34]. Briefly, 50 µg of total protein were precipitated by using a 2-D Clean-Up kit (GE Healthcare, Bilbao, Spain) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The pellet was suspended in 0.2% RapiGest solution (Waters Corporation, Cerdayola del Vallès, Spain), heated (85 °C, 15 min), reduced with DL-dithiothreitol (DTT, 5 mM), alkylated with iodoacetamide (15 mM) and digested with trypsin (Roche Diagnostics, Leganés, Spain; 2 µg per sample) overnight at 37 °C. RapiGest was inactivated by the addition of HCl at a final concentration of 0.5% and incubation at 37 °C for 40 min. Samples were centrifuged at $16,000 \times g$ for 10 min, the supernatant was collected and MassPREP Enolase Digestion Standard (Waters Corporation, Cerdayola del Vallès, Spain) was added as an internal standard for protein absolute quantification. Data independent acquisition analyses were performed in a NanoAcquity UPLC System coupled to a SYNAPT HDMS (Waters Corporation, Cerdayola del Vallès, Spain). A final amount of 0.5 µg (containing tryptic peptides and 100 fmol of MassPREP Enolase Digestion Standard) were loaded onto a Symmetry 300 C18, 180 μ m \times 20 mm precolumn (Waters Corporation). The precolumn was connected to a BEH130 C18 column (75 μ m \times 200 mm, 1.7 µm [Waters Corporation, Cerdayola del Vallès, Spain]) and peptides were eluted with a 120 min linear gradient (3 to 40%) of acetonitrile (v/v) followed by a 15 min linear gradient (40 to 60%) of acetonitrile (v/v). Mass spectra (MS) were acquired using a data independent acquisition mode (MSE) described by Silva et al. [35]. Briefly, 1 s alternate MS acquisitions were performed at low (6 eV) and high (12–35 eV ramping) collision energies and the

radio frequency (RF) offset was adjusted so that the MS data were acquired from m/z350 to 1990. [Glu1]-fibrinopeptide B (Sigma–Aldrich) at a concentration of 100 fmol/µL sprayed through the NanoLockSpray source and sampled every 30 s. The obtained spectra were processed with ProteinLynx Global Server v2.4 Build RC7 (Waters Corporation) using the doubly protonated monoisotopic ion of [Glu1]-fibrinopeptide B for mass correction. Protein identification was carried out by using the embedded database search algorithm of the program [36] and a Vibrio harveyi UniProt Knowledgebase (UniProtKB) (version 2020_06, 4950 sequences). For protein identification, the following parameters were adopted: carbamidomethylation of C as a fixed modification; N-terminal acetylation, N and Q deamidation, and M oxidation, as variable modifications; 1 missed cleavage and default automatic precursor and fragment error tolerance. A maximum false positive rate of 5% was allowed. Absolute protein quantification based on peak area intensity of peptide precursors was automatically calculated by ProteinLynx Global Server using Enolase peptides as an internal standard [37]. A total of 648 proteins were confirmed by finding at least three protein-derived peptides in the tryptic digest, 327 proteins were detected in at least two biological replicates and were subsequently used for absolute quantification. Individual absolute quantification values were normalized versus the total protein amount present in the sample. Proteins with a significant (p < 0.05, t-test) increase (>1.5 fold) or decrease (<0.5 fold) in their relative abundance with respect to the initial time (P0) were considered to be differentially affected by survival conditions.

UniProt (http://www.uniprot.org/) and KEGG: Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (http://www.genome.jp/kegg/) databases were used to verify the name and possible function of the proteins (accessed on 1 November 2020). The subcellular localization of many polypeptides annotated as membrane-associated proteins with known functions was further scrutinized by searching for the cognate membrane-binding domains with the PSORTb 3.0 program [38].

3. Results

3.1. Analysis of V. harveyi Persistence at 20 °C

The variations in integrity, viability, culturability, and cell size distribution of *V. harveyi* populations maintained at 20 °C under nutrient scarcity (i.e., incubation in seawater microcosms) are shown in Figure 1. The numbers of total (TNB) and viable (MEMB+) bacteria remained practically unchanged throughout the experimentation time regardless of PAR irradiation. However, the number of culturable cells (CFU) declined approximately 0.53 and 1.83 log after 21 d of incubation in the absence and presence of illumination, respectively (Figure 1A,B). The significant loss of culturability for population exposed to PAR, along with the preservation of cell viability, indicated that the major part of the population (98.51%) had likely acquired the VBNC phenotype at the end of the incubation time.

The size of the starved *V. harveyi* cells varied along the survival process, in fact, the cells reduced considerably their length during incubation, from a medium length of 1.93 µm at the beginning of the experiments to 0.97 or 0.92 µm after 21 d of incubation in the absence or presence of illumination, respectively. These phenotypical changes led to the appearance of cells with the coccoid-like morphology associated with the VBNC state in *Vibrio* species [39]. The length reduction was more profound when the experiments were carried out under illumination. The fraction of shorter cells (length $\leq 0.91 \ \mu$ m) increased nearly 3.6 times during exposure to visible light and about 2.7 times in darkness when compared to the initial values (Figure 1C,D), ultimately reaching 43.5 and 57.5%, respectively. Moreover, the cells with a length exceeding 1.74 µm were not found after 21 days of incubation under both conditions.



Figure 1. *V. harveyi* ATCC 14126^T survival in seawater (starvation) at 20 °C in dark (**A**,**C**) or in the presence of illumination (**B**,**D**). A and B, variations of total (\bigcirc), viable (•) and culturable bacteria in Marine Agar (**B**) obtained during 21 days. C and D, variations in cell size distribution (**B** \leq 0.91 µm; **D** > 0.91- \leq 1.74 µm; **D** > 1.74 µm). The data are mean values from three independent experiments with errors bars representing the standard deviations calculated.

3.2. Changes of Membrane Subproteome during Permanence at 20 °C

From survival assays carried out in darkness or upon exposure to visible light, the samples were collected at different incubation times: immediately after inoculation (P0), 6 days (P1), and 21 days (P2). Proteins detected in at least two biological replicates, whose biological functions were previously defined or could be inferred by homology, were selected for further analysis. The dataset of proteins contained a high number of predicted cytosolic proteins (35.5%). Some of them belonged to cytosolic subunits of membrane protein complexes or were annotated as proteins that can transiently be associated with the membrane [5]. The above properties may explain the presence of these "cytosolic" proteins in the membrane fraction. After determining the composition of the membrane subproteomes, the identified proteins were sorted according to their biological functions and grouped to form the following categories of proteins involved in: (i) maintenance of cell structure, (ii) transport, (iii) bioenergetics, (iv) signal transduction, (v) protein synthesis, degradation and turnover, or other (vi) miscellaneous functions.

