Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Food Microbiology

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/fm

Foodborne and waterborne *Arcobacter* species exhibit a high virulent activity in Caco-2

Itsaso Baztarrika^a, Adrián Salazar-Sánchez^a, Lorena Laorden^{a,b}, Ilargi Martínez-Ballesteros^{a,b}, Rodrigo Alonso^{a,b}, Irati Martinez-Malaxetxebarria^{a,b,*}

^a Mikrolker Research Group, Department of Immunology, Microbiology and Parasitology, Faculty of Pharmacy, University of the Basque Country UPV/EHU, Paseo de la Universidad 7, 01006, Vitoria-Gasteiz, Spain

^b Bioaraba, Microbiology, Infectious Diseases, Antimicrobial Agents, and Gene Therapy, 01006, Vitoria-Gasteiz, Spain

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Arcobacter spp. Foodstuff River water Sewage Caco-2 infection PCR ABSTRACT

Infection mechanisms of *Arcobacter* remain uncertain. This study aimed to determine whether 65 food and waterborne isolates of at least six species were able to adhere and invade Caco-2 cells; and whether this ability could be related to *cadF*, *cj1349*, *ciaB*, and/or *hecA*, specific genetic markers related to host cell adhesion and invasion. All adhered and invaded the cells, and harboured at least two virulence markers. The mean virulent activity shown by *A*. *butzleri* was superior to that of *A*. *cryaerophilus* (p < 0.05); but the mean adhesion and invasion values of *A*. *lanthieri*, *A*. *skirrowii*, and *A*. *vitoriensis* were even higher. Sewage isolates were significantly (p < 0.05) more adherent and invasive than the rest, and their associated gene content was higher (p < 0.05). For the first time, an association between *cadF* and *hecA* and a high adhesion capability was identified (p < 0.05). The results provide new data on the pathogenic potential of *Arcobacter* species present in food and water by highlighting the superiority of *A*. *butzleri* over *A*. *cryarophilus*; providing evidence on the virulence of minority species as *A*. *lanthieri* and *A*. *vitoriensis*; and confirming sewage as an important source of potentially more virulent arcobacters.

1. Introduction

The genus *Arcobacter* is considered a group of emerging food and waterborne pathogens (Hsu and Lee, 2015), associated with human intestinal and extraintestinal infections (Barboza et al., 2017; Kerkhof et al., 2020; Lau et al., 2002; Simaluiza et al., 2021; Soelberg et al., 2020; Woo et al., 2001). These bacteria are frequently isolated from a wide variety of food animals and products, but also from fresh and brackish waters (Iwu et al., 2021). Due to their broad distribution, several ways of *Arcobacter* transmission to humans have been suggested (Fernández et al., 2004; Vandamme et al., 1992; Vasiljevic et al., 2019); the main one being the consumption of faecally contaminated food products and water (Chieffi et al., 2020).

When infecting humans, these bacteria are mainly associated with symptomatic enteritis (Ramees et al., 2017) usually characterized by diarrhoea, abdominal cramps, nausea, vomiting, and fever (Snelling et al., 2006). In these cases, A. butzleri and A. cryaerophilus are the major species isolated (Ferreira et al., 2016; Ruiz de Alegría Puig et al., 2023). However, although less frequently, A. skirrowii, A. thereius, and A. lanthieri have also provoked gastrointestinal symptoms in humans (Kerkhof et al., 2020; Van den Abeele et al., 2014; Wybo et al., 2004). In fact, Arcobacter spp. has been the only pathogen detected in clinical samples from patients with symptoms on several occasions (Barboza et al., 2017; Fernández et al., 2004; Figueras et al., 2014; Kayman et al., 2012; Kerkhof et al., 2020; Lappi et al., 2013; Lerner et al., 1994; Marinescu et al., 1996; Ruiz de Alegría Puig et al., 2021, 2023; Simaluiza et al., 2021; Tang et al., 2023; Wang et al., 2021; Wybo et al., 2004). Moreover, cases of asymptomatic infections, peritonitis or bacteraemia have also been reported associated with some species of the genus, including A. cryaerophilus, A. butzleri, and A. mytili (Arguello et al., 2015; Jiménez-Guerra et al., 2020; Vasiljevic et al., 2019; Yap et al., 2013). In addition, even without having been associated with human pathology,

E-mail address: irati.martinez@ehu.eus (I. Martinez-Malaxetxebarria).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fm.2023.104424

Received 4 July 2023; Received in revised form 31 October 2023; Accepted 3 November 2023 Available online 4 November 2023 0740-0020 /@ 2023 The Authors Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the





Abbreviations: cMEM, complete Minimum Essential Medium; BHI, Brain Heart Infusion; FBS, Foetal Bovine Serum; NEAA, non-essential amino acids; PBS, Phosphate Buffered Saline.

^{*} Corresponding author. MikroIker Research Group, Department of Immunology, Microbiology and Parasitology, Faculty of Pharmacy, University of the Basque Country UPV/EHU, Paseo de la Universidad 7, 01006, Vitoria-Gasteiz, Spain.

^{0740-0020/© 2023} The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

various studies have also pointed to the pathogenic potential of other *Arcobacter* species as *A. lacus* (Barel and Yildirim, 2023), *A. faecis* (Zambri et al., 2019), and *A. vitoriensis* (Alonso et al., 2020).

As derived from in vitro studies, Arcobacter shows resistance to several antimicrobial agents; impairs intestinal epithelial barrier; adheres to and invades different extra-intestinal (Hep-2, HeLa, INT407) and intestinal cell lines (Caco-2, IPI-2I, HT29, Caco-2/HT29-MTX, HT29/B6, and IPEC-J2); and provokes cytotoxic effects on some of them (Brückner et al., 2020; Buzzanca et al., 2021; Isidro et al., 2020; Karadas et al., 2016; Levican et al., 2013). Next generation sequencing and comparative genomic analyses have made it possible to identify, in the different sequenced genomes of Arcobacter, numerous virulence genes that could be responsible for these virulent properties (Chieffi et al., 2020; Hänel et al., 2021; Müller et al., 2020). Ten of them (cadF, cj1349, pldA, tlyA, mviN, iroE, irgA, hecA, and hecB) stand out for being commonly used as virulence markers in Arcobacter species (Girbau et al., 2015; Martinez-Malaxetxebarria et al., 2022; Rathlavath et al., 2017). Indeed, transcriptomic has recently highlighted the importance of *cadF* (cell adhesion), irgA (iron metabolism), and iroE (iron acquisition), along with that of other genes related to TonB complex and iron assimilation, by confirming their upregulation during the process of an in vitro A. butzleri infection (Buzzanca et al., 2023). However, despite the advances of recent years, the role of many of those putative virulence genes or their combinations in Arcobacter is still unknown. In spite of the efforts made through in vitro infections and the application of molecular techniques (Buzzanca et al., 2021; Carbone et al., 2003; Fernández et al., 2010; Ferreira et al., 2014; Gugliandolo et al., 2008; Ho et al., 2007; Ho et al., 2007; Isidro et al., 2020; Karadas et al., 2013; Levican et al., 2013; Musmanno et al., 1997), no mechanism of pathogenesis has been well characterized yet in this bacterial genus. Many of the previous studies carried out with Arcobacter failed on this purpose for any of these three general reasons: limited number of strains, few species and/or low diversity in the origin of the isolates. In fact, most of the in vitro infection assays on culture cells have been carried out with clinical isolates of A. butzleri and/or A. cryaerophilus. All have suggested that A. cryaerophilus is more virulent than A. butzleri, that the adhesion and invasion capabilities are strain-specific, and that no association appears to exist between pathogenic potential and origin of the strains. As far as we know, only one study combines food and water derived Arcobacter isolates (Levican et al., 2013). Considering that these are the main routes of transmission of these bacteria to humans, such studies are of great interest.

