This is a non-final version of an article published in final form in LARRUSKAIN, JON; CELORRIO, DAVID; BARRIO, IRANTZU; ODRIOZOLA, ADRIAN; GIL, SUSANA M.; FERNANDEZ-LOPEZ, JUAN R.2; NOZAL, RAUL; ORTUZAR, ISUSKO; LEKUE, JOSE A.; AZNAR, JOSE M. *Genetic Variants and Hamstring Injury in Soccer: An Association and Validation Study.* **Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise** 50(2):p 361-368, February 2018. | DOI: 10.1249/MSS.000000000001434 © 2018 American College of Sports Medicine

## <sup>1</sup> Genetic Variants and Hamstring Injury in Soccer: an Association

## <sup>2</sup> and Validation Study

- 3
- <sup>4</sup> Jon Larruskain<sup>1</sup>, David Celorrio<sup>2</sup>, Irantzu Barrio<sup>3</sup>, Adrian Odriozola<sup>1</sup>, Susana M. Gil<sup>4</sup>, Juan
- <sup>5</sup> Ramon Fernandez-Lopez <sup>2,5</sup>, Raul Nozal <sup>2</sup>, Isusko Ortuzar <sup>4,6</sup>, Jose A. Lekue <sup>4,6</sup>, Jose M.

```
<sup>6</sup> Aznar<sup>2</sup>
```

- 7
- <sup>8</sup> <sup>1</sup> Department of Genetics, Physical Anthropology and Animal Physiology, Faculty of Science
- <sup>9</sup> and Technology, University of the Basque Country (UPV/EHU), Leioa, Spain
- <sup>10</sup> <sup>2</sup> Baigene, Vitoria-Gasteiz, Spain
- <sup>11</sup> <sup>3</sup> Department of Applied Mathematics, Statistics and Operations Research, Faculty of Science
- <sup>12</sup> and Technology, University of the Basque Country (UPV/EHU), Leioa, Spain
- <sup>13</sup> <sup>4</sup> Department of Physiology, Faculty of Medicine and Nursing, University of the Basque
- <sup>14</sup> Country (UPV/EHU), Leioa, Spain
- <sup>15</sup> <sup>5</sup> Kirolene Public Centre for Sports Education, Durango, Spain
- <sup>16</sup> <sup>6</sup> Medical Services, Athletic Club, Lezama, Spain

- <sup>18</sup> **Short title**: Genetics and hamstring injury in soccer
- 19
- <sup>20</sup> **Corresponding author:** Jose M. Aznar, PhD
- <sup>21</sup> Baigene, Lascaray Research Center
- <sup>22</sup> Avda. Miguel de Unamuno, 3, 01006 Vitoria-Gasteiz, Spain.
- <sup>23</sup> Telephone: 00-34-696 081 961
- <sup>24</sup> Email: josem.aznaroviedo@gmail.com

#### <sup>25</sup> ABSTRACT

26

Purpose: To investigate the association of candidate single nucleotide polymorphisms
 (SNPs) with non-contact hamstring muscle injuries in elite soccer players, and to create and
 validate a model to assess the risk of hamstring injury.
 Methods: 107 elite male outfield players were prospectively followed for 6 seasons. Players
 were genotyped for 37 SNPs previously investigated in relation to musculoskeletal injuries.
 The association of SNPs, previous injury, age, level of play, position and anthropometric data
 with 129 hamstring injuries (413 observations) was investigated in the discovery phase

(2010-2015), and a multivariable Cox-frailty model was created using forward selection. The
 model's discriminative ability was tested in the validation phase (2015-2016, 31 injuries, 98
 observations) using Harrell's C index.

<sup>37</sup> **Results**: Five SNPs were found to be significantly associated with hamstring injury in a

<sup>38</sup> multivariable model, *MMP3* (Matrix metalloproteinase-3) rs679620 (A vs. G, hazard ratio

<sup>39</sup> (HR)=2.06, 95% confidence interval (CI)=1.51-2.81), *TNC* (Tenascin-C) rs2104772 (A vs. T,

<sup>40</sup> HR=1.65, 95% CI=1.17-2.32), *IL6* (Interleukin-6) rs1800795 (GG vs. GC+CC, HR=1.68,

<sup>41</sup> 95% CI=1.11-2.53), *NOS3* (Nitric oxide synthase-3) rs1799983 (G vs. T, HR=1.35, 95%

<sup>42</sup> CI=1.01-1.79), and *HIF1A* (Hypoxia-inducible factor-1 $\alpha$ ) rs11549465 (CC vs. CT, HR=2.08,

<sup>43</sup> 95% CI=1.00-4.29). Age also entered the model (≥24 vs. <24 years, HR=2.10, 95% CI=1.29-

<sup>44</sup> 3.42). The model showed acceptable discrimination in the discovery phase (C index=0.74),

<sup>45</sup> but not in the validation phase (C index=0.52).

<sup>46</sup> Conclusion: Genetic variants appear to be involved in the etiology of hamstring injuries, but
 <sup>47</sup> were not found to have predictive value by themselves. Further research, increasing the
 <sup>48</sup> number of genetic variants and including environmental factors in complex multifactorial risk
 <sup>49</sup> models is necessary.

5	(	)

## <sup>51</sup> Key words

52

<sup>53</sup> elite; risk; football; screening; prevention

#### <sup>54</sup> INTRODUCTION

55

77

56 Soccer injuries affect team performance negatively, have high economic costs and might 57 induce long-term health consequences (1,2). Hamstring muscle injury is the most frequent 58 injury in elite male soccer (3), and identifying those at risk and preventing hamstring injuries 59 is a priority. Risk factor studies have revealed previous hamstring injury to be highly 60 associated with the occurrence of hamstring injuries in male soccer players. Other risk 61 factors, such as, older age, reduced hamstring flexibility, decreased hamstring strength or 62 strength imbalances, as well as fatigue show conflicting or limited evidence (4). 63 Previous research has also suggested that a genetic susceptibility may contribute to the 64 interindividual variation in musculoskeletal injury risk. Several single nucleotide 65 polymorphisms (SNPs) located in genes responsible for encoding the structural and 66 regulatory proteins of musculoskeletal soft tissues have been associated in case-control 67 retrospective studies with injuries, such as anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) rupture and 68 chronic Achilles tendinopathy (5,6). In contrast, very few studies have investigated non-69 contact muscle injuries (7,8). In addition, variants associated with exercise-induced muscle 70 damage have been pointed out as potential markers of muscle injury risk (9). These 71 polymorphisms might contribute to interindividual variation in the structural and functional 72 properties of muscle and tendon, and their response to mechanical loading, thus potentially 73 being implicated in the susceptibility to hamstring injury (5). 74 However, there is no evidence regarding the influence of genetic variants on the risk of 75 hamstring injury. Since statistically significant associations might not be enough to predict 76 players at risk of injury, the predictive ability of any test needs to be validated in independent

<sup>78</sup> genetic variants with non-contact hamstring injuries in elite soccer players over several

samples (10). Thus, the aims of this study were to investigate the association of candidate

<sup>79</sup> seasons, and to create a model to estimate the risk of hamstring injury and test its validity.

#### <sup>80</sup> **METHODS**

81

### <sup>82</sup> Participants and study design

83

84 This study was approved by the Clinical Research Ethics Committee of the Basque Country 85 (PI2014215). 107 male outfield players from Athletic Club voluntarily agreed to participate 86 after receiving oral and written details outlining the study. Informed consent was obtained 87 from each participant. All players were Caucasian from the Basque Country in Spain. Players 88 were recruited and saliva samples were collected at the beginning of the 2014-2015 season. 89 28 players belonged to the First team, 43 to the two Reserves teams, and 36 to the two U19 90 teams. All players from the First, Reserves and U19 teams had been prospectively followed 91 from the 2010-2011 season to the 2015-2016 season, and injury records, exposure time, and 92 anthropometric data were collected by the medical and coaching staff following common 93 procedures. The study was divided in two phases (Figure 1): 1) the discovery phase, from the 94 2010-2011 season to the 2014-2015 season, when the association between risk factors and 95 hamstring injuries was investigated; and 2) the validation phase in the 2015-2016 season, 96 when the predictive ability of the risk model was assessed.