The proteins that did not show any significant variation in abundance (i.e., they were not upregulated [>1.5-fold] or downregulated [<0.5-fold]) during the survival experiments are listed in Table 1.

This group includes proteins involved in (i) maintaining the structure of cell envelope (e.g., lipoproteins [D0XEL2_VIBH1, D0XD95_VIBH1], components of the β -barrel assembly machinery [BAM] complex, membrane protein insertase YidC and rod shape-determining protein MreB); (ii) transmembrane transport (ion transporters as OmpU or D0XAK6_VIBH1 porin, vitamin B12 transporter BtuB, maltose operon periplasmic protein [MalM] and others) and in protein translocation (YajC, SecA, and SecD subunits) and secretion (Type II secretion system core protein G, a TolC family protein or multidrug resistance protein MdtA); as well as (iii) proteins whose function is related to bioenergetics (namely, different subunits of ATP synthase, cytochrome b or subunits of Na(+)-translocating NADH-quinone

reductase); (v) protein biogenesis (HflC and HflK proteins); and (vi) translation (elongation factors EF-Tu and EF-G).

Table 1. Membrane proteins of *V. harveyi* ATCC 14126^T whose level did not show a significant change (>1.5 or <0.5 fold change) after 6 days (P1), and 21 days (P2) of starvation in seawater at 20 °C with respect to initial values (P0).

Protein Accession Number	Location ^a	Category ^b	Protein Name (Uniprot)
D0XEL2_VIBH1	ОМ	S	Major outer membrane lipoprotein
D0XD95_VIBH1	СМ	S	Lipoprotein
D0X8I2_VIBH1	OM	S	Outer membrane protein assembly factor BamA
D0X8E2_VIBH1	OM	S	Outer membrane protein assembly factor BamC
D0XDX1_VIBH1	OM	S	Outer membrane protein assembly factor BamD
D0XEA2_VIBH1	СМ	S	Membrane protein insertase YidC
D0XAE4_VIBH1	Cyt	S	Rod shape-determining protein MreB
D0X6I2_VIBH1	ОМ	Т	OmpU
D0X5G7_VIBH1	OM	Т	OmpW
D0XAK6_VIBH1	OM	Т	Gram-negative porin family protein
D0XAX8_VIBH1	OM	Т	Vitamin B12 transporter BtuB
D0XI69_VIBH1	Р	Т	Maltose operon periplasmic protein (MalM)
D0XDJ8_VIBH1	CM	Т	Preprotein translocase subunit YajC
D0X7E4_VIBH1	CM	Т	Protein translocase subunit SecA
D0XDJ7_VIBH1	CM	Т	Protein translocase subunit SecD
D0X520_VIBH1	CM	Т	Type II secretion system core protein G
D0X596_VIBH1	IM	Т	Multidrug resistance protein MdtA
D0XI24_VIBH1	OM	Т	Type I secretion outer membrane, TolC family protein
D0X6W0_VIBH1	CM	Т	Na+/proline symporter
D0XAD2_VIBH1	OM	Т	MSHA biogenesis protein MshL
D0X9U0_VIBH1	СМ	Т	LemA family protein
D0XE90_VIBH1	Cyt	В	ATP synthase subunit alpha
D0X9V8_VIBH1	Cyt	В	ATP synthase subunit alpha
D0XE88_VIBH1	Cyt	В	ATP synthase subunit beta
D0XE92_VIBH1	Cyt	В	ATP synthase subunit b
D0X7C0_VIBH1	CM	В	Cytochrome b
D0X872_VIBH1	CM	В	Na(+)- translocating NADH-quinone reductase subunit A
D0X873_VIBH1	CM	В	Na(+)-translocating NADH-quinone reductase subunit B
D0X877_VIBH1	CM	В	Na(+)-translocating NADH-quinone reductase subunit F
D0X602_VIBH1	CM	В	NAD(P) transhydrogenase subunit alpha
D0X8Q1_VIBH1	CM	В	Succinate dehydrogenase iron-sulfur subunit
D0XAW2_VIBH1	СМ	SDT	HflC
D0XAW1_VIBH1	СМ	SDT	HflK
D0X8K6_VIBH1	СМ	SDT	Modulator of FtsH protease HflK
D0XAQ5_VIBH1	Cyt	0	Elongation factor Tu (EF-Tu)
D0XCD5_VIBH1	Cyt	О	Elongation factor G (EF-G)

^a OM, outer membrane; CM, cytoplasmic membrane; Cyt, cytosolic; P, periplasmic according to PSORTb 3.0 program. ^b Category: S, structural; T, transport; B, bioenergetics; ST, signal transduction; SDT, Synthesis, degradation and turnover of proteins; O, others.

In addition to proteins listed in Table 1, there was a group of proteins whose level was affected by experimental conditions (Table 2). In other words, we found that the level of numerous proteins was altered after 21 d of starvation at 20 $^{\circ}$ C both in the absence and presence of PAR irradiation.

Namely, some components of phosphotransferase systems (PTS) (D0X8N6_VIBH1, D0XD90_VIBH1), TatA protein translocase, cytochrome c5, so-called methyl-accepting chemotaxis proteins (D0XEK4_VIBH1, D0XEY1_VIBH1, D0X9J1_VIBH1, D0XEC5_VIBH1, D0XHW4_VIBH1, D0XGG1_VIBH1, D0X5R4_VIBH1, D0X9F5_VIBH1, and D0XCQ6_VIBH1) and flagellin became undetectable (Table 2), whereas the level of YhcB readily declined after 21 d. On the contrary, only a few proteins (e.g., mechanosensitive ion channel MscS, bacterioferritin and catalase-peroxidase, see Table 2) undetectable in the initial

inoculates (time P0) were detected after long-term starvation at 20 °C under both conditions. These proteins were detected earlier (6 d) in populations maintained under illumination.

Table 2. Changes in the level of membrane proteins of *V. harveyi* ATCC 14126^{T} subjected to starvation in seawater at 20 °C. The data are presented for the initial population (time P0) and populations analyzed after starvation of *V. harveyi* for 6 (P1) and 21 (P2) days in the absence (–) and presence (+) of illumination.