Further knowledge on the infection mechanisms of the different *Arcobacter* species is of essential need, and the combination of *in vitro* infection assays and gene occurrence analysis may help to address this challenge. Although the presence of a gene does not necessarily indicate that its host has a certain capability, the correlation between a gene pattern and a specific phenotype may be indicative. Therefore, the present study aimed to evaluate the adherent and invasive capability of a set of 65 potentially pathogenic isolates of six different *Arcobacter* species isolated from a variety of food products and water samples; and to correlate those capabilities with four specific virulence markers related to host cell attachment and invasion: *cadF* and *cj1349*, that code for fibronectin-binding proteins that promote the binding of bacteria to intestinal cells; *ciaB*, which encodes the invasive antigen B; and *hecA*, involved in attachment, aggregation and epidermal cell killing.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Bacterial strains and growth conditions

A total of 65 *Arcobacter* strains (Table 1) were studied. Sixty belonged to six of the 34 accepted species of the genus (https://lpsn. dsmz.de/genus/arcobacter), namely *A. butzleri* (n = 35), *A. cryaerophilus* (n = 16), *A. skirrowii* (n = 2), *A. thereius* (n = 3), *A. lanthieri* (n = 2), and *A. vitoriensis* (n = 2); and the other five were

Arcobacter spp. isolates that could not be identified to the species level. All of them had previously been isolated from different food and water sources: cockle (n = 9), squid (n = 6), shrimp (n = 6), turkey (n = 4), carrot (n = 3), rabbit (n = 3), quail (n = 3), lettuce (n = 1), fresh cheese (n = 1), spinach (n = 1), clam (n = 1), mussel (n = 1), sewage (n = 15), and river water (n = 11) (Alonso et al., 2018, 2020; Martinez-Malax-etxebarria et al., 2022; Nieva-echevarria et al., 2013). For the adhesion and invasion assays, *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium LT2 CECT 722 (Spanish Culture Cell Type) and *Escherichia coli* DH5 α NCCB 2955 (Netherlands Culture Collection of Bacteria) were included as positive control for the adhesion and invasion assays the first, and as positive control for adhesion and negative for invasion the latter.

Arcobacter strains were routinely grown aerobically at 30 °C for 12–16 h in Brain Heart Infusion (BHI) broth (Oxoid) or for 24–48 h on blood agar base (Oxoid) supplemented with 5% defibrinated sheep blood (Liofilchem). *Salmonella* Typhimurium LT2 and *Escherichia coli* DH5 α were routinely grown at 37 °C for 12–16 h in BHI broth (Oxoid) or on Muller-Hinton agar (Oxoid) in an aerobic environment. Shaking (150 rpm) was applied when necessary.

2.2. Cell culture

The human colorectal cell line Caco-2 was obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC HTB-37; LGC Standards). Cells were routinely cultured in 75 cm² tissue culture flasks (Corning) in complete Minimum Essential Medium [cMEM; MEM 1X (Thermo Fisher Scientific) with 10% foetal bovine serum (FBS; Thermo Fisher Scientific), 2 mM L-glutamine (Thermo Fisher Scientific), 1% non-essential amino acids (NEAA; Sigma), penicillin 10,000 U and streptomycin 10,000 μ g mL⁻¹ (Sigma)]. Cultures were incubated at 37 °C under a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO₂ until a confluence of 80% was reached, when they were washed twice with 10 mL of 1X phosphate buffered saline (PBS) and detached by a solution of 3 mL trypsin–EDTA. For adhesion and invasion assays, confluent monolayers were formed in 24-well-plates by adding 2 \times 10⁴ cells mL⁻¹ to each well and subsequently incubating for approximately 48 h under the above-mentioned conditions.

2.3. Bacterial adhesion and invasion assays

Caco-2 cells were infected following a previously described method (Levican et al., 2013) with slight modifications. Briefly, overnight bacterial liquid cultures were diluted to an OD₆₀₀ of 0.08 (approximately 10⁹ CFU mL⁻¹) for Arcobacter strains and 0.05 (approximately 10⁸ CFU mL^{-1}) for the control strains; harvested by centrifugation (900×g for 5 min); and suspended in the same volume with tempered cMEM without antibiotics (37 °C). For the adhesion assays, cell monolayers were inoculated with 0.5 mL of bacterial suspension (approximately 5×10^7 CFU) and incubated at 37 °C for 2 h. Cells were then washed twice with 1X PBS and lysed with 1% Triton X-100 (Sigma) by adding 0.5 mL per well and letting it act for 10 min. The total number of Caco-2 associated bacteria was then calculated. For the invasion assays the plates were inoculated and incubated as same as the adhesion assays conditions and subsequently treated with gentamicin (Thermo Fisher Scientific) during 1 h, in order to kill extracellular bacteria (0.5 mL of MEM 1X containing $125 \,\mu g \,m L^{-1}$ was added to each well). Cells were then washed and lysed as above-mentioned and the number of intracellular bacteria was calculated. The total numbers of cell-associated bacteria and intracellular bacteria were determined by plating the respective lysates on BHI agar plates (Oxoid) supplemented with 5% defibrinated sheep blood (Oxoid). The number of adhered bacteria was calculated as the difference between the total number of bacteria associated with the Caco-2 cells and the number of intracellular bacteria. Per experiment, each strain was studied in triplicate (three wells were inoculated) and the experiments were repeated on three independent occasions. The results were expressed as the mean number of bacteria $(\log_{10} \text{ CFU mL}^{-1})$ that

Table 1

Virulence genotypes of 65 Arcobacter strains and their adhesion and invasion capabilities to Caco-2 cells ^a.