97

#### <sup>98</sup> Injury, exposure time, and anthropometric data recording

99

Time-loss injuries resulting from soccer training or match play were recorded following the
 consensus on definitions and data collection procedures outlined by the International
 Federation of Association Football (FIFA) (11). Non-contact hamstring injuries were
 recorded when a player was unable to participate in a future training session or match due to
 an injury to the hamstring muscle group, and was considered injured until the medical staff

105 cleared the player for full participation in training and match play. Structural-mechanical 106 injuries, such as total and partial muscle ruptures, and functional injuries, such as fatigue-107 induced or neurogenic muscle hardening (hypertonia), were included (3). Injuries were 108 confirmed through a clinical examination by the team doctor, and if indicated, the diagnosis 109 was supported by ultrasonography and magnetic resonance imaging. Injuries during national 110 team duties were also registered. Hamstring injuries with a sudden, identifiable onset were 111 defined as acute injuries, while those with a gradual onset as overuse injuries. According to 112 the number of days of absence injury severity was recorded as minimal (1-3 days), mild (4-7 113 days), moderate (8-28 days) or severe (>28 days). Recurrent hamstring injuries were those 114 occurring in the same leg and during the same season as an index hamstring injury. 115 Individual player exposure time in training and matches (friendly and competitive), 116 including national team exposure, was daily recorded in minutes. Anthropometric data were 117 collected every 2 months approximately by the team doctor. Height was measured using a 118 stadiometer (Añó Sayol, Barcelona, Spain), and body mass was measured with a portable 119

<sup>119</sup> balance (Seca, Bonn, Germany). Skinfold thicknesses were measured at 6 sites (triceps,
 <sup>120</sup> subscapular, abdominal, suprailiac, thigh and calf) using a skinfold caliper (Harpenden, West
 <sup>121</sup> Sussex, England) and the sum of these 6 skinfolds was calculated in millimeters.

122

#### <sup>123</sup> Genotyping

124

<sup>125</sup> 37 SNPs previously investigated in relation to musculoskeletal injuries (6-8) or exercise <sup>126</sup> induced muscle damage (9) were selected for the study. The full list of SNPs and associated
 <sup>127</sup> injuries are presented in Supplemental Table 1 (see Table, Supplemental Digital Content 1,
 <sup>128</sup> associated injuries, genotype frequencies and missing data of the selected candidate SNPs).
 <sup>129</sup> For a more detailed information on these SNPs readers are referred to recent reviews (6.9).

Saliva samples were obtained using buccal swabs (4N6FLOQSwab, Life Technologies, 131 Carlsbad, CA, USA). DNA was extracted via QIAmp DNA Mini kit (Qiagen, Hilden, 132 Germany), and quantified by fluorometry using Qubit (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, 133 USA). DNA samples were genotyped using SNP type assays in the Biomark HD system 134 (Fluidigm, South San Francisco, CA, USA).

135

130

#### 136 **Statistical analysis**

137

138 The required sample size was calculated using the powerSurvEpi package in R version 3.2.3 139 (R Core Team 2015, R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria). With 80% 140 power, a two-sided significance of 0.05 and injuries occurring in 25% of observations, to 141 detect a hazard ratio (HR) of 2, the minimum required number of injuries was 89. Injury 142 incidences are presented as the number of injuries/1000 player hours with 95% confidence 143 intervals (CI). Descriptive data are presented as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (SD). 144 The Cox proportional hazards model with a frailty extension was used to investigate the 145 association between risk factors and hamstring injuries in the discovery phase, using the 146 survival package in R (12). This model accounts for varying exposure times between players 147 (measured as total hours of exposure in each season), and the frailty term allows for 148 correlation between observations from the same player to be accounted for (13,14). 149 Observations started at the beginning of each season. Some players had no occurrence of 150 injury during the season and contributed censored survival times; whereas, other players 151 sustained one or more hamstring injuries and had multiple observations. 152 First, potential risk factors (37 SNPs, age, height, body mass, sum of 6 skinfolds, level 153 of play, position and previous hamstring injury during the preceding or same season) were

154 individually analyzed adjusting for the players' match exposure ratio (match hours/total hours

155	of exposure) (15). Individual analyses were separately performed for all, acute, overuse,
156	severe and recurrent hamstring injuries. For recurrent hamstring injuries each observation
157	started when a player suffered an index hamstring injury. The analysis of previous injuries
158	included only prospectively recorded injuries in the club, and hence, the players' first season
159	in the club was not considered for the analysis (15). Continuous variables were categorized
160	according to the optimal cut-off value using the CatPredi package in R (16). Each SNP was
161	analysed under dominant (aa+Aa vs. AA), recessive (aa vs. AA+Aa), overdominant (Aa vs.
162	AA+aa) and log-additive (aa=2, Aa=1, AA=0) modes of inheritance, and the best mode for
163	each SNP was selected based on the minimum <i>P</i> value.

1 ~ ~

164 Subsequently, and only for all hamstring injuries, variables with  $P \le 0.25$  were entered in 165 a multivariable Cox-frailty model using forward selection. At each step, variables with 166  $P \leq 0.05$  were separately added to the model, and the model with the smallest Akaike 167 Information Criterion value was retained until no variable showed  $P \leq 0.05$ . HRs and 95% CIs 168 were calculated. The proportional hazard assumption was assessed using the cox.zph function 169 in R. Kaplan-Meier survival curves were plotted to illustrate the probability of remaining 170 injury-free during a season using GraphPad Prism v.6.0c (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA, 171 USA). The significance level was set at  $P \leq 0.05$ .

172 Finally, a risk score for each player relative to the average player within the dataset was 173 estimated from the model. The discriminative ability of the model was tested separately in the 174 discovery and validation phases calculating Harrell's C index. Harrell's C (for concordance) 175 index estimates the probability that, of two randomly chosen players, the player with the 176 higher risk score will be more likely to sustain an injury compared to the player with the 177 lower risk score. Values of C index near 0.5 indicate that the model is as good as a random 178 guess, while a value of 1.0 indicates that the model always discriminates players with a 179 higher risk (17).

#### <sup>180</sup> **RESULTS**

181

182 107 players (20±4 years, 179±5 cm, 72±6 kg, 51±12 mm of skinfolds) were followed up for 183 at least one season for a total of 356 player-seasons ( $3\pm 1$  seasons per player). Descriptive data 184 on player exposure and hamstring injuries are presented in Table 1. The discovery phase 185 consisted of 413 observations and 129 hamstring injuries (107 players), whereas 98 186 observations and 31 hamstring injuries (67 players) were included in the validation phase 187 (Figure 1). Genotype frequencies are presented in Supplemental Table 1 (see Table, 188 Supplemental Digital Content 1, associated injuries, genotype frequencies and missing data 189 of the selected candidate SNPs). Two SNPs had >5% missing data, COL1A1 rs1800012 190 (10%) and COL5A1 rs12722 (10%). 191 Analysis of individual SNPs revealed 7 polymorphisms significantly associated with 192 the risk of hamstring injury (Table 2). Age was the only non-genetic variable significantly 193 associated with hamstring injuries, even after adjusting for the level of play ( $\geq 24$  vs. < 24194 years, HR=3.33, 95% CI=1.38-8.02, P=0.01). MMP3 (Matrix metalloproteinase-3) rs679620 195 remained statistically significant when acute, overuse, severe and recurrent hamstring injuries 196 were separately analysed (Table 3; see full Tables, Supplemental Digital Content 2, 197

<sup>197</sup> association between acute, overuse, severe and recurrent hamstring injuries and genetic and
 <sup>198</sup> non-genetic factors in elite soccer players). Previous hamstring injury was significantly
 <sup>199</sup> associated only with acute hamstring injuries. In a multivariable model, 5 SNPs and age were
 <sup>200</sup> significantly associated with hamstring injury (Table 4). Kaplan-Meier survival curves for
 <sup>201</sup> these variables are shown in Figure 2. These results show a higher hamstring injury risk for
 <sup>202</sup> players older than 24 years, and for players with the *MMP3* rs679620 AA, *TNC* (Tenascin-C)
 <sup>203</sup> rs2104772 AA, *IL6* (Interleukin-6) rs1800795 GG, *NOS3* (Nitric oxide synthase-3)

<sup>204</sup> rs1799983 GG, and *HIF1A* (Hypoxia-inducible factor-1α) rs11549465 CC genotypes. All

- <sup>205</sup> significant variables met the proportional hazards assumption. Finally, the C index of the
- $^{206}$  model was 0.74 in the discovery phase and 0.52 in the validation phase.

