

Article

Exciting Fixed Point Results under a New Control Function with Supportive Application in Fuzzy Cone Metric Spaces

Hasanen A. Hammad ^{1,*}  and Manuel De la Sen ² ¹ Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Sohag University, Sohag 82524, Egypt² Institute of Research and Development of Processes, University of the Basque Country, 48940 Leioa, Spain; manuel.delasen@ehu.eus

* Correspondence: hassanein_hamad@science.sohag.edu.eg

Abstract: The objective of this paper is to present a new notion of a tripled fixed point (TFP) findings by virtue of a control function in the framework of fuzzy cone metric spaces (FCM-spaces). This function is a continuous one-to-one self-map that is subsequentially convergent (SC) in FCM-spaces. Moreover, by using the triangular property of a FCM, some unique TFP results are shown under modified contractive-type conditions. Additionally, two examples are discussed to uplift our work. Ultimately, to examine and support the theoretical results, the existence and uniqueness solution to a system of Volterra integral equations (VIEs) are obtained.

Keywords: FCM-space; control function; tripled fixed point; Volterra integral equations

MSC: 47H10; 34B15; 54H25



Citation: Hammad, H.A.; De la Sen, M. Exciting Fixed Point Results under a New Control Function with Supportive Application in Fuzzy Cone Metric Spaces. *Mathematics* **2021**, *9*, 2267. <https://doi.org/10.3390/math9182267>

Academic Editor: Christopher Goodrich

Received: 21 August 2021
Accepted: 11 September 2021
Published: 15 September 2021

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

1. Introduction

Fixed points (FPs) have many applications in several fields, including topology, game theory, artificial intelligence, dynamical systems (and chaos), logic programming, economics, and optimal control.

After Banach [1] presented his principle in 1922, which states “There is a unique FP of single-valued contractive type mapping in a complete metric space” the importance of FPs increased and became more prevalent in non-linear analysis, through it, finding the existence and uniqueness of the solution to differential and integral equations became easy to obtain [2–6]. Moreover, a lot of fixed point problems are realized by many researchers for single and multi-valued mapping in metric spaces, see for example the contributions of [7–11].

The notion of cone metric spaces was utilized in 2007 by Huang and Zhang [12] as an extension of the ideas of ordinary metric spaces. They discussed the topological properties and some FP consequences via the idea of the underlying cone is normal. After a year, some FP theorems were discussed without the normality of cone by Rezapour and Hambarani [13]. After that, in this direction, the authors made their contributions in obtaining the FPs under appropriate conditions in the mentioned space, these contributions can be found in [14–16].

In 1920 the idea of fuzzy set theory was introduced by Zadeh [17]. Fuzzy set theory has been considered, utilized, and modified in various trends, in which the one direction of this theory is fuzzy logic, which has a lot of vital applications, like engineering fields, business, and education. In education, fuzzy logic is used to evaluate student outcomes, which a teacher can observe directly, for example, see [18–20]. The other direction which is not less important than the previous one is “fuzzy metric theory”. The concept of fuzzy metric space (FM-space) was presented by Kramosil and Michalek [21]. They proved some basic properties of the FM-space by using the notion of a fuzzy set on metric space. Many

fixed, coupled and TFP results in the setting of FM-space were discussed and obtained, some references in this direction can be found in [22–24].

In 2015, cone metric properties have been combined with fuzzy sets on metric space to obtain a new space called fuzzy cone metric spaces (FCM-spaces). This contribution was made by Oner et al. [25], where they also studied topological properties and obtained some FP results with applications under appropriate contractive conditions in FCM-spaces. Moreover, through the concept of FPs, the ideas of quasi-contraction mappings, compatible and weakly compatible mappings, coupled contractive type mappings, rational contraction mappings and their applications to find the existence solution to some integral equations in FCM-spaces were discussed by many authors, see, for example [26–28].

In 2006, the concept of mixed-monotone functions and coupled FPs was introduced by Bhaskar and Lakshmikantham [29]. Via this concept, pivotal results in partially ordered metric spaces have been driven by the same authors. There are many papers that have been extracted in this direction, and for brevity, for example, see, [30–35].

In 2011, coupled fixed points were extended to triple fixed points by Berinde and Borcut [36]. They presented some important results of this trend in partially ordered metric spaces. To go deeper in this line, we will refer to the references [37–42].

The outline of this work is as follows: In Section 2, we give some elementary properties of FCM-spaces. Some new TFP results are obtained by inserting the triangular property with a continuous, one-one and SC self-mapping in FCM-spaces. Additionally, two examples are presented to justify our theoretical results in Section 3, and at the end, in Section 4, the existence and uniqueness solution to a system of VIEs is proved.

2. Fundamental Facts

This part is inherited for the study of elementary properties of a FCM-space.

Definition 1. Consider $Z \neq \emptyset$. A fuzzy set Ω on Z is a function whose domain is Z and the range is $[0, 1]$.

Definition 2 ([43]). A binary relation $\star : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is called continuous τ -norm, if it fulfills the hypotheses below:

- (1) \star is continuous;
- (2) \star is associative and commutative;
- (3) for all $e \in [0, 1]$, $1 \star e = e$;
- (4) for $e, f, g, h \in [0, 1]$, if $e \leq g$ and $f \leq h$, then $e \star f \leq g \star h$.

Here, \mathbb{N} refers to the set of natural numbers, Ξ represents a Banach space, and \emptyset represents a zero element in Ξ .

Definition 3 ([12]). A subset Y of Ξ is called a cone if

- (1) $Y \neq \emptyset$ is closed and $Y \neq \{\emptyset\}$;
- (2) if $e, f \in \mathbb{R}$ so that $e + f \geq 0$, $\varkappa, \ell \in Y$, then $e\varkappa + f\ell \in Y$;
- (3) if both $\varkappa \in Y$ and $-\varkappa \in Y$, then $\varkappa = \emptyset$.

A partial ordering on a given cone $Y \subset \Xi$ is defined by $\varkappa \preceq \ell \Leftrightarrow \ell - \varkappa \in Y$. $\varkappa \prec \ell$ refers to $\varkappa \preceq \ell$ and $\varkappa \neq \ell$, while $\varkappa \ll \ell$ refers to $\ell - \varkappa \in \text{int}(Y)$. In this manuscript all cones have a nonempty interior.

Definition 4 ([21]). A trio (Z, Q, \star) is called a FM-space if Z is any non-empty set, \star is a continuous τ -norm and Q is a fuzzy set on $Z^2 \times (0, \infty)$ verifying

- (a) $Q(\varkappa, \ell, \tau) > 0$;
- (b) $Q(\varkappa, \ell, \tau) = 1$ iff $\varkappa = \ell$;
- (c) $Q(\varkappa, \ell, \tau) = Q(\ell, \varkappa, \tau)$;
- (d) $Q(\varkappa, \sigma, \tau) + Q(\sigma, \ell, \kappa) \leq Q(\varkappa, \ell, \tau + \kappa)$;

(e) $Q(\varkappa, \ell, \cdot) : (0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is continuous;

for all $\varkappa, \ell, \sigma \in Z, \tau, \kappa > 0$.

Definition 5 ([25]). A trio (Z, Q_c, \star) is called a FCM-space if Y is a cone of Ξ, Z is an arbitrary set, \star is a continuous τ -norm and Q_c is a fuzzy set on $Z^2 \times \text{int}(Y)$ verifying

- (a) $Q_c(\varkappa, \ell, \tau) > \vartheta$;
- (b) $Q_c(\varkappa, \ell, \tau) = 1$ iff $\varkappa = \ell$;
- (c) $Q_c(\varkappa, \ell, \tau) = Q_c(\ell, \varkappa, \tau)$;
- (d) $Q_c(\varkappa, \sigma, \tau) + Q_c(\sigma, \ell, \kappa) \leq Q_c(\varkappa, \ell, \tau + \kappa)$;
- (e) $Q_c(\varkappa, \ell, \cdot) : \text{int}(Y) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is continuous;

for all $\varkappa, \ell, \sigma \in Z, \text{for } \tau, \kappa \in \text{int}(Y)$.

Definition 6 ([25]). Let (Z, Q_c, \star) be a FCM-space, $\ell^* \in Z$ a sequence $\{\varkappa_\beta\} \subset Z$ is called

- converging to \varkappa^* if $\omega \in (0, 1), \tau \gg \vartheta$ and there exists $\beta_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $Q_c(\varkappa_\beta, \varkappa^*, \tau) > 1 - \omega, \text{ for } \beta \geq \beta_1$. As another form, one can write $\lim_{\beta \rightarrow \infty} \varkappa_\beta = \varkappa^*$ or $\varkappa_\beta \rightarrow \varkappa^*$ as $\beta \rightarrow \infty$,
- Cauchy sequence if $\omega \in (0, 1), \tau \gg \vartheta$ and there exists $\beta_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $Q_c(\varkappa_\beta, \varkappa_\alpha, \tau) > 1 - \omega, \text{ for } \beta, \alpha \geq \beta_1$,
- (Z, Q_c, \star) complete if every Cauchy sequence is convergent in Z ,
- Fuzzy cone contractive (FCC) if there is $\varrho \in (0, 1)$, justifying

$$\frac{1}{Q_c(\varkappa_\beta, \varkappa_{\beta+1}, \tau)} - 1 \leq \varrho \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\varkappa_{\beta-1}, \varkappa_\beta, \tau)} - 1 \right), \text{ for } \tau \gg \vartheta, \beta \geq 1.$$

Definition 7 ([25]). Assume that (Z, Q_c, \star) is a FCM-space. The FCM Q_c is triangular if the inequality

$$\frac{1}{Q_c(\varkappa, \ell, \tau)} - 1 \leq \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\varkappa, \sigma, \tau)} - 1 \right) + \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\sigma, \ell, \tau)} - 1 \right),$$

holds, for all $\varkappa, \ell, \sigma \in Z, \text{ for } \tau \gg \vartheta$.

Definition 8 ([25]). Assume that (Z, Q_c, \star) is a FCM-space and $\theta : Z \rightarrow Z$. A mapping θ is called FCC if there is $\varrho \in (0, 1)$ so that

$$\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\varkappa, \theta\ell, \tau)} - 1 \leq \varrho \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\varkappa, \ell, \tau)} - 1 \right), \forall \varkappa, \ell \in Z, \text{ for } \tau \gg \vartheta.$$

Definition 9 ([29]). A pair (\varkappa, ℓ) is called a coupled FP of the mapping $\Theta : Z \times Z \rightarrow Z$ if

$$\Theta(\varkappa, \ell) = \varkappa \text{ and } \Theta(\ell, \varkappa) = \ell.$$

Definition 10 ([36]). A trio $(\varkappa, \ell, \sigma)$ is called a TFP of the mapping $\Theta : Z^3 \rightarrow Z$ (where $Z^3 = Z \times Z \times Z$) if

$$\Theta(\varkappa, \ell, \sigma) = \varkappa, \Theta(\ell, \sigma, \varkappa) = \ell \text{ and } \Theta(\sigma, \varkappa, \ell) = \sigma.$$

Definition 11 ([44]). Let (Z, d) be a metric space. A mapping $\theta : Z \rightarrow Z$ is called sequentially convergent if we have, for every sequence $\{x_n\}$, if $\{\theta x_n\}$ is convergent then $\{x_n\}$ is convergent. θ is called subsequentially convergent if we have, for every sequence $\{x_n\}$, if $\{\theta x_n\}$ is convergent then $\{x_n\}$ has a convergent subsequence.

3. Main Theorems

Now, we are ready to present our pivotal results.

Theorem 1. Assume that (Z, Q_c, \star) is a complete fuzzy cone metric space (CFCM-space), such that Q_c is triangular and $\Theta : Z^3 \rightarrow Z$ is a given mapping. Let θ be a SC, one-one and continuous mapping, that is, $\theta : Z \rightarrow Z$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\Theta(\varkappa, \widehat{\varkappa}, \widetilde{\varkappa}), \theta\Theta(\ell, \widehat{\ell}, \widetilde{\ell}), \tau)} - 1 \\ \leq & a_{11} \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\varkappa, \theta\ell, \tau)} - 1 \right) \\ & + a_{22} \left[\left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\varkappa, \theta\Theta(\varkappa, \widehat{\varkappa}, \widetilde{\varkappa}), \tau)} - 1 \right) + \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\ell, \theta\Theta(\ell, \widehat{\ell}, \widetilde{\ell}), \tau)} - 1 \right) \right] \\ & + a_{33} \left[\left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\varkappa, \theta\Theta(\ell, \widehat{\ell}, \widetilde{\ell}), \tau)} - 1 \right) + \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\ell, \theta\Theta(\varkappa, \widehat{\varkappa}, \widetilde{\varkappa}), \tau)} - 1 \right) \right], \quad (1) \end{aligned}$$

for all $\varkappa, \ell, \widehat{\varkappa}, \widehat{\ell}, \widetilde{\varkappa}, \widetilde{\ell} \in Z$, for $\tau \gg \vartheta$ and $a_{11}, a_{22}, a_{33} \in [0, 1]$ with $a_{11} + 2a_{22} + 2a_{33} < 1$. Then Θ has a TFP. Moreover, if θ is sequentially convergent, then for every $\varkappa_0 \in Z$, the sequence $\{\Theta_0^p \varkappa\}$ converges to this TFP.

Proof. Let $\varkappa_0, \widehat{\varkappa}_0, \widetilde{\varkappa}_0 \in Z$, we build a sequences $\{\varkappa_\beta\}$, $\{\widehat{\varkappa}_\beta\}$ and $\{\widetilde{\varkappa}_\beta\}$ in Z such that

$$\varkappa_{\beta+1} = \Theta(\varkappa_\beta, \widehat{\varkappa}_\beta, \widetilde{\varkappa}_\beta), \widehat{\varkappa}_{\beta+1} = \Theta(\widehat{\varkappa}_\beta, \widetilde{\varkappa}_\beta, \varkappa_\beta) \text{ and } \widetilde{\varkappa}_{\beta+1} = \Theta(\widetilde{\varkappa}_\beta, \varkappa_\beta, \widehat{\varkappa}_\beta), \forall \beta \geq 0.$$

From (1), for $\tau \gg \vartheta$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\varkappa_\beta, \theta\varkappa_{\beta+1}, \tau)} - 1 \\ = & \frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\Theta(\varkappa_{\beta-1}, \widehat{\varkappa}_{\beta-1}, \widetilde{\varkappa}_{\beta-1}), \theta\Theta(\varkappa_\beta, \widehat{\varkappa}_\beta, \widetilde{\varkappa}_\beta), \tau)} - 1 \\ \leq & a_{11} \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\varkappa_{\beta-1}, \theta\varkappa_\beta, \tau)} - 1 \right) \\ & + a_{22} \left[\left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\varkappa_{\beta-1}, \theta\Theta(\varkappa_{\beta-1}, \widehat{\varkappa}_{\beta-1}, \widetilde{\varkappa}_{\beta-1}), \tau)} - 1 \right) + \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\varkappa_\beta, \theta\Theta(\varkappa_\beta, \widehat{\varkappa}_\beta, \widetilde{\varkappa}_\beta), \tau)} - 1 \right) \right] \\ & + a_{33} \left[\left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\varkappa_{\beta-1}, \theta\Theta(\varkappa_\beta, \widehat{\varkappa}_\beta, \widetilde{\varkappa}_\beta), \tau)} - 1 \right) + \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\varkappa_\beta, \theta\Theta(\varkappa_{\beta-1}, \widehat{\varkappa}_{\beta-1}, \widetilde{\varkappa}_{\beta-1}), \tau)} - 1 \right) \right] \\ = & a_{11} \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\varkappa_{\beta-1}, \theta\varkappa_\beta, \tau)} - 1 \right) + a_{22} \left[\left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\varkappa_{\beta-1}, \theta\varkappa_\beta, \tau)} - 1 \right) + \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\varkappa_\beta, \theta\varkappa_{\beta+1}, \tau)} - 1 \right) \right] \\ & + a_{33} \left[\left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\varkappa_{\beta-1}, \theta\varkappa_{\beta+1}, \tau)} - 1 \right) + \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\varkappa_\beta, \theta\varkappa_\beta, \tau)} - 1 \right) \right] \\ \leq & a_{11} \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\varkappa_{\beta-1}, \theta\varkappa_\beta, \tau)} - 1 \right) + a_{22} \left[\left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\varkappa_{\beta-1}, \theta\varkappa_\beta, \tau)} - 1 \right) + \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\varkappa_\beta, \theta\varkappa_{\beta+1}, \tau)} - 1 \right) \right] \\ & + a_{33} \left[\left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\varkappa_{\beta-1}, \theta\varkappa_\beta, \tau)} - 1 \right) + \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\varkappa_\beta, \theta\varkappa_{\beta+1}, \tau)} - 1 \right) \right], \end{aligned}$$

this implies that

$$(1 - a_{22} - a_{33}) \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\varkappa_\beta, \theta\varkappa_{\beta+1}, \tau)} - 1 \right) \leq (a_{11} + a_{22} + a_{33}) \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\varkappa_{\beta-1}, \theta\varkappa_\beta, \tau)} - 1 \right).$$

Thus, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta x_\beta, \theta x_{\beta+1}, \tau)} - 1\right) &\leq \left(\frac{a_{11} + a_{22} + a_{33}}{1 - a_{22} - a_{33}}\right) \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta x_{\beta-1}, \theta x_\beta, \tau)} - 1\right) \\ &= \rho \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta x_{\beta-1}, \theta x_\beta, \tau)} - 1\right), \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where $\rho = \frac{a_{11} + a_{22} + a_{33}}{1 - a_{22} - a_{33}} < 1$. Analogously, using (1), for $\tau \gg \vartheta$, we get

$$\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta x_{\beta-1}, \theta x_\beta, \tau)} - 1 \leq \rho \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta x_{\beta-2}, \theta x_{\beta-1}, \tau)} - 1\right). \tag{3}$$

It follows from induction, (2) and (3) that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{Q_c(\theta x_\beta, \theta x_{\beta+1}, \tau)} - 1 &\leq \rho \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta x_{\beta-1}, \theta x_\beta, \tau)} - 1\right) \\ &\leq \rho^2 \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta x_{\beta-2}, \theta x_{\beta-1}, \tau)} - 1\right) \\ &\leq \dots \\ &\leq \rho^\beta \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta x_0, \theta x_1, \tau)} - 1\right) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \beta \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

this implies that the sequence $\{\theta x_\beta\}$ is a FCC. Therefore

$$\lim_{\beta \rightarrow \infty} Q_c(\theta x_\beta, \theta x_{\beta+1}, \tau) = 1, \text{ for } \tau \gg \vartheta.$$

Again using (1), for $\tau \gg \vartheta$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta \hat{x}_\beta, \theta \hat{x}_{\beta+1}, \tau)} - 1 \\ &= \frac{1}{Q_c(\theta \Theta(\hat{x}_{\beta-1}, \tilde{x}_{\beta-1}, x_{\beta-1}), \theta \Theta(\hat{x}_\beta, \tilde{x}_\beta, x_\beta), \tau)} - 1 \\ &\leq a_{11} \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta \hat{x}_{\beta-1}, \theta \hat{x}_\beta, \tau)} - 1\right) \\ &\quad + a_{22} \left[\left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta \hat{x}_{\beta-1}, \theta \Theta(\hat{x}_{\beta-1}, \tilde{x}_{\beta-1}, x_{\beta-1}), \tau)} - 1\right) + \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta \hat{x}_\beta, \theta \Theta(\hat{x}_\beta, \tilde{x}_\beta, x_\beta), \tau)} - 1\right) \right] \\ &\quad + a_{33} \left[\left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta \hat{x}_{\beta-1}, \theta \Theta(\hat{x}_\beta, \tilde{x}_\beta, x_\beta), \tau)} - 1\right) + \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta \hat{x}_\beta, \theta \Theta(\hat{x}_{\beta-1}, \tilde{x}_{\beta-1}, x_{\beta-1}), \tau)} - 1\right) \right] \\ &= a_{11} \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta \hat{x}_{\beta-1}, \theta \hat{x}_\beta, \tau)} - 1\right) + a_{22} \left[\left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta \hat{x}_{\beta-1}, \theta \hat{x}_\beta, \tau)} - 1\right) + \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta \hat{x}_\beta, \theta \hat{x}_{\beta+1}, \tau)} - 1\right) \right] \\ &\quad + a_{33} \left[\left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta \hat{x}_{\beta-1}, \theta \hat{x}_{\beta+1}, \tau)} - 1\right) + \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta \hat{x}_\beta, \theta \hat{x}_\beta, \tau)} - 1\right) \right] \\ &\leq a_{11} \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta \hat{x}_{\beta-1}, \theta \hat{x}_\beta, \tau)} - 1\right) + a_{22} \left[\left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta \hat{x}_{\beta-1}, \theta \hat{x}_\beta, \tau)} - 1\right) + \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta \hat{x}_\beta, \theta \hat{x}_{\beta+1}, \tau)} - 1\right) \right] \\ &\quad + a_{33} \left[\left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta \hat{x}_{\beta-1}, \theta \hat{x}_\beta, \tau)} - 1\right) + \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta \hat{x}_\beta, \theta \hat{x}_{\beta+1}, \tau)} - 1\right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

By simple calculations, we get

$$\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\hat{x}_\beta, \theta\hat{x}_{\beta+1}, \tau)} - 1 \leq \rho \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\hat{x}_{\beta-1}, \theta\hat{x}_\beta, \tau)} - 1 \right), \tag{5}$$

where ρ is the same as in (2). Analogously, using (1), for $\tau \gg \vartheta$, we get

$$\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\hat{x}_{\beta-1}, \theta\hat{x}_\beta, \tau)} - 1 \leq \rho \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\hat{x}_{\beta-2}, \theta\hat{x}_{\beta-1}, \tau)} - 1 \right). \tag{6}$$

It follows from induction, (5) and (6) that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\hat{x}_\beta, \theta\hat{x}_{\beta+1}, \tau)} - 1 &\leq \rho \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\hat{x}_{\beta-1}, \theta\hat{x}_\beta, \tau)} - 1 \right) \\ &\leq \rho^2 \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\hat{x}_{\beta-2}, \theta\hat{x}_{\beta-1}, \tau)} - 1 \right) \\ &\leq \dots \\ &\leq \rho^\beta \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\hat{x}_0, \theta\hat{x}_1, \tau)} - 1 \right) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \beta \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

this leads to the sequence $\{\theta\hat{x}_\beta\}$ is a FCC. Therefore

$$\lim_{\beta \rightarrow \infty} Q_c(\theta\hat{x}_\beta, \theta\hat{x}_{\beta+1}, \tau) = 1, \text{ for } \tau \gg \vartheta.$$

Similarly, one can show that the sequence $\{\theta\tilde{x}_\beta\}$ is a FCC. Then

$$\lim_{\beta \rightarrow \infty} Q_c(\theta\tilde{x}_\beta, \theta\tilde{x}_{\beta+1}, \tau) = 1, \text{ for } \tau \gg \vartheta.$$

Now, for $\sigma > \ell$ and for $\tau \gg \vartheta$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta x_\beta, \theta x_\sigma, \tau)} - 1 \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta x_\beta, \theta x_{\beta+1}, \tau)} - 1 \right) + \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta x_{\beta+1}, \theta x_{\beta+2}, \tau)} - 1 \right) + \dots + \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta x_{\sigma-1}, \theta x_\sigma, \tau)} - 1 \right) \\ &\leq \rho^\beta \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta x_0, \theta x_1, \tau)} - 1 \right) + \rho^{\beta+1} \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta x_0, \theta x_1, \tau)} - 1 \right) + \dots + \rho^{\sigma-1} \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta x_0, \theta x_1, \tau)} - 1 \right) \\ &= (\rho^\beta + \rho^{\beta+1} + \dots + \rho^{\sigma-1}) \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta x_0, \theta x_1, \tau)} - 1 \right) \\ &= \frac{\rho^\beta}{1 - \rho} \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta x_0, \theta x_1, \tau)} - 1 \right) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \beta \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

this shows that $\{\theta x_\beta\}$ is a Cauchy sequence and we have that

$$\lim_{\beta, \sigma \rightarrow \infty} Q_c(\theta x_\beta, \theta x_\sigma, \tau) = 1, \text{ for } \tau \gg \vartheta.$$

By following the same scenario it can easily be proved that $\{\theta\hat{x}_\beta\}$ and $\{\theta x_\beta\}$ are Cauchy sequences,

$$\lim_{\beta, \sigma \rightarrow \infty} Q_c(\theta\hat{x}_\beta, \theta\hat{x}_\sigma, \tau) = 1, \text{ for } \tau \gg \vartheta,$$

and

$$\lim_{\beta, \sigma \rightarrow \infty} Q_c(\theta\tilde{x}_\beta, \theta\tilde{x}_\sigma, \tau) = 1, \text{ for } \tau \gg \vartheta.$$

The completeness of Z leads to there exist $a, b, c \in Z$ such that $\theta x_\beta \rightarrow a, \theta \hat{x}_\beta \rightarrow b$ and $\theta \tilde{x}_\beta \rightarrow c$ as $\beta \rightarrow \infty$. Since θ is SC and $\{x_\beta\}, \{\hat{x}_\beta\}$ and $\{\tilde{x}_\beta\}$ have convergent subsequences, then there exist $a, b, c \in Z$ and $\{\theta x_{\beta(k)}\}, \{\theta \hat{x}_{\beta(k)}\}$ and $\{\theta \tilde{x}_{\beta(k)}\}$ in Z so that $x_{\beta(k)} \rightarrow a, \hat{x}_{\beta(k)} \rightarrow b$ and $\tilde{x}_{\beta(k)} \rightarrow c$, as $k \rightarrow \infty$, respectively. The continuity of θ implies that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \theta x_{\beta(k)} = \theta a, \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \theta \hat{x}_{\beta(k)} = \theta b \text{ and } \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \theta \tilde{x}_{\beta(k)} = \theta c.$$