Species and strain	Source of	Adhesion ^b		Invasion ^b		Virulence genotype ^c
	isolation	\log_{10} CFU ml ⁻¹ \pm standard error	Categorization	\log_{10} CFU ml ⁻¹ \pm standard error	Categorization	
Controls						
Salmonella Typhimurium		$\textbf{6,96} \pm \textbf{0,03}$		$\textbf{5,22} \pm \textbf{0}$		ND
Escherichia coli DH5α		$\textbf{6,39} \pm \textbf{0,02}$		NI		ND
A hutzleri						
	Lottugo	$E 04 \pm 0.12$	High	4.62 + 0.11	Uich	cade ci1240 ciaP
AD-LCH1 Ab 71	Carrot	$3,94 \pm 0,13$	Low	$4,02 \pm 0.01$	Good	cadE ci1349, club
Ab-73	Carrot	$5,41 \pm 0.07$	Good	$4,22 \pm 0,07$	High	cadE ci1349 ciaB
Ab-DV1	Turkey	$5,41 \pm 0,07$ 5 78 + 0 10	Good	5.09 ± 0.07	High	cadE ci1349 ciaB
Ab DV2	Turkey	7.28 ± 0.12^{d}	High	$5,09 \pm 0,07$	High	cadE ci1340 ciaB
AD-F V2	- i	7,58 <u>+</u> 0,15		3,30 <u>+</u> 0.00	i ligii	<u>hecA</u>
Ab-PV16	Turkey	7,01 ± 0,03	High	$5{,}17\pm0{,}08$	High	cadF, cj1349, ciaB, hecA
Ab-CH1	Squid	$6{,}09\pm0{,}07$	High	$\textbf{5,13} \pm \textbf{0,03}$	High	cadF, cj1349, ciaB
Ab-CH2	Squid	$6{,}09\pm0{,}12$	High	5,28 ± 0,08	High	cadF, cj1349, ciaB
Ab-G1	Shrimp	$\textbf{6,}\textbf{43} \pm \textbf{0,}\textbf{09}$	High	$\textbf{4,59} \pm \textbf{0,16}$	High	cadF, cj1349, ciaB, hecA
Ab-G2	Shrimp	6.35 ± 0.05	High	4.80 ± 0.13	High	cadF ci1349 ciaB
	ommp	0,00 ± 0,00	ingii	1,00 ± 0,10		hecA
Ab-CN1	Rabbit	$\textbf{6,43} \pm \textbf{0,04}$	High	$4,46\pm0,07$	High	cadF, cj1349, ciaB, hecA
Ab-CN2	Rabbit	$6{,}30\pm0.00$	High	$\textbf{4,18} \pm \textbf{0.00}$	Good	cadF, cj1349, ciaB
Ab-CZ5	Quail	$6,91 \pm 0,14$	High	$4,42\pm0,10$	High	cadF, cj1349, ciaB
Ab-CZ6	Quail	$6,45 \pm 0,06$	High	$4,42\pm0,10$	High	cadF, cj1349, ciaB
Ab-BER4	Cockle	$6,54\pm0.00$	High	$2,61\pm0,08$	Low	cadF, cj1349, ciaB
Ab-BER7	Cockle	6,07 ± 0,03	High	$3,52 \pm 0.03$	Good	cadF, cj1349, ciaB
Ab-OS1	Cheese	4.63 ± 0.12	Low	$5.50 \pm 0.30^{\text{d}}$	High	cadF. ci1349. ciaB.
C -					0	hecA
Ab-E1	Spinach	6.76 ± 0.17	High	4.40 ± 0.00	High	cadF. ci1349. ciaB
RW1	River water	6.30 ± 0.00	High	4.13 ± 0.05	Good	cadF. ci1349. ciaB
RW2	River water	5.79 ± 0.04	Good	4.25 ± 0.28	High	cadF. ci1349. ciaB
RW3	River water	6.40 ± 0.00	High	3.93 ± 0.00	Good	cadF. ci1349. ciaB
RW4	River water	6.54 ± 0.00	High	4.28 ± 0.13	High	cadF. ci1349. ciaB
RW5	River water	6.46 ± 0.18	High	4.30 ± 0.00	High	cadF. ci1349. ciaB
RW6	River water	6.35 ± 0.05	High	4.39 ± 0.19	High	cadF. ci1349. ciaB
RW7	River water	$6,17 \pm 0,10$	High	$4,52 \pm 0,05$	High	cadF, cj1349, ciaB,
RW8	River water	$6{,}33\pm0{,}05$	High	$\textbf{4,64} \pm \textbf{0,07}$	High	cadF, cj1349, ciaB,
RW9	River water	$6,82\pm0,05$	High	$\textbf{4,8} \pm \textbf{0,06}$	High	<u>hecA</u> cadF, cj1349, ciaB,
						hecA
RW10	River water	$5{,}63\pm0{,}34$	Good	$3{,}92\pm0{,}20$	Good	cadF, cj1349, ciaB
FW2	Sewage	$6,92 \pm 0,16$	High	$\textbf{4,04} \pm \textbf{0,25}$	Good	cadF, cj1349, ciaB,
FW6	Sewage	6.44 ± 0.18	High	4.54 ± 0.36	High	hecA cadF. ci1349. ciaB.
						hecA
FW7	Sewage	$6{,}10\pm0{,}06$	High	$4,00\pm0,\!14$	Good	cadF, cj1349, ciaB, hecA
FW10	Sewage	$\textbf{6,48} \pm \textbf{0,15}$	High	$\textbf{4,47} \pm \textbf{0,13}$	High	cadF, <u>cj1349</u> , ciaB, hecA
FW11	Sewage	$\textbf{6,27} \pm \textbf{0,12}$	High	$\textbf{4,95} \pm \textbf{0,08}$	High	cadF, cj1349, ciaB,
FW12	Sewage	$6{,}22\pm0{,}17$	High	$\textbf{4,56} \pm \textbf{0,14}$	High	hecA cadF, cj1349, ciaB,
EVA/1 4	Corrego	6 20 + 0.16	Tich	4.04 \ 0.07	Hich	hecA
FW14	Sewage	$6,29 \pm 0,16$	High	4,94 ± 0,07	High	саағ, сј1349, сіав
A. Cryaerophilas	Coalda	E 7E 0.10	Cood	470 + 0.06	Iliah	and silado sin P
AC-BERI	Cockie	$5,75 \pm 0,10$	GOOD	4,79 ± 0,06	High	caaF, <u>cj1349</u> , claB
AC-BERZ	Cockie	$6,37 \pm 0,06$	High	$4,40 \pm 0,10$	High	caaF, <u>CJ1349</u> , claB
AC-DEKO	Cockie	$0,47 \pm 0,07$	riigii Liigh	$4,40 \pm 0.10$	rigii Cood	cuur, cuub
AC-DERU	Cockie	$0,47 \pm 0,09$	riigii Uigh	т,09 ± 0,12 4 18 ± 0.00	Good	cade ci1249, Clab
AC-DEK/	Cockie	$0,18 \pm 0,33$	riigii Liigh	$4,10 \pm 0.00$	GOOG	caur, <u>cj1349</u> , <u>clas</u>
AC-DEKO	Cockie	$0,47 \pm 0,24$ 6 50 ± 0.07	Figh	$4,00 \pm 0.13$	Good	cadr. <u>cj1349</u> , Clab
AC-DEKIU	COCKIE	0,09 ± 0,07	riign	$3,44 \pm 0,09$	Good	caur, cj1349, clab
AC-PV1	Turkey	5,27 ± 0,08	GOOD	$3,50 \pm 0,12$	Good	cadF, <u>cj1349</u> , ciaB
AC-CZI	Quai	0,07 ± 0,07	High	$3,/1 \pm 0,10$	GOOD	cadF, claB, hecA
Ac-CH1	Squid	$6,78 \pm 0,04$	High	4,67 ± 0,08	High	<u>cadF</u> , <u>cj1349</u> , ciaB
Ac-A2	Clam	$6,38 \pm 0.00$	High	5,08 ± 0,08	High	<u>cadF</u> , <u>cj1349</u> , ciaB
Ac-CN1	Rabbit	$5,84 \pm 0.00$	Good	$4,18 \pm 0.00$	Good	<u>cj1349</u> , ciaB, hecA
Ac-M1	Mussel	$5,69 \pm 0,10$	Good	$5,10 \pm 0.05$	High	<u>cadF</u> , <u>cj1349</u> , ciaB
Ac-G2	Shrimp	$5,70 \pm 0,05$	Good	$4,42 \pm 0,08$	High	<u>cj1349</u> , ciaB
Ac-G8	Shrimp	$5,45\pm0,05$	Good	$\textbf{4,43} \pm \textbf{0,08}$	High	cadF , cj1349 , ciaB
						(continued on next page)

Table 1 (continued)

Species and strain	Source of	Adhesion ^b		Invasion ^b		Virulence genotype ^c
	isolation	\log_{10} CFU ml ⁻¹ \pm standard error	Categorization	log_{10} CFU ml ⁻¹ \pm standard error	Categorization	
Ac-RW1	River water	$\textbf{5,98} \pm \textbf{0,21}$	High	$\textbf{4,25} \pm \textbf{0,08}$	High	ciaB, <u>hecA</u>
A. skirrowii						
As-CH1	Squid	$6{,}51\pm0{,}22$	High	$\textbf{5,05} \pm \textbf{0,02}$	High	cj1349 , ciaB
As-G1	Shrimp	$\textbf{6,58} \pm \textbf{0,05}$	High	5,30 ± 0.00	High	cj1349 , ciaB
A. thereius						
At-CH1	Squid	$\textbf{5,}17\pm0.00$	Good	$\textbf{3,09} \pm \textbf{0,03}$	Low	cj1349 , ciaB
At-CH2	Squid	$\textbf{5,}17\pm0.00$	Good	$\textbf{3,04} \pm \textbf{0,29}$	Low	cj1349 , ciaB
At-G1	Shrimp	$\textbf{5,29} \pm \textbf{0,42}$	Good	$3,8\pm0,11$	Good	cj1349 , ciaB
A. lanthieri						
FW34	Sewage	6,91 ± 0,13	High	$\textbf{4,71} \pm \textbf{0,06}$	High	<u>cadF</u> , <u>cj1349</u> , ciaB, hecA
FW40	Sewage	7,11 ± 0,10	High	$\textbf{4,85} \pm \textbf{0,11}$	High	<u>cadF</u> , <u>cj1349</u> , ciaB, hecA
A. vitoriensis						
F199	Carrot	$\textbf{6,}\textbf{43} \pm \textbf{0,}\textbf{08}$	High	$\textbf{4,78} \pm \textbf{0,06}$	High	<u>cadF</u> , <u>cj1349</u> , ciaB, hecA
FW-59	Sewage	$\textbf{6,29} \pm \textbf{0,02}$	High	$\textbf{4,5} \pm \textbf{0,09}$	High	<u>cadF</u> , <u>cj1349</u> , ciaB,
Arcohacter spp						nech
FW-4	Sewage	$\textbf{6,06} \pm \textbf{0,14}$	High	$\textbf{4,72} \pm \textbf{0,34}$	High	cadF, cj1349, ciaB, becA
FW-8	Sewage	$\textbf{6,29} \pm \textbf{0,24}$	High	$\textbf{4,90} \pm \textbf{0,04}$	High	<u>cadF</u> , <u>cj1349</u> , ciaB, hecA
FW-53	Sewage	$\textbf{5,88} \pm \textbf{0,08}$	High	$\textbf{4,66} \pm \textbf{0,26}$	High	cadF, cj1349, ciaB, hecA
FW-54	Sewage	$6,13\pm0,10$	High	$4,68 \pm 0,27$	High	cadF, cj1349, ciaB
FW-61	Sewage	$7,18 \pm 0,12$	High	$\textbf{4,55} \pm \textbf{0,13}$	High	cadF, cj1349, ciaB