Destain Association		Cat ^b	Protein Name	P0 c	Illumination			
Number	Loc ^a				(-) (+)			(+)
					P1	P2	P1	P2
D0X8N6_VIBH1	СМ	Т	PTS system N-acetylglucosamine-specific IIABC component	D	ND	ND	ND	ND
D0XD90_VIBH1	СМ	Т	PTS system trehalose-specific IIBC component	D	ND	ND	ND	ND
D0X553_VIBH1	СМ	Т	Sec-independent protein translocase protein TatA	D	ND	ND	ND	ND
D0X593_VIBH1	?	В	Cytochrome c5	D	ND	ND	ND	ND
D0XEK4_VIBH1 D0XEY1_VIBH1	СМ	0	Methyl-accepting chemotaxis proteins	D	ND	ND	ND	ND
D0X9J1_VIBH1 D0XEC5_VIBH1 D0XHW4_VIBH1 D0XGG1_VIBH1 D0X5R4_VIBH1 D0X9F5_VIBH1 D0XCQ6_VIBH1	СМ	0	Methyl-accepting chemotaxis proteins	D	ND	ND	D	ND
D0XD78_VIBH1	СМ	S	Tail-specific protease	D	ND	ND	D	ND
D0XB91_VIBH1	Ex	0	Flagellin	D	D	ND	ND	ND
D0X7B5_VIBH1	СМ	S	Protein YhcB	D	D	0.49	D	0.22
D0X6W2_VIBH1	CM/Cyt	Т	Bifunctional protein PutA	D	0.17	0.18	0.26	0.11
D0XFE0_VIBH1	СМ	В	Cytochrome c oxidase subunit CcoO	D	D	D	D	ND
D0X7B9_VIBH1	СМ	В	Ubiquinol-cytochrome c reductase iron sulfur subunit	D	D	D	D	ND
D0X7C7_VIBH1	Р	S	Penicillin-binding protein activator LpoA	D	D	D	D	ND
D0XAK5_VIBH1	?	SDT	Protease	D	D	D	D	ND
D0X545_VIBH1	Р	В	Cytochrome c4	D	D	D	ND	ND
D0X955_VIBH1	СМ	Т	Multidrug resistance protein MexA	D	D	D	ND	ND
D0XFH9_VIBH1	?	SDT	ATP-dependent Zn protease	D	D	D	ND	ND
D0XE93_VIBH1	СМ	В	ATP synthase subunit c	D	D	5.50	ND	ND
D0XFI8_VIBH1	СМ	В	Cytochrome d ubiquinol oxidase, subunit I	D	D	4.29	ND	ND
D0X601_VIBH1	СМ	В	NAD(P) transhydrogenase subunit beta	D	D	2.65	D	ND
D0X7C1_VIBH1	СМ	В	Ubiquinol-cytochrome c reductase cytochrome c1	D	D	D	D	0.11

	Loc ^a		Protein Name	P0 ^c	Illumination			
Number		Cat ^b			(—)		(+)	
					P1	P2	P1	P2
D0XFD9_VIBH1	СМ	В	cbb3-type cytochrome c oxidase subunit	D	D	D	D	0.06
D0XEK0_VIBH1	СМ	Т	Phosphate import ATP binding protein PstB	D	D	ND	D	D
D0XEK3_VIBH1	Р	Т	Phosphate ABC transporter periplasmic phosphate-binding protein	D	ND	ND	D	3.36
D0X9E5_VIBH1	Cyt	SDT	ATP dependent Clp protease ATP binding subunit ClpA	D	ND	ND	D	D
D0X9N4_VIBH1	Cyt	SDT	ATP dependent Clp protease ATP binding subunit ClpX	D	ND	ND	D	D
D0XCF5_VIBH1	СМ	Т	PTS system glucose-specific IIBC component	D	D	0.38	D	D
D0X6J9_VIBH1	ОМ	Т	OmpA-like protein	D	D	0.40	D	D
D0X9V6_VIBH1	СМ	В	ATP synthase subunit beta	D	0.07	0.18	D	D
D0XCA0_VIBH1	СМ	SDT	ATP-dependent zinc metalloprotease FtsH	D	D	0.48	D	D
D0X861_VIBH1	ОМ	0	Outer membrane protein OmpK	D	D	0.32	D	D
D0X8R9_VIBH1	ОМ	S	Outer membrane protein slp	D	D	D	D	2.06
D0X9I8_VIBH1	ОМ	S	Putative YcfL protein	D	D	D	D	2.92
D0XFJ5_VIBH1	ОМ	S	Peptidoglycan-associated lipoprotein	D	D	D	D	2.43
D0X523_VIBH1	ОМ	Т	General secretion pathway protein D	D	D	D	D	2.20
D0X7A6_VIBH1	OM	Т	Outer membrane protein TolC	D	D	D	D	2.75
D0XI94_VIBH1	ОМ	Т	Agglutination protein	D	D	D	D	4.12
D0XCR3_VIBH1	СМ	Т	Mechanosensitive ion channel protein MscS	ND	ND	D	D	D
D0XAQ6_VIBH1	Cyt	0	Bacterioferritin	ND	ND	D	D	D
D0X8W1_VIBH1	Cyt	0	Catalase peroxidase	ND	ND	D	D	D

Table 2. Cont.

^a Loc, Location: OM, outer membrane; CM, cytoplasmic membrane; Cyt, cytosolic; P, periplasmic; Ex, extracellular; ?, unknow, according to PSORTb 3.0 program. ^b Cat, Category: S, structural; T, transport; B, bioenergetics; ST, signal transduction; SDT, Synthesis, degradation and turnover of proteins; O, others. ^c D, present or no significant changes with respect to the previous time; ND, Not detected; and numbers corresponding to fold changes of the analyzed proteins with respect to those in the initial populations. The fold changes that are more than 1.5 and less than 0.5 indicate significant increases and decreases in protein level, respectively.

In addition, there was a group of membrane proteins differentially affected by incubation in the presence vs. absence of illumination. For instance, several proteins related to bioenergetic (e.g., cytochrome c oxidase subunit CcoO, cytochrome c4, ubiquinolcytochrome c reductase iron sulfur subunit, ubiquinol-cytochrome c reductase cytochrome c1) and others (penicillin-binding protein activator LpoA and proteases, D0XAK5_VIBH1 and ATP-dependent Zn protease) were downregulated only in populations that were exposed to PAR.

Vice versa, several proteins, in particular those related to transport of phosphate and glucose, one isoform of ATP synthase subunit beta, OmpK, OmpA-like protein D0X6J9_VIBH1, and ATP-dependent zinc metalloprotease FtsH, were downregulated (or undetectable) only in the populations maintained in darkness. The upregulation of

several proteins was likewise light-dependent. Namely, while some proteins (ATP synthase subunit c, subunit I of cytochrome d ubiquinol oxidase or NAD (P) transhydrogenase subunit beta) were upregulated in darkness, the same polypeptides were undetectable in the populations exposed to PAR. Similarly, illumination of starved *V. harveyi* cells for 21 days led to an increase in the level of some structural and transport-related proteins including the outer membrane protein Slp, YcfL peptidoglycan-associated lipoprotein D0XFJ5_VIBH1, general secretion pathway protein D, outer membrane protein TolC and agglutination protein D0XI94_VIBH1.