From (1) and (4), for $\tau \gg \vartheta$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\Theta(x, \hat{x}, \tilde{x}), \theta x, \tau)} - 1 \\ \leq & \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\Theta(x, \hat{x}, \tilde{x}), \theta\Theta(x_{\beta-1}, \hat{x}_{\beta-1}, \tilde{x}_{\beta-1}), \tau)} - 1 \right) \\ & + \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\Theta(x_{\beta-1}, \hat{x}_{\beta-1}, \tilde{x}_{\beta-1}), \theta\Theta(x_\beta, \hat{x}_\beta, \tilde{x}_\beta), \tau)} - 1 \right) \\ & + \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\Theta(x_\beta, \hat{x}_\beta, \tilde{x}_\beta), \theta x, \tau)} - 1 \right) \\ \leq & a_{11} \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta x, \theta x_{\beta-1}, \tau)} - 1 \right) \\ & + a_{22} \left[\left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta x, \theta\Theta(x, \hat{x}, \tilde{x}), \tau)} - 1 \right) + \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta x_{\beta-1}, \theta\Theta(x_{\beta-1}, \hat{x}_{\beta-1}, \tilde{x}_{\beta-1}), \tau)} - 1 \right) \right] \\ & + a_{33} \left[\left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta x, \theta\Theta(x_{\beta-1}, \hat{x}_{\beta-1}, \tilde{x}_{\beta-1}), \tau)} - 1 \right) + \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta x_{\beta-1}, \theta\Theta(x, \hat{x}, \tilde{x}), \tau)} - 1 \right) \right] \\ & + \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta x_\beta, \theta x_{\beta+1}, \tau)} - 1 \right) + \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\Theta(x_\beta, \hat{x}_\beta, \tilde{x}_\beta), \theta x, \tau)} - 1 \right). \\ \leq & a_{11} \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta x, \theta x_{\beta-1}, \tau)} - 1 \right) \\ & + a_{22} \left[\left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta x, \theta\Theta(x, \hat{x}, \tilde{x}), \tau)} - 1 \right) + \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta x_{\beta-1}, \theta\Theta(x_{\beta-1}, \hat{x}_{\beta-1}, \tilde{x}_{\beta-1}), \tau)} - 1 \right) \right] \\ & + a_{33} \left[\left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta x, \theta\Theta(x_{\beta-1}, \hat{x}_{\beta-1}, \tilde{x}_{\beta-1}), \tau)} - 1 \right) + \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta x_{\beta-1}, \theta\Theta(x, \hat{x}, \tilde{x}), \tau)} - 1 \right) \right] \\ & + \rho^\beta \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta x_0, \theta x_1, \tau)} - 1 \right) + \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\Theta(x_\beta, \hat{x}_\beta, \tilde{x}_\beta), \theta x, \tau)} - 1 \right) \end{aligned}$$

this yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\Theta(\varkappa, \hat{\varkappa}, \tilde{\varkappa}), \theta\varkappa, \tau)} - 1 \\ \leq & \frac{a_{11}}{1 - a_{22}} \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\varkappa, \theta\varkappa_{\beta-1}, \tau)} - 1 \right) + \frac{a_{22}}{1 - a_{22}} \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\varkappa_{\beta-1}, \theta\Theta(\varkappa_{\beta-1}, \hat{\varkappa}_{\beta-1}, \tilde{\varkappa}_{\beta-1}), \tau)} - 1 \right) \\ & + \frac{a_{33}}{1 - a_{22}} \left[\left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\varkappa, \theta\Theta(\varkappa_{\beta-1}, \hat{\varkappa}_{\beta-1}, \tilde{\varkappa}_{\beta-1}), \tau)} - 1 \right) + \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\varkappa_{\beta-1}, \theta\Theta(\varkappa, \hat{\varkappa}, \tilde{\varkappa}), \tau)} - 1 \right) \right] \\ & + \frac{\rho^\beta}{1 - a_{22}} \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\varkappa_0, \theta\varkappa_1, \tau)} - 1 \right) + \frac{1}{1 - a_{22}} \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\Theta(\varkappa_\beta, \hat{\varkappa}_\beta, \tilde{\varkappa}_\beta), \theta\varkappa, \tau)} - 1 \right) \\ \rightarrow & 0 \text{ as } \beta \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have $Q_c(\theta\Theta(\varkappa, \hat{\varkappa}, \tilde{\varkappa}), \theta\varkappa, \tau) = 1$, this implies that $\theta\Theta(\varkappa, \hat{\varkappa}, \tilde{\varkappa}) = \theta\varkappa$. Analogously, one can obtain that $\theta\Theta(\hat{\varkappa}, \tilde{\varkappa}, \varkappa) = \theta\hat{\varkappa}$ and $\theta\Theta(\tilde{\varkappa}, \varkappa, \hat{\varkappa}) = \theta\tilde{\varkappa}$. Since θ is one-one, then $\Theta(\varkappa, \hat{\varkappa}, \tilde{\varkappa}) = \varkappa$, $\Theta(\hat{\varkappa}, \tilde{\varkappa}, \varkappa) = \hat{\varkappa}$ and $\Theta(\tilde{\varkappa}, \varkappa, \hat{\varkappa}) = \tilde{\varkappa}$. This leads to the point $(\varkappa, \hat{\varkappa}, \tilde{\varkappa})$ is a TFP of the mapping Θ .

For the uniqueness, consider $(\varkappa_1, \hat{\varkappa}_1, \tilde{\varkappa}_1)$ is another TFP of Θ so that $\Theta(\varkappa_1, \hat{\varkappa}_1, \tilde{\varkappa}_1) = \varkappa_1$, $\Theta(\hat{\varkappa}_1, \tilde{\varkappa}_1, \varkappa_1) = \hat{\varkappa}_1$ and $\Theta(\tilde{\varkappa}_1, \varkappa_1, \hat{\varkappa}_1) = \tilde{\varkappa}_1$. Using (1), for $\tau \gg \vartheta$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\varkappa, \theta\varkappa_1, \tau)} - 1 &= \frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\Theta(\varkappa, \hat{\varkappa}, \tilde{\varkappa}), \theta\Theta(\varkappa_1, \hat{\varkappa}_1, \tilde{\varkappa}_1), \tau)} - 1 \\ &\leq a_{11} \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\varkappa, \theta\varkappa_1, \tau)} - 1 \right) \\ &\quad + a_{22} \left[\left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\varkappa, \theta\Theta(\varkappa, \hat{\varkappa}, \tilde{\varkappa}), \tau)} - 1 \right) + \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\varkappa_1, \theta\Theta(\varkappa_1, \hat{\varkappa}_1, \tilde{\varkappa}_1), \tau)} - 1 \right) \right] \\ &\quad + a_{33} \left[\left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\varkappa, \theta\Theta(\varkappa_1, \hat{\varkappa}_1, \tilde{\varkappa}_1), \tau)} - 1 \right) + \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\varkappa_1, \theta\Theta(\varkappa, \hat{\varkappa}, \tilde{\varkappa}), \tau)} - 1 \right) \right] \\ &= (a_{11} + 2a_{33}) \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\varkappa, \theta\varkappa_1, \tau)} - 1 \right) \\ &= (a_{11} + 2a_{33}) \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\Theta(\varkappa, \hat{\varkappa}, \tilde{\varkappa}), \theta\Theta(\varkappa_1, \hat{\varkappa}_1, \tilde{\varkappa}_1), \tau)} - 1 \right) \\ &\leq (a_{11} + 2a_{33}) \left[a_{11} \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\varkappa, \theta\varkappa_1, \tau)} - 1 \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + a_{22} \left(\left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\varkappa, \theta\Theta(\varkappa, \hat{\varkappa}, \tilde{\varkappa}), \tau)} - 1 \right) + \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\varkappa_1, \theta\Theta(\varkappa_1, \hat{\varkappa}_1, \tilde{\varkappa}_1), \tau)} - 1 \right) \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + a_{33} \left(\left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\varkappa, \theta\Theta(\varkappa_1, \hat{\varkappa}_1, \tilde{\varkappa}_1), \tau)} - 1 \right) + \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\varkappa_1, \theta\Theta(\varkappa, \hat{\varkappa}, \tilde{\varkappa}), \tau)} - 1 \right) \right) \right] \\ &= (a_{11} + 2a_{33}) \left[(a_{11} + 2a_{33}) \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\varkappa, \theta\varkappa_1, \tau)} - 1 \right) \right] \\ &= (a_{11} + 2a_{33})^2 \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\varkappa, \theta\varkappa_1, \tau)} - 1 \right) \leq \dots \\ &\leq (a_{11} + 2a_{33})^\beta \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\varkappa, \theta\varkappa_1, \tau)} - 1 \right) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \beta \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $Q_c(\theta\varkappa, \theta\varkappa_1, \tau) = 1$, this implies for $\tau \gg \vartheta$ that $\varkappa = \varkappa_1$. By the same manner, we can find that $\hat{\varkappa} = \hat{\varkappa}_1$ and $\tilde{\varkappa} = \tilde{\varkappa}_1$. This finishes the proof. \square

Corollary 1. Theorem 1 is still valid if we replace the contractive condition (1) with one of the following:

- (i) for all $\varkappa, \ell, \hat{\varkappa}, \hat{\ell}, \tilde{\varkappa}, \tilde{\ell} \in Z$, for $\tau \gg \vartheta$, we have

$$\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\Theta(\varkappa, \widehat{\varkappa}, \widetilde{\varkappa}), \theta\Theta(\ell, \widehat{\ell}, \widetilde{\ell}), \tau)} - 1 \leq a_{11} \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\varkappa, \theta\ell, \tau)} - 1 \right) + a_{22} \left[\left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\varkappa, \theta\Theta(\varkappa, \widehat{\varkappa}, \widetilde{\varkappa}), \tau)} - 1 \right) + \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\ell, \theta\Theta(\ell, \widehat{\ell}, \widetilde{\ell}), \tau)} - 1 \right) \right],$$

where $a_{11}, a_{22} \in [0, 1]$ with $a_{11} + 2a_{22} < 1$.
 (ii) for all $\varkappa, \ell, \widehat{\varkappa}, \widehat{\ell}, \widetilde{\varkappa}, \widetilde{\ell} \in Z$, for $\tau \gg \vartheta$, we get

$$\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\Theta(\varkappa, \widehat{\varkappa}, \widetilde{\varkappa}), \theta\Theta(\ell, \widehat{\ell}, \widetilde{\ell}), \tau)} - 1 \leq a_{11} \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\varkappa, \theta\ell, \tau)} - 1 \right) + a_{33} \left[\left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\varkappa, \theta\Theta(\ell, \widehat{\ell}, \widetilde{\ell}), \tau)} - 1 \right) + \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\ell, \theta\Theta(\varkappa, \widehat{\varkappa}, \widetilde{\varkappa}), \tau)} - 1 \right) \right],$$

where $a_{11}, a_{33} \in [0, 1]$ with $a_{11} + 2a_{33} < 1$.
 (iii) for all $\varkappa, \ell, \widehat{\varkappa}, \widehat{\ell}, \widetilde{\varkappa}, \widetilde{\ell} \in Z$, for $\tau \gg \vartheta$, set $\theta = I$ (where I is the identity map) and neglect the SC property, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{Q_c(\Theta(\varkappa, \widehat{\varkappa}, \widetilde{\varkappa}), \Theta(\ell, \widehat{\ell}, \widetilde{\ell}), \tau)} - 1 \leq a_{11} \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\varkappa, \ell, \tau)} - 1 \right) + a_{22} \left[\left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\varkappa, \Theta(\varkappa, \widehat{\varkappa}, \widetilde{\varkappa}), \tau)} - 1 \right) + \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\ell, \Theta(\ell, \widehat{\ell}, \widetilde{\ell}), \tau)} - 1 \right) \right] + a_{33} \left[\left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\varkappa, \Theta(\ell, \widehat{\ell}, \widetilde{\ell}), \tau)} - 1 \right) + \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\ell, \Theta(\varkappa, \widehat{\varkappa}, \widetilde{\varkappa}), \tau)} - 1 \right) \right],$$

where $a_{11}, a_{11}, a_{33} \in [0, 1]$ with $a_{11} + 2a_{22} + 2a_{33} < 1$.

To strengthen Theorem 1 by fulfilling its assumptions, we will give the following example:

Example 1. Consider $Z = \{0\} \cup \{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{4}, \dots\}$ and let $Q_c : Z^2 \times (0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be defined by

$$Q_c(\varkappa, \ell, \tau) = \frac{\tau}{\tau + d(\varkappa, \ell)}, \text{ where } d(\varkappa, \ell) = |\varkappa - \ell|, \forall \varkappa, \ell \in Z, \tau > 0. \tag{7}$$

It is clear that Q_c is a triangular and (Z, Q_c, \star) is a CFCM-space. Define the mappings $\Theta : Z^3 \rightarrow Z$ and $\theta : Z \rightarrow Z$ by

$$\Theta(f, g, h) = \begin{cases} (0, 0, 0), & \text{if } f = g = h = 0, \\ \frac{1}{r+s+t+3}, & \text{if } f = \frac{1}{r}, g = \frac{1}{s}, h = \frac{1}{t}, \forall r, s, t \geq 2, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\theta(f) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } f = 0, \\ \frac{1}{r}, & \text{if } f = \frac{1}{r}, \forall r \geq 2, \end{cases}$$

respectively. Based on (7), for $\tau > 0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{Q_c\left(\theta\Theta\left(\frac{1}{r}, \frac{1}{s}, \frac{1}{t}\right), \theta\Theta\left(\frac{1}{u}, \frac{1}{v}, \frac{1}{w}\right), \tau\right)} - 1 \\ &= \frac{1}{\tau} d\left(\theta\Theta\left(\frac{1}{r}, \frac{1}{s}, \frac{1}{t}\right), \theta\Theta\left(\frac{1}{u}, \frac{1}{v}, \frac{1}{w}\right)\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\tau} \left| \frac{1}{(r+s+t+3)^{r+s+t+3}} - \frac{1}{(u+v+w+3)^{u+v+w+3}} \right|, \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

for all $r, s, t, u, v, w \geq 2$. Assume that

$$\frac{1}{\tau} \left(\frac{1}{(r+s+t+3)^{r+s+t+3}} \right) \leq \frac{1}{5\tau} \left(\frac{2}{r^r} - \frac{1}{(r+s+t+3)^{r+s+t+3}} \right). \tag{9}$$

Hence by (8) and (9), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{1}{Q_c\left(\theta\Theta\left(\frac{1}{r}, \frac{1}{s}, \frac{1}{t}\right), \theta\Theta\left(\frac{1}{u}, \frac{1}{v}, \frac{1}{w}\right), \tau\right)} - 1 \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{5\tau} \left| \left(\frac{2}{r^r} - \frac{1}{(r+s+t+3)^{r+s+t+3}} \right) - \left(\frac{2}{u^u} - \frac{1}{(u+v+w+3)^{u+v+w+3}} \right) \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{\tau} \left| \left(\frac{1}{5r^r} + \frac{1}{5r^r} - \frac{1}{10(r+s+t+3)^{r+s+t+3}} - \frac{1}{10(r+s+t+3)^{r+s+t+3}} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \left(\frac{1}{5u^u} + \frac{1}{5u^u} - \frac{1}{10(u+v+w+3)^{u+v+w+3}} - \frac{1}{10(u+v+w+3)^{u+v+w+3}} \right) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\tau} \left| \frac{1}{5r^r} - \frac{1}{5u^u} \right| + \frac{1}{\tau} \left| \left(\frac{1}{5r^r} - \frac{1}{10(r+s+t+3)^{r+s+t+3}} - \frac{1}{10(r+s+t+3)^{r+s+t+3}} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \left(\frac{1}{5u^u} - \frac{1}{10(u+v+w+3)^{u+v+w+3}} - \frac{1}{10(u+v+w+3)^{u+v+w+3}} \right) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\tau} \left| \frac{1}{5r^r} - \frac{1}{5u^u} \right| + \frac{1}{\tau} \left| \left(\frac{1}{10r^r} - \frac{1}{10(r+s+t+3)^{r+s+t+3}} \right) + \left(\frac{1}{10u^u} - \frac{1}{10(u+v+w+3)^{u+v+w+3}} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left(\frac{1}{10r^r} - \frac{1}{10(u+v+w+3)^{u+v+w+3}} \right) + \left(\frac{1}{10u^u} - \frac{1}{10(r+s+t+3)^{r+s+t+3}} \right) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{5\tau} \left| \frac{1}{r^r} - \frac{1}{u^u} \right| + \frac{1}{10\tau} \left| \left(\frac{1}{r^r} - \frac{1}{(r+s+t+3)^{r+s+t+3}} \right) + \left(\frac{1}{u^u} - \frac{1}{(u+v+w+3)^{u+v+w+3}} \right) \right| \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{10\tau} \left| \left(\frac{1}{r^r} - \frac{1}{(u+v+w+3)^{u+v+w+3}} \right) + \left(\frac{1}{u^u} - \frac{1}{(r+s+t+3)^{r+s+t+3}} \right) \right|. \end{aligned}$$

hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{1}{Q_c\left(\theta\Theta\left(\frac{1}{r}, \frac{1}{s}, \frac{1}{t}\right), \theta\Theta\left(\frac{1}{u}, \frac{1}{v}, \frac{1}{w}\right), \tau\right)} - 1 \\
 \leq & \frac{1}{5} \left(\frac{1}{Q_c\left(\theta\left(\frac{1}{r}\right), \theta\left(\frac{1}{u}\right), \tau\right)} - 1 \right) \\
 & + \frac{1}{10} \left[\left(\frac{1}{Q_c\left(\theta\left(\frac{1}{r}\right), \theta\Theta\left(\frac{1}{r}, \frac{1}{s}, \frac{1}{t}\right), \tau\right)} - 1 \right) + \left(\frac{1}{Q_c\left(\theta\left(\frac{1}{u}\right), \theta\Theta\left(\frac{1}{u}, \frac{1}{v}, \frac{1}{w}\right), \tau\right)} - 1 \right) \right] \\
 & + \frac{1}{10} \left[\left(\frac{1}{Q_c\left(\theta\left(\frac{1}{r}\right), \theta\Theta\left(\frac{1}{u}, \frac{1}{v}, \frac{1}{w}\right), \tau\right)} - 1 \right) + \left(\frac{1}{Q_c\left(\theta\left(\frac{1}{u}\right), \theta\Theta\left(\frac{1}{r}, \frac{1}{s}, \frac{1}{t}\right), \tau\right)} - 1 \right) \right]. \tag{10}
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, inequality (10) fulfills all assumptions of Theorem 1 with $a_{11} = \frac{1}{5}$, $a_{22} = a_{33} = \frac{1}{10}$ and $(0, 0, 0)$ is a unique TFP of Θ .

Theorem 2. Suppose that (Z, Q_c, \star) is a CFCM-space, which Q_c is triangular and $\Theta : Z^3 \rightarrow Z$ is a given mapping. Let $\theta : Z \rightarrow Z$ be a SC, one-one and continuous mapping so that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{1}{Q_c\left(\theta\Theta(\varkappa, \widehat{\varkappa}, \widetilde{\varkappa}), \theta\Theta(\ell, \widehat{\ell}, \widetilde{\ell}), \tau\right)} - 1 \\
 \leq & a_{11} \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\varkappa, \theta\ell, \tau)} - 1 \right) \\
 & + a_{22} \left[\left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\varkappa, \theta\Theta(\varkappa, \widehat{\varkappa}, \widetilde{\varkappa}), \tau)} - 1 \right) + \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\ell, \theta\Theta(\ell, \widehat{\ell}, \widetilde{\ell}), \tau)} - 1 \right) \right] \\
 & + a_{33} \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\ell, \theta\Theta(\varkappa, \widehat{\varkappa}, \widetilde{\varkappa}), \tau) \star Q_c(\theta\ell, \theta\Theta(\ell, \widehat{\ell}, \widetilde{\ell}), \tau)} - 1 \right), \tag{11}
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $\varkappa, \ell, \widehat{\varkappa}, \widehat{\ell}, \widetilde{\varkappa}, \widetilde{\ell} \in Z$, for $\tau \gg \vartheta$ and $a_{11}, a_{22}, a_{33} \in [0, 1]$ with $a_{11} + 2a_{22} + 2a_{33} < 1$. Then Θ owns a TFP. Moreover, if θ is sequentially convergent, then for every $\varkappa_0 \in Z$, the sequence $\{\Theta_0^\beta \varkappa\}$ converges to this TFP.

Proof. Let $\varkappa_0, \widehat{\varkappa}_0, \widetilde{\varkappa}_0 \in Z$, we form a sequences $\{\varkappa_\beta\}$, $\{\widehat{\varkappa}_\beta\}$ and $\{\widetilde{\varkappa}_\beta\}$ in Z so that

$$\varkappa_{\beta+1} = \Theta(\varkappa_\beta, \widehat{\varkappa}_\beta, \widetilde{\varkappa}_\beta), \widehat{\varkappa}_{\beta+1} = \Theta(\widehat{\varkappa}_\beta, \widetilde{\varkappa}_\beta, \varkappa_\beta) \text{ and } \widetilde{\varkappa}_{\beta+1} = \Theta(\widetilde{\varkappa}_\beta, \varkappa_\beta, \widehat{\varkappa}_\beta), \forall \beta \geq 0.$$

Using (11), for $\tau \gg \vartheta$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{1}{Q_c(\theta x_\beta, \theta x_{\beta+1}, \tau)} - 1 \\
 = & \frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\Theta(x_{\beta-1}, \hat{x}_{\beta-1}, \tilde{x}_{\beta-1}), \theta\Theta(x_\beta, \hat{x}_\beta, \tilde{x}_\beta), \tau)} - 1 \\
 \leq & a_{11} \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta x_{\beta-1}, \theta x_\beta, \tau)} - 1 \right) \\
 & + a_{22} \left[\left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta x_{\beta-1}, \theta\Theta(x_{\beta-1}, \hat{x}_{\beta-1}, \tilde{x}_{\beta-1}), \tau)} - 1 \right) + \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta x_\beta, \theta\Theta(x_\beta, \hat{x}_\beta, \tilde{x}_\beta), \tau)} - 1 \right) \right] \\
 & + a_{33} \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta x_\beta, \theta\Theta(x_{\beta-1}, \hat{x}_{\beta-1}, \tilde{x}_{\beta-1}), \tau) * Q_c(\theta x_\beta, \theta\Theta(x_\beta, \hat{x}_\beta, \tilde{x}_\beta), \tau)} - 1 \right) \\
 = & a_{11} \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta x_{\beta-1}, \theta x_\beta, \tau)} - 1 \right) + a_{22} \left[\left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta x_{\beta-1}, \theta x_\beta, \tau)} - 1 \right) + \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta x_\beta, \theta x_{\beta+1}, \tau)} - 1 \right) \right] \\
 & + a_{33} \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta x_\beta, \theta x_\beta, \tau) * Q_c(\theta x_\beta, \theta x_{\beta+1}, \tau)} - 1 \right) \\
 = & a_{11} \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta x_{\beta-1}, \theta x_\beta, \tau)} - 1 \right) + a_{22} \left[\left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta x_{\beta-1}, \theta x_\beta, \tau)} - 1 \right) + \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta x_\beta, \theta x_{\beta+1}, \tau)} - 1 \right) \right] \\
 & + a_{33} \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta x_\beta, \theta x_{\beta+1}, \tau)} - 1 \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

After simplification, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta x_\beta, \theta x_{\beta+1}, \tau)} - 1 \leq \alpha \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta x_{\beta-1}, \theta x_\beta, \tau)} - 1 \right), \tag{12}$$

where $\alpha = \frac{a_{11}+a_{22}}{1-a_{22}-a_{33}} < 1$. Again, using (11), for $\tau \gg \vartheta$, we see that

$$\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta x_{\beta-1}, \theta x_\beta, \tau)} - 1 \leq \alpha \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta x_{\beta-2}, \theta x_{\beta-1}, \tau)} - 1 \right). \tag{13}$$

It follows by induction, (12) and (13) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{1}{Q_c(\theta x_\beta, \theta x_{\beta+1}, \tau)} - 1 & \leq \alpha \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta x_{\beta-1}, \theta x_\beta, \tau)} - 1 \right) \\
 & \leq \alpha^2 \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta x_{\beta-2}, \theta x_{\beta-1}, \tau)} - 1 \right) \\
 & \leq \dots \\
 & \leq \alpha^\beta \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta x_0, \theta x_1, \tau)} - 1 \right) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \beta \rightarrow \infty,
 \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

this leads to $\{\theta x_\beta\}_{\beta \geq 0}$ is a FCC and we have that

$$\lim_{\beta \rightarrow \infty} Q_c(\theta x_\beta, \theta x_{\beta+1}, \tau) = 1, \text{ for } \tau \gg \vartheta.$$

Again for the sequence $\{\hat{x}_\beta\}$, by (11), for $\tau \gg \vartheta$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\hat{\varkappa}_\beta, \theta\hat{\varkappa}_{\beta+1}, \tau)} - 1 \\
 = & \frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\Theta(\hat{\varkappa}_{\beta-1}, \tilde{\varkappa}_{\beta-1}, \varkappa_{\beta-1}), \theta\Theta(\hat{\varkappa}_\beta, \tilde{\varkappa}_\beta, \varkappa_\beta), \tau)} - 1 \\
 \leq & a_{11} \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\hat{\varkappa}_{\beta-1}, \theta\hat{\varkappa}_\beta, \tau)} - 1 \right) \\
 & + a_{22} \left[\left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\hat{\varkappa}_{\beta-1}, \theta\Theta(\hat{\varkappa}_{\beta-1}, \tilde{\varkappa}_{\beta-1}, \varkappa_{\beta-1}), \tau)} - 1 \right) + \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\hat{\varkappa}_\beta, \theta\Theta(\hat{\varkappa}_\beta, \tilde{\varkappa}_\beta, \varkappa_\beta), \tau)} - 1 \right) \right] \\
 & + a_{33} \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\hat{\varkappa}_\beta, \theta\Theta(\hat{\varkappa}_{\beta-1}, \tilde{\varkappa}_{\beta-1}, \varkappa_{\beta-1}), \tau) \star Q_c(\theta\hat{\varkappa}_\beta, \theta\Theta(\hat{\varkappa}_\beta, \tilde{\varkappa}_\beta, \varkappa_\beta), \tau)} - 1 \right) \\
 = & a_{11} \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\hat{\varkappa}_{\beta-1}, \theta\hat{\varkappa}_\beta, \tau)} - 1 \right) + a_{22} \left[\left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\hat{\varkappa}_{\beta-1}, \theta\hat{\varkappa}_\beta, \tau)} - 1 \right) + \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\hat{\varkappa}_\beta, \theta\hat{\varkappa}_{\beta+1}, \tau)} - 1 \right) \right] \\
 & + a_{33} \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\hat{\varkappa}_\beta, \theta\hat{\varkappa}_\beta, \tau) \star Q_c(\theta\hat{\varkappa}_\beta, \theta\hat{\varkappa}_\beta, \tau)} - 1 \right) \\
 = & a_{11} \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\hat{\varkappa}_{\beta-1}, \theta\hat{\varkappa}_\beta, \tau)} - 1 \right) + a_{22} \left[\left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\hat{\varkappa}_{\beta-1}, \theta\hat{\varkappa}_\beta, \tau)} - 1 \right) + \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\hat{\varkappa}_\beta, \theta\hat{\varkappa}_{\beta+1}, \tau)} - 1 \right) \right] \\
 & + a_{33} \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\hat{\varkappa}_\beta, \theta\hat{\varkappa}_{\beta+1}, \tau)} - 1 \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, one can write

$$\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\hat{\varkappa}_\beta, \theta\hat{\varkappa}_{\beta+1}, \tau)} - 1 \leq \alpha \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\hat{\varkappa}_{\beta-1}, \theta\hat{\varkappa}_\beta, \tau)} - 1 \right), \tag{15}$$

where α is the same as in (12). Again, from (11), for $\tau \gg \vartheta$, we get

$$\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\hat{\varkappa}_{\beta-1}, \theta\hat{\varkappa}_\beta, \tau)} - 1 \leq \alpha \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\hat{\varkappa}_{\beta-2}, \theta\hat{\varkappa}_{\beta-1}, \tau)} - 1 \right). \tag{16}$$

It follows by induction, (15) and (16) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\hat{\varkappa}_\beta, \theta\hat{\varkappa}_{\beta+1}, \tau)} - 1 & \leq \alpha \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\hat{\varkappa}_{\beta-1}, \theta\hat{\varkappa}_\beta, \tau)} - 1 \right) \\
 & \leq \alpha^2 \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\hat{\varkappa}_{\beta-2}, \theta\hat{\varkappa}_{\beta-1}, \tau)} - 1 \right) \\
 & \leq \dots \\
 & \leq \alpha^\beta \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\hat{\varkappa}_0, \theta\hat{\varkappa}_1, \tau)} - 1 \right) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \beta \rightarrow \infty,
 \end{aligned}$$

therefore, the sequence $\{\theta\hat{\varkappa}_\beta\}_{\beta \geq 0}$ is a FCC,

$$\lim_{\beta \rightarrow \infty} Q_c(\theta\hat{\varkappa}_\beta, \theta\hat{\varkappa}_{\beta+1}, \tau) = 1, \text{ for } \tau \gg \vartheta.$$

Similarly, one can illustrate that the sequence $\{\theta\tilde{\varkappa}_\beta\}_{\beta \geq \vartheta}$ is a FCC,

$$\lim_{\beta \rightarrow \infty} Q_c(\theta\tilde{\varkappa}_\beta, \theta\tilde{\varkappa}_{\beta+1}, \tau) = 1, \text{ for } \tau \gg \vartheta.$$

Now, for $\sigma > \ell$ and for $\tau \gg \vartheta$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{Q_c(\theta x_\beta, \theta x_\sigma, \tau)} - 1 \\ \leq & \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta x_\beta, \theta x_{\beta+1}, \tau)} - 1 \right) + \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta x_{\beta+1}, \theta x_{\beta+2}, \tau)} - 1 \right) + \dots + \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta x_{\sigma-1}, \theta x_\sigma, \tau)} - 1 \right) \\ \leq & \alpha^\beta \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta x_0, \theta x_1, \tau)} - 1 \right) + \alpha^{\beta+1} \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta x_0, \theta x_1, \tau)} - 1 \right) + \dots + \alpha^{\sigma-1} \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta x_0, \theta x_1, \tau)} - 1 \right) \\ = & \left(\alpha^\beta + \alpha^{\beta+1} + \dots + \alpha^{\sigma-1} \right) \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta x_0, \theta x_1, \tau)} - 1 \right) \\ = & \frac{\alpha^\beta}{1 - \alpha} \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta x_0, \theta x_1, \tau)} - 1 \right) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \beta \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

this proves that $\{\theta x_\beta\}$ is a Cauchy sequence and we have that

$$\lim_{\beta, \sigma \rightarrow \infty} Q_c(\theta x_\beta, \theta x_\sigma, \tau) = 1, \text{ for } \tau \gg \vartheta.$$

By following the same manner it can easily be showed that $\{\theta \hat{x}_\beta\}$ and $\{\theta \tilde{x}_\beta\}$ are also Cauchy sequences,

$$\lim_{\beta, \sigma \rightarrow \infty} Q_c(\theta \hat{x}_\beta, \theta \hat{x}_\sigma, \tau) = 1, \text{ for } \tau \gg \vartheta,$$

and

$$\lim_{\beta, \sigma \rightarrow \infty} Q_c(\theta \tilde{x}_\beta, \theta \tilde{x}_\sigma, \tau) = 1, \text{ for } \tau \gg \vartheta.$$

The completeness of Z leads to there are $a, b, c \in Z$ so that $\theta x_\beta \rightarrow a$, $\theta \hat{x}_\beta \rightarrow b$ and $\theta \tilde{x}_\beta \rightarrow c$ as $\beta \rightarrow \infty$. Because θ is SC and $\{x_\beta\}$, $\{\hat{x}_\beta\}$ and $\{\tilde{x}_\beta\}$ have convergent subsequences, then there are $a, b, c \in Z$ and $\{x_{\beta(k)}\}$, $\{\hat{x}_{\beta(k)}\}$ and $\{\tilde{x}_{\beta(k)}\}$ in Z so that $x_{\beta(k)} \rightarrow a$, $\hat{x}_{\beta(k)} \rightarrow b$ and $\tilde{x}_{\beta(k)} \rightarrow c$, as $k \rightarrow \infty$, respectively. The continuity of θ implies that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \theta x_{\beta(k)} = \theta a, \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \theta \hat{x}_{\beta(k)} = \theta b \text{ and } \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \theta \tilde{x}_{\beta(k)} = \theta c.$$

From (11) and (14), for $\tau \gg \vartheta$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\Theta(\varkappa, \hat{\varkappa}, \tilde{\varkappa}), \theta\varkappa, \tau)} - 1 \\
 \leq & \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\Theta(\varkappa, \hat{\varkappa}, \tilde{\varkappa}), \theta\Theta(\varkappa_{\beta-1}, \hat{\varkappa}_{\beta-1}, \tilde{\varkappa}_{\beta-1}), \tau)} - 1 \right) \\
 & + \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\Theta(\varkappa_{\beta-1}, \hat{\varkappa}_{\beta-1}, \tilde{\varkappa}_{\beta-1}), \theta\Theta(\varkappa_{\beta}, \hat{\varkappa}_{\beta}, \tilde{\varkappa}_{\beta}), \tau)} - 1 \right) \\
 & + \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\Theta(\varkappa_{\beta}, \hat{\varkappa}_{\beta}, \tilde{\varkappa}_{\beta}), \theta\varkappa, \tau)} - 1 \right) \\
 \leq & a_{11} \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\varkappa, \theta\varkappa_{\beta-1}, \tau)} - 1 \right) \\
 & + a_{22} \left[\left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\varkappa, \theta\Theta(\varkappa, \hat{\varkappa}, \tilde{\varkappa}), \tau)} - 1 \right) + \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\varkappa_{\beta-1}, \theta\Theta(\varkappa_{\beta-1}, \hat{\varkappa}_{\beta-1}, \tilde{\varkappa}_{\beta-1}), \tau)} - 1 \right) \right] \\
 & + a_{33} \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\varkappa_{\beta-1}, \theta\Theta(\varkappa, \hat{\varkappa}, \tilde{\varkappa}), \tau) \star Q_c(\theta\varkappa_{\beta-1}, \theta\Theta(\varkappa_{\beta-1}, \hat{\varkappa}_{\beta-1}, \tilde{\varkappa}_{\beta-1}), \tau)} - 1 \right) \\
 & + \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\varkappa_{\beta}, \theta\varkappa_{\beta+1}, \tau)} - 1 \right) + \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\Theta(\varkappa_{\beta}, \hat{\varkappa}_{\beta}, \tilde{\varkappa}_{\beta}), \theta\varkappa, \tau)} - 1 \right) \\
 \leq & a_{11} \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\varkappa, \theta\varkappa_{\beta-1}, \tau)} - 1 \right) \\
 & + a_{22} \left[\left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\varkappa, \theta\Theta(\varkappa, \hat{\varkappa}, \tilde{\varkappa}), \tau)} - 1 \right) + \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\varkappa_{\beta-1}, \theta\Theta(\varkappa_{\beta-1}, \hat{\varkappa}_{\beta-1}, \tilde{\varkappa}_{\beta-1}), \tau)} - 1 \right) \right] \\
 & + a_{33} \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\varkappa_{\beta-1}, \theta\Theta(\varkappa, \hat{\varkappa}, \tilde{\varkappa}), \tau) \star Q_c(\theta\varkappa_{\beta-1}, \theta\Theta(\varkappa_{\beta-1}, \hat{\varkappa}_{\beta-1}, \tilde{\varkappa}_{\beta-1}), \tau)} - 1 \right) \\
 & + \rho^\beta \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\varkappa_0, \theta\varkappa_1, \tau)} - 1 \right) + \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\Theta(\varkappa_{\beta}, \hat{\varkappa}_{\beta}, \tilde{\varkappa}_{\beta}), \theta\varkappa, \tau)} - 1 \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

After simplification, for $\tau \gg \vartheta$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\Theta(\varkappa, \hat{\varkappa}, \tilde{\varkappa}), \theta\varkappa, \tau)} - 1 \\
 \leq & \frac{a_{11}}{1 - a_{22}} \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\varkappa, \theta\varkappa_{\beta-1}, \tau)} - 1 \right) + \frac{a_{22}}{1 - a_{22}} \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\varkappa_{\beta-1}, \theta\Theta(\varkappa_{\beta-1}, \hat{\varkappa}_{\beta-1}, \tilde{\varkappa}_{\beta-1}), \tau)} - 1 \right) \\
 & + \frac{a_{33}}{1 - a_{22}} \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\varkappa_{\beta-1}, \theta\Theta(\varkappa, \hat{\varkappa}, \tilde{\varkappa}), \tau) \star Q_c(\theta\varkappa_{\beta-1}, \theta\Theta(\varkappa_{\beta-1}, \hat{\varkappa}_{\beta-1}, \tilde{\varkappa}_{\beta-1}), \tau)} - 1 \right) \\
 & + \frac{\rho^\beta}{1 - a_{22}} \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\varkappa_0, \theta\varkappa_1, \tau)} - 1 \right) + \frac{1}{1 - a_{22}} \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\Theta(\varkappa_{\beta}, \hat{\varkappa}_{\beta}, \tilde{\varkappa}_{\beta}), \theta\varkappa, \tau)} - 1 \right) \\
 \rightarrow & 0 \text{ as } \beta \rightarrow \infty.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have $Q_c(\theta\Theta(\varkappa, \hat{\varkappa}, \tilde{\varkappa}), \theta\varkappa, \tau) = 1$, this implies that $\theta\Theta(\varkappa, \hat{\varkappa}, \tilde{\varkappa}) = \theta\varkappa$. Similarly, one can obtain that $\theta\Theta(\hat{\varkappa}, \tilde{\varkappa}, \varkappa) = \theta\hat{\varkappa}$ and $\theta\Theta(\tilde{\varkappa}, \varkappa, \hat{\varkappa}) = \theta\tilde{\varkappa}$. Since θ is one-one, then $\Theta(\varkappa, \hat{\varkappa}, \tilde{\varkappa}) = \varkappa$, $\Theta(\hat{\varkappa}, \tilde{\varkappa}, \varkappa) = \hat{\varkappa}$ and $\Theta(\tilde{\varkappa}, \varkappa, \hat{\varkappa}) = \tilde{\varkappa}$. This implies that the point $(\varkappa, \hat{\varkappa}, \tilde{\varkappa})$ is a TFP of the mapping Θ .