^a Abbreviations: NI, no invasion detected; ND, not determined; The values for adhesion and invasion were proportionally calculated to an inoculum of 10^8 CFU mL⁻¹ (8.0 log₁₀ CFU ml⁻¹) for each strain.

^b Results equal to or higher than that for the mean of *S*. Typhimurium LT2 and *E*. coli for adhesion and *S*. Thypimurium LT2 for invasion are shown in bold.

^c Genes detected in this study that were previously reported to be absent in the same strains are shown in bold and underlined.

 d Kruskal-Wallis test based statistically significant differences (p < 0.001) for the adhesion and invasion capabilities among isolates.

adhered to or invaded cells \pm standard error (SE), and subsequently categorized as low, good, or high adhesion and/or invasion according to Levican et al. (2013), who divided the range of results between the detection limit and the mean value obtained for *S. enterica* (the positive control) into the three mentioned categories.

U of Supreme NZYtaq II DNA polymerase (NZYTech), and 50 ng of DNA. Genomic DNA from *A. butzleri* RM4018^T, *A. cryaerophilus* CCUG 17801^T, *A. skirrowii* CECT 8223^T, *A. thereius* CCUG 56902^T, *A. lanthieri* LMG 28516^T and '(*Ali*)arcobacter hispanicus' CECT 9188^T were used as positive controls, and deionized water as negative one.

2.4. PCR detection of adhesion and invasion related genes

The occurrence of *cadF*, *ci1349*, *ciaB*, and *hecA* had been previously determined in the set of Arcobacter strains studied here (Alonso et al., 2018; Girbau et al., 2015; Martinez-Malaxetxebarria et al., 2022), except for A. butzleri RW1, RW2 and FW7. However, the procedures employed in the above-mentioned studies can lead to false negative results for some of the genes in Arcobacter species other than A. butzleri due to the limitations of the techniques (Douidah et al., 2012). Therefore, all A. cryaerophilus, A. skirrowii, A. thereius, A. lanthieri, A. vitoriensis, and Arcobacter spp. isolates were re-subjected to PCR detection of cadF, cj1349, and hecA using new primers designed in this study (Table S1). Those primers were designed with the Clone Manager 9 Professional Edition software (Sci Ed Software LLC), based on comprehensive analyses and alignments of the published genome sequences of A. butzleri RM4018^T (CP000361.1), A. cryaerophilus ATCC 43158^T (NZ_CP032823.1), A. skirrowii CCUG 10374^T (NZ_CP032099.1), A. thereius LMG 24486^T (NZ_CP035926.1), A. lanthieri LMG 28516^T (NZ_CP053839.1), A. vitoriensis CECT 9230^T (NZ_PDKB00000000.1), and '(Ali)arcobacter hispanicus' CECT 9188^T (NZ_PDKI00000000). Additionally, all the A. butzleri isolates were also subjected to PCR detection of hecA using the newly designed corresponding primers. DNA from the isolates was extracted from overnight liquid cultures using PrepMan® Ultra Reagent (Applied Biosystems); and all PCRs were carried out in final volumes of 25 µl containing 1X PCR reaction buffer, 2.5 mM of MgCl₂, 0.2 µM of each primer, 0.4 mM of each dNTP (Bioline), 1

2.5. Data analysis

The statistical analyses were carried out using the SPSS Statistics 26 software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, 221 IL, USA). Kruskal-Wallis and Mann-Whitney U tests were used to compare the values obtained in the adhesion and invasion assays among isolates, sources of isolation, and species. Taking into account the number of representatives of each species, two groups were stablished when those values were compared among species: i) A. butzleri (n = 35) vs A. cryaerophilus (n = 16); ii) A. skirrowii (n = 2) vs A. lanthieri (n = 2) vs A. thereius (n = 3) vs A. vitoriensis (n = 2) vs Arcobacter spp. (n = 5). On the other hand, Pearson's Chi-square and Fisher's exact tests were used to identify possible associations between variables. The isolates identified as A. skirrowii (n = 2), A. thereius (n = 3), A. lanthieri (n = 2), A. vitoriensis (n = 2), and Arcobacter spp. (n = 5), along with those showing low adhesion (n = 2) and invasion (n = 3) capabilities, were excluded from the association analyses based on their low representation. Significance was established at p level of <0.05.

3. Results

3.1. Adhesion to and invasion of Caco-2 cells by Arcobacter isolates

The capability of *Arcobacter* to adhere to and invade Caco-2 cells is detailed in Table 1. All the isolates adhered to and invaded Caco-2 cells, showing adhesion values ranging from 4.63 ± 0.12 to $7.38 \pm 0.13 \log_{10}$

CFU mL⁻¹ and invasion values ranging from 2.61 \pm 0.08 to 5.50 \pm 0.30 log₁₀ CFU mL⁻¹. Fifty (76.9%) isolates were highly adherent and 47 (72.3%) highly invasive. Among them, ten isolates (15.4%) showed higher adhesiveness than the mean value of both *S*. Typhimurium LT2 and *E. coli* DH5 α ; and four (6.2%) higher invasiveness than *S*. Typhimurium LT2. Ab-PV2 and Ab-QS1, both representatives of *A. butzleri*, were significantly (p < 0.001) the most highly adherent (7.38 \pm 0.13 log₁₀ CFU mL⁻¹) and invasive (5.50 \pm 0.30 log₁₀ CFU mL⁻¹) isolates,

respectively. On the other hand, *A. lanthieri* FW40 and *A. skirrowii* As-G1 showed, respectively, the second highest values for adherence (7.11 \pm 0.10 log₁₀ CFU mL⁻¹) and invasion (5.30 \pm 0.00 log₁₀ CFU mL⁻¹).

The average adhesion and invasion values shown by the isolates varied according to the species to which they belong (Fig. 1A) and to the origin (Fig. 1B). When comparing the mean values shown by the two main species associated with human infection, *A. butzleri* was significantly (p < 0.05) more adherent and invasive than *A. cryaerophilus* (6.26



Fig. 1. Bars representing the adhesion to (white) and invasion of (grey) Caco-2 cells shown by the 65 *Arcobacter* strains grouped by species (A) and isolation source (B). Control strains are also represented. * and ** Kruskal-Wallis test based statistically significant differences (p < 0.05 and p < 0.001, respectively). In A, significance values are according to the two groups that were established (majority species and minority species).