4. Discussion

The life style and persistence of microorganisms in natural aquatic systems are greatly dependent on diverse abiotic and biotic stress factors (e.g., suboptimal salinity and pH, temperature up- and downshifts, nutrient availability, solar radiation, predation, etc.). A number of studies, which were aimed at addressing the effect of these environmental factors, previously employed *V. harveyi* as a model organism. Although the individual impact of some stress factors on *V. harveyi* is well characterized [40,41], little is known about their joined action.

Here we studied the combined effects of nutrient limitation, temperature, and visible light on *V. harveyi* adaptation in seawater microcosms. A typical and well-documented survival response of several *Vibrio* species under nutrient limitation is the acquisition of the VBNC state [4,8,9,42,43], which is more frequently observed at low temperatures rather than at temperatures ranging from 13 to 22 °C [9,26,44,45]. Consistently, we found that, unlike in the experiments carried out at 4 °C [5], *V. harveyi* strain ATCC 14126^T populations did not acquire the VBNC state after at least three weeks of incubation in seawater (nutrient scarcity, darkness) at 20 °C. Thus, our data indicate that, similar to the key role of temperature in adaptation of *V. parahaemolyticus* [46] or *V. vulnificus* [10,47], it also determines *V. harveyi* survival responses. Moreover, the persistence of *V. harveyi* populations was accompanied by a progressive reduction in cell size. This observation supports the idea that the initial response of *V. harveyi* to starvation leads to morphological changes rather than an immediate transition to the VBNC state [5,26].

In addition to nutrient availability and temperature, exposure to visible light is another important stress factor known for its contribution to *Vibrio* growth and survival [27–29]. To compare the long-term adaptation of *V. harveyi* in the absence and presence of illumination, experiments were also carried out upon exposure of *V. harveyi* populations to visible light. Our data demonstrate that illumination with visible light not only accelerates the bacterial size reduction but also decreases cell culturability, thus suggesting the cell entry into the VBNC state. However, the effect of visible light on transition to the VBNC state might be less profound than that in other bacteria, in which the acquisition of this phenotype can occur within a few days (e.g., in *Escherichia coli* [48,49] or *Enterococcus faecalis* [48,50]) or even hours (e.g., in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* [51]).

As exposure to visible light can provoke oxidative stress, the prolong persistence of *Vibrio* spp. population could be due to their ability to activate protective mechanisms mitigating the damaging effects of light. In fact, Rees et al. [52] speculated on the protective role of bacterial luminescence against oxidative stress. Consistently, other authors have indicated that bacterial bioluminescence may play an important role in detoxification of reactive oxygen species [53,54] and in stimulating DNA repair [55,56]. Another important response to oxidative stress involves catalase overproduction. It has been described for different *Vibrio* species subjected to abiotic stress [57–59]. In addition, recent studies described overexpression of other enzymes conferring protection against the toxic effects of H_2O_2 and reactive oxygen species during *V. harveyi* permanence in seawater at different temperatures [24,26]. Therefore, our observation that catalase peroxidase becomes detectable in populations exposed to visible light earlier (i.e., at time P1) than in those lacking illumination could indicate a role of this enzyme in sustaining *V. harveyi* resistance to visible light.

V. harveyi survival is determined by the capacity of this bacterium to respond to changing environment by reprogramming gene expression, thus affecting the entire proteome. Owing to the essential role of cell envelope in bacterial adaptation to stress, the second part of this study was focused on determining the stress-related changes in V. harveyi membrane subproteome and discussing their possible contribution to cell resistance to stress. Analysis of cell envelope subproteome revealed that the level of some membrane proteins with key roles in maintenance of cellular structure, transport, and bioenergetic processes remained unchanged until 21 d (Table 1). Moreover, some of those proteins (i.e., OmpW, maltose operon periplasmic protein, vitamin B12 transporter BtuB, several ATP synthase subunits, Na(+)-translocating NADH quinone reductase subunits or Hflk protein) were also maintained in VBNC populations induced during exposure to cold temperature [5]. Therefore, these proteins appear to constitute a pool of proteins inherently present in the viable (culturable or nonculturable) cells under starvation. Additionally, the level of many structural proteins detected in this study was preserved or even increased under stress. They include lipoproteins, Bam factors and other outer membrane proteins (e.g., OmpW porin [60]) apparently essential for maintaining the integrity of the outer cell membrane throughout the survival process.

MreB (Table 1) is another protein whose level remained nearly the same during the survival process. This protein plays an important role in cell shape maintenance and division [61]. While sequence analysis predicts that it is a cytoplasmic protein, there is some evidence that suggests the transient association of this protein with the membrane [62]. Moreover, Chiu et al. [63] demonstrated that the MreB protein could be detected close to the membrane in starved cells. Although previous work had shown that MreB became undetectable in the membrane fraction during the first 12 h of permanence at 4 $^{\circ}$ C [5], we did not see any significant time-dependent changes in MreB levels for populations incubated at 20 $^{\circ}$ C in the presence or absence of illumination (present study). These results suggest that MreB association with the membrane (and therefore its cellular localization) in the starved cells are likely dependent on temperature.

The permanent presence of other membrane proteins (Table 1) is likely linked to their essential functions (e.g., protein transport) during starvation. Indeed, our data revealed the constant presence of proteins (D0X124_VIBH1, D0X520_VIBH1) that were components of Types I and II secretion systems. Moreover, the concentration of some components (D0X523_VIBH1, D0X7A6_VIBH1, D0XI94_VIBH1) has even increased in the illuminated populations after 21 days. Concerning the Sec-mediated transport in *V. harveyi*, the abundance of SecA, SecD, and YajC proteins also remained unaltered along survival, while TatA became already undetectable after 6 days of starvation, thereby suggesting that nutrient limitation favored Tat-independent secretion. Unlike the mechanisms affecting protein secretion in *V. harveyi*, *Brucella suis* response to starvation appears to limit the Sec-dependent transport possibly to reduce the overall metabolic activity and energy consumption [64]. Similarly, *Campylobacter jejuni* persistence in tap water at different temperatures [65] has been reported to support the Tat-dependent (rather than Sec-dependent) transport.

Elongation factors are essential bacterial proteins, and EF-TU has been described as a cytoplasmic chaperone [42,66] involved in protein synthesis and other cellular processes [67,68]. The higher abundance of EF-TU in the subproteomes of the stressed cells [5,18] and its upregulation upon exposure to stress [5,69] could imply the involvement of EF-TU in cell adaptation. Nevertheless, the lack of significant variations in the level of these proteins in populations examined in the present study does not support the general role of these protein factors in bacterial adaptation to stress.

