

1 **Title:** Highlighting the lack of neuropsychologists and speech therapists in healthcare services towards
2 an accurate (pre- and postoperative) cognitive assessment in low-grade glioma patients.

3

4 **Authors:** Lucia Manso-Ortega^{1,2*}, Garazi Bermudez^{3,4}, Iñigo Pomposo^{3,4}, Santiago Gil-Robles^{4,5},
5 Maite Miranda⁶, Manuel Carreiras^{1,2,7}, Ileana Quiñones^{1*}

6

7 **Author Affiliation:**

8 ¹*Basque Center on Cognition, Brain and Language (BCBL), San Sebastián, Spain.*

9 ²*University of the Basque Country, UPV/EHU, Bilbao, Spain.*

10 ³*Department of Neurosurgery, Hospital Universitario Cruces, Bilbao, Spain.*

11 ⁴*Biocruces Research Institute, Bilbao, Spain.*

12 ⁵*Department of Neurosurgery, Hospital Universitario Quironsalud, Madrid, Spain.*

13 ⁶*Department of Rehabilitation, Hospital Universitario Cruces, Bilbao, Spain.*

14 ⁷*IKERBASQUE. Basque Foundation for Science, Bilbao, Spain.*

15

16 *Corresponding Authors:

17 Lucía Manso-Ortega

18 Email: l.manso@bcbl.eu

19 Ileana Quiñones

20 Email: i.quinones@bcbl.eu

21 BCBL. Basque Center on Cognition, Brain, and Language

22 Paseo Mikeletegi, 69

23 20009 Donostia-San Sebastián (Spain)

24

25 **Keywords:** low grade-glioma, neuropsychologists, speech therapists, cognitive assessment, cancer,
26 oncology, psycho-oncology, neurosurgery, brain tumor, cognitive impairment

27 **This PDF file includes:** Main Text (1378 words)

Key-points

- Due to the slow growth of low-grade gliomas, cognitive impairments can be quite difficult to detect at early stages.
- There is a lack of staff specialized on the cognitive and emotional assessment of patients, such as neuropsychologists and speech therapists.
- Brain tumor patients are often misclassified as asymptomatic at the moment of diagnosis.
- An accurate and individually-designed assessment of their cognitive status can significantly improve the treatment strategy for each patient, allowing long-term planning and substantial differences in post-operative outcomes.
- Healthcare facilities treating low-grade glioma patients should give high priority to the formal inclusion of trained professionals capable of designing and implementing adequate pre- and post-operative strategies. By addressing this challenge, it is possible to guide clinical interventions and close the gap between surgery and rehabilitation therapy.

Introduction

Low-grade gliomas (LGGs) are a slow-growth type of brain tumor usually located in the vicinity of areas that are essential for a wide range of cognitive processes including language, memory, or movement, causing disruptions on the patient's daily activities. With all due risks considered, resection is the usual treatment for these cases. Advances in cortical stimulation mapping during awake surgery have achieved outstanding improvements in avoiding postoperative functional deficits in comparison to general anesthesia surgeries. However, tumor resection can still hinder postoperative cognitive outcomes. There is extensive literature detailing the cognitive impairments caused by resection, epileptic seizure, or even anti-epileptic drugs usage.

On the other hand, less attention has been drawn to difficulties stemming from the tumor itself which are present at the time of diagnosis. LGGs can infiltrate or displace essential areas, impairing cognitive functions. Even if an epileptic seizure is usually the first indicator for diagnosis, in a huge percentage of cases, LGGs change how patients handle ordinary daily issues. In fact, the majority of them complain about alterations in the execution of common activities or even report changes in their usual mood, decreased empathy, or difficulties in their social relationships (1). Patients' awareness of starting to have limitations to their abilities and capacities, even at early stages of the tumor, contradicts healthcare services often classifying LGG patients as asymptomatic prior to surgery. This can be explained by the fact that preoperative assessment is usually done by neurosurgeons or neurologists who carry on just a neurological exploration searching for focal deficits, not paying

1 attention to cognitive or emotional complaints. In fact, there is evidence of LGG patients performing
2 poorly on objective measures for several domains(1).