#### <sup>207</sup> **DISCUSSION**

208

## Five SNPs and age were associated with hamstring injury in a Cox-frailty model 210

211 The most strongly associated SNP was MMP3 rs679620 G/A, with each copy of the A allele 212 increasing the risk of hamstring injury twice compared to the GG genotype. It was also the 213 only SNP significantly associated with acute, overuse, severe and recurrent hamstring 214 injuries. Matrix metalloproteinase-3 plays an important role in the maintenance of myofiber 215 functional integrity by breaking down components of the extracellular matrix, and regulating 216 skeletal muscle cell migration, differentiation and regeneration (18). MMP3 rs679620 is in 217 linkage disequilibrium with MMP3 rs3025058 5A/6A (19), a functional promoter 218 polymorphism. The 5A allele, which is linked to the A allele of rs679620, has been shown to 219 result in a higher MMP3 expression compared to the 6A allele (20). Conversely, this SNP 220 was not associated with non-contact skeletal muscle injuries in a previous study in elite 221 soccer players, although there are large methodological differences with the present study in 222 terms of player ethnicity, statistical analysis and injury definition (7). Moreover, the GG 223 genotype was overrepresented in individuals with Achilles tendinopathy compared to 224 asymptomatic controls (21), but these findings were not replicated in another cohort and no 225 association was found with the risk of ACL rupture (19). 226 Among the other significant SNPs, each A allele of TNC rs2104772 A/T was associated

<sup>227</sup> with a 1.65 times higher risk of hamstring injury. Tenascin-C is a glycoprotein that regulates
<sup>228</sup> cell-matrix interactions, plays an important role in the muscle damage-repair cycle, and
<sup>229</sup> provides strength and elasticity to withstand mechanical forces. It is expressed in
<sup>230</sup> regenerating myofibers, and in response to mechanical loading in the myotendinous junction,
<sup>231</sup> the most vulnerable site to injury (22). The T>A substitution results in a leucine to isoleucine

<sup>232</sup> amino acid change in the fibronectin type III-D domain region of TNC that could cause
 <sup>233</sup> structural instability and alter the molecular elasticity of the domain (23). The A allele was
 <sup>234</sup> previously associated with Achilles tendinopathy (24), but not with non-contact muscle
 <sup>235</sup> injuries (8).

236 The GG genotype of IL6 rs1800795 G/C was associated with a 1.68 times higher risk of 237 hamstring injury compared to the GC and CC genotypes. The cytokine interleukin-6 is 238 produced by skeletal muscle following exercise, and it also targets skeletal muscle, 239 paradoxically, as both stimulator of hypertrophic muscle growth and myogenesis, and 240 promoter of atrophy and muscle wasting (25). The G allele appears to increase IL6 gene 241 transcription and plasma levels in response to stress stimuli (26), and it has been previously 242 associated with Achilles tendinopathy (27), lumbar disc degeneration (28) and power/strength 243 athlete status (29). In contrast, the CC genotype was associated with higher creatine kinase 244 levels after eccentric exercise in healthy individuals (9,30).

Each G allele of *NOS3* rs1799983 G/T was associated with a 1.35 times higher risk of
 hamstring injury. Nitric oxide synthase-3 is the rate limiting enzyme for nitric oxide
 production. Nitric oxide has many relevant biological functions, such as, regulation of blood
 flow, muscle contractility, mitochondrial respiration and skeletal muscle injury repair (31).
 NOS3 produced from the G allele seems to be less susceptible to proteolytic cleavage, which
 might result in increased NOS3 activity and higher NO production (32). This SNP was not
 previously associated with Achilles tendinopathy (33).

<sup>252</sup> The risk of hamstring injury was twice as high in players with the *HIF1A* rs11549465 <sup>253</sup> CC genotype in comparison to those with the CT genotype. Hypoxia-inducible factor-1 $\alpha$  is a <sup>254</sup> transcription factor regulating several genes in response to hypoxia, stimulating angiogenesis <sup>255</sup> and glycolytic metabolism (34). It can also be induced by mechanical loading, and it is an <sup>256</sup> important component of matrix remodeling and skeletal myogenesis (34,35). Previously, the <sup>257</sup> T allele was linked with higher transcriptional activity of *HIF1A* (36) and power/strength
 <sup>258</sup> athlete status (29), but this SNP was not associated with ACL injury (37) or lumbar disc
 <sup>259</sup> degeneration (38).

<sup>260</sup> Collectively, these five variants, or other closely linked polymorphisms, might
 <sup>261</sup> influence musculotendinous integrity and function, and its response to mechanical loading.
 <sup>262</sup> Nonetheless, mechanistic studies are required to unravel the molecular mechanisms behind
 <sup>263</sup> these associations (5).

Lastly, players older than 24 years had a two times higher risk of injury compared to younger players, and the association was independent of the level of play. This association was observed also in overuse, but not acute hamstring injuries. Previous studies show conflicting evidence with regards to the effect of age, which may be due to differences in mean age and level of play between study cohorts (4). Older players might be at a higher risk of injury due to age-related physical changes or a greater likelihood of having suffered a previous hamstring injury (4,15).

271

# The model did not have predictive ability in a subsequent independent season 273

The multivariable Cox model can be used to estimate the risk of injury of each player relative to the average player within the dataset. This might be useful to classify players into risk groups or to create a risk profile of each player if the risk of various injuries could be estimated. Unfortunately, despite an acceptable internal concordance (C index=0.74), the model was as good as a random guess in a subsequent independent season (C index=0.52). This means that of two random players, the player with the higher risk score was the one that would get injured only half of the time (17). This result shows the importance of appropriate validation studies, as statistically significant associations might not translate into accurate
 predictive tests (10).

283 The lack of predictive ability may be due to several reasons. Sample size was small in 284 the validation phase, and replication in larger samples might be necessary. However, the 285 accuracy of a screening test in any given season is relevant for soccer clubs. The candidate 286 gene approach is also limited, and the number of genetic variants investigated needs to be 287 increased in order to understand the influence of genetics on musculoskeletal injury risk 288 (5,39). Most importantly, injuries are multifactorial disorders, and the use of genetic tests is 289 very limited without considering other potential risk factors (e.g. training load, fatigue, 290 hamstring activation, eccentric strength and fascicle length, fixture congestion, high intensity 291 running, compliance with preventive training) (4,5). In this regard, preventive exercises were 292 performed routinely by all players in the study, including Nordic hamstring exercises, core 293 exercises and strength training. However, this information was not registered, and it is a 294 limitation of the study. Lastly, accurately identifying players at risk is challenging, and there 295 are currently no screening tests available to predict sports injuries (10). Therefore, to 296 understand such a complex phenomenon a complex system approach may well be necessary, 297 investigating the influence of genetic variants in interaction with other environmental risk 298 factors (5,40).

<sup>299</sup> In light of the present findings, the use of genetic testing for hamstring injuries in <sup>300</sup> soccer seems premature. Due to the inaccuracy of current screening tests and the high <sup>301</sup> frequency of hamstring injuries in elite soccer, all players should be included in hamstring <sup>302</sup> injury prevention programs. Research in genetics, overcoming the limitations of the present <sup>303</sup> study, still holds great potential for injury risk screening and prevention. Even though genetic <sup>304</sup> testing will never be prognostic or predictive, it may provide information about the baseline <sup>305</sup> injury risk of an individual. As an important piece of the multifactorial injury model, genetic <sup>306</sup> information might be used together with all other risk factors to identify those at high risk of
 <sup>307</sup> injury and individualize preventive strategies (5,10).

308

#### <sup>309</sup> **Other methodological considerations**

310

311 This is the first study to prospectively investigate genetic risk factors for hamstring injuries, 312 in an ethnically homogeneous sample of elite soccer players, and using previously 313 recommended statistical methods accounting for individual player exposure time and 314 correlation between injuries (13,14). Nevertheless, the study has limited external validity as 315 only players from one club were investigated, and findings remain to be replicated in other 316 populations. Moreover, the study had adequate power to detect moderate HRs when including 317 all hamstring injuries in the analysis, but sample size was insufficient for the analysis of 318 specific types of hamstring injury. In this sense, the influence of genetics might be different 319 for hamstring injuries with different mechanism, size and location, and hence, a larger sample 320 of well-defined injuries is required. Finally, two important SNPs, COLIA1 rs1800012 and 321 COL5A1 rs12722, had 10% missing genotype data due to problems with the genotyping, 322 which might have influenced the results.

323

#### <sup>324</sup> Conclusion

325

Five SNPs (*MMP3* rs679620, *TNC* rs2104772, *IL6* rs1800795, *NOS3* rs1799983 and *HIF1A* rs11549465) and older age were significantly associated with the risk of hamstring injury in a Cox-frailty model over 5 seasons in elite soccer players. *MMP3* rs679620 was the only variable individually associated with acute, overuse, severe and recurrent hamstring injuries. However, the model could not identify players at higher risk of injury in a subsequent independent season, and genetic testing for hamstring injury risk seems premature at the
 moment. Further research in larger cohorts, increasing the number of genetic variants and
 including environmental risk factors would appear to be necessary to understand the
 influence of genetics on musculoskeletal injuries. Such evidence might be used in the future
 to assess the injury risk of a player and to make informed decisions about preventing
 hamstring injuries in soccer.

## <sup>337</sup> ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

339	The study was funded by Baigene. JL was supported by a PhD Studentship from the Vice-
340	Chancellorship for Basque of the University of the Basque Country UPV/EHU (Euskararen
341	arloko Errektoreordetza). IB acknowledges financial support from the Basque Government
342	(IT620-13). SMG acknowledges financial support from the Basque Government (IT922-16)
343	and the University of the Basque Country (PPG17/34). Genotyping was carried out by the
344	Sequencing and Genotyping Unit of the University of the Basque Country (SGIker,
345	UPV/EHU).
346	
347	CONFLICTS OF INTEREST
348	
349	The study was funded by the genetics company Baigene. DC, JRFL, RN and JMA are
350	members of Baigene. For the remaining authors none were declared. The results of the
351	present study do not constitute endorsement by ACSM. The results of the study are presented
352	clearly, honestly, and without fabrication, falsification, or inappropriate data manipulation.