Besides the continuous presence of many proteins apparently essential for both the normal growth and cell survival (Table 1), there was a group of polypeptides differentially affected by starvation (Table 2). Variations in their levels could be attributable to stress adaptation induced by nutrient deprivation, and subsequent energy and carbon depletion. In particular, we found that multiple methyl-accepting chemotaxis proteins became rapidly undetectable in *V. harveyi* populations maintained in seawater at 20 °C regardless of expo-

sure to visible light, thus mimicking *V. harveyi* response to starvation at 4 °C [5]. Similarly, the level of flagellin declined after 21 days. These results agree with previous observations obtained with starved *Vibrio* S14 cells by Malmcrona-Friberg et al. [70]. They found that most cells lost motility under starvation and suggested that the chemosensory system could be shutdown already after first 24 h of starvation. Likewise, our findings are also consistent with the results of Stretton et al. [71], who showed the detachment of flagellum during the first days of starvation and argued that due to high energy cost of synthesis, assembly, and function of flagellum, the transition to the non-motile (but metabolically active) state would be more beneficial for cells under starvation. In a similar study, Chen and Chen [72] likewise demonstrated that *V. vulnificus* motility diminished along the time of permanence under nutrient scarcity conditions. Moreover, some authors [73–75] revealed that starvation not only leads to the loss of motility but also increases cell adhesion. Therefore, the loss of chemotactic activity and motility observed in our study for *V. harveyi* populations under starvation could be an important strategy enabling to save energy and ensure cell survival under stress.

Dissolved iron concentrations in open ocean surface waters typically stay below 0.2 nM [76], thus establishing iron-limiting conditions for marine organisms. Several authors [77,78] indicated that the control of iron homeostasis and responses to oxidative stress are interdependent. In other words, iron is not only an essential element for bacterial growth but it is also a toxic metal able to promote the formation of reactive oxygen species (ROS). They cause oxidative stress, consequently elevating the level of catalase peroxidase. In previous work, the success of *V. harveyi* permanence in seawater microcosms at 4 °C was linked to iron homeostasis involving bacterioferritin during the entry into the VBNC [5]. Regarding the populations exposed to starvation at 20 °C (present study), bacterioferritin, which was undetectable at the beginning of experiments, becoming expressed even though no culturability loss was detected.

A similar expression pattern was observed for the mechanosensitive ion channel protein MscS. It seems likely that in addition to its role in coping with osmotic stress, this protein is also involved in cell wall repairing to protect against sustained stress [79] stimulated by visible light.

Taken together, our results demonstrate that V. harveyi adaptation to starvation at 20 °C induces morphological changes leading to cell size reduction and acquisition of the coccoid-like morphology, apparently triggering the acquisition of the VBNC state by the cell exposed to visible light. This finding suggests that the exposure to visible light along with variations in temperature, salinity, and others, might promote the V. harveyi entry to the VBNC state in aquatic systems. Moreover, several studies have proposed that the VBNC cells can potentially preserve their capacity to elicit infections [6,39,80]. Further analysis of cell envelope subproteome revealed that a number of membrane proteins playing the key roles in maintenance of major cell envelope functions constitute a pool of proteins continuously present in viable (culturable and nonculturable) V. harveyi ATCC14126^T populations exposed to stress. The presence of these proteins enables to sustain the key functions of the membranes, such as selective permeability and transport. In contrast, nutrient depletion leads to the loss of proteins involved in cell mobility and chemotaxis. In addition, starvation could potentially affect iron homeostasis largely dependent in the stressed populations on bacterioferritin. Likewise, as exposure to visible light potentially increases oxidative stress, there was a continuous presence of catalase and peroxidase proteins in the long starved cells. Taken together, our proteomic data indicate that adjustments in the cell envelope subproteome were more profound in the case of populations exposed to visible light.

Author Contributions: The authors contributed as follows: conceptualization, I.A. and M.O.; methodology, M.O., C.P.; formal analysis, C.P., I.A. and M.O.; resources, I.A. and M.O.; data curation, I.A.; writing—original draft preparation, I.A. and M.O.; writing—review and editing, I.A., V.R.K. and M.O.; funding acquisition, I.A., V.R.K. and M.O. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript. **Funding:** This work was supported by the Spanish Ministry of Economy and competitiveness (CGL2015-70929-R), the University of the Basque Country (Spain) (projects GIU14/22 and GIU17/041 and pre-doctoral grant BFI-2011-85 to C. Parada), and the Basque Foundation for Science, Ikerbasque (Spain).

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: The data presented in this study are available on request from the corresponding author.