3 For a long time, the priority was to ensure survival, and only severe deficits such as
4 hemiplegia or aphasia were considered relevant. Cognitive abilities and emotional aspects were not
5 included as part of the treatment planning (1), as they were not seen as important indicators of the
6 quality of life after surgery. In this day and age, the considerable improvement in these patients' life
7 expectancy from 6-7 years (2) to 14-16 years (3) has highlighted the importance of accounting for
8 subtle or mild decline and the inclusion of all possible affected domains with the aim of matching
9 former abilities. According to evidence, language assessment has played a major role in cognitive
10 preoperative evaluations for LGGs affecting eloquent areas over the last decades. Consequently,
11 picture naming and verbal fluency tasks have effectively been used to detect word retrieval deficits.
12 Executive functions have also been one of the most commonly studied impairments with assessment
13 of specific functions (i.e., working memory or mental flexibility. Nevertheless, language- and
14 executive functions-related difficulties have not been the only affected domains. Symptoms of
15 clinically significant anxiety and depression have been found in 46% and 13% of patients respectively
16 (4). Other studies show self-reported complaints of tiredness in 40% of patients and emotional
17 disorders in 30% of them (5). Interestingly, personality changes have also been described recently for
18 the first time.

19 Overall, the reported prevalence of cognitive impairments in LGG patients with no treatment
20 and in preoperative stages of the diagnosis showing cognitive deficits has increased from 10.4%-
21 36.4% of patients in 2008 at an individual level (6) to 62.2% at an individual level and 92.2% when
22 compared to a reference group in 2017 (7). Heterogeneity in clinical and personal characteristics (i.e.,
23 number of patients, tumor location, tumor recurrence or personal history) set aside, it seems like one
24 of the reasons could be that the variety and sensitivity of neurocognitive tests is increasing. Even high
25 order cognitive assessments, including mentalizing or types of consciousness, are also starting to be
26 considered (1).

27 A comprehensive evaluation of neurocognitive and psychological aspects prior to surgery is of
28 crucial importance for designing an accurate treatment, surgical strategy, and effective lesion follow-
29 up; it can facilitate setting rehabilitation goals at the very beginning, even before surgery.
30 Consequently, for establishing a multidisciplinary therapeutical plan, professionals from different
31 backgrounds are needed in healthcare facilities following the idea of establishing a holistic neuro-
32 oncological approach when treating these patients (8). Psychology and language experts, like
33 neuropsychologists and speech therapists, are becoming increasingly common in centers where awake
34 craniotomy (AC) is performed and their presence is advised. The role of these professionals in AC
35 services around the globe may vary and can include the evaluation of language prior to surgery,

1 identification of preoperative cognitive symptoms, careful selection of intra-operative tasks and the
2 monitorization of possible alterations caused by brain mapping during AC in order to report behavioral
3 errors (e.g., anomia, speech arrest, apraxia) to surgeons as well as performing postoperative
4 evaluation. Although the existence of pre-designed tools for this purpose may suggest testing cognitive
5 functions without these professionals is feasible, evidence shows otherwise. Their direct implication
6 has resulted in more extensive and accurate resections, a drastic drop in surgical time and the
7 differentiation between reversible and irreversible mild cognitive deficits before and after surgery.

8 Surprisingly enough, considering their undeniable professional value, to our knowledge, there
9 is no clear estimation of the real number of neuropsychology and language experts in AC services
10 around the globe or quantitative measures of their involvement in the service (i.e., number of hours
11 spent with each patient) (9). In a relatively recent attempt to gain a clearer picture of the preoperative
12 and postoperative cognitive assessment of LGG patients, the European Low-Grade Glioma Network
13 (ELGGN) sent a survey to 28 medical centers in Europe. Answers were received from 21 centers
14 (75% of the total) from 11 different countries; however, 7 (23%) of them were based in France (10), so
15 results should not be considered fully representative. All mentioned centers reported
16 neuropsychologists and speech therapists to be in charge of the preoperative assessment but reached
17 no consensus on the tasks administered.

18 On a similar note, in the UK, (9) sent out a survey for a better understanding of the role of
19 language experts (neuropsychologists and speech therapists) in neurosurgical departments performing
20 AC. They collected 24 responses out of an unknown number of professionals working in the
21 mentioned service, obtaining striking results. Only 7 of them (29%) had funding to be involved in
22 those services or even had a related specification in their job description. Another interesting aspect is
23 that they quantified the number of hours dedicated to patients in each step. The results were 2.9h for
24 the preoperative part as opposed to 4.1 for the intra-operative and 3.4 to the postoperative, being the
25 preoperative assessment the one to which they dedicated the lesser time (see (9) for a full description
26 of direct and indirect times). Preoperative diagnostic work (i.e., patient case, imaging data, personal
27 interview) is key for establishing the basis for an accurate strategy and improving patient's prognosis
28 to a high cognitive functioning level. In fact, in the survey, only 8 professionals (33%) agreed that they
29 believed the needs of each patient were correctly identified and handled before surgery (9). Moreover,
30 ensuring that the same professionals are in charge of the postoperative assessment would improve the
31 quality of the evaluation, contributing to a better rehabilitation plan and higher full recovery rates.

