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## Resúmen

En la última década, el empleo y la síntesis de 1,2,3-triazoles ha experimentado una enorme expansión. Esta estructura heterocíclica ya se conocía desde los años 60 del siglo pasado, cuando Huisgen descubrió la cicloadición térmica entre azidas y alquinos. Sin embargo, hasta el año 2001 la reacción ha pasado prácticamente desapercibida. En ese año Meldal y Sharpless, descubrieron independientemente que el catión $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ cataliza la reacción de ciclación de azidas con alquinos a la que pasaron a denominar "Cu-accelerated azide-alkyne cycloaddition" (CuAAC). Además, demostraron que bajo condiciones catalíticas la reacción conduce únicamente a los isómero 1,4-disustituidos.

$$
\mathrm{R}^{2} \equiv+\mathrm{N}_{3}-\mathrm{R}^{1} \xrightarrow[\text { catal. }]{\mathrm{Cu(I)}} \mathrm{R}^{2} \mathrm{~N}^{-N} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{N}^{1}}
$$

En esta última década han sido innumerables los logros obtenidos en esta área, así como en la búsqueda de un sistema que permita la obtención de 1,2,3triazoles de forma totalmente regisoselectiva en ausencia de metales.

Los 1,2,3-triazoles pueden ser alquilados en el nitrógeno 3, proporcionando una carga positiva deslocalizada al 1,2,3-triazol, formando la correspondiente sal de 3 -alquil-1,2,3-triazolio. Generalmente, esta reacción sólo funciona satisfactoriamente con agentes alquilantes muy activos, tales como las sales de Meerwein $\left(\mathrm{R}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}\right)$, yoduro de metilo y algunos triflatos de cadena corta.


Las sales de 1,2,3-triazolio poseen importantes aplicaciones como líquidos iónicos (ILs), organocatalizadores, precursores de ligandos de carbenos mesoiónicos o como componentes estructurales en química supramolecular.

A pesar del rápido desarrollo de las aplicaciones de sales de 1,2,3-triazolio durante los últimos cinco años, apenas existen ejemplos de transformaciones químicas de dichos compuestos que proporcionen productos dereacción que no sean complejos carbénicos de metales de transición.

El objetivo general de esta tesis, es demostrar que el carácter fuertemente electrodeficiente de las sales de 1,2,3-triazolio puede ser aprovechado para llevar a cabo transformaciones en las posiciones C4-, N3- y C5- del anillo. Concretamente, se han abordado las siguientes las siguientes reacciones:

En primer lugar, el estudio de nuevos alquinos altamente activados mediante efectos inductivos como consecuencia de la $N 3$-alquilación del anillo de triazol, capaces de promover la reacción de cicloadición azida-alquino en ausencia de catalizadores.

Por otro lado, la síntesis de sales $N 3$-sustituidas de 1,2,3-triazolio con reactividad latente, la cual a su vez permite llevar a cabo posteriores reacciones en la posición $N 3$, creando una gran variedad estructural de sales de 1,2,3-triazolio.

Y finalmente, la síntesis de sales de 5-halo-1,2,3-triazolio 1,3,4trisustituidas a través de un intermedio carbénico y en presencia de electrófilos.


Los resultados más relevantes de cada uno de los apartados, se detallan a continuación:

1. Activación de la reacción de cicloadición azida-alquino promovida por sales de

## 1,2,3-triazolio

Preparación de sales de 3-alquil-4-alquinil-1,2,3-triazoles mediante una reacción "click" y posterior $N 3$-alquilación de 4 -alquinil-1,2,3-triazoles por tratamiento con sales de Merweein $\left(\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{OBF}_{4}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{OBF}_{4}\right) \quad$ o trifluorometanesulfonato de metilo. Reacción que da lugar a los productos deseados con muy buenos rendimientos.

Se observa que la reacción de estos nuevos alquinos triazólicos tanto con azidas aromáticas como alifáticas bajo condiciones térmicas $\left(60-100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ da lugar a sales 1,4-/1,5-sustituidas de 3-metil-4-(1,2,3-triazolil)-1,2,3-triazolio. Estas reacciones ocurren de 40-350 veces más rápido que con los alquinos neutros análogos de 4-alquinil-1 H -1,2,3-triazol, demostrando el fuerte carácter electrón atractor originado por la alquilación en la posición $N 3$ del anillo de triazol.


Además, se confirma que los alquinos triazólicos reaccionan con azidas dando lugar, preferentemente al isómero 1,4-frente al 1,5- (típicamente $>95 \%$ ) en comparación con su análogo neutro. Estudiando los parámetros termodinámicos de dicha reacción, se observa que la energía de activación $\Delta G^{\neq}$para el estado de transición del isómero 1,4 - es de 2-3 $\mathrm{kcal} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ menor comparada con la del isómero 1,5- en el caso de los alquinos triazólicos. Por otro lado, esta diferencia es de tan solo $0.4 \mathrm{kcal} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ para su análogo neutro.

Cálculos computacionanes DFT confirman que dichos alquinos triazólicos actