

Table S1. Defecation rates for the three reviewed species in unpolluted, reference, control, or non-toxic conditions.

Species	Temp (°C)	Defecation rate (mg mg ⁻¹ dw d ⁻¹)	Worm weight (dw)	Standardized Defecation rate (mg mg ⁻¹ dw d ⁻¹)	TOC%	SC%	Reference	Observations
<i>T. tubifex</i>	18-23	62.8	3.8	22.3	4.7	74.2	Appleby and Brinkhurst 1970	Estimated using the inverted method
		63.2	3.1	26.7	4.7	74.2		
		5.7	0.6	8.8	2.1	71	Reible et al. 1996	
		9.4	1.6	6.6	1.6	88.8	Martinez-Madrid et al. 1999	
		15.0	1.6	10.5	2.2	89.8		Data were transformed by applying a mean fecal density of 4.05 mg/mm ³ (from data reported in Rodriguez et al., 2001). Data for controls and non-toxic sites were from 28-day, chronic exposures.
		15.4	1.6	10.6	2.8	90.8		
		10.9	1.4	8.4	3.4	91.8		
		8.5	1.4	6.5	3.9	92.8		
		8.0	1.3	6.5	4.5	93.8		
		13.9	1.5	10.3	5.1	94.8		
	12-14	10.4	1.7	7.1	1.2	31.0		
		7.9	2.3	4.1	6.1	92.1		
		18.2	2.2	10.0	1.8	26.5		
		5.1	1.4	3.9	4.6	87.0		
		8.3	1.8	5.3	1.7	33.9		
		4.6	2.1	2.6	1.7	15.5		
		22.5	3.8	8.1	4.7	74.2	Appleby and Brinkhurst 1970	Estimated using the inverted method
		23.2	3.0	9.9	4.7	74.2		
		50.7	3.8	18.0	4.7	74.2		
		48.6	3.3	19.3	4.7	74.2		
<i>L. hoffmeisteri</i>	18-23	21.0	1.3	17.5	4.7	74.2		
		21.5	1.7	14.2	4.7	74.2		
		20.3	0.4*	41.1	5.2	53	Volpers and Neuman 2005	Under hypoxia (0.5 mg O ₂ l ⁻¹)
		19.8	0.4*	40.1	5.2	53		
		12.5	0.4*	25.3	5.2	53		
		13.5	0.4*	27.4	5.2	53		
		24.8	0.4*	50.3	5.2	53		
<i>L. hoffmeisteri</i>	58.0	25.3	0.4*	51.3	5.2	53		
		30.9	3.1	13.0	4.7	74.2	Appleby and Brinkhurst, 1970	Estimated using the inverted method
		9.8	0.6	14.6	-	-	Kaster et al. 1984	Estimated using the inverted method

	16.5	0.6	23.5	-	-	Estimated using the upright method
	5.1	0.5	9.0	-	-	Mean values after 151-189h
	6.0	0.5	10.5	-	-	Mean values after 189-235h
	6.2	0.7	8.3	2.5	-	From bioassay controls
	10.5	0.5	17.2	-	-	From bioassay solvent control
	14.7	0.7	19.5	-	-	After a 24h recovery period, fecal pellets collected daily for 3 days
	24.2	0.6	36.7	-	-	After 5-day egestion experiments
	17.3	0.6	27.1	-	-	
	9.9	0.4	20.9	-	-	
	37.2	0.4	80.0	-	-	
	39.2	0.4	76.5	-	-	
	29.2	0.5	52.3	-	-	
	24.3	0.6	38.5	-	-	
	27.9	0.6	43.6	-	-	
	22.4	0.6	31.9	-	-	
	21.9	0.6	31.2	-	-	
	30.2	0.7	42.1	-	-	
	28.7	0.8	33.4	-	-	
	21.2	1.0	20.9	-	-	
	2.3	0.6	3.5	2.1	71	Reible et al. 1996
12-14	9.6	2.7	4.4	4.7	74.2	Appleby and Brinkhurst 1970
	8.8	4.1	3.0	4.7	74.2	Estimated using the inverted method
	11.0	3.9	3.9	4.7	74.2	
	13.2	3.6	5.0	4.7	74.2	
	7.3	6.6	1.7	4.7	74.2	
	9.7	4.6	3.0	4.7	74.2	
10.5	9.3	0.4*	18.8	5.2	53	Volpers and Neumman 2005
	17.8	0.4*	36.1	5.2	53	Under hypoxia (0.5 mg O ₂ l ⁻¹)
	8.0	0.4*	16.2	5.2	53	
	2.3	0.4*	4.7	5.2	53	
	4.5	0.4*	9.1	5.2	53	
	12.5	0.4*	25.3	5.2	53	
<i>L. variegatus</i>	18-23	2.0	0.4	4.0	0.5	Kukkonen and Landrum 1994
	4.0	0.4	7.8	-	-	
	11.3	0.5	18.8	0.4	-	Kukkonen and Landrum 1995
	22.1	0.5	38.8	0.4	-	