32 This is the ideal time to reflect on the imminent need to adopt holistic but also personalized
33 approaches in the diagnosis and subsequent treatment of LGG patients. We want to emphasize the
34 importance of accurate and exhaustive evaluation and diagnosis of subtle or mild cognitive
35 impairments before and after surgery. Considering the diverse personal and clinical characteristics of

1 each patient, designing a fixed procedure seems unfeasible. Thus, tailored diagnosis and treatment
2 strategies seem to be the future of LGG patients' treatment course of action. The integration of
3 neuropsychologists in the neurosurgical and neurological services is the only way to achieve this goal.
4 Only through a multidisciplinary approach will we be able to maximize positive postoperative
5 outcomes that would ultimately improve patients' quality of life.

6 **Clinical Implications**

7 An accurate and individually-designed assessment of their cognitive status can significantly
8 improve the treatment strategy for each patient, allowing long-term planning and substantial
9 differences in postoperative outcomes.

10

Study Limitations

This work was intended as an overview of the current situation regarding the assesment of low grade glioma tumor patients in healthcare facilities and therefore it does not include data. Future studies should focus on gathering evidence of the mentioned problems.

Acknowledgments: This research was supported by the Ikerbasque Foundation; by the Basque Government through the BERC 2022-2025 program and by the Spanish State Research Agency through BCBL Severo Ochoa excellence accreditation CEX2020-001010-S; by the Fundación Científica AECC (FCAECC) through the project PROYE20005CARR; and by Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness through the RTI2018 093547 B I00, (LANGCONN) MCIU/AEI/FEDER, UE

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Duffau H. Updated perspectives on awake neurosurgery with cognitive and emotional assessment for patients with low-grade gliomas. *Expert Rev Neurother* [Internet]. 2021;21(4):463–73. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1080/14737175.2021.1901583>
2. Pignatti BF, Bent M Van Den, Curran D, Debruyne C, Sylvester R, Therasse P, et al. Cerebral Low-Grade Glioma. 2015;20(8):2076–84.
3. Obara T, Blonski M, Brzenczek C, Mézières S, Gaudeau Y, Pouget C, et al. Adult Diffuse Low-Grade Gliomas: 35-Year Experience at the Nancy France Neurooncology Unit. *Front Oncol*. 2020;10(October):1–10.
4. Noll KR, Bradshaw ME, Weinberg JS, Wefel JS. 4999 (F), Knoll@Mdanderson.Org. *Psychooncology* [Internet]. 2017;26(5):617–24. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4995149/pdf/nihms-803982.pdf>
5. Cochereau J, Herbet G, Duffau H. Patients with incidental WHO grade II glioma frequently suffer from neuropsychological disturbances. *Acta Neurochir (Wien)*. 2016;158(2):305–12.
6. Duffau H, Gatignol P, Mandonnet E, Capelle L, Taillandier L. Intraoperative subcortical stimulation mapping of language pathways in a consecutive series of 115 patients with Grade II glioma in the left dominant hemisphere. *J Neurosurg*. 2008;109(3):461–71.
7. van Kessel E, Baumfalk AE, van Zandvoort MJE, Robe PA, Snijders TJ. Tumor-related neurocognitive dysfunction in patients with diffuse glioma: a systematic review of neurocognitive functioning prior to anti-tumor treatment. *J Neurooncol*. 2017;134(1):9–18.
8. Duffau H, Taillandier L. New concepts in the management of diffuse low-grade glioma: Proposal of a multistage and individualized therapeutic approach. *Neuro Oncol*.

- 1 2015;17(3):332–42.
- 2 9. O’Neill M, Henderson M, Duffy OM, Kernohan WG. The emerging contribution of speech and
3 language therapists in awake craniotomy: a national survey of their roles, practices and
4 perceptions. *Int J Lang Commun Disord*. 2020;55(1):149–62.
- 5 10. Rofes A, Mandonnet E, Godden J, Baron MH, Colle H, Darlix A, et al. Survey on current
6 cognitive practices within the European Low-Grade Glioma Network: towards a European
7 assessment protocol. *Acta Neurochir (Wien)*. 2017;159(7):1167–78.

8