## <sup>353</sup> **REFERENCES**

355	1.	Ekstrand J. Keeping your top players on the pitch: the key to football medicine at a
356		professional level. Br J Sports Med. 2013;47(12):723-4.
357	2.	Turner AP, Barlow JH, Heathcote-Elliott C. Long term health impact of playing
358		professional football in the United Kingdom. Br J Sports Med. 2000;34(5):332-6.
359	3.	Ekstrand J, Hagglund M, Walden M. Epidemiology of muscle injuries in professional
360		football (soccer). Am J Sports Med. 2011;39(6):1226-32.
361	4.	van Beijsterveldt AM, van de Port IG, Vereijken AJ, Backx FJ. Risk factors for
362		hamstring injuries in male soccer players: a systematic review of prospective studies.
363		Scand J Med Sci Sports. 2013;23(3):253-62.
364	5.	Collins M, September AV, Posthumus M. Biological variation in musculoskeletal
365		injuries: current knowledge, future research and practical implications. Br J Sports
366		Med. 2015;49(23):1497-503.
367	6.	Rahim M, Collins M, September A. Genes and Musculoskeletal Soft-Tissue Injuries.
368		Med Sport Sci. 2016;61:68-91.
369	7.	Pruna R, Artells R, Lundblad M, Maffulli N. Genetic biomarkers in non-contact
370		muscle injuries in elite soccer players. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc. 2016.
371		(Epub ahead of print).
372	8.	Pruna R, Artells R, Ribas J, et al. Single nucleotide polymorphisms associated with
373		non-contact soft tissue injuries in elite professional soccer players: influence on
374		degree of injury and recovery time. BMC Musculoskelet Disord. 2013;14:221.
375	9.	Baumert P, Lake MJ, Stewart CE, Drust B, Erskine RM. Genetic variation and
376		exercise-induced muscle damage: implications for athletic performance, injury and
377		ageing. Eur J Appl Physiol. 2016;116(9):1595-625.

378	10. Bahr R. Why screening tests to predict injury do not work-and probably never will:
379	a critical review. Br J Sports Med. 2016;50(13):776-80.
380	11. Fuller CW, Ekstrand J, Junge A, et al. Consensus statement on injury definitions and
381	data collection procedures in studies of football (soccer) injuries. Br J Sports Med.
382	2006;40(3):193-201.
383	12. Therneau TM, Grambsch PM. Modeling Survival Data: Extending the Cox Model.
384	New York: Springer; 2000.
385	13. Gabbett TJ, Ullah S, Finch CF. Identifying risk factors for contact injury in
386	professional rugby league players - application of a frailty model for recurrent injury.
387	J Sci Med Sport. 2012;15(6):496-504.
388	14. Ullah S, Gabbett TJ, Finch CF. Statistical modelling for recurrent events: an
389	application to sports injuries. Br J Sports Med. 2014;48(17):1287-93.
390	15. Hagglund M, Walden M, Ekstrand J. Risk factors for lower extremity muscle injury in
391	professional soccer: the UEFA Injury Study. Am J Sports Med. 2013;41(2):327-35.
392	16. Barrio I, Rodríguez-Álvarez MX, Meira-Machado L, Esteban C, Arostegui I.
393	Comparison of two discrimination indexes in the polycothomisation of continuous
394	predictors in time-to-event studies. SORT. 2017;41:1-20.
395	17. Harrell FE, Lee KL, Mark DB. Multivariable prognostic models: issues in developing
396	models, evaluating assumptions and adequacy, and measuring and reducing errors.
397	<i>Stat Med.</i> 1996;15(4):361-87.
398	18. Chen X, Li Y. Role of matrix metalloproteinases in skeletal muscle: migration,
399	differentiation, regeneration and fibrosis. Cell Adh Migr. 2009;3(4):337-41.
400	19. Gibbon A, Hobbs H, van der Merwe W, et al. The MMP3 gene in musculoskeletal
401	soft tissue injury risk profiling: A study in two independent sample groups. J Sports
402	Sci. 2017;35(7):655-62.

403	20. N	Medley TL, Kingwell BA, Gatzka CD, Pillay P, Cole TJ. Matrix metalloproteinase-3
404	g	genotype contributes to age-related aortic stiffening through modulation of gene and
405	P	protein expression. Circ Res. 2003;92(11):1254-61.
406	21. F	Raleigh SM, van der Merwe L, Ribbans WJ, Smith RK, Schwellnus MP, Collins M.
407	١	Variants within the MMP3 gene are associated with Achilles tendinopathy: possible
408	i	nteraction with the COL5A1 gene. Br J Sports Med. 2009;43(7):514-20.
409	22. F	Fluck M, Mund SI, Schittny JC, Klossner S, Durieux AC, Giraud MN. Mechano-
410	r	regulated tenascin-C orchestrates muscle repair. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.
411	2	2008;105(36):13662-7.
412	23. N	Matsuda A, Hirota T, Akahoshi M, et al. Coding SNP in tenascin-C Fn-III-D domain
413	а	associates with adult asthma. Hum Mol Genet. 2005;14(19):2779-86.
414	24. S	Saunders CJ, van der Merwe L, Posthumus M, et al. Investigation of variants within
415	ť	he COL27A1 and TNC genes and Achilles tendinopathy in two populations. $J$
416	(	Orthop Res. 2013;31(4):632-7.
417	25. N	Muñoz-Canoves P, Scheele C, Pedersen BK, Serrano AL. Interleukin-6 myokine
418	S	signaling in skeletal muscle: a double-edged sword? FEBS J. 2013;280(17):4131-48.
419	26. F	Fishman D, Faulds G, Jeffery R, et al. The effect of novel polymorphisms in the
420	i	nterleukin-6 (IL-6) gene on IL-6 transcription and plasma IL-6 levels, and an
421	а	association with systemic-onset juvenile chronic arthritis. J Clin Invest.
422	1	1998;102(7):1369-76.
423	27. S	September AV, Nell EM, O'Connell K, et al. A pathway-based approach investigating
424	ť	he genes encoding interleukin-1beta, interleukin-6 and the interleukin-1 receptor
425	а	antagonist provides new insight into the genetic susceptibility of Achilles
426	t	endinopathy. Br J Sports Med. 2011;45(13):1040-7.

427	28	. Kelempisioti A, Eskola PJ, Okuloff A, et al. Genetic susceptibility of intervertebral
428		disc degeneration among young Finnish adults. BMC Med Genet. 2011;12:153.
429	29	. Ahmetov, II, Fedotovskaya ON. Current Progress in Sports Genomics. Adv Clin
430		Chem. 2015;70:247-314.
431	30	. Yamin C, Duarte JA, Oliveira JM, et al. IL6 (-174) and TNFA (-308) promoter
432		polymorphisms are associated with systemic creatine kinase response to eccentric
433		exercise. Eur J Appl Physiol. 2008;104(3):579-86.
434	31	. Stamler JS, Meissner G. Physiology of nitric oxide in skeletal muscle. Physiol Rev.
435		2001;81(1):209-37.
436	32	. Leeson CP, Hingorani AD, Mullen MJ, et al. Glu298Asp endothelial nitric oxide
437		synthase gene polymorphism interacts with environmental and dietary factors to
438		influence endothelial function. Circ Res. 2002;90(11):1153-8.
439	33	. Nell EM, van der Merwe L, Cook J, Handley CJ, Collins M, September AV. The
440		apoptosis pathway and the genetic predisposition to Achilles tendinopathy. J Orthop
441		<i>Res.</i> 2012;30(11):1719-24.
442	34	. Lindholm ME, Rundqvist H. Skeletal muscle hypoxia-inducible factor-1 and exercise.
443		Exp Physiol. 2016;101(1):28-32.
444	35	. Petersen W, Varoga D, Zantop T, Hassenpflug J, Mentlein R, Pufe T. Cyclic strain
445		influences the expression of the vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) and the
446		hypoxia inducible factor 1 alpha (HIF-1alpha) in tendon fibroblasts. J Orthop Res.
447		2004;22(4):847-53.
448	36	. Tanimoto K, Yoshiga K, Eguchi H, et al. Hypoxia-inducible factor-1alpha
449		polymorphisms associated with enhanced transactivation capacity, implying clinical
450		significance. Carcinogenesis. 2003;24(11):1779-83.