 \pm 0.04 and 4.47 \pm 0.04 \log_{10} CFU mL^{-1} , respectively, for A. butzleri vs 6.11 \pm 0.05 and 4.33 \pm 0.05 \log_{10} CFU mL^{-1} for A. cryaerophilus). Significant differences (p < 0.001) were also detected among the mean values observed for the minority species: A. lanthieri presented the highest adhesion (7.01 \pm 0.04 \log_{10} CFU mL^{-1}), A. skirrowii the highest invasion (5.18 \pm 0.04 \log_{10} CFU mL^{-1}), and A. thereius the lowest adhesion (5.21 \pm 0.06 \log_{10} CFU mL^{-1}) and invasion (3.31 \pm 0.09 \log_{10} CFU mL^{-1}). Regarding the origin of the species, the strains isolated from sewage were significantly (p < 0.05) more adherent (6.44 \pm 0.00 \log_{10} CFU mL^{-1}) and invasive (4.60 \pm 0.04 \log_{10} CFU mL^{-1}) than those isolated from river water (6.25 \pm 0.04 and 4.30 \pm 0.03 \log_{10} CFU mL^{-1} , respectively) or food products (6.12 \pm 0.04 and 4.42 \pm 0.05 \log_{10} CFU mL^{-1} , respectively).

The Fisher exact test established an association (p < 0.05) between sewage strains and a high adhesion capability; and between strains isolated from food products and a good adhesion capability (Table S2).

3.2. Detection and prevalence of cadF, cj1349, ciaB, and hecA

The primer pairs designed for the partial amplification of the genes *cadF*, *cj1349*, and *hecA* in *Arcobacter* species other than *A*. *butzleri*, generated, in each case, a single band of the expected size. Specifically, *cadF* primers generated an amplicon of 887 bp in *A*. *cryaerophilus* and a 775 bp one in *A*. *lanthieri*, *A*. *vitoriensis*, and *A*. *hispanicus*; *cj1349* primers a 721 bp one in *A*. *cryaerophilus* and *A*. *vitoriensis*, another one of 742 bp in *A*. *skirrowii* and *A*. *thereius*, and a third one of 711 bp in *A*. *lanthieri* and *A*. *hispanicus*; and *hecA* primers generated an amplicon of 659 bp in all the species except in *A*. *thereius*, for which it was impossible to design *hecA* specific primers.

Overall, the four genes were detected among all the analysed isolates being *ciaB* the most prevalent (100%), followed by *cj1349* (95.4%), *cadF* (87.7%) and *hecA* (38.5%). Table 1 shows the detailed gene content of each isolate and Table S3 the presence and distribution of the four genes investigated in the *Arcobacter* isolates analysed. Among the seven different gene patterns identified, the combination of *cadF*, *cj1349*, and *ciaB* was the most prevalent (50.8%), followed by that of *cadF*, *cj1349*, *ciaB*, and *hecA* (33.8%).

The genes were differently distributed among species and sources. 42.9% of the A. butzleri isolates showed the four genes. cadF, cj1349, and ciaB were detected in all the A. butzleri isolates, while the detection-rate of hecA was of 42.9%. The virulence gene content of A. cryaerophilus was lower: none of the isolates showed all four genes. The most prevalent gene in this species was ciaB, which was detected in all isolates. The genes cadF and cj1349 were detected in 81.3% of the isolates and hecA was the least detected, occurring in 18.8% of the isolates. Among the less represented species, cj1349 and ciaB were detected in all the isolates; cadF was present in all A. lanthieri, A. vitoriensis, and Arcobacter spp. isolates; and hecA was in all A. lanthieri and A. vitoriensis and in the 60% of Arcobacter spp. isolates. Statistical analyses showed that cadF and *cj1349* were significantly (p < 0.05) more prevalent in *A. butzleri* than in A. cryaerophilus, while for minority species no significant differences were observed. Depending on the source of isolation, hecA was significantly (p < 0.001) more frequently detected in strains isolated from sewage. The combination of the four genes analysed was also significantly (p < 0.05) more prevalent in these isolates.

Regarding possible associations between certain gene patterns and the ability of the strains to adhere to and/or invade cells (Fig. 2; Tables S2 and S3), the Fisher exact test detected a significant association (p < 0.05) between the presence of *cadF* or *hecA* and a high adhesion capability. By contrast, no association was identified between any of the four genes or their combinations and a level of categorization of invasiveness.

4. Discussion

A number of species of the genus *Arcobacter* have been associated with several human diseases, mainly with diarrhoea causing enteritis (Ramees et al., 2017), but the infection mechanisms of these bacteria remain uncertain. Bacterial adhesion to epithelial cells is a necessary



Fig. 2. Bars representing the number of strains in each of the adherence (white) and invasion (grey) categories for each of the genes studied. * Fisher exact test based statistically significant differences (p < 0.05).

step for any infection to occur as may be followed by tissue colonization and subsequent invasion of host cells, intracellular proliferation, spread to other tissues, or persistence (Pizarro-Cerdá and Cossart 2006). Therefore, the adhesion and invasion capabilities of 65 food and waterborne *Arcobacter* isolates were investigated here. Additionally, possible correlations between infection phenotypes and the number of virulence markers associated with host cell adhesion (*cadF*, *cj1349*, and *hecA*) and/or invasion (*ciaB*) were analysed.

Caco-2 cells were used for adhesion and invasion assays. This line was selected for being human colorectal adenocarcinoma cells that can mimic enterocytes (Fedi et al., 2021), which makes it suitable for the study of pathogens that mainly provoke enteritis with diarrhoea, as Arcobacter (Chieffi et al., 2020; Kietsiri et al., 2021). It is also a well stablished model that has been used previously with Arcobacter, on which variable adhesion and invasion capabilities have been reported (Buzzanca et al., 2021; Ferreira et al., 2014; Ho et al., 2007; Ho et al., 2007; Karadas et al., 2013; Levican et al., 2013). Surprisingly, all the 65 isolates studied here adhered to and invaded Caco-2 cells. Similar results have been reported previously for A. butzleri (Buzzanca et al., 2021; Ferreira et al., 2014; Karadas et al., 2013; Levican et al., 2013) and A. cryaerophilus (Ho et al., 2007), but, to our knowledge, this is the first time that all the tested A. skirrowii and A. thereius isolates show both capabilities. It is also the first time, as far as we know, that cell infection assays are hold with A. lanthieri and A. vitoriensis.

Several authors have previously highlighted the high virulent activity of A. butzleri and A. cryaerophilus in vitro (Buzzanca et al., 2021; Fernández et al., 2010; Ferreira et al., 2014; Gugliandolo et al., 2008; Ho et al., 2007; Karadas et al., 2013; 2016; Levican et al., 2013). However, to our knowledge, only two studies have compared this ability between the two species (Ho et al., 2007; Levican et al., 2013) and the results of both point out A. cryaerophilus as the most virulent. By contrast, according to the data from the present study A. butzleri is significantly superior to A. cryaerophilus (p < 0.05). This would support that A. butzleri is the main species of the genus associated with human infection. However, our data also highlight the high pathogenic potential of other species such as A. lanthieri, A. skirrowii, and A. vitoriensis, for which, surprisingly, mean adherence and invasion values are higher than those obtained for A. butzleri and A. cryaerophilus. In fact, the mean adhesion values shown by A. *lanthieri* (7.01 \pm 0.04 log₁₀ CFU mL⁻¹) are similar to the highest values observed in the A. butzleri isolates; and A. skirrowii stands out for its high invasiveness, showing one of the two strains analysed the second highest value among the 65 total strains (5.30 \pm 0.00 log_{10} CFU mL^{-1}). Levican et al. (2013) also detected that the most potentially virulent strain among the 60 strains tested on Caco-2 cells was a representative of A. skirrowii. However, none of the two isolates of A. skirrowii tested by Ho et al. (2007) was able to invade Caco-2 or IPI-2I cells, which leads us to think that the variability of results among studies may be due either to methodological differences (e. g. different infection times or growth media) or to the origin and/or intrinsic characteristics of the strains, as previously proposed elsewhere (Karadas et al., 2013). Indifferently, the low number of representatives of A. lanthieri, A. skirrowii, and A. vitoriensis analysed in this study (two of each) does not allow us to compare them with A. butzleri or A. cryaerophilus, but it would be of great interest to conduct future studies in order to determine whether these minority species are really so virulent. The superior virulence of a minority species such as A. trophiarum over A. butzleri has been previously highlighted (Levican et al., 2013). On the other hand, the high adhesion and invasion capabilities of FW4, FW8, FW53, FW54, and FW61 are also remarkable. These are sewage isolates, potentially representative of new species of the genus, which may pose a considerable risk for human health based on the results of our study.