For the uniqueness, let $(\varkappa_1, \hat{\varkappa}_1, \tilde{\varkappa}_1)$ be another TFP of Θ so that $\Theta(\varkappa_1, \hat{\varkappa}_1, \tilde{\varkappa}_1) = \varkappa_1$, $\Theta(\hat{\varkappa}_1, \tilde{\varkappa}_1, \varkappa_1) = \hat{\varkappa}_1$ and $\Theta(\tilde{\varkappa}_1, \varkappa_1, \hat{\varkappa}_1) = \tilde{\varkappa}_1$. Using (11), for $\tau \gg \vartheta$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\kappa, \theta\kappa_1, \tau)} - 1 &= \frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\Theta(\kappa, \widehat{\kappa}, \widetilde{\kappa}), \theta\Theta(\kappa_1, \widehat{\kappa}_1, \widetilde{\kappa}_1), \tau)} - 1 \\
 &\leq a_{11} \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\kappa, \theta\kappa_1, \tau)} - 1 \right) \\
 &\quad + a_{22} \left[\left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\kappa, \theta\Theta(\kappa, \widehat{\kappa}, \widetilde{\kappa}), \tau)} - 1 \right) + \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\kappa_1, \theta\Theta(\kappa_1, \widehat{\kappa}_1, \widetilde{\kappa}_1), \tau)} - 1 \right) \right] \\
 &\quad + a_{33} \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\kappa_1, \theta\Theta(\kappa, \widehat{\kappa}, \widetilde{\kappa}), \tau) \star Q_c(\theta\kappa_1, \theta\Theta(\kappa_1, \widehat{\kappa}_1, \widetilde{\kappa}_1), \tau)} - 1 \right) \\
 &= (a_{11} + a_{33}) \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\kappa, \theta\kappa_1, \tau)} - 1 \right) \\
 &= (a_{11} + a_{33}) \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\Theta(\kappa, \widehat{\kappa}, \widetilde{\kappa}), \theta\Theta(\kappa_1, \widehat{\kappa}_1, \widetilde{\kappa}_1), \tau)} - 1 \right) \\
 &\leq (a_{11} + a_{33})^2 \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\kappa, \theta\kappa_1, \tau)} - 1 \right) \leq \dots \\
 &\leq (a_{11} + a_{33})^\beta \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\kappa, \theta\kappa_1, \tau)} - 1 \right) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \beta \rightarrow \infty.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $Q_c(\theta\kappa, \theta\kappa_1, \tau) = 1$, this implies that, for $\tau \gg \vartheta$, $\kappa = \kappa_1$. By the same manner, one can obtain $\widehat{\kappa} = \widehat{\kappa}_1$ and $\widetilde{\kappa} = \widetilde{\kappa}_1$. This completes the proof. \square

Corollary 2. *Theorem 2 remains true if we replace the hypothesis (11) with one of the following hypotheses:*

(i) for all $\kappa, l, \widehat{\kappa}, \widehat{l}, \widetilde{\kappa}, \widetilde{l} \in Z$, for $\tau \gg \vartheta$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\Theta(\kappa, \widehat{\kappa}, \widetilde{\kappa}), \theta\Theta(l, \widehat{l}, \widetilde{l}), \tau)} - 1 \\
 \leq &a_{11} \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\kappa, \theta l, \tau)} - 1 \right) + a_{33} \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta l, \theta\Theta(l, \widehat{l}, \widetilde{l}), \tau) \star Q_c(\theta l, \theta\Theta(\kappa, \widehat{\kappa}, \widetilde{\kappa}), \tau)} - 1 \right),
 \end{aligned}$$

where $a_{11}, a_{33} \in [0, 1]$ with $a_{11} + 2a_{33} < 1$.

(ii) for all $\kappa, l, \widehat{\kappa}, \widehat{l}, \widetilde{\kappa}, \widetilde{l} \in Z$, for $\tau \gg \vartheta$, put $\theta = 1$ and ignore the SC property, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\frac{1}{Q_c(\Theta(\kappa, \widehat{\kappa}, \widetilde{\kappa}), \Theta(l, \widehat{l}, \widetilde{l}), \tau)} - 1 \\
 \leq &a_{11} \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\kappa, l, \tau)} - 1 \right) \\
 &+ a_{22} \left[\left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\kappa, \Theta(\kappa, \widehat{\kappa}, \widetilde{\kappa}), \tau)} - 1 \right) + \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(l, \Theta(l, \widehat{l}, \widetilde{l}), \tau)} - 1 \right) \right] \\
 &+ a_{33} \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(l, \Theta(\kappa, \widehat{\kappa}, \widetilde{\kappa}), \tau) \star Q_c(l, \Theta(l, \widehat{l}, \widetilde{l}), \tau)} - 1 \right),
 \end{aligned}$$

where $a_{11}, a_{11}, a_{33} \in [0, 1]$ with $a_{11} + 2a_{22} + 2a_{33} < 1$.

In order to support Theorem 2, we present the following example:

Example 2. Assume that all assumptions of Example 1 hold. Define the mappings $\Theta : Z^3 \rightarrow Z$, $\theta : Z \rightarrow Z$ and the function $\star : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ by

$$\Theta(f, g, h) = \begin{cases} (0, 0, 0), & \text{if } f = g = h = 0, \\ \frac{1}{r+s+t+1}, & \text{if } f = \frac{1}{r}, g = \frac{1}{s}, h = \frac{1}{t}, \forall r, s, t \geq 2, \end{cases}$$

$$\theta(f) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } f = 0, \\ \frac{1}{r}, & \text{if } f = \frac{1}{r}, \forall r \geq 2, \end{cases}$$

and $\star(\lambda, \vartheta) = \lambda \cdot \vartheta$, for all $\lambda, \vartheta \in [0, 1]$ respectively. Using (7), for $\tau \gg \vartheta$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{Q_c\left(\theta\Theta\left(\frac{1}{r}, \frac{1}{s}, \frac{1}{t}\right), \theta\Theta\left(\frac{1}{u}, \frac{1}{v}, \frac{1}{w}\right), \tau\right)} - 1 \\ &= \frac{1}{\tau} d\left(\theta\Theta\left(\frac{1}{r}, \frac{1}{s}, \frac{1}{t}\right), \theta\Theta\left(\frac{1}{u}, \frac{1}{v}, \frac{1}{w}\right)\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\tau} \left| \frac{1}{(r+s+t+1)^{r+s+t+1}} - \frac{1}{(u+v+w+1)^{u+v+w+1}} \right|, \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

for all $r, s, t, u, v, w \geq 2$. Consider the assumption (9) holds, then by (17), we can write

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{1}{Q_c\left(\theta\Theta\left(\frac{1}{r}, \frac{1}{s}, \frac{1}{t}\right), \theta\Theta\left(\frac{1}{u}, \frac{1}{v}, \frac{1}{w}\right), \tau\right)} - 1 \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{5\tau} \left| \left(\frac{2}{r^r} - \frac{1}{(r+s+t+1)^{r+s+t+1}} \right) - \left(\frac{2}{u^u} - \frac{1}{(u+v+w+1)^{u+v+w+1}} \right) \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{\tau} \left| \left(\frac{1}{5r^r} + \frac{1}{5r^r} - \frac{1}{10(r+s+t+1)^{r+s+t+1}} - \frac{1}{10(r+s+t+1)^{r+s+t+1}} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \left(\frac{1}{5u^u} + \frac{1}{5u^u} - \frac{1}{10(u+v+w+1)^{u+v+w+1}} - \frac{1}{10(u+v+w+1)^{u+v+w+1}} \right) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\tau} \left| \frac{1}{5r^r} - \frac{1}{5u^u} \right| + \frac{1}{\tau} \left| \left(\frac{1}{5r^r} - \frac{1}{10(r+s+t+1)^{r+s+t+1}} - \frac{1}{10(r+s+t+1)^{r+s+t+1}} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \left(\frac{1}{5u^u} - \frac{1}{10(u+v+w+1)^{u+v+w+1}} - \frac{1}{10(u+v+w+1)^{u+v+w+1}} \right) \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{\tau} \left| \frac{1}{5r^r} - \frac{1}{5u^u} \right| + \frac{1}{\tau} \left| \left(\frac{1}{10r^r} - \frac{1}{10(r+s+t+1)^{r+s+t+1}} \right) + \left(\frac{1}{10u^u} - \frac{1}{10(u+v+w+1)^{u+v+w+1}} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left(\frac{1}{10r^r} - \frac{3}{10u^u} + \frac{3}{10(u+v+w+1)^{u+v+w+1}} - \frac{1}{10(r+s+t+1)^{r+s+t+1}} \right) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\tau} \left| \frac{1}{5r^r} - \frac{1}{5u^u} \right| + \frac{1}{\tau} \left| \left(\frac{1}{10r^r} - \frac{1}{10(r+s+t+1)^{r+s+t+1}} \right) + \left(\frac{1}{10u^u} - \frac{1}{10(u+v+w+1)^{u+v+w+1}} \right) \right| \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\tau} \left| \left(\frac{1}{10r^r} - \frac{3}{10u^u} + \frac{3}{10(u+v+w+1)^{u+v+w+1}} - \frac{1}{10(r+s+t+1)^{r+s+t+1}} \right) \right|. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to check

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{1}{10r^r} - \frac{3}{10u^u} + \frac{3}{10(u+v+w+1)^{u+v+w+1}} - \frac{1}{10(r+s+t+1)^{r+s+t+3}} \right| \\ & \leq \left| \frac{1}{(10u^u - 10(r+s+t+1)^{r+s+t+1}) \cdot (10u^u - 10(u+v+w+1)^{u+v+w+1})} \right| \\ & \left| \frac{1}{(10u^u - 10(r+s+t+1)^{r+s+t+1}) \star (10u^u - 10(u+v+w+1)^{u+v+w+1})} \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{1}{Q_c\left(\theta\Theta\left(\frac{1}{r}, \frac{1}{s}, \frac{1}{t}\right), \theta\Theta\left(\frac{1}{u}, \frac{1}{v}, \frac{1}{w}\right), \tau\right)} - 1 \right| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\tau} \left| \frac{1}{5r^r} - \frac{1}{5u^u} \right| + \frac{1}{\tau} \left| \left(\frac{1}{10r^r} - \frac{1}{10(r+s+t+1)^{r+s+t+1}} \right) + \left(\frac{1}{10u^u} - \frac{1}{10(u+v+w+1)^{u+v+w+1}} \right) \right| \\ & + \left| \frac{1}{(10u^u - 10(r+s+t+1)^{r+s+t+1}) \star (10u^u - 10(u+v+w+1)^{u+v+w+1})} \right| \\ & = \frac{1}{5} \left(\frac{1}{Q_c\left(\theta\left(\frac{1}{r}\right), \theta\left(\frac{1}{u}\right), \tau\right)} - 1 \right) \\ & + \frac{1}{10} \left[\left(\frac{1}{Q_c\left(\theta\Theta\left(\frac{1}{r}, \frac{1}{s}, \frac{1}{t}\right), \theta\left(\frac{1}{r}\right), \tau\right)} - 1 \right) + \left(\frac{1}{Q_c\left(\theta\Theta\left(\frac{1}{u}, \frac{1}{v}, \frac{1}{w}\right), \theta\left(\frac{1}{u}\right), \tau\right)} - 1 \right) \right] \\ & + \frac{1}{10} \left(\frac{1}{Q_c\left(\theta\left(\frac{1}{u}\right), \theta\Theta\left(\frac{1}{r}, \frac{1}{s}, \frac{1}{t}\right), \tau\right) \star Q_c\left(\theta\left(\frac{1}{u}\right), \theta\Theta\left(\frac{1}{u}, \frac{1}{v}, \frac{1}{w}\right), \tau\right)} - 1 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, all requirements of Theorem 2 are fulfilled with $a_{11} = \frac{1}{5}$, $a_{22} = a_{33} = \frac{1}{10}$ and $(0, 0, 0)$ is a unique TFP of Θ .