451	37. Rahim M, Gibbon A, Hobbs H, et al. The association of genes involved in the
452	angiogenesis-associated signaling pathway with risk of anterior cruciate ligament
453	rupture. J Orthop Res. 2014;32(12):1612-8.
454	38. Lin WP, Wang XJ, Wang CR, et al. Polymorphism in the hypoxia-inducible factor
455	1 alpha gene may confer susceptibility to LDD in Chinese cohort. PLoS One.
456	2013;8(8):e73158.
457	39. Bouchard C. Exercise genomics - a paradigm shift is needed: a commentary. $Br J$
458	Sports Med. 2015;49(23):1492-6.
459	40. Bittencourt NF, Meeuwisse WH, Mendonça LD, Nettel-Aguirre A, Ocarino JM,
460	Fonseca ST. Complex systems approach for sports injuries: moving from risk factor
461	identification to injury pattern recognition-narrative review and new concept. $Br J$
462	Sports Med. 2016;50:1309-14.

### <sup>463</sup> **FIGURE CAPTIONS**

464

465 Figure 1. Schematic diagram of the study design.

- <sup>467</sup> Figure 2. Kaplan-Meier survival curves illustrating the probability of remaining hamstring
- <sup>468</sup> injury free during a season for the risk factors significantly associated with hamstring injury
- <sup>469</sup> in a multivariable Cox-frailty model.

## <sup>470</sup> LIST OF SUPPLEMENTAL DIGITAL CONTENT

- <sup>472</sup> Supplemental Digital Content 1.docx
- <sup>473</sup> Supplemental Digital Content 2.docx

Table 1. Descriptive data on player exposure and hamstring injuries.

Exposure hours	Total	Per player-season*
Total	97421	$274\pm81$
Training	84068	$236 \pm 69$
Match	13353	$38 \pm 19$
Non-contact hamstring injuries	Number (%)	Incidence/1000 hours (95% CI)
Total	160	1.64 (1.41-1.92)
Training	60 (38)	0.71 (0.55-0.92)
Match	100 (62)	7.49 (6.16-9.11)
Mechanism		
Traumatic	67 (42)	0.69 (0.54-0.87)
Overuse	93 (58)	0.95 (0.78-1.17)
Severity		
Minimal	36 (23)	0.37 (0.27-0.51)
Mild	54 (34)	0.55 (0.42-0.72)
Moderate	55 (34)	0.56 (0.43-0.74)
Severe	15 (9)	0.15 (0.09-0.26)
Recurrence		
<2 months	24 (15)	0.25 (0.17-0.37)
Same season	40 (25)	0.41 (0.30-0.56)

\*Values are mean ± SD. CI: confidence interval.

Gene	Variable Higher risk*		vs. Lower risk	HR (95% CI)	P value
MMP3	rs679620	А	G	1.79 (1.27-2.51)	0.001
COL5A1	rs16399	DI	DD+II	1.83 (1.13-2.97)	0.01
MMP1	rs1799750	DD+DI	II	2.05 (1.13-3.74)	0.02
NOS3	rs1799983	G	Т	1.43 (1.02-1.99)	0.04
DCN	rs516115	А	G	1.51 (1.02-2.22)	0.04
<i>HIF1A</i>	rs11549465	CC	СТ	2.32 (1.03-5.20)	0.04
MMP12	rs2276109	А	G	1.62 (0.99-2.64)	0.05
CASP8	rs3834129	DD	DI+II	1.62 (0.98-2.67)	0.06
ADAM12	rs3740199	GG+GC	CC	1.79 (0.93-3.45)	0.08
SOX15	rs4227	TT+TG	GG	6.38 (0.79-51.67)	0.08
COL5A1	rs12722	TC+CC	TT	1.59 (0.90-2.80)	0.11
TNC	rs2104772	А	Т	1.35 (0.92-1.99)	0.12
COLIAI	rs1107946	С	А	1.68 (0.80-3.50)	0.17
CCL2	rs2857656	GG+GC	CC	2.16 (0.71-6.63)	0.18
VEGFA	rs2010963	GG+GC	CC	4.48 (0.49-40.52)	0.18
ADAMTS5	rs226794	GA+AA	GG	1.44 (0.83-2.48)	0.20
ACTN3	rs1815739	CC	CT+TT	1.39 (0.83-2.32)	0.21
ACAN	rs1516797	TG+GG	ТТ	1.37 (0.82-2.28)	0.23
ADAMTS2	rs1054480	CT+TT	CC	1.35 (0.82-2.21)	0.24
11.6	rs1800795	GG	GC+CC	1.33 (0.81-2.18)	0.25
GDF5	rs143383	TT+CC	TC	1.33 (0.81-2.19)	0.26
ACE	rs1799752	D	I	1.25 (0.84-1.85)	0.27
COLI2A1	rs970547	AA+AG	GG	2.11 (0.53-8.40)	0.29
SOD2	rs4880	Т	C	1.19 (0.86-1.67)	0.29
MLCK	rs2700352	TT	CC+CT	1.61 (0.61-4.24)	0.34
TIMP2	rs4789932	CC+TT	СТ	1.21 (0.73-1.99)	0.46
IL6R	rs2228145	AA+AC	CC	1.24 (0.69-2.24)	0.46
ADAMTS14	rs4747096	AG	AA	1.22 (0.71-2.08)	0.47
EMILINI	rs2289360	GG+AA	GA	1.19 (0.71-1.97)	0.51
CASP8	rs1045485	GG+GC	CC	1.86 (0.20-17.16)	0.58
TTN	rs2742327	AA+GG	AG	1.15 (0.68-1.93)	0.61
IGF2	rs3213221	CG	CC+GG	1.13 (0.68-1.87)	0.63
COLIAI	rs1800012	G	Т	1.09 (0.75-1.60)	0.64
TNF	rs1800629	G	A	1.12 (0.67-1.88)	0.66
ILIA	rs1800587	T	C	1.07 (0.73-1.56)	0.72
CCR2	rs768539	Т	C	1.06 (0.68-1.64)	0.81
ILIB	rs1143634	CC+TT	CT	1.03 (0.61-1.76)	0.90
	Age (years)	> 24	< 24	2.33 (1.30-4.17)	0.004
	Height (cm)	2 177	< 177	1.60 (0.91-2.80)	0.10
	Body mass (kg)	> 75	< 75	1.44 (0.91-2.28)	0.12
	Sum 6 skinfolds (mm)	< 54	> 54	1.38 (0.84-2.27)	0.20
	Previous iniurv	Yes	No	1.19 (0.80-1.75)	0.34
	Level of play	First team	U19	1.43 (0.78-2.63)	0.25
	pmj	First team	Reserves	1.04 (0.60-1.82)	0.88
	Position	For	Def	1.30 (0.68-2.50)	0.42
	- obtion	Def	Mid	1.04 (0.59-1.85)	0.89

Table 2. Individual analysis of genetic and non-genetic risk factors for hamstring injuries in elite soccer players.

\*When alleles are compared the HR of the risk allele is additive (i.e., possessing two copies of the risk allele multiplies the

HR by 2) compared to having two copies of the protective allele. HR: hazard ratio of sustaining a hamstring injury adjusted for the players' match exposure ratio (match hours/total hours of exposure). CI: confidence interval. I: insertion, D: deletion. Def: defender, Mid: midfielder, For: forward.

Gene	Variable	Higher risk*	vs.	Lower risk	HR (95% CI)	<i>P</i> value
Acute						
ACAN	rs1516797	GG		TT+TG	3.30 (1.46-7.44)	0.004
MMP3	rs679620	А		G	1.96 (1.18-3.24)	0.01
DCN	rs516115	А		G	2.20 (1.19-4.08)	0.01
MMP1	rs1799750	D		Ι	1.86 (1.13-3.06)	0.01
ADAMTS14	rs4747096	AG		AA	2.22 (1.09-4.53)	0.03
COL5A1	rs16399	DI		DD+II	2.03 (1.01-4.09)	0.05
	Previous injury	Yes		No	1.81 (1.00-3.28)	0.05
Overuse						
	Age (years)	$\geq 24$		< 24	2.95 (1.52-5.74)	0.001
MMP3	rs679620	А		G	1.66 (1.12-2.45)	0.01
Severe						
MLCK	rs2700352	TT		CC+CT	8.69 (2.42-31.18)	0.001
ILIA	rs1800587	CT		CC+TT	6.60 (1.74-25.02)	0.01
MMP3	rs679620	А		G	3.58 (1.33-9.66)	0.01
ADAMTS14	rs4747096	AG		AA	4.49 (1.18-17.15)	0.03
CASP8	rs3834129	DD+II		DI	4.36 (1.03-18.51)	0.05
Recurrent						
EMILIN1	rs2289360	GA		GG+AA	2.48 (1.00-6.14)	0.05
MMP3	rs679620	А		G	2.02 (0.99-4.13)	0.05

Table 3. Individual analysis of genetic and non-genetic risk factors for hamstring injury subtypes in elite soccer players.

Only SNPs/variables with  $P \leq 0.05$  are shown.

Sample size: Acute = 338 observations, 53 injuries; Overuse = 364 observations, 76 injuries; Severe = 300 observations, 13 injuries; Recurrent = 117 observations, 35 injuries.