Acknowledgments: All proteomic analysis procedures were performed at the Genomics and Proteomics Core Facility-SGIKER at the University of the Basque Country, UPV/EHU.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Baker-Austin, C.; Trinanes, J.; Gonzalez-Escalona, N.; Martinez-Urtaza, J. Non-Cholera Vibrios: The Microbial Barometer of Climate Change. *Trends Microbiol.* 2017, 25, 76–84. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Vezzulli, L.; Grande, C.; Reid, P.C.; Hélaouët, P.; Edwards, M.; Höfle, M.G.; Brettar, I.; Colwell, R.R.; Pruzzo, C. Climate influence on Vibrio and associated human diseases during the past half-century in the coastal North Atlantic. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2016, 113, E5062–E5071. [CrossRef]
- 3. Du, M.; Chen, J.; Zhang, X.; Li, A.; Li, Y. Characterization and resuscitation of viable but nonculturable *Vibrio alginolyticus* VIB283. *Arch. Microbiol.* **2007**, *188*, 283–288. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 4. Asakura, H.; Ishiwa, A.; Arakawa, E.; Makino, S.-I.; Okada, Y.; Yamamoto, S.; Igimi, S. Gene expression profile of *Vibrio cholerae* in the cold stress-induced viable but non-culturable state. *Environ. Microbiol.* **2006**, *9*, 869–879. [CrossRef]
- 5. Parada, C.; Orruño, M.; Kaberdin, V.; Bravo, Z.; Barcina, I.; Arana, I. Changes in the *Vibrio harveyi* Cell Envelope Subproteome during Permanence in Cold Seawater. *Microb. Ecol.* **2016**, *72*, 549–558. [CrossRef]
- 6. Sun, F.; Chen, J.; Zhong, L.; Zhang, X.-H.; Wang, R.; Guo, Q.; Dong, Y. Characterization and virulence retention of viable but nonculturable Vibrio harveyi. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* **2008**, *64*, 37–44. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 7. Falcioni, T.; Papa, S.; Campana, R.; Manti, A.; Battistelli, M.; Baffone, W. State transitions of *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* VBNC cells evaluated by flow cytometry. *Cytom. Part. B Clin. Cytom.* **2008**, 74, 272–281. [CrossRef]
- 8. Wong, H.; Wang, P. Induction of viable but nonculturable state in Vibrio parahaemolyticus and its susceptibility to environmental stresses. *J. Appl. Microbiol.* **2004**, *96*, 359–366. [CrossRef]
- Nowakowska, J.; Oliver, J.D. Resistance to environmental stresses by *Vibrio vulnificusin* the viable but nonculturable state. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 2013, 84, 213–222. [CrossRef]
- 10. Kaspar, C.W.; Tamplin, M.L. Effects of temperature and salinity on the survival of *Vibrio vulnificus* in seawater and shellfish. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **1993**, *59*, 2425–2429. [CrossRef]
- Randa, M.A.; Polz, M.F.; Lim, E. Effects of Temperature and Salinity on *Vibrio vulnificus* Population Dynamics as Assessed by Quantitative PCR. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 2004, 70, 5469–5476. [CrossRef]
- 12. Blackwell, K.D.; Oliver, J.D. The ecology of *Vibrio vulnificus*, *Vibrio cholerae*, and *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* in North Carolina Estuaries. *J. Microbiol.* **2008**, 46, 146–153. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 13. Hsiao, H.-I.; Jan, M.-S.; Chi, H.-J. Impacts of climatic variability on *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* outbreaks in Taiwan. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2016**, 13, 188. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 14. Vezzulli, L.; Brettar, I.; Pezzati, E.; Reid, P.C.; Colwell, R.R.; Höfle, M.G.; Pruzzo, C. Long-term effects of ocean warming on the prokaryotic community: Evidence from the vibrios. *ISME J.* **2011**, *6*, 21–30. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 15. Gómez-Pereira, P.R.; Hartmann, M.; Grob, C.; Tarran, G.A.; Martin, A.P.; Fuchs, B.M.; Scanlan, D.J.; Zubkov, M.V. Comparable light stimulation of organic nutrient uptake by SAR11 and Prochlorococcus in the North Atlantic subtropical gyre. *ISME J.* **2012**, *7*, 603–614. [CrossRef]
- 16. Mary, I.; Tarran, G.A.; Warwick, P.E.; Terry, M.J.; Scanlan, D.J.; Burkill, P.H.; Zubkov, M.V. Light enhanced amino acid uptake by dominant bacterioplankton groups in surface waters of the Atlantic Ocean. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* **2008**, *63*, 36–45. [CrossRef]
- 17. Gin, K.Y.-H.; Goh, S.G. Modeling the effect of light and salinity on viable but non-culturable (VBNC) Enterococcus. *Water Res.* **2013**, *47*, 3315–3328. [CrossRef]
- Muela, A.; Seco, C.; Camafeita, E.; Arana, I.; Orruã, O.M.; la Pez, J.A.; Barcina, I.; Orruño, M.; Arana, I.; López, J.A. Changes in Escherichia coli outer membrane subproteome under environmental conditions inducing the viable but nonculturable state. FEMS Microbiol. Ecol. 2008, 64, 28–36. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Davies-Colley, R.J.; Bell, R.G.; Donnison, A.M. Sunlight Inactivation of Enterococci and Fecal Coliforms in Sewage Effluent Diluted in Seawater. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 1994, 60, 2049–2058. [CrossRef]