4. Solving a System of Integral Equations

This part is devoted to applying Theorem 1 to study the existence solution to the system of Volterra integral equations (VIEs). The solution of the system of VIEs depends on finding a unique TFP of the mappings Θ and θ which are described in (20) to support our theoretical results.

Assume that $Z = (C[0, 1], \mathbb{R})$ is the space of all real continuous functions on $[0, 1]$. Define a supremum norm on Z by

$$\|x\| = \sup_{r \in [0, 1]} |x(r)|, \forall x \in Z.$$

Let $d : Z \times Z \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a metric defined as

$$d(x, \ell) = \sup_{r \in [0, 1]} |x(r) - \ell(r)| = \|x - \ell\|, \forall x, \ell \in Z.$$

Because \star is continuous τ -norm, we have $\star(\lambda, \vartheta) = \lambda \cdot \vartheta$, for all $\lambda, \vartheta \in [0, 1]$. Define a fuzzy metric $Q_c : Z \times Z \times (0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ by

$$Q_c(\varkappa, \ell, \tau) = \frac{\tau}{\tau + d(\varkappa, \ell)}, \quad d(\varkappa, \ell) = \|\varkappa - \ell\|, \tag{18}$$

for $\varkappa, \ell \in Z$ and for $\tau \gg \vartheta$. Clearly Q_c is triangular and (Z, Q_c, \star) is a CFCM-space.

Consider a system of VIEs as follows:

$$\begin{cases} \varkappa(\sigma) = \xi_1(\sigma) + \int_0^1 \Omega_1(\sigma, \mu, \varkappa(\mu))d\mu, \\ \widehat{\varkappa}(\sigma) = \xi_2(\sigma) + \int_0^1 \Omega_2(\sigma, \mu, \widehat{\varkappa}(\mu))d\mu, \\ \widetilde{\varkappa}(\sigma) = \xi_3(\sigma) + \int_0^1 \Omega_3(\sigma, \mu, \widetilde{\varkappa}(\mu))d\mu, \end{cases} \tag{19}$$

where $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3 \in Z$. System (19) will be considered under hypotheses below:

Hypothesis 1 (H1). The functions $\xi_i : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\Omega_i : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$);

Hypothesis 2 (H2). for $\varkappa, \widehat{\ell}, \widetilde{\kappa} \in B, \widehat{\varkappa}, \widetilde{\ell}, \kappa \in C$ and $\widetilde{\varkappa}, \ell, \widehat{\kappa} \in D$, where $B, C, D \subset Z$, we define

$$\begin{cases} B_{(\varkappa, \widehat{\varkappa}, \widetilde{\varkappa})}(\sigma) = \int_0^1 \Omega_1(\sigma, \mu, (\varkappa, \widehat{\varkappa}, \widetilde{\varkappa})(\mu))d\mu \\ C_{(\ell, \widehat{\ell}, \widetilde{\ell})}(\sigma) = \int_0^1 \Omega_2(\sigma, \mu, (\ell, \widehat{\ell}, \widetilde{\ell})(\mu))d\mu \quad , \sigma \in [0, 1]; \\ D_{(\kappa, \widehat{\kappa}, \widetilde{\kappa})}(\sigma) = \int_0^1 \Omega_3(\sigma, \mu, (\kappa, \widehat{\kappa}, \widetilde{\kappa})(\mu))d\mu \end{cases}$$

Hypothesis 3 (H3). there is a constant $\Lambda \in [0, 1]$ so that

$$\begin{cases} \left\| \left(B_{(\varkappa, \widehat{\varkappa}, \widetilde{\varkappa})}^* + \xi_1 \right) - \left(C_{(\ell, \widehat{\ell}, \widetilde{\ell})}^*(\sigma) + \xi_2 \right) \right\| \leq \Lambda \Omega \left(B_{(\varkappa, \widehat{\varkappa}, \widetilde{\varkappa})}, C_{(\ell, \widehat{\ell}, \widetilde{\ell})} \right) \\ \left\| \left(C_{(\ell, \widehat{\ell}, \widetilde{\ell})}^* + \xi_2 \right) - \left(D_{(\kappa, \widehat{\kappa}, \widetilde{\kappa})}^*(\sigma) + \xi_3 \right) \right\| \leq \Lambda \Omega \left(C_{(\ell, \widehat{\ell}, \widetilde{\ell})}, D_{(\kappa, \widehat{\kappa}, \widetilde{\kappa})} \right) \\ \left\| \left(B_{(\varkappa, \widehat{\varkappa}, \widetilde{\varkappa})}^* + \xi_1 \right) - \left(D_{(\kappa, \widehat{\kappa}, \widetilde{\kappa})}^*(\sigma) + \xi_3 \right) \right\| \leq \Lambda \Omega \left(B_{(\varkappa, \widehat{\varkappa}, \widetilde{\varkappa})}, D_{(\kappa, \widehat{\kappa}, \widetilde{\kappa})} \right) \end{cases},$$

where

$$\Omega \left(B_{(\varkappa, \widehat{\varkappa}, \widetilde{\varkappa})}, C_{(\ell, \widehat{\ell}, \widetilde{\ell})} \right) = \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \|B_{\varkappa} - C_{\ell}\|, \\ \left(\|B_{(\varkappa, \widehat{\varkappa}, \widetilde{\varkappa})}^* + B_{\xi_1} - B_{\varkappa}\| + \|C_{(\ell, \widehat{\ell}, \widetilde{\ell})}^* + C_{\xi_2} - C_{\ell}\| \right), \\ \left(\|C_{(\ell, \widehat{\ell}, \widetilde{\ell})}^* + C_{\xi_2} - B_{\varkappa}\| + \|B_{(\varkappa, \widehat{\varkappa}, \widetilde{\varkappa})}^* + B_{\xi_1} - C_{\ell}\| \right) \end{array} \right\},$$

similarly $\Omega \left(C_{(\ell, \widehat{\ell}, \widetilde{\ell})}, D_{(\kappa, \widehat{\kappa}, \widetilde{\kappa})} \right)$ and $\Omega \left(B_{(\varkappa, \widehat{\varkappa}, \widetilde{\varkappa})}, D_{(\kappa, \widehat{\kappa}, \widetilde{\kappa})} \right)$, where $B_{(\varkappa, \widehat{\varkappa}, \widetilde{\varkappa})}^*, C_{(\ell, \widehat{\ell}, \widetilde{\ell})}^*, D_{(\kappa, \widehat{\kappa}, \widetilde{\kappa})}^*, B_{\xi_1}, C_{\xi_2}, D_{\xi_3}, B_{\varkappa}, C_{\ell}, D_{\kappa} \in Z$.

Now, we state and prove our main theorem in this part.

Theorem 3. Via hypotheses (H1)–(H3), system (19) has a unique solution in Z .

Proof. Define operators $\Theta : Z^3 \rightarrow Z$ and $\theta : Z \rightarrow Z$ by

$$\begin{cases} \Theta(\varkappa, \widehat{\varkappa}, \widetilde{\varkappa}) = B_{(\varkappa, \widehat{\varkappa}, \widetilde{\varkappa})} + \zeta_1, \theta(\varkappa) = B_\varkappa, \\ \Theta(\ell, \widehat{\ell}, \widetilde{\ell}) = C_{(\ell, \widehat{\ell}, \widetilde{\ell})} + \zeta_2, \theta(\ell) = C_\ell, \\ \Theta(\kappa, \widehat{\kappa}, \widetilde{\kappa}) = D_{(\kappa, \widehat{\kappa}, \widetilde{\kappa})} + \zeta_3, \theta(\kappa) = D_\kappa. \end{cases} \tag{20}$$

Therefore

$$\theta\Theta(\varkappa, \widehat{\varkappa}, \widetilde{\varkappa}) = \theta\left(B_{(\varkappa, \widehat{\varkappa}, \widetilde{\varkappa})} + \zeta_1\right) = B_{B_{(\varkappa, \widehat{\varkappa}, \widetilde{\varkappa})}} + B_{\zeta_1} = B_{(\varkappa, \widehat{\varkappa}, \widetilde{\varkappa})}^* + B_{\zeta_1}, \tag{21}$$

$$\theta\Theta(\ell, \widehat{\ell}, \widetilde{\ell}) = \theta\left(C_{(\ell, \widehat{\ell}, \widetilde{\ell})} + \zeta_2\right) = C_{C_{(\ell, \widehat{\ell}, \widetilde{\ell})}} + C_{\zeta_2} = C_{(\ell, \widehat{\ell}, \widetilde{\ell})}^* + C_{\zeta_2}, \tag{22}$$

and

$$\theta\Theta(\kappa, \widehat{\kappa}, \widetilde{\kappa}) = \theta\left(D_{(\kappa, \widehat{\kappa}, \widetilde{\kappa})} + \zeta_3\right) = D_{D_{(\kappa, \widehat{\kappa}, \widetilde{\kappa})}} + D_{\zeta_3} = D_{(\kappa, \widehat{\kappa}, \widetilde{\kappa})}^* + D_{\zeta_3}, \tag{23}$$

where $B_{(\varkappa, \widehat{\varkappa}, \widetilde{\varkappa})}^* = B_{B_{(\varkappa, \widehat{\varkappa}, \widetilde{\varkappa})}}$, $C_{(\ell, \widehat{\ell}, \widetilde{\ell})}^* = C_{C_{(\ell, \widehat{\ell}, \widetilde{\ell})}}$ and $D_{(\kappa, \widehat{\kappa}, \widetilde{\kappa})}^* = D_{D_{(\kappa, \widehat{\kappa}, \widetilde{\kappa})}}$. Now, we shall finish the proof by the following cases:

(i) If $\Omega\left(B_{(\varkappa, \widehat{\varkappa}, \widetilde{\varkappa})}, C_{(\ell, \widehat{\ell}, \widetilde{\ell})}\right) = \|B_\varkappa - C_\ell\|$, then from (18)–(20) and (22), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{Q_c\left(\theta\Theta(\varkappa, \widehat{\varkappa}, \widetilde{\varkappa}), \theta\Theta(\ell, \widehat{\ell}, \widetilde{\ell}), \tau\right)} - 1 &= \frac{1}{\tau} \left\| \theta\Theta(\varkappa, \widehat{\varkappa}, \widetilde{\varkappa}) - \theta\Theta(\ell, \widehat{\ell}, \widetilde{\ell}) \right\| \\ &= \frac{\Lambda}{\tau} \Omega\left(B_{(\varkappa, \widehat{\varkappa}, \widetilde{\varkappa})}, C_{(\ell, \widehat{\ell}, \widetilde{\ell})}\right) \\ &= \frac{\Lambda}{\tau} \|B_\varkappa - C_\ell\| \\ &= \frac{\Lambda}{\tau} \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\varkappa, \theta\ell, \tau)} - 1 \right), \end{aligned}$$

for $\tau \gg \vartheta$, and for $\varkappa, \widehat{\ell} \in B$, $\widehat{\varkappa}, \widetilde{\ell} \in C$ and $\widetilde{\varkappa}, \ell \in D$. Hence Θ and θ fulfills the stipulations of Theorem 1 with $a_{11} = \Lambda$ and $a_{22} = a_{33} = 0$ in (1). Therefore VIEs (19) have a unique solution in Z .

(ii) If

$$\Omega\left(B_{(\varkappa, \widehat{\varkappa}, \widetilde{\varkappa})}, C_{(\ell, \widehat{\ell}, \widetilde{\ell})}\right) = \left(\|B_{(\varkappa, \widehat{\varkappa}, \widetilde{\varkappa})}^* + B_{\zeta_1} - B_\varkappa\| + \|C_{(\ell, \widehat{\ell}, \widetilde{\ell})}^* + C_{\zeta_2} - C_\ell\| \right),$$

it follows from (18)–(20) and (22), we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{Q_c\left(\theta\Theta(\varkappa, \widehat{\varkappa}, \widetilde{\varkappa}), \theta\Theta(\ell, \widehat{\ell}, \widetilde{\ell}), \tau\right)} - 1 \\ &= \frac{1}{\tau} \left\| \theta\Theta(\varkappa, \widehat{\varkappa}, \widetilde{\varkappa}) - \theta\Theta(\ell, \widehat{\ell}, \widetilde{\ell}) \right\| \\ &= \frac{\Lambda}{\tau} \Omega\left(B_{(\varkappa, \widehat{\varkappa}, \widetilde{\varkappa})}, C_{(\ell, \widehat{\ell}, \widetilde{\ell})}\right) \\ &= \frac{\Lambda}{\tau} \left(\|B_{(\varkappa, \widehat{\varkappa}, \widetilde{\varkappa})}^* + B_{\zeta_1} - B_\varkappa\| + \|C_{(\ell, \widehat{\ell}, \widetilde{\ell})}^* + C_{\zeta_2} - C_\ell\| \right) \\ &= \frac{\Lambda}{\tau} \left(\frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\varkappa, \theta\Theta(\varkappa, \widehat{\varkappa}, \widetilde{\varkappa}), \tau)} - 1 + \frac{1}{Q_c(\theta\ell, \theta\Theta(\ell, \widehat{\ell}, \widetilde{\ell}), \tau)} - 1 \right), \end{aligned}$$

for $\tau \gg \vartheta$, and for $\varkappa, \widehat{\ell} \in B$, $\widehat{\varkappa}, \widetilde{\ell} \in C$ and $\widetilde{\varkappa}, \ell \in D$. Hence Θ and θ justify the assumptions of Theorem 1 with $a_{11} = a_{33} = 0$ and $a_{22} = \Lambda$ in (1). Thus, VIEs (19) have a unique solution in Z .