\*When alleles are compared the HR of the risk allele is additive (i.e., possessing two copies of the risk allele multiplies the HR by 2) compared to having two copies of the protective allele. HR: hazard ratio of sustaining a hamstring injury adjusted for the players' match exposure ratio (match hours/total hours of

exposure). CI: confidence interval. I: insertion, D: deletion.

Table 4. Multivariable Cox-frailty model for the association between risk factors and hamstring injuries in elite soccer

players.

Gene	Variable	Higher risk*	vs.	Lower risk	HR (95% CI)	<i>P</i> value
MMP3	rs679620	А	(	Ĵ	2.06 (1.51-2.81)	6.2 x 10 <sup>-6</sup>
	Age	$\geq 24$	<	24	2.10 (1.29-3.42)	0.003
TNC	rs2104772	А	Т		1.65 (1.17-2.32)	0.004
IL6	rs1800795	GG	C	GC+CC	1.68 (1.11-2.53)	0.01
NOS3	rs1799983	G	Т		1.35 (1.01-1.79)	0.04
HIF1A	rs11549465	CC	C	CT	2.08 (1.00-4.29)	0.05

\*When alleles are compared the HR of the risk allele is additive (i.e., possessing two copies of the risk allele multiplies the HR by 2) compared to having two copies of the protective allele. HR: hazard ratio of sustaining a hamstring injury. CI: confidence interval.

#### **DISCOVERY PHASE**





Gene	Encoded protein	SNP	Injuries	Geno	type frequenci	es, n (%)	% NA players	% NA observ.
ACAN	Aggrecan	rs1516797	ACL, LDD	TT 48 (45)	TG 46 (44)	GG 12 (11)	0.9	0.2
ACE	Angiotensin converting	rs1799752	EIMD	DD 25 (23)	DI 61 (57)	II 21 (20)	-	-
ACTN3	α-actinin-3	rs1815739	ANK, EIMD	CC 34 (32)	CT 55 (51)	TT 18 (17)	-	-
ADAM12	A disintegrin and metalloproteinase domain	rs3740199	AT*	GG 29 (27)	GC 57 (53)	CC 21 (20)	-	-
ADAMTS2	ADAM with thrombospondin type 1 motif. 2	rs1054480	AT*	CC 49 (46)	CT 50 (47)	TT 8 (7)	-	-
ADAMTS5	ADAM with thrombospondin type 1 motif. 5	rs226794	AT*	GG 81 (76)	GA 23 (21)	AA 3 (3)	-	-
ADAMTS14	ADAM with thrombospondin type 1 motif 14	rs4747096	AT*	AA 75 (70)	AG 32 (30)	GG 0 (0)	-	-
CASP8	Caspase-8	rs1045485	AT	GG 75 (70)	GC 29 (27)	CC 3 (3)	-	-
CASP8	Caspase-8	rs3834129	AT	DD 39 (37)	DI 49 (47)	II 17 (16)	1.9	1.0
CCL2	Chemokine (C-C Motif) Ligand 2	rs2857656	MUS	GG 56 (53)	GC 41 (39)	CC 9 (8)	0.9	0.7
CCR2	Chemokine (C-C Motif) Receptor 2	rs768539	EIMD	CC 44 (44)	CT 53 (52)	TT 4 (4)	5.6	3.6
COL1A1	$\alpha 1(I)$ collagen chain	rs1107946	ACL	CC 92 (87)	CA 13 (12)	AA 1 (1)	0.9	0.2
COL1A1	α1(I) collagen chain	rs1800012	ACL, LDD, SD	GG 54 (57)	GT 31 (33)	TT 10 (10)	10.9	10.4
COL5A1	$\alpha 1(V)$ collagen chain	rs16399	AT	DD 53 (50)	DI 46 (43)	II 8 (7)	-	-
COL5A1	$\alpha 1(V)$ collagen chain	rs12722	ACL, AT, CTS, MUS	TT 29 (30)	TC 51 (53)	CC 16 (17)	10.3	9.0
COL12A1	$\alpha 1(XII)$ collagen chain	rs970547	ACL	AA 67 (62)	AG 34 (32)	GG 6 (6)	-	-
DCN	Decorin	rs516115	ACL	AA 56 (52)	AG 39 (37)	GG 12 (11)	-	-
EMILIN1	Elastin microfibril interfacer-1	rs2289360	LIG	GG 41 (39)	GA 48 (45)	AA 17 (16)	0.9	0.2
GDF5	Growth differentiation factor-5	rs143383	AT, LDD, MEN	TT 32 (30)	TC 50 (47)	CC 25 (23)	-	-
HIF1A	Hypoxia-inducible factor-1, α-subunit	rs11549465	LDD	CC 87 (82)	CT 19 (18)	TT 0 (0)	0.9	0.2
IGF2	Insulin-like growth factor-2	rs3213221	EIMD, MUS	CC 36 (34)	CG 57 (53)	GG 14 (13)	-	-
IL1A	Interleukin-1a	rs1800587	LDD	CC 53 (50)	CT 46 (43)	TT 8 (7)	-	-
IL1B	Interleukin-1ß	rs1143634	AT, EIMD	CC 65 (61)	CT 37 (34)	TT 5 (5)	-	-
IL6	Interleukin-6	rs1800795	AT, LDD, EIMD	GG 47 (44)	GC 46 (43)	CC 14 (13)	-	-
IL6R	Interleukin-6 receptor	rs2228145	CTS	AA 31 (29)	AC 49 (46)	CC 26 (25)	0.9	0.2
MLCK	Myosin light-chain kinase	rs2700352	EIMD	CC 72 (67)	CT 29 (27)	TT 6 (6)	-	-
MMP1	Matrix metalloproteinase-1	rs1799750	ACL, LDD, PTT	II 30 (28)	ID 48 (45)	DD 29 (27)	-	-
MMP3	Matrix metalloproteinase-3	rs679620	ACL, AT	GG 30 (28)	GA 54 (51)	AA 22 (21)	0.9	0.5
MMP12	Matrix metalloproteinase-12	2rs2276109	ACL	AA 67 (64)	AG 35 (33)	GG 3 (3)	1.9	0.7
NOS3	Nitric oxide synthase-3	rs1799983	AT*	GG 38 (35)	GT 48 (45)	TT 21 (20)	-	-
SOD2	Superoxide dismutase 2, mitochondrial	rs4880	EIMD	TT 32 (30)	TC 48 (46)	CC 25 (24)	1.9	0.7
SOX15	SRY-related HMG-box 15	rs4227	MUS	TT 64 (60)	TG 35 (33)	GG 8 (7)	-	-
TIMP2	Metalloproteinase inhibitor- 2	rs4789932	AT	CC 40 (37)	CT 51 (48)	TT 16 (15)	-	-
TNC	Tenascin-C	rs2104772	AT	AA 25 (23)	AT 59 (56)	TT 22 (21)	0.9	0.5
TNF	Tumor necrosis factor	rs1800629	EIMD	GG 74 (69)	GA 31 (29)	AA 2 (2)	-	-
TTN	Titin	rs2742327	MUS*	AA 60 (56)	AG 41 (38)	GG 6 (6)	-	-
VEGFA	Vascular endothelial growth factor A	rs2010963	ACL	GG 46 (43)	GC 57 (53)	CC 4 (4)	-	-

Supplemental Table 1. Associated injuries, genotype frequencies and missing data of the selected candidate SNPs.

SNP: single nucleotide polymorphism.. I: insertion, D: deletion. NA: missing values. Observ.: observations.

ACL: anterior cruciate ligament injury, ANK: ankle sprain, AT: Achilles tendinopathy, CTS: carpal tunnel syndrome, EIMD: exercise-induced muscle damage, LDD: lumbar disc disease, LIG: ligament injury, MEN: meniscus injury, MUS: muscle injury, SD: shoulder dislocation, PTT: tendinopathy of the posterior tibialis tendon. \*The association with the injury was not statistically significant.

Supplemental Table 2. Association between acute hamstring injuries and genetic and non-genetic factors in elite soccer

players.