While there are some studies on strains from diverse origins such as food, surface and marine waters, and livestock faeces (Carbone et al., 2003; Fernández et al., 2010; Gugliandolo et al., 2008; Musmanno et al., 1997); the majority of the previous studies have focused on exploring

the virulent potential of strains isolated from humans compared to non-human ones (Buzzanca et al., 2021; Ferreira et al., 2014; Ho et al., 2007; Karadas et al., 2013, 2016). In the basis of those results, the virulent potential appears to be strain dependant rather than origin dependant, as some strains adhered and/or invaded and others did not, irrespective of their origin. Even though consumption of contaminated food and/or water is the main route of human Arcobacter infection, there are hardly any studies analysing possible differences between isolates from those sources. To date, the only study that could resemble ours is that of Levican et al. (2013), which combines isolates derived from sewage and food, among other sources. In fact, that is the only study in which having analysed strains of different origin, an association between isolation source and virulent capability was established. Our findings confirm the reported association between sewage and a higher virulent potential of the strains (p < 0.001), and could suggest that Arcobacter pathogenity is related to source rather than strain. To our knowledge, these are the only two studies carried out to date with sewage Arcobacter strains. However, some other studies have been conducted with isolates derived from river and brackish water (Carbone et al., 2003; Musmanno et al., 1997). Not in line with our observations, only 35.3% and 5.6% of the strains tested in the aforementioned studies were able to adhere to epithelial cells. The use of different cell lines in each study [Caco-2 here; HEp-2 and HeLa in the study of Carbone et al. (2003); and Vero and CHO in that of Musmanno et al. (1997)] may be the reason for the differences observed between them.

The virulent potential of bacterial strains is determined, in part, by their gene content. Interestingly, the PCR analyses held here show that sewage strains have a significantly higher gene content associated with adhesive and invasive capabilities than the strains isolated from other sources (p < 0.001), which goes in line with that observed by Levican et al. (2013), who also detected a higher proportion of virulence genes in strains from faecal samples. Our results also show, for the first time, an association (p < 0.05) between the presence of *cadF* and *hecA* and a high adhesion capability in Arcobacter spp. As far as we know, none of the previous studies attempting this item could stablish any association between virulence gene patterns and the adherence and/or invasiveness of Arcobacter spp. (Ferreira et al., 2014; Karadas et al., 2013; Levican et al., 2013). It should be noted that the primers used here are not the same as those used in the aforementioned studies, at least for A. cryaerophilus, A. skirrowii, A. thereius, A. lanthieri, and A. vitoriensis. The design of species-specific primers has allowed us to detect *cadF*, ci1349, and hecA in a more efficient way (see Table 1) in view of the results previously obtained for the majority of the strains studied here (Alonso et al., 2018; Girbau et al., 2015; Martinez-Malaxetxebarria et al., 2022). Specifically, we were able to detect cadF in 16 strains in which the gene had not previously been detected, cj1349 in 27, and hecA in seven. Therefore, results of previous studies carried out with non-A. butzleri species may have been false negatives, and this may be the underlying reason for the lack of associations detected to date.

5. Conclusions

This study provides new data on the pathogenic potential of different *Arcobacter* species present in food and water. For the first time, it is shown that the virulent activity of *A. butzleri* is superior to that of *A. cryaerophilus*, thus supporting *A. butzleri* as the main species of the genus associated with human pathology. Our results also provide evidence on the virulence potential of *A. lanthieri* and *A. vitoriensis*, setting the basis for further studies. Additionally, our results confirm sewage to be an important source of potentially more virulent arcobacters, and let us hypothesize that rather than strain-dependant, their virulent potential is origin-dependant. This finding should be taken into consideration, as sewage can be a source of environmentally transmitted pathogens that can reach the population through different routes. Finally, the species-specific primers designed here, which have been shown to be useful in detecting four of the ten classical virulence markers of

Arcobacter in species other than A. butzleri.

Funding

This work was supported by the Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness [grant number AGL2014-56179-P, co-financed with FEDER funds]; Basque Government [grant number PA20/03]; and the University of the Basque Country UPV/EHU [grant number GIU21/021].

Declaration of competing interest

I·B is recipient of an Ikertalent Ph.D. fellowship from the Basque Government, and A.S.-S of a Ph.D. fellowship from the University of the Basque Country (UPV/EHU).

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to acknowledge the University of Basque Country UPV/EHU that kindly provided the Open Access funding. They would also like to thank Aurora Fernández-Astorga and Javier Garaizar Candina for the human support and for teaching us to trust each other.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fm.2023.104424.

References

- Alonso, R., Girbau, C., Martinez-Malaxetxebarria, I., Pérez-Cataluña, A., Salas-Massó, N., Romalde, J.L., Figueras, M.J., Fernández-Astorga, A., 2020. Aliarcobacter vitoriensis sp. nov., isolated from carrot and urban wastewater. Syst. Appl. Microbiol. 43 (4), 126091 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.syapm.2020.126091.
- Alonso, R., Martinez-Malaxetxebarria, I., Girbau, C., Carmona, S., Velasco, H., Fernández-Astorga, A., 2018. Genomic diversity of *Arcobacter* spp. isolated from surface waters. J. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 7 (2), 13–21.
- Arguello, E., Otto, C.C., Mead, P., Babady, N.E., 2015. Bacteremia caused by Arcobacter butzleri in an immunocompromised host. J. Clin. Microbiol. 53 (4), 1448–1451. https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.03450-14.
- Barboza, K., Cubillo, Z., Castro, E., Redondo-Solano, M., Fernández-Jaramillo, H., Echandi, M., 2017. First isolation report of *Arcobacter cryaerophilus* from a human diarrhea sample in Costa Rica. Rev. Inst. Med. Trop. Sao Paulo 59, e72. https://doi. org/10.1590/S1678-9946201759072.
- Barel, M, Yildirim, Y., 2023 May 6. Arcobacter species isolated from various seafood and water sources; virulence genes, antibiotic resistance genes and molecular characterization. World J. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 39 (7), 183. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/s11274-023-03547-x. PMID: 37147408.
- Brückner, V., Fiebiger, U., Ignatius, R., Friesen, J., Eisenblätter, M., Höck, M., Alter, T., Bereswill, S., Heimesaat, M.M., Gölz, G., 2020. Characterization of *Arcobacter* strains isolated from human stool samples: results from the prospective German prevalence study Arcopath. Gut Pathog. 12 (3) https://doi.org/10.1186/s13099-019-0344-3.
- Buzzanca, D., Alessandria, V., Botta, C., Seif Zadeh, N., Ferrocino, I., Houf, K., Cocolin, L., Rantsiou, K., 2023. Transcriptome analysis of *Arcobacter butzleri* infection in a mucus-producing human intestinal *in vitro* model. Microbiol. Spectr. 11 (1), e0207122 https://doi.org/10.1128/spectrum.02071-22.
- Buzzanca, D., Botta, C., Ferrocino, I., Alessandria, V., Houf, K., Rantsiou, K., 2021. Functional pangenome analysis reveals high virulence plasticity of *Aliarcobacter butzleri* and affinity to human mucus. Genomics 113 (4), 2065–2076. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.ygeno.2021.05.001.
- Carbone, M., Maugeri, T.L., Giannone, M., Gugliandolo, C., Milidiri, A., Fera, M.T., 2003. Adherence of environmental Arcobacter butzleri and Vibrio spp. isolates to epithelial cells in vitro. Food Microbiol. 20 (5), 611–616. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0740-0020 (02)00172-7.
- Chieffi, D., Fanelli, F., Fusco, V., 2020. Arcobacter butzleri: up-to-date taxonomy, ecology, and pathogenicity of an emerging pathogen. Compr. Rev. Food Sci. Food Saf. 19 (4), 2071–2109. https://doi.org/10.1111/1541-4337.12577.
- Douidah, L., de Zutter, L., Baré, J., De Vos, P., Vandamme, P., Vandenberg, O., Van den Abeele, A.M., Houf, K., 2012. Occurrence of putative virulence genes in *Arcobacter* species isolated from humans and animals. J. Clin. Microbiol. 50 (3), 735–741. https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.05872-11.
- Fedi, A, Vitale, C, Ponschin, G, Ayehunie, S, Fato, M, Scaglione, S., 2021 Jul 10. In vitro models replicating the human intestinal epithelium for absorption and metabolism studies: a systematic review. J. Control Release 335, 247–268. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.jconrel.2021.05.028. Epub 2021 May 24. PMID: 34033859.