- Muela, A.; García-Bringas, J.; Seco, C.; Arana, I.; Barcina, I. Participation of Oxygen and Role of Exogenous and Endogenous Sensitizers in the Photoinactivation of *Escherichia coli* by Photosynthetically Active Radiation, UV-A and UV-B. *Microb. Ecol.* 2002, 44, 354–364. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Lesser, M.P. Oxidative stress in marine environments: Biochemistry and Physiological Ecology. Annu. Rev. Physiol. 2006, 68, 253–278. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 22. Banin, E.; Vassilakos, D.; Orr, E.; Martinez, R.J.; Rosenberg, E. Superoxide Dismutase Is a Virulence Factor Produced by the Coral Bleaching Pathogen *Vibrio shiloi. Curr. Microbiol.* **2003**, *46*, 418–422. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 23. Munn, C.B.; Marchant, H.K.; Moody, A.J. Defences against oxidative stress in vibrios associated with corals. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* **2008**, *281*, 58–63. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 24. Montánchez, I.; Arana, I.; Parada, C.; Garaizabal, I.; Orruño, M.; Barcina, I.; Kaberdin, V.R. Reprogramming of *Vibrio harveyigene* expression during adaptation in cold seawater. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* **2013**, *87*, 193–203. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Kong, I.-S.; Bates, T.C.; Hülsmann, A.; Hassan, H.; Smith, B.E.; Oliver, J.D. Role of catalase and oxyR in the viable but nonculturable state of *Vibrio vulnificus*. *FEMS Microbiol*. *Ecol.* 2004, *50*, 133–142. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Kaberdin, V.R.; Montánchez, I.; Parada, C.; Orruño, M.; Arana, I.; Barcina, I. Unveiling the Metabolic Pathways Associated with the Adaptive Reduction of Cell Size During *Vibrio harveyi* Persistence in Seawater Microcosms. *Microb. Ecol.* 2015, 70, 689–700. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 27. Abboudi, M.; Surget, S.M.; Rontani, J.-F.; Sempéré, R.; Joux, F. Physiological Alteration of the Marine Bacterium *Vibrio angustum* S14 Exposed to Simulated Sunlight During Growth. *Curr. Microbiol.* **2008**, *57*, 412–417. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Gong, L.; Takayama, K.; Kjelleberg, S. Role of spoT-dependent ppGpp accumulation in the survival of light-exposed starved bacteria. *Microbiology* 2002, 148, 559–570. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 29. Kehoe, S.; Barer, M.; Devlin, L.; McGuigan, K. Batch process solar disinfection is an efficient means of disinfecting drinking water contaminated with *Shigella dysenteriae* type I. *Lett. Appl. Microbiol.* **2004**, *38*, 410–414. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 30. Xu, C.; Wang, S.; Ren, H.; Lin, X.; Wu, L.; Peng, X. Proteomic analysis on the expression of outer membrane proteins of *Vibrio alginolyticus* at different sodium concentrations. *Proteomics* 2005, *5*, 3142–3152. [CrossRef]
- Hobbie, J.E.; Daley, R.J.; Jasper, S. Use of nuclepore filters for counting bacteria by fluorescence microscopy. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 1977, 33, 1225–1228. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 32. Joux, F.; le Baron, P.; Troussellier, M. Succession of cellular states in a *Salmonella typhimurium* population during starvation in artificial seawater microcosms. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* **1997**, *22*, 65–76. [CrossRef]
- 33. Massana, R.; Gasol, J.M.; Bjørnsen, P.K.; Blackburn, N.; Hagström, A.; Hietanen, S.; Hygum, B.H.; Kuparinen, J.; Pedrós-Alió, C. Measurement of bacterial size via image analysis of epifluorescence preparations: Description of an inexpensive system and solutions to some of the most common problems. *Sci. Mar.* 1997, *61*, 397–407.
- 34. Gonzalez-Fernandez, R.; Aloria, K.; Arizmendi, J.M.; Jorrin-Novo, J.V. Application of Label-Free Shotgun nUPLC–MSEand 2-DE Approaches in the Study of *Botrytis cinerea* Mycelium. *J. Proteome Res.* **2013**, *12*, 3042–3056. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 35. Silva, J.C.; Denny, R.; Dorschel, C.A.; Gorenstein, M.; Kass, I.J.; Li, G.-Z.; McKenna, T.; Nold, M.J.; Richardson, K.; Young, A.P.; et al. Quantitative Proteomic Analysis by Accurate Mass Retention Time Pairs. *Anal. Chem.* **2005**, *77*, 2187–2200. [CrossRef]
- 36. Li, G.-Z.; Vissers, J.P.C.; Silva, J.C.; Golick, D.; Gorenstein, M.V.; Geromanos, S.J. Database searching and accounting of multiplexed precursor and product ion spectra from the data independent analysis of simple and complex peptide mixtures. *Proteom.* **2009**, *9*, 1696–1719. [CrossRef]
- Silva, J.C.; Gorenstein, M.V.; Li, G.-Z.; Vissers, J.P.C.; Geromanos, S.J. Absolute Quantification of Proteins by LCMSE. *Mol. Cell. Proteom.* 2006, *5*, 144–156. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Yu, N.Y.; Wagner, J.R.; Laird, M.R.; Melli, G.; Rey, S.; Lo, R.; Dao, P.; Sahinalp, S.C.; Ester, M.; Foster, L.J.; et al. PSORTb 3.0: Improved protein subcellular localization prediction with refined localization subcategories and predictive capabilities for all prokaryotes. *Bioinformatics* 2010, 26, 1608–1615. [CrossRef]
- Wagley, S.; Morcrette, H.; Kovacs-Simon, A.; Yang, Z.R.; Power, A.; Tennant, R.K.; Love, J.; Murray, N.; Titball, R.W.; Butler, C.S. Bacterial dormancy: A subpopulation of viable but non-culturable cells demonstrates better fitness for revival. *PLoS Pathog.* 2021, 17, e1009194. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 40. Montánchez, I.; Kaberdin, V.R. *Vibrio harveyi*: A brief survey of general characteristics and recent epidemiological traits associated with climate change. *Mar. Environ. Res.* **2020**, *154*, 104850. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 41. Orruño, M.; Parada, C.; Ogayar, E.; Kaberdin, V.; Arana, I. Effects of abiotic and biotic factors on Vibrio harveyi ATCC 14126T survival dynamics in seawater microcosms. *Aquat. Microb. Ecol.* **2019**, *83*, 109–118. [CrossRef]
- 42. Abia, A.L.K.; Ubomba-Jaswa, E.; Momba, M.N.B. Competitive Survival of *Escherichia coli*, *Vibrio cholerae*, *Salmonella typhimurium* and *Shigella dysenteriae* in Riverbed Sediments. *Microb. Ecol.* **2016**, 72, 881–889. [CrossRef]
- 43. Jia, J.; Li, Z.; Cao, J.; Jiang, Y.; Liang, C.; Liu, M. Proteomic Analysis of Protein Expression in the Induction of the Viable But Nonculturable State of *Vibrio harveyi* SF1. *Curr. Microbiol.* **2013**, *67*, 442–447. [CrossRef]
- 44. Hernroth, B.; Lothigius, Ã.; Bãlin, I.; Bölin, I. Factors influencing survival of enterotoxigenic *Escherichia coli*, *Salmonella enterica* (serovar Typhimurium) and *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* in marine environments. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* **2009**, *71*, 272–280. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 45. Marco-Noales, E.; Biosca, E.G.; Amaro, C. Effects of Salinity and Temperature on Long-Term Survival of the Eel Pathogen *Vibrio* vulnificus Biotype 2 (Serovar E). *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **1999**, 65, 1117–1126. [CrossRef]