Gene	Variable	Higher risk*	vs. Lower risk	HR (95% CI)	P value
ACAN	rs1516797	GG	TT+TG	3.30 (1.46-7.44)	0.004
MMP3	rs679620	А	G	1.96 (1.18-3.24)	0.01
DCN	rs516115	А	G	2.20 (1.19-4.08)	0.01
MMP1	rs1799750	D	Ι	1.86 (1.13-3.06)	0.01
ADAMTS14	rs4747096	AG	AA	2.22 (1.09-4.53)	0.03
COL5A1	rs16399	DI	DD+II	2.03 (1.01-4.09)	0.05
ILIA	rs1800587	Т	С	1.64 (0.99-2.73)	0.06
HIF1A	rs11549465	CC	СТ	4.40 (0.96-20.11)	0.06
NOS3	rs1799983	G	Т	1.60 (0.96-2.67)	0.07
COLIAI	rs1800012	GG	GT+TT	1.91 (0.88-4.12)	0.10
ACE	rs1799752	DI	DD+II	1.88 (0.90-3.94)	0.10
ADAMTS5	rs226794	GA+AA	GG	1.84 (0.87-3.88)	0.11
ADAM12	rs3740199	GG+GC	CC	2.20 (0.80-6.04)	0.13
CASP8	rs3834129	DD	DI+II	1.74 (0.84-3.62)	0.14
TNC	rs2104772	AA	AT+TT	1.72 (0.80-3.71)	0.16
ADAMTS2	rs1054480	СТ	CC+TT	1.63 (0.80-3.33)	0.18
IL6R	rs2228145	AA	AC+CC	1.63 (0.78-3.39)	0.19
GDF5	rs143383	CC	TT+TC	1.67 (0.76-3.65)	0.20
COL5A1	rs12722	TC+CC	TT	1.74 (0.74-4.12)	0.21
SOX15	rs4227	Т	G	1.53 (0.78-2.99)	0.22
TNF	rs1800629	G	А	1.60 (0.73-3.51)	0.24
MMP12	rs2276109	AA	AG+GG	1.60 (0.73-3.49)	0.24
IL6	rs1800795	GG	GC+CC	1.50 (0.74-3.04)	0.26
CCR2	rs768539	CT	CC+TT	1.45 (0.70-3.00)	0.32
CCL2	rs2857656	GG+GC	CC	2.33 (0.44-12.47)	0.32
COLIAI	rs1107946	С	А	1.71 (0.57-5.11)	0.34
IL1B	rs1143634	Т	С	1.31 (0.74-2.29)	0.35
VEGFA	rs2010963	GC	GG+CC	1.40 (0.68-2.89)	0.36
SOD2	rs4880	TT	TC+CC	1.40 (0.67-2.94)	0.37
MLCK	rs2700352	TT	CC+CT	1.85 (0.47-7.29)	0.38
TTN	rs2742327	AA+AG	GG	2.58 (0.28-24.01)	0.40
CASP8	rs1045485	GC	GG+CC	1.35 (0.61-2.96)	0.45
TIMP2	rs4789932	CC+CT	TT	1.35 (0.48-3.77)	0.57
COL12A1	rs970547	AG+GG	AA	1.22 (0.59-2.53)	0.59
IGF2	rs3213221	CC+CG	GG	1.33 (0.45-3.95)	0.61
ACTN3	rs1815739	С	Т	1.08 (0.64-1.83)	0.77
EMILIN1	rs2289360	GA	GG+AA	1.03 (0.50-2.12)	0.95
	Previous injury	Yes	No	1.81 (1.00-3.28)	0.05
	Sum 6 skinfolds (mm)	< 54	$\geq$ 54	1.64 (0.77-3.51)	0.20
	Height (cm)	$\geq 177$	< 177	1.68 (0.73-3.87)	0.23
	Body mass (kg)	$\geq 75$	< 75	1.39 (0.70-2.78)	0.35
	Age (years)	$\geq 24$	< 24	1.46 (0.61-3.51)	0.39
	Level of play	First team	U19	2.27 (0.83-6.25)	0.11
		Reserves	First team	1.55 (0.71-3.37)	0.27
	Position	For	Def	1.86 (0.79-4.39)	0.16
		Def	Mid	1.32 (0.56-3.03)	0.53

There were 338 observations and 53 acute hamstring injuries.

\*When alleles are compared the HR of the risk allele is additive (i.e., possessing two copies of the risk allele multiplies the HR by 2) compared to having two copies of the protective allele.

HR: hazard ratio of sustaining a hamstring injury adjusted for the players' match exposure ratio (match hours/total hours of exposure). CI: confidence interval. I: insertion, D: deletion. Def: defender, Mid: midfielder, For: forward.

Supplemental Table 3. Association between overuse hamstring injuries and genetic and non-genetic factors in elite soccer

players.

Gene	Variable	Higher risk*	vs. Lower risk	HR (95% CI)	P value
MMP3	rs679620	А	G	1.66 (1.12-2.45)	0.01
MMP12	rs2276109	А	G	1.74 (0.97-3.11)	0.06
COL5A1	rs16399	DI+II	DD	1.65 (0.95-2.89)	0.08
NOS3	rs1799983	GG	GT+TT	1.65 (0.95-2.89)	0.08
ACE	rs1799752	DD	DI+II	1.65 (0.94-2.87)	0.08
ACTN3	rs1815739	CC+TT	СТ	1.63 (0.93-2.87)	0.09
VEGFA	rs2010963	G	С	1.54 (0.91-2.62)	0.11
TNC	rs2104772	AA+AT	TT	1.94 (0.84-4.51)	0.12
TIMP2	rs4789932	CC+TT	СТ	1.55 (0.87-2.75)	0.14
MMP1	rs1799750	DD+DI	II	1.67 (0.85-3.29)	0.14
CASP8	rs3834129	D	Ι	1.34 (0.90-2.00)	0.15
SOX15	rs4227	TT+TG	GG	3.74 (0.46-30.27)	0.22
ADAM12	rs3740199	GG+GC	CC	1.58 (0.75-3.32)	0.23
COL5A1	rs12722	TC+CC	TT	1.50 (0.77-2.91)	0.23
HIF1A	rs11549465	CC	СТ	1.72 (0.70-4.21)	0.23
COLIAI	rs1107946	С	А	1.65 (0.71-3.86)	0.25
TTN	rs2742327	GG	AA+AG	1.85 (0.64-5.38)	0.26
CCL2	rs2857656	G	С	1.31 (0.82-2.09)	0.26
ILIA	rs1800587	С	Т	1.28 (0.82-2.00)	0.28
EMILIN1	rs2289360	GG+AA	GA	1.37 (0.76-2.46)	0.29
ADAMTS2	rs1054480	Т	С	1.25 (0.81-1.94)	0.31
GDF5	rs143383	TT	TC+CC	1.35 (0.75-2.43)	0.32
COLIAI	rs1800012	GT+TT	GG	1.32 (0.75-2.33)	0.34
IL1B	rs1143634	С	Т	1.27 (0.78-2.08)	0.34
ADAMTS14	rs4747096	AA	AG	1.37 (0.72-2.60)	0.34
SOD2	rs4880	TT+TC	CC	1.38 (0.69-2.77)	0.36
ACAN	rs1516797	G	Т	1.21 (0.80-1.83)	0.37
COL12A1	rs970547	А	G	1.26 (0.74-2.12)	0.40
TNF	rs1800629	GA	GG+AA	1.28 (0.71-2.31)	0.41
IL6	rs1800795	GG+CC	GC	1.26 (0.71-2.24)	0.43
CASP8	rs1045485	GG	GC+CC	1.30 (0.67-2.51)	0.44
DCN	rs516115	А	G	1.18 (0.77-1.83)	0.45
IL6R	rs2228145	AC	AA+CC	1.24 (0.70-2.19)	0.45
ADAMTS5	rs226794	А	G	1.20 (0.72-2.00)	0.48
MLCK	rs2700352	TT	CC+CT	1.46 (0.50-4.23)	0.49
IGF2	rs3213221	CG+GG	CC	1.24 (0.66-2.30)	0.51
CCR2	rs768539	CC+TT	СТ	1.19 (0.67-2.11)	0.54
	Age (years)	≥24	< 24	2.95 (1.52-5.74)	0.001
	Body mass (kg)	$\geq$ 75	< 75	1.51 (0.87-2.61)	0.15
	Height (cm)	≥177	< 177	1.52 (0.78-2.96)	0.21
	Sum 6 skinfolds (mm)	< 54	$\geq$ 54	1.22 (0.68-2.19)	0.51
	Previous injury	Yes	No	1.14 (0.67-1.92)	0.64
	Level of play	First team	Reserves	1.47 (0.74-2.94)	0.28
	1 2	First team	U19	1.18 (0.60-2.33)	0.63
	Position	Def	For	1.10 (0.50-2.44)	0.81
		Mid	Def	1.06 (0.56-2.00)	0.87

There were 364 observations and 76 overuse hamstring injuries.

\*When alleles are compared the HR of the risk allele is additive (i.e., possessing two copies of the risk allele multiplies the HR by 2) compared to having two copies of the protective allele.

HR: hazard ratio of sustaining a hamstring injury adjusted for the players' match exposure ratio (match hours/total hours of exposure). CI: confidence interval. I: insertion, D: deletion. Def: defender, Mid: midfielder, For: forward.

Supplemental Table 4. Association between severe hamstring injuries and genetic and non-genetic factors in elite soccer

players.