- Fernández, H., Krause, S., Villanueva, M.P., 2004. Arcobacter butzleri an emerging enteropathogen: communication of two cases with chronic diarrhea. Braz. J. Microbiol. 35 (3), 216–218. https://doi.org/10.1590/S1517-83822004000200008.
- Fernández, H., Flores, S., Inzunza, F., 2010. Arcobacter butzleri strains isolated from different sources display adhesive capacity to epithelial cells in vitro. Acta Sci. Vet. 38 (3), 287–291. https://doi.org/10.22456/1679-9216.17066.
- Ferreira, S., Queiroz, J.A., Oleastro, M., Domingues, F.C., 2014. Genotypic and phenotypic features of Arcobacter butzleri pathogenicity. Microb. Pathog. 76, 19–25. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micpath.2014.09.004.
- Ferreira, S., Queiroz, J.A., Oleastro, M., Domingues, F.C., 2016. Insights in the pathogenesis and resistance of *Arcobacter*: a review. Crit. Rev. Microbiol. 42 (3), 364–383. https://doi.org/10.3109/1040841X.2014.954523.
- Figueras, M.J., Levican, A., Pujol, I., Ballester, F., Rabada Quilez, M.J., Gomez-Bertomeu, F., 2014. A severe case of persistent diarrhoea associated with Arcobacter cryaerophilus but attributed to Campylobacter sp. and a review of the clinical incidence of Arcobacter spp. New Microbes. New. Infect. 2 (2), 31–37. https://doi. org/10.1002/2052-2975.35.
- Girbau, C., Guerra, C., Martínez-Malaxetxebarria, I., Alonso, R., Fernández-Astorga, A., 2015. Prevalence of ten putative virulence genes in the emerging foodborne pathogen *Arcobacter* isolated from food products. Food Microbiol. 52, 146–149. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fm.2015.07.015.
- Gugliandolo, C., Irrera, G.P., Lentini, V., Maugeri, T.L., 2008. Pathogenic Vibrio, aeromonas and arcobacter spp. associated with copepods in the straits of messina (Italy). Mar. Pollut. Bull. 56 (3), 600–606. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. marnolbul.2007.12.001.
- Hänel, I., Müller, E., Santamarina, B.G., Tomaso, H., Hotzel, H., Busch, A., 2021. Antimicrobial susceptibility and genomic analysis of *Aliarcobacter cibarius* and *Aliarcobacter thereius*, two rarely detected *aliarcobacter* species. Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol. 11, 532989 https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2021.532989.
- Ho, H.T., Lipman, L.J., Hendriks, H.G., Tooten, P.C., Ultee, T., Gaastra, W., 2007. Interaction of *Arcobacter* spp. with human and porcine intestinal epithelial cells. FEMS Immunol. Med. Microbiol. 50 (1), 51–58. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-695X.2007.00230.x.
- Hsu, T.T., Lee, J., 2015. Global distribution and prevalence of arcobacter in food and water. Zoonoses Publ. Health 62 (8), 579–589. https://doi.org/10.1111/zph.12215.
- Isidro, J., Ferreira, S., Pinto, M., Domingues, F., Oleastro, M., Gomes, J.P., Borges, V., 2020. Virulence and antibiotic resistance plasticity of *Arcobacter butzleri*: insights on the genomic diversity of an emerging human pathogen. Infect. Genet. Evol. 80, 104213 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meegid.2020.104213.
- Iwu, C.D., Ekundayo, T.C., Okoh, A.I., 2021. A systematic analysis of research on Arcobacter: public health implications from a food-environment interphase perspective. Foods 10 (7), 1673. https://doi.org/10.3390/foods10071673.
- Jiménez-Guerra, G, Casanovas MorenoTorres, I, Moldovan, TD, Navarro-Marí, JM, Gutiérrez-Fernández, J., 2020 Feb. Arcobacter butzleri and intestinal colonization. Rev. Esp. Quimioter. 33 (1), 73–75. https://doi.org/10.37201/req/060.2019. Epub 2020 Jan 2. PMID: 31895525; PMCID: PMC6987627.
- Karadas, G., Sharbati, S., Hänel, I., Messelhäußer, U., Glocker, E., Alter, T., Gölz, G., 2013. Presence of virulence genes, adhesion and invasion of Arcobacter butzleri. J. Appl. Microbiol. 115 (2), 583–590. https://doi.org/10.1111/jam.12245.
- Karadas, G., Bücker, R., Sharbati, S., Schulzke, J.D., Alter, T., Gölz, G., 2016. Arcobacter butzleri isolates exhibit pathogenic potential in intestinal epithelial cell models. J. Appl. Microbiol. 120 (1), 218–225. https://doi.org/10.1111/jam.12979.
- Kayman, T., Abay, S., Hizlisoy, H., Atabay, H.I., Diker, K.S., Aydin, F., 2012. Emerging pathogen Arcobacter spp. in acute gastroenteritis: molecular identification, antibiotic susceptibilities and genotyping of the isolated arcobacters. J. Med. Microbiol. 61 (10), 1439–1444. https://doi.org/10.1099/jmm.0.044594-0.
- Kietsiri, P., Muangnapoh, C., Lurchachaiwong, W., Lertsethtakarn, P., Bodhidatta, L., Suthienkul, O., Waters, N.C., Demons, S.T., Vesely, B.A., 2021. Characterization of *Arcobacter* spp. isolated from human diarrheal, non-diarrheal and food samples in Thailand. PLoS One 16 (2), e0246598. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal. none 0246598
- Kerkhof, P.J., Van den Abeele, A.M., Strubbe, B., Vogelaers, D., Vandamme, P., Houf, K., 2020. Diagnostic approach for detection and identification of emerging enteric pathogens revisited: the (*Ali*)arcobacter lanthieri case. New Microbes. New. Infect. 39, 100829 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nmni.2020.100829.
- Lappi, V., Archer, J.R., Cebelinski, E., Leano, F., Besser, J.M., Klos, R.F., Medus, C., Smith, K.E., Fitzgerald, C., Davis, J.P., 2013. An outbreak of foodborne illness among attendees of a wedding reception in Wisconsin likely caused by *Arcobacter butzleri*. Foodb. Pathog. Dis. 10 (3), 250–255. https://doi.org/10.1089/fpd.2012.1307.
- Foodb. Pathog. Dis. 10 (3), 250–255. https://doi.org/10.1089/fpd.2012.1307.
 Lau, S.K., Woo, P.C., Teng, J.L., Leung, K.W., Yuen, K.Y., 2002. Identification by 16S ribosomal RNA gene sequencing of *Arcobacter butzleri* bacteraemia in a patient with acute gangrenous appendicitis. Mol. Pathol. 55 (3), 182–185. https://doi.org/10.1136/mp.55.3.182.
- Lerner, J., Brumberger, V., Preac-Mursic, V., 1994. Severe diarrhea associated with Arcobacter butzleri. Eur. J. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. Dis. 13 (8), 660–662. https://doi. org/10.1007/BF01973994.
- Levican, A., Alkeskas, A., Günter, C., Forsythe, S.J., Figueras, M.J., 2013. Adherence to and invasion of human intestinal cells by *Arcobacter* species and their virulence genotypes. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 79 (16), 4951–4957. https://doi.org/10.1128/ AEM.01073-13.
- Marinescu, M., Collignon, A., Squinazi, F., Derimay, R., Woodward, D., Lior, H., 1996. Two cases of persistent diarrhoea associated with *Arcobacter* sp. In: Newell, D.G., Ketley, J.M., Feldman, R.A. (Eds.), Campylobacters, Helicobacters, and Related Organisms. Springer, Boston, MA. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4757-9558-5_99.
- Martinez-Malaxetxebarria, I., Girbau, C., Salazar-Sánchez, A., Baztarrika, I., Martínez-Ballesteros, I., Laorden, L., Alonso, R., Fernández-Astorga, A., 2022. Genetic