- 46. Jiang, X.; Chai, T.J. Survival of *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* at low temperatures under starvation conditions and subsequent resuscitation of viable, nonculturable cells. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **1996**, *62*, 1300–1305. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 47. Wolf, P.W.; Oliver, J.D. Temperature effects on the viable but non-culturable state of *Vibrio vulnificus*. *FEMS Microbiol*. *Lett*. **1992**, 101, 33–39. [CrossRef]
- 48. Barcina, I.; González, J.; Iriberri, J.; Egea, L. Survival strategy of *Escherichia coli* and *Enterococcus faecalis* in illuminated fresh and marine systems. J. Appl. Bacteriol. **1990**, *68*, 189–198. [CrossRef]
- Pommepuy, M.; Butin, M.; Derrien, A.; Gourmelon, M.; Colwell, R.R.; Cormier, M. Retention of enteropathogenicity by viable but nonculturable *Escherichia coli* exposed to seawater and sunlight. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 1996, 62, 4621–4626. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Sassoubre, L.M.; Nelson, K.L.; Boehm, A.B. Mechanisms for Photoinactivation of *Enterococcus faecalis* in Seawater. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 2012, 78, 7776–7785. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 51. Giacobone, A.F.F.; Oppezzo, O.J. Survival of Pseudomonas aeruginosa exposed to sunlight resembles the phenom of persistence. *J. Photochem. Photobiol. B Biol.* **2015**, 142, 232–236. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 52. Rees, J.F.; de Wergifosse, B.; Noiset, O.; Dubuisson, M.; Janssens, B.; Thompson, E.M. The origins of marine bioluminescence: Turning oxygen defence mechanisms into deep-sea communication tools. *J. Exp. Biol.* **1998**, 201, 1211–1221. [PubMed]
- 53. Kozakiewicz, J.; Gajewska, M.; Łyzen, R.; Czyz, A.; Wegrzyn, G. Bioluminescence-mediated stimulation of photoreactivation in bacteria. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* 2005, 250, 105–110. [CrossRef]
- 54. Lyzeń, R.; Wegrzyn, G. Sensitivity of dark mutants of various strains of luminescent bacteria to reactive oxygen species. *Arch. Microbiol.* **2005**, *183*, 203–208. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 55. Alifano, P.; Nassisi, V.; Siciliano, M.V.; Talà, A.; Tredici, S.M. Unexpected photoreactivation of *Vibrio harveyi* bacteria living in ionization environment. *J. Appl. Phys.* 2011, 109, 104703. [CrossRef]
- Czyz, A.; Wróbel, B.; Węgrzyn, G. Vibrio harveyi bioluminescence plays a role in stimulation of DNA repair We would like to dedicate this paper to the memory of Karol Taylor, who introduced V. harveyi projects to our laboratories. Microbiology 2000, 146, 283–288. [CrossRef]
- 57. Lin, L.-C.; Lin, G.-H.; Wang, Z.-L.; Tseng, Y.-H.; Yu, M.-S. Differential expression of catalases in *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* under various stress conditions. *Res. Microbiol.* **2015**, *166*, 601–608. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 58. Wang, H.; Chen, S.; Zhang, J.; Rothenbacher, F.P.; Jiang, T.; Kan, B.; Zhong, Z.; Zhu, J. Catalases Promote Resistance of Oxidative Stress in *Vibrio cholerae*. *PLoS ONE* **2012**, *7*, e53383. [CrossRef]
- Yumoto, I.; Ichihashi, D.; Iwata, H.; Istokovics, A.; Ichise, N.; Matsuyama, H.; Okuyama, H.; Kawasaki, K. Purification and Characterization of a Catalase from the Facultatively Psychrophilic Bacterium *Vibrio rumoiensis* S-1T Exhibiting High Catalase Activity. J. Bacteriol. 2000, 182, 1903–1909. [CrossRef]
- 60. Asakura, H.; Kawamoto, K.; Haishima, Y.; Igimi, S.; Yamamoto, S.; Makino, S.-I. Differential expression of the outer membrane protein W (OmpW) stress response in enterohemorrhagic *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 corresponds to the viable but non-culturable state. *Res. Microbiol.* **2008**, 159, 709–717. [CrossRef]
- 61. Govindarajan, S.; Amster-Choder, O. Where are things inside a bacterial cell? Curr. Opin. Microbiol. 2016, 33, 83–90. [CrossRef]
- 62. Laddomada, F.; Miyachiro, M.M.; Dessen, A. Structural Insights into Protein-Protein Interactions Involved in Bacterial Cell Wall Biogenesis. *Antibiotics* **2016**, *5*, 14. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 63. Chiu, S.-W.; Chen, S.-Y.; Wong, H.-C. Dynamic Localization of MreB in *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* and in the Ectopic Host Bacterium *Escherichia coli. Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **2008**, *74*, 6739–6745. [CrossRef]
- 64. Al Dahouk, S.; Jubier-Maurin, V.; Neubauer, H.; Köhler, S. Quantitative analysis of the *Brucella suis* proteome reveals metabolic adaptation to long-term nutrient starvation. *BMC Microbiol.* **2013**, *13*, 199. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 65. Bronowski, C.; Mustafa, K.; Goodhead, I.; James, C.E.; Nelson, C.; Lucaci, A.; Wigley, P.; Humphrey, T.J.; Williams, N.J.; Winstanley, C.; et al. *Campylobacter jejuni* transcriptome changes during loss of culturability in water. *PLoS ONE* **2017**, *12*, e0188936. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 66. Yu, F.; Inouye, S.; Inouye, M. Lipoprotein-28, a cytoplasmic membrane lipoprotein from *Escherichia coli*. Cloning, DNA sequence, and expression of its gene. *J. Biol. Chem.* **1986**, *261*, 2284–2288. [CrossRef]
- 67. Wang, W.; Jeffery, C.J. An analysis of surface proteomics results reveals novel candidates for intracellular/surface moonlighting proteins in bacteria. *Mol. BioSyst.* 2016, 12, 1420–1431. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Thofte, O.; Su, Y.-C.; Brant, M.; Littorin, N.; Duell, B.L.; Alvarado, V.; Jalalvand, F.; Riesbeck, K. EF-Tu From Non-typeable Haemophilus influenzae Is an Immunogenic Surface-Exposed Protein Targeted by Bactericidal Antibodies. Front. Immunol. 2018, 9, 2910. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 69. Lai, C.-J.; Chen, S.-Y.; Lin, I.-H.; Chang, C.-H.; Wong, H.-C. Change of protein profiles in the induction of the viable but nonculturable state of *Vibrio parahaemolyticus*. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* **2009**, *135*, 118–124. [CrossRef]
- Malmcrona-Friberg, K.; Goodman, A.; Kjelleberg, S. Chemotactic Responses of Marine *Vibrio* sp. Strain S14 (CCUG 15956) to Low-Molecular-Weight Substances under Starvation and Recovery Conditions. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 1990, 56, 3699–3704. [CrossRef]
- Stretton, S.; Danon, S.J.; Kjelleberg, S.; Goodman, A.E. Changes in cell morphology and motility in the marine *Vibrio* sp. strain S14 during conditions of starvation and recovery. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* 1997, 146, 23–29. [CrossRef]

- 72. Chen, H.; Chen, C.-Y. Starvation Induces Phenotypic Diversification and Convergent Evolution in *Vibrio vulnificus*. *PLoS ONE* **2014**, *9*, e88658. [CrossRef]
- 73. Dawson, M.P.; Humphrey, B.A.; Marshall, K.C. Adhesion: A tactic in the survival strategy of a marine vibrio during starvation. *Curr. Microbiol.* **1981**, *6*, 195–199. [CrossRef]
- 74. Lutz, C.; Erken, M.; Noorian, P.; Sun, S.; McDougald, D. Environmental reservoirs and mechanisms of persistence of *Vibrio* cholerae. Front. Microbiol. 2013, 4, 375. [CrossRef]
- 75. Meng, L.; Alter, T.; Aho, T.; Huehn, S. Gene expression profiles of *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* in viable but non-culturable state. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* **2015**, *91*, 035. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 76. Boyd, P.W.; Ellwood, M.J. The biogeochemical cycle of iron in the ocean. Nat. Geosci. 2010, 3, 675–682. [CrossRef]
- 77. Cornelis, P.; Wei, Q.; Andrews, S.C.; Vinckx, T. Iron homeostasis and management of oxidative stress response in bacteria. *Metallomics* **2011**, *3*, 540–549. [CrossRef]
- 78. Miethke, M.; Pierik, A.J.; Peuckert, F.; Seubert, A.; Marahiel, M.A. Identification and Characterization of a Novel-type Ferric Siderophore Reductase from a Gram-positive Extremophile. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2011**, *286*, 2245–2260. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 79. Koprowski, P.; Grajkowski, W.; Balcerzak, M.; Filipiuk, I.; Fabczak, H.; Kubalski, A. Cytoplasmic Domain of MscS Interacts with Cell Division Protein FtsZ: A Possible Non-Channel Function of the Mechanosensitive Channel in *Escherichia coli*. *PLoS ONE* **2015**, *10*, e0127029. [CrossRef]
- 80. Li, L.; Mendis, N.; Trigui, H.; Oliver, J.D.; Faucher, S.P. The importance of the viable but non-culturable state in human bacterial pathogens. *Front. Microbiol.* **2014**, *5*, 258. [CrossRef] [PubMed]