Gene	Variable	Higher risk*	vs. Lower risk	HR (95% CI)	<i>P</i> value
MLCK	rs2700352	TT	CC+CT	8.69 (2.42-31.18)	0.001
ILIA	rs1800587	CT	CC+TT	6.60 (1.74-25.02)	0.01
MMP3	rs679620	А	G	3.58 (1.33-9.66)	0.01
ADAMTS14	rs4747096	AG	AA	4.49 (1.18-17.15)	0.03
CASP8	rs3834129	DD+II	DI	4.36 (1.03-18.51)	0.05
IL1B	rs1143634	Т	С	2.18 (0.94-5.08)	0.07
TTN	rs2742327	AG	AA+GG	3.29 (0.90-12.02)	0.07
ACAN	rs1516797	G	Т	1.97 (0.85-4.56)	0.12
MMP1	rs1799750	DD	DI+II	2.76 (0.76-9.94)	0.12
MMP12	rs2276109	AA+GG	AG	3.78 (0.68-21.02)	0.13
SOD2	rs4880	TC	TT+CC	2.67 (0.75-9.55)	0.13
COL12A1	rs970547	GG	AA+AG	4.08 (0.63-26.52)	0.14
CASP8	rs1045485	GC	GG+CC	2.83 (0.70-11.49)	0.15
VEGFA	rs2010963	GC	GG+CC	2.67 (0.67-10.58)	0.16
IGF2	rs3213221	CC	CG+GG	2.52 (0.67-9.53)	0.17
ACE	rs1799752	DD	DI+II	2.48 (0.62-9.97)	0.20
CCR2	rs768539	Т	С	1.96 (0.64-6.01)	0.24
IL6R	rs2228145	AA	AC+CC	2.06 (0.57-7.48)	0.27
EMILIN1	rs2289360	G	А	1.77 (0.63-4.99)	0.28
DCN	rs516115	AG	AA+GG	1.93 (0.57-6.52)	0.29
ACTN3	rs1815739	СТ	CC+TT	2.05 (0.53-7.83)	0.30
NOS3	rs1799983	GG+GT	TT	2.97 (0.34-25.54)	0.32
COL5A1	rs12722	TC	TT+CC	1.91 (0.53-6.93)	0.32
TNF	rs1800629	G	А	2.15 (0.45-10.22)	0.34
ADAMTS2	rs1054480	CT+TT	CC	1.70 (0.47-6.16)	0.42
IL6	rs1800795	CC	GG+GC	1.79 (0.40-8.07)	0.45
COL5A1	rs16399	DI	DD+II	1.56 (0.44-5.55)	0.49
TNC	rs2104772	AA+AT	TT	2.08 (0.24-18.22)	0.51
SOX15	rs4227	Т	G	1.36 (0.41-4.57)	0.62
TIMP2	rs4789932	С	Т	1.25 (0.52-2.98)	0.62
HIF1A	rs11549465	CC	СТ	1.73 (0.19-15.46)	0.63
GDF5	rs143383	TT+TC	CC	1.36 (0.25-7.30)	0.72
COLIAI	rs1800012	TT	GG+GT	1.38 (0.20-9.50)	0.74
ADAM12	rs3740199	GC	GG+CC	1.23 (0.35-4.30)	0.75
ADAMTS5	rs226794	GG	GA+AA	1.25 (0.22-7.08)	0.80
COLIAI	rs1107946	С	А	1.19 (0.24-5.96)	0.83
CCL2	rs2857656	GG+GC	CC	1.12 (0.11-11.33)	0.92
	Sum 6 skinfolds (mm)	< 54	$\geq 54$	5.00 (0.61-41.29)	0.13
	Previous injury	Yes	No	2.24 (0.72-6.93)	0.16
	Body mass (kg)	≥75	< 75	1.49 (0.42-5.28)	0.54
	Height (cm)	< 177	≥177	1.26 (0.32-4.90)	0.74
	Age (years)	$\geq 24$	< 24	1.09 (0.21-5.56)	0.92
	Level of play	- First team	U19	1.03 (0.25-4.17)	0.39
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	First team	Reserves	2.22 (0.36-14.29)	0.96
	Position	Def	For	1.23 (0.28-5.56)	0.52
		Mid	Def	1 68 (0 35-8 03)	0.78

There were 300 observations and 13 severe hamstring injuries.

\*When alleles are compared the HR of the risk allele is additive (i.e., possessing two copies of the risk allele multiplies the HR by 2) compared to having two copies of the protective allele.

HR: hazard ratio of sustaining a hamstring injury adjusted for the players' match exposure ratio (match hours/total hours of exposure). CI: confidence interval. I: insertion, D: deletion. Def: defender, Mid: midfielder, For: forward.

Supplemental Table 5. Association between recurrent hamstring injuries and genetic and non-genetic factors in elite soccer

players.

Gene	Variable	Higher risk*	vs. Lower risk	HR (95% CI)	<i>P</i> value
EMILIN1	rs2289360	GA	GG+AA	2.48 (1.00-6.14)	0.05
MMP3	rs679620	А	G	2.02 (1.00-4.13)	0.05
ACTN3	rs1815739	CT+TT	CC	2.60 (0.97-6.97)	0.06
ADAM12	rs3740199	GG+CC	GC	2.42 (0.95-6.21)	0.06
MMP1	rs1799750	DD+DI	II	4.49 (0.85-23.73)	0.08
ACAN	rs1516797	TG+GG	TT	2.59 (0.88-7.65)	0.08
CCR2	rs768539	СТ	CC+TT	2.22 (0.86-5.77)	0.10
TNF	rs1800629	G	А	1.55 (0.90-2.67)	0.12
MLCK	rs2700352	Т	С	1.73 (0.86-3.48)	0.12
COLIAI	rs1107946	С	А	2.29 (0.76-6.93)	0.14
IGF2	rs3213221	С	G	1.72 (0.81-3.66)	0.16
SOD2	rs4880	TC+CC	TT	2.03 (0.74-5.58)	0.17
COL5A1	rs16399	DI	DD+II	1.83 (0.72-4.62)	0.20
TNC	rs2104772	AT	AA+TT	1.88 (0.68-5.15)	0.22
<i>HIF1A</i>	rs11549465	CC	CT	4.18 (0.41-42.35)	0.23
ACE	rs1799752	DI	DD+II	1.74 (0.68-4.44)	0.25
ADAMTS2	rs1054480	CC+CT	TT	3.82 (0.38-38.03)	0.25
GDF5	rs143383	TT+TC	CC	1.88 (0.61-5.80)	0.27
NOS3	rs1799983	G	Т	1.43 (0.73-2.80)	0.30
COL5A1	rs12722	TC	TT+CC	1.64 (0.63-4.23)	0.31
TIMP2	rs4789932	С	Т	1.43 (0.71-2.87)	0.32
CCL2	rs2857656	G	С	1.57 (0.63-3.93)	0.34
TTN	rs2742327	GG	AA+AG	2.38 (0.33-17.34)	0.39
MMP12	rs2276109	А	G	1.64 (0.51-5.24)	0.41
IL1B	rs1143634	С	Т	1.43 (0.61-3.33)	0.41
IL6R	rs2228145	AA+AC	CC	1.61 (0.47-5.58)	0.45
ILIA	rs1800587	С	Т	1.28 (0.63-2.60)	0.50
CASP8	rs3834129	DD	DI+II	1.38 (0.53-3.59)	0.51
ADAMTS5	rs226794	А	G	1.35 (0.55-3.34)	0.52
VEGFA	rs2010963	GC	GG+CC	1.32 (0.50-3.48)	0.57
DCN	rs516115	GG	AA+AG	1.79 (0.22-14.82)	0.59
COLIAI	rs1800012	G	Т	1.23 (0.57-2.70)	0.60
COL12A1	rs970547	AG	AA+GG	1.24 (0.45-3.45)	0.68
CASP8	rs1045485	G	С	1.15 (0.42-3.18)	0.78
ADAMTS14	rs4747096	AG	AA	1.13 (0.40-3.19)	0.81
SOX15	rs4227	Т	G	1.08 (0.42-2.77)	0.88
IL6	rs1800795	GG+GC	CC	1.04 (0.24-4.47)	0.96
	Age (years)	$\geq 24$	< 24	2.23 (0.78-6.39)	0.14
	Sum 6 skinfolds (mm)	< 54	$\geq$ 54	1.94 (0.49-7.61)	0.34
	Body mass (kg)	$\geq$ 75	< 75	1.40 (0.54-3.61)	0.49
	Height (cm)	$\geq 177$	< 177	1.23 (0.36-4.24)	0.75
	Level of play	First team	U19 2.70 (0.67-11.11)		0.16
		Reserves	First team	1.28 (0.44-3.69)	0.65
	Position	Def	Mid	1.49 (0.48-4.55)	0.49
		For	Def	1.11 (0.34-3.60)	0.87

There were 117 observations (starting after an index hamstring injury) and 35 recurrences within the same season. \*When alleles are compared the HR of the risk allele is additive (i.e., possessing two copies of the risk allele multiplies the HR by 2) compared to having two copies of the protective allele. HR: hazard ratio of sustaining a hamstring injury adjusted for the players' match exposure ratio (match hours/total hours of

exposure). CI: confidence interval. I: insertion, D: deletion. Def: defender, Mid: midfielder, For: forward.