I. Baztarrika et al.

characterization and biofilm formation of potentially pathogenic foodborne Arcobacter isolates. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 373, 109712 https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jifoodmicro.2022.109712.

- Müller, E, Abdel-Glil, MY, Hotzel, H, Hänel, I, Tomaso, H, 2020 Sep. 21. Aliarcobacter butzleri from water poultry: insights into antimicrobial resistance, virulence and heavy metal resistance. Genes (Basel) 11 (9), 1104. https://doi.org/10.3390/ genes11091104. PMID: 32967159; PMCID: PMC7564025.
- Musmanno, R.A., Russi, M., Lior, H., Figura, N., 1997. In vitro virulence factors of Arcobacter butzleri strains isolated from superficial water samples. New Microbiol. 20 (1), 63–68.
- Nieva-Echevarria, B., Martinez-Malaxetxebarria, I., Girbau, C., Alonso, R., Fernández-Astorga, A., 2013. Prevalence and genetic diversity of *Arcobacter* in food products in the north of Spain. J. Food Protect. 76 (8), 1447–1450. https://doi.org/10.4315/ 0362-028X.JFP-13-014.
- Pizarro-Cerdá, J., Cossart, P., 2006. Bacterial adhesion and entry into host cells. Cell 124 (4), 715–727. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2006.02.012.
- Ramees, T.P., Dhama, K., Karthik, K., Rathore, R.S., Kumar, A., Saminathan, M., Tiwari, R., Malik, Y.S., Singh, R.K., 2017. Arcobacter: an emerging food-borne zoonotic pathogen, its public health concerns and advances in diagnosis and control a comprehensive review. Vet. Q. 37 (1), 136–161. https://doi.org/10.1080/ 01652176 2017 1323355
- Rathlavath, S., Kohli, V., Singh, A.S., Lekshmi, M., Tripathi, G., Kumar, S., Nayak, B.B., 2017. Virulence genotypes and antimicrobial susceptibility patterns of *Arcobacter butzleri* isolated from seafood and its environment. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 263, 32–37. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2017.10.005.
- Ruiz de Alegría Puig, C., Fernández Martínez, M., Pablo Marcos, D., Agüero Balbín, J., Calvo Montes, J., 2023. Outbreak of *Arcobacter butzleri*? An emerging enteropathogen. Enferm. Infecc. Microbiol. Clín. 41 (3), 169–172. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.eimce.2021.10.012.
- Ruiz de Alegría Puig, C., Macho Díaz, M., Agüero Balbín, J., Calvo Montes, J., 2021. First case of Arcobacter cryaerophilus in paediatric age in Spain. Rev. Española Quimioter. 34 (3), 259–260. https://doi.org/10.37201/req/134.2020.
- Simaluiza, R.J., Ambuludi, D.R., Fernández, H., 2021. First case of diarrhea due to *Aliarcobacter butzleri* (formerly *Arcobacter butzleri*) in Ecuador. Infect. Dis. Now. 51 (6), 564–566.
- Snelling, W.J., Matsuda, M., Moore, J.E., Dooley, J.S., 2006. Under the microscope: arcobacter. Lett. Appl. Microbiol. 42 (1), 7–14. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1472-765X.2005.01841.x.
- Soelberg, K.K., Danielsen, T., Martin-Iguacel, R., Justesen, U.S., 2020. Arcobacter butzleri is an opportunistic pathogen: recurrent bacteraemia in an immunocompromised

patient without diarrhoea. Access Microbiol. 2 (8), acmi000145 https://doi.org/ 10.1099/acmi.0.000145.

- Tang, S, Yuan, Y, Wu, Y, He, C., 2023 Jul. Bacteremia caused by Arcobacter butzleri after cardiac surgery: a case report and literature review. Asian J. Surg. 46 (7), 2699–2700. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asjsur.2023.02.119. Epub 2023 Mar 9. PMID: 36906417.
- Vandamme, P., Vancanneyt, M., Pot, B., Mels, L., Hoste, B., Dewettinck, D., Vlaes, L., van den Borre, C., Higgins, R., Hommez, J., 1992. Polyphasic taxonomic study of the emended genus Arcobacter with Arcobacter butzleri comb. nov. and Arcobacter skirrowii sp. nov., an aerotolerant bacterium isolated from veterinary specimens. Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol. 42 (3), 344–356. https://doi.org/10.1099/00207713-42-3-344.
- Van den Abeele, A.M., Vogelaers, D., Van Hende, J., Houf, K., 2014. Prevalence of Arcobacter species among humans, Belgium, 2008-2013. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 20 (10), 1731–1734. https://doi.org/10.3201/eid2010.140433.
- Vasiljevic, M., Fenwick, A.J., Nematollahi, S., Gundareddy, V.P., Romagnoli, M., Zenilman, J., Carroll, K.C., 2019. First case report of human bacteremia with *Malacobacter (Arcobacter) mytili*. Open Forum Infect. Dis. 6 (7), ofz319. https://doi. org/10.1093/ofid/ofz319.
- Wang, Y.Y., Zhou, G.L., Li, Y., Gu, Y.X., He, M., Zhang, S., Ji, G.Q., Yang, J., Wang, M., Ma, H.M., Zhang, M.J., 2021. Genetic characteristics and antimicrobial susceptibility of *Arcobacter butzleri* isolates from raw chicken meat and patients with diarrhea in China. Biomed. Environ. Sci. 34 (12), 1024–1028. https://doi.org/10.3967/ bes2021.139.
- Woo, P.C., Chong, K.T., Leung, K., Que, T., Yuen, K., 2001. Identification of Arcobacter cryaerophilus isolated from a traffic accident victim with bacteremia by 16S ribosomal RNA gene sequencing. Diagn. Microbiol. Infect. Dis. 40 (3), 125–127. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0732-8893(01)00261-9.
- Wybo, I., Breynaert, J., Lauwers, S., Lindenburg, F., Houf, K., 2004. Isolation of Arcobacter skirrowii from a patient with chronic diarrhea. J. Clin. Microbiol. 42 (4), 1851–1852. https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.42.4.1851-1852.2004.
- Yap, D.Y., Kwan, L.P., To, K.K., Chan, T.M., 2013. Arcobacter peritonitis after fluoroscopic repositioning of a Tenckhoff catheter. Perit. Dial. Int. 33 (2), 222–223. https://doi.org/10.3747/pdi.2012.00114.
- Zambri, M., Cloutier, M., Adam, Z., Lapen, D.R., Wilkes, G., Sunohara, M., Topp, E., Talbot, G., Khan, I., 2019. Novel virulence, antibiotic resistance and toxin genespecific PCR-based assays for rapid pathogenicity assessment of *Arcobacter faecis* and *Arcobacter lanthieri*. BMC Microbiol. 19 (1), 11. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12866-018-1357-7.