14.4	0.42*	28.1	-	-	Leppänen and Kukkonen 1998a	Approximate values from Fig.7
20.4	0.5	34.8	-	-	Leppänen and Kukkonen 1998b	Approximate values from Fig.2
11.3	0.9	12.2	-	-		
4.6	0.4	9.2	-	-		
10.6	0.9	11.5	-	-		
0.4	0.6*	0.6	0.3	-	Landrum et al. 2004	Approximate values from Fig.1
1.8	0.4	3.4	7	90	Penttilä et al. 2008	Approximate values from Fig.2a

* Assuming 90% water content

Table S2. Defecation rates for the three reviewed species in polluted or contaminated conditions.

Species	Temp (°C)	Defecation rate (mg mg ⁻¹ dw d ⁻¹)	Worm weight (dw)	Standardized Defecation rate (mg mg ⁻¹ dw d ⁻¹)	TOC%	SC%	Reference	Observations
<i>T. tubifex</i>	18-23	2.1	1.2	1.8	2.9	82.7	Martinez-Madrid et al. 1999	Data were transformed by applying a mean faecal density of 4.05 mg/mm ³ (from data reported in Rodriguez et al., 2001). Data for toxic sites were from 28-day sediment exposures.
		2.9	1.3	2.4	6.7	54.8		
		7.9	0.7	10.4	8.0	56.6		
		2.1	1.1	2.0	2.8	39.0		
		3.7	0.6	5.7	2.1	71.0	Reible et al. 1996	Pyrene exposure (90 µg g ⁻¹)
<i>L. hoffmeisteri</i>	18-23	2.3	0.6	0.5	2.1	71.0	Reible et al. 1996	Pyrene exposure (90 µg g ⁻¹)
		0.4	0.5	1.7	-	-	Lotufo and Fleger 1996	Pyrene exposure (98-841 µg g ⁻¹)
		2.3	0.5	1.7	-	-		
<i>L. variegatus</i>	18-23	0.3	0.6*	0.5	0.3		Lamdrum et al. 2004	Approximate values from Fig 1. Mean at 192 and 238h for 20-30 µg PCB g ⁻¹ exposure
		0.9	0.4	1.8	7.0	90.0	Penttilä et al. 2008	10-d average egestion rate at 7-30 µg Cd g ⁻¹ dw
		3.0	0.4	5.9	7.0	90.0		10-d average egestion rate at 60-140 µg Cr g ⁻¹ dw
		4.2	0.4	8.2	7.0	90.0		10-d average egestion rate at 80-245 µg Cu g ⁻¹ dw
		1.1	0.4	2.1	7.0	90.0		10-d average egestion rate at 30-150 µg Pb g ⁻¹ dw

* Assuming 90% water content

Table S3. Assimilation efficiencies (AE%) for the reviewed species, calculated using the Conover method (see text for the equation).

Species	AE%	Reference	Observations
<i>T. tubifex</i>	14.6	Brinkhurst et al. 1972*	250 µm sediment fraction
	9.6		120 µm sediment fraction
	9.6		63 µm sediment fraction
	14.6		At 5°C
	7.6		At 10°C
	8.7		At 15°C
	7.1		At 20°C
	4.9	Brinkhurst and Austin 1979	At 20°C
	3.4		At 20°C
	3.6	Rodriguez et al. 2001	At 22.5°C, 63 µm sediment fraction
<i>L. hoffmeisteri</i>	8.6		At 22.5°C, 63 µm sediment fraction
	19.6		At 22.5°C, 63 µm sediment fraction
	14.6	Brinkhurst et al. 1972*	250 µm sediment fraction
	10.8		120 µm sediment fraction
	7.6		63 µm sediment fraction
	14.6		At 5°C
	7.0		At 10°C
<i>Brinkhurst and Austin 1979</i>	12.2		At 15°C
	9.5		At 20°C
	9.3	Brinkhurst and Austin 1979	At 20°C
	6.4		At 20°C

*No significant differences were obtained for each fraction and temperature combination, thus all values were used for the estimation of AE%

Table S4. Assimilation efficiencies (AE%) for the three reviewed species calculated using the dual-tracer method (see text for the equation). In observations there is information on the time period after which the AE% was measured, and in some cases the sediment size fraction that was used.