(iii) If

$$\Omega\left(B_{(\varkappa, \tilde{\varkappa}, \tilde{\varkappa})}, C_{(\ell, \tilde{\ell}, \tilde{\ell})}\right) = \left(\left\|C_{(\ell, \tilde{\ell}, \tilde{\ell})}^* + C_{\xi_2} - B_{\varkappa}\right\| + \left\|B_{(\varkappa, \tilde{\varkappa}, \tilde{\varkappa})}^* + B_{\xi_1} - C_{\ell}\right\|\right),$$

it follows from (18)–(20) and (22), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{Q_c\left(\theta\Theta(\varkappa, \tilde{\varkappa}, \tilde{\varkappa}), \theta\Theta(\ell, \tilde{\ell}, \tilde{\ell}), \tau\right)} - 1 \\ &= \frac{1}{\tau} \left\| \theta\Theta(\varkappa, \tilde{\varkappa}, \tilde{\varkappa}) - \theta\Theta(\ell, \tilde{\ell}, \tilde{\ell}) \right\| \\ &= \frac{\Lambda}{\tau} \Omega\left(B_{(\varkappa, \tilde{\varkappa}, \tilde{\varkappa})}, C_{(\ell, \tilde{\ell}, \tilde{\ell})}\right) \\ &= \frac{\Lambda}{\tau} \left(\left\|C_{(\ell, \tilde{\ell}, \tilde{\ell})}^* + C_{\xi_2} - B_{\varkappa}\right\| + \left\|B_{(\varkappa, \tilde{\varkappa}, \tilde{\varkappa})}^* + B_{\xi_1} - C_{\ell}\right\|\right) \\ &= \frac{\Lambda}{\tau} \left(\frac{1}{Q_c\left(\theta\varkappa, \theta\Theta(\ell, \tilde{\ell}, \tilde{\ell}), \tau\right)} - 1 + \frac{1}{Q_c\left(\theta\ell, \theta\Theta(\varkappa, \tilde{\varkappa}, \tilde{\varkappa}), \tau\right)} - 1\right), \end{aligned}$$

for $\tau \gg \vartheta$, and for $\varkappa, \tilde{\ell} \in B$, $\tilde{\varkappa}, \tilde{\ell} \in C$ and $\tilde{\varkappa}, \ell \in D$. Hence Θ and θ satisfy the hypotheses of Theorem 1 with $a_{11} = a_{22} = 0$ and $a_{33} = \Lambda$ in (1). Thus, VIEs (19) have a unique solution in Z .

Analogously, if we take in our consideration the definitions of $\Omega\left(C_{(\ell, \tilde{\ell}, \tilde{\ell})}, D_{(\kappa, \tilde{\kappa}, \tilde{\kappa})}\right)$ and $\Omega\left(B_{(\varkappa, \tilde{\varkappa}, \tilde{\varkappa})}, D_{(\kappa, \tilde{\kappa}, \tilde{\kappa})}\right)$ and apply the same steps (i)–(iii), we conclude that the system (19) has a unique solution in Z . \square

5. Conclusions

We presented in this manuscript a new concept of TFP results by using a control function in the setting of FCM-spaces. Additionally, some uniqueness TFP theorems are illustrated via the triangular property of FCM by using different contractive type conditions. The control function is a continuous one-to-one self-map that is subsequentially convergent in FCM-spaces. Further, the existence and uniqueness solution of a system of VIEs are studied. In lieu of VIEs, the authors use various types of applications such as Riemann integral equations, Lebesgue integral equations, and nonlinear integral equations to support their findings.

Author Contributions: Funding acquisition, M.D.I.S.; Methodology, M.D.I.S.; Writing—original draft, H.A.H. and M.D.I.S. All authors contributed equally and significantly in writing this article. Both authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This work was supported in part by the Basque Government under Grant IT1207-19.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: The data used to support the findings of this study are included within the article.

Acknowledgments: The authors thank the Basque Government for Grant IT1207-19.

Conflicts of Interest: The data used to support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon request. The authors declare that they have no competing interests concerning the publication of this article.

References

1. Banach, S. Sur les opérations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux équations intégrales. *Fundam. Math.* **1922**, *3*, 133–181. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
2. Fredholm, E.I. Sur une classe d'équations fonctionnelles. *Acta Math.* **1903**, *27*, 365–390. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
3. Rus, M.D. A note on the existence of positive solution of Fredholm integral equations. *Fixed Point Theory* **2004**, *5*, 369–377.
4. Berenguer, M.I.; Munoz, M.V.F.; Guillem, A.I.G.; Galan, M.R. Numerical treatment of fixed point applied to the nonlinear Fredholm integral equation. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2009**, *2009*, 735638. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
5. Hammad, H.A.; la Sen, M.D. A Solution of Fredholm integral equation by using the cyclic η_s^q -rational contractive mappings technique in b -metric-like spaces. *Symmetry* **2019**, *11*, 1184. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
6. Hammad, H.A.; la Sen, M.D. Solution of nonlinear integral equation via fixed point of cyclic α_s^q -rational contraction mappings in metric-like spaces. *Bull. Braz. Math. Soc. New Ser.* **2020**, *51*, 81–105. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
7. Kannan, R. Some results on fixed points. *Bull. The Calcutta Math. Soc.* **1968**, *60*, 71–76.
8. Chatterjea, S.K. Fixed point theorems. *Comptes Rendus de l'Academie Bulg. des Sci.* **1972**, *25*, 727–730. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
9. Reich, S. Remarks concerning contraction mappings. *Can. Bull.* **1971**, *14*, 121–124. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
10. Daffer, P.Z.; Kaneko, H. Fixed points of generalized contractive multi-valued mappings. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **1995**, *192*, 655–666. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
11. Kaewkhao, A.; Neammanee, K. Fixed point theorems of multivalued Zamfirescu mapping. *J. Math. Res.* **2020**, *2*, 150–156.
12. Huang, L.; Zhang, X. Cone metric spaces and fixed point theorems of contractive mappings. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **2007**, *332*, 1468–1476. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
13. Rezapour, S.; Hambarani, R. Some notes on the paper “Cone metric spaces and fixed point theorems of contractive mappings”. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **2008**, *345*, 719–724. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
14. Alnafe, S.H.; Radenović, S.; Shahzad, N. Fixed point theorems for mappings with convex diminishing diameters on cone metric spaces. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **2011**, *24*, 2162–2166. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
15. Kumar, A.; Rathee, S.; Kumar, N. the point of coincidence and common fixed point for three mappings in cone metric paces. *J. Appl. Math.* **2013**, *2013*, 146794. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
16. Radenović, S. A pair of nonself mappings in cone metric space. *Kragujev. J. Math.* **2012**, *36*, 189–198.
17. Zadeh, L.A. Fuzzy sets. *Inf. Control* **1965**, *8*, 338–353. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
18. Ivanova, V.; Zlatanov, B. Implementation of fuzzy functions aimed at fairer grading of students' tests. *Educ. Sci.* **2019**, *9*, 214. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
19. Ivanova, V.; Zlatanov, B. Application of fuzzy logic in online test evaluation in English as a foreign language at university level. In *AIP Conference Proceedings*; AIP Publishing LLC: Melville, NY, USA, 2019; Volume 2172, p. 040009.
20. Rusmiari, N.; Putra, D.; Sasmita, A. Fuzzy logic method for evaluation of difficulty level of exam and student graduation. *Int. Comput. Sci.* **2013**, *10*, 223–229.
21. George, A.; Veeramani, P. On some results in fuzzy metric spaces. *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* **1994**, *64*, 395–399. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
22. Gregori, V.; Sapena, A. On fixed point theorems in fuzzy metric spaces. *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* **2002**, *125*, 245–252. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
23. Rehman, S.U.; Chinram, R.; Boonpok, C. Rational type fuzzy-contraction results in fuzzy metric spaces with an application. *J. Math.* **2021**, *2021*, 6644491.
24. Hammad, H.A.; la Sen, M.D. A weak tripled contraction for solving a fuzzy global optimization problem in fuzzy metric spaces. *Symmetry* **2021**, *13*, 565. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
25. Oner, T.; Kandemir, M.B.; Tanay, B. Fuzzy cone metric spaces. *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.* **2015**, *8*, 610–616. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
26. Jabeen, S.; Rehman, S.U.; Zheng, Z.; Wei, W. Weakly compatible and Quasi-contraction results in fuzzy cone metric spaces with application to the Urysohn type integral equations. *Adv. Differ.* **2020**, *2020*, 16. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
27. Tiwari, S.K.; Saxena, A.; Bhaumik, S. Coupled fixed point theorem for mapping satisfying integral type Contraction on cone metric spaces. *Adv. Dyn. Syst. Appl. (ADSA)* **2020**, *15*, 73–82. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
28. Rehman, S.U.; Aydi, H. Rational fuzzy cone contractions on fuzzy cone metric spaces with an application to Fredholm integral equations. *J. Funct. Spaces* **2021**, *2021*, 5527864.
29. Bhaskar, T.G.; Lakshmikantham, V. Fixed point theorems in partially ordered metric spaces and applications. *Nonlinear Anal. Theory Methods Appl.* **2006**, *65*, 1379–1393. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
30. Abbas, M.; Khan, M.A.; Radenović, S. Common coupled fixed point theorems in cone metric spaces for w -compatible mappings. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **2010**, *217*, 195–202. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
31. Aydi, H.; Postolache, M.; Shatanawi, W. Coupled fixed point results for (ψ, ϕ) -weakly contractive mappings in ordered G -metric spaces. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **2012**, *63*, 298–309. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
32. Berinde, V. Coupled fixed point theorems for contractive mixed monotone mappings in partially ordered metric spaces. *Nonlinear Anal.* **2012**, *75*, 3218–3228. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
33. Choudhury, B.S.; Maity, P. Coupled fixed point results in generalized metric spaces. *Math. Comput. Model.* **2011**, *54*, 73–79. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
34. Choudhury, B.S.; Metiya, N.; Postolache, M. A generalized weak contraction principle with applications to coupled coincidence point problems. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2013**, *2013*. [\[CrossRef\]](#)

35. Hammad, H.A.; la Sen, M.D. A coupled fixed point technique for solving coupled systems of functional and nonlinear integral equations. *Mathematics* **2019**, *7*, 634. [[CrossRef](#)]
36. Berinde, V.; Borcut, M. Tripled fixed point theorems for contractive type mappings in partially ordered metric spaces. *Nonlinear Anal.* **2011**, *74*, 4889–4897. [[CrossRef](#)]
37. Choudhury, B.S.; Karapinar, E.K.; Kundu, A. Tripled coincidence point theorems for nonlinear contractions in partially ordered metric spaces. *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.* **2012**, *2012*, 329298. [[CrossRef](#)]
38. Mustafa, Z.; Roshan, J.R.; Parvaneh, V. Existence of a tripled coincidence point in ordered G_b -metric spaces and applications to a system of integral equations. *J. Inequalities Appl.* **2013**, *2013*, 453. [[CrossRef](#)]
39. Aydi, H.; Karapinar, E.; Postolache, M. Tripled coincidence point theorems for weak φ -contractions in partially ordered metric spaces. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2012**, *2012*, 44. [[CrossRef](#)]
40. Hammad, H.A.; la Sen, M.D. A technique of tripled coincidence points for solving a system of nonlinear integral equations in POCML spaces. *J. Inequalities Appl.* **2020**, *2020*, 211. [[CrossRef](#)]
41. Hammad, H.A.; la Sen, M.D. A tripled fixed point technique for solving a tripled-system of integral equations and Markov process in CCbMS. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2020**, *2020*, 567. [[CrossRef](#)]
42. Hammad, H.A.; Agarwal, P.; Guirao, L.G.J. Applications to boundary value problems and homotopy theory via tripled fixed point techniques in partially metric spaces. *Mathematics* **2021**, *9*, 2012. [[CrossRef](#)]
43. Schweizer, B.; Sklar, A. *Probabilistic Metric Spaces*, North-Holland Series in Probability and Applied Mathematics; NorthHolland: New York, NY, USA, 1983.
44. Branciari, A. A fixed point theorem of Banach-Caccippoli type on a class of generalized metric spaces. *Univ. Debreceniensis* **2000**, *57*, 31–37.