Species	AE%	Reference	Observations
<i>L. hoffmeisteri</i>	36.4	Klump et al. 1987 ^a	0-22.5h
	33.2		22.5-47h
	25.8		47-92h
	19.8		92-151h
	14.9		151-189h
	16.1		189-235h
	7.4		235-356h, mean value in the text
	15.9		235-356h, 63-20 µm sediment size fraction
	6.7		235-356h, 20-5 µm sediment size fraction
	10.5		235-356h, 5-2 µm sediment size fraction
	2.7		235-356h, 2-0.2 µm sediment size fraction
<i>L. variegatus</i>	22.9	Kukkonen and Landrum 1995 ^b	At 12h
	25.6		At 24h
	10.9		At 36h
	13.0		At 48h
	11.7		At 60h
	12.9		At 72h
	13.0		At 96h
	12.9		At 120h

^a Dual-tracer method using ¹⁴C-PCB (assimilated) and trivalent ⁵¹Cr (non-assimilated).

^b Dual-tracer method using ³H-BaP(assimilated) and ¹⁴C-PDMS (non-assimilated), sediment sieved using 1-mm mesh

REFERENCES

- Appleby AG, Brinkhurst RO (1970) Defecation rate of three tubificid oligochaetes found in the sediment of Toronto Harbour, Ontario. *J Fish Res Bd Canada* 27:1971–1982
- Brinkhurst RO, Chua KE, Kaushik NK (1972) Interspecific interactions and selective feeding by tubificid oligochaetes. *Limnol Oceanogr* 17:122–133
- Brinkhurst RO, Austin MJ (1979) Assimilation by aquatic Oligochaeta. *Int Rev Ges Hydrobiol* 64:863–868
- Kaster JL, Klump JV, Meyer J, Krezski J, Smith ME (1984) Comparison of defecation rates of *Limnodrilus hoffmeisteri* Claparède (Tubificidae) using two different methods. *Hydrobiologia* 111:181–184
- Klump JV, Krezski JR, Smith ME, Kaster JL (1987) Dual tracer studies of the assimilation of an organic contaminant from sediments by deposit feeding oligochaetes. *Can J Fish Aquat Sci* 44:1574–1583
- Kukkonen J, Landrum PF (1994) Effects of sediment-bound PDMS on the bioavailability and distributions of benzo(a)pyrene in lake sediment. *Environ Toxicol Chem* 13:1457–1468
- Kukkonen J, Landrum PF (1995) Measuring assimilation efficiencies for sediment-bound PAH and PCB congeners by benthic organisms. *Aquat Toxicol* 32:75–92
- Landrum PF, Leppänen MT, Robinson SD, Gossiaux DC, Burton GA, Greenberg M, Kukkonen JVK, Eadie BJ, Lansing MB (2004) Comparing behavioral and chronic endpoints to evaluate the response of *Lumbriculus variegatus* to 3,4,3',4'-Tetrachlorobiphenyl sediment exposures. *Environ Toxicol Chem* 23:187–194
- Leppänen MT, Kukkonen JVK (1998a) Factors affecting feeding rate, reproduction and growth of an oligochaete *Lumbriculus variegatus* (Müller). *Hydrobiologia* 377:183–194
- Leppänen MT, Kukkonen JVK (1998b) Relationship between reproduction, sediment type, and feeding activity of *Lumbriculus variegatus* (Müller): implications for sediment toxicity testing. *Environ Toxicol Chem* 17:2196–2202
- Lotufo GR, Fleger JW (1996) Toxicity of sediment-associated pyrene and phenanthrene to *Limnodrilus hoffmeisteri* (Oligochaeta: Tubificidae). *Environ Toxicol Chem* 15:1508–1516
- Martinez-Madrid M, Rodriguez P, Pérez-Iglesias JI, Navarro E (1999) Sediment toxicity bioassays for assessment of contaminated sites in the Nervión River (Northern Spain). 2. *Tubifex tubifex* reproduction sediment bioassay. *Ecotoxicology* 8:111–124
- Penttinen OP, Kilpi-Koski J, Jokela M, Toivainen K, Väistönen A (2008) Importance of dose metrics for lethal and sublethal sediment metal toxicity in the oligochaete worm *Lumbriculus variegatus*. *J Soils Sediments* 8:59–66
- Reible DD, Popov V, Valsaraj KT, Thibodeaux LJ, Lin F, Dikshit M, Todaro MA, Fleeger JW (1996) Contaminant fluxes from sediment due to tubificid oligochaete bioturbation. *Wat Res* 30:704–714
- Rodriguez P, Martinez-Madrid M, Arrate JA, Navarro E (2001) Selective feeding by the aquatic oligochaete *Tubifex tubifex* (Tubificidae, Clitellata). *Hydrobiologia* 463:133–140
- Volpers M, Neumann D (2005) Tolerance of two tubificid species (*Tubifex tubifex* and *Limnodrilus hoffmeisteri*) to hypoxic and sulfidic conditions in novel, long-term experiments. *Arch Hydrobiol* 164(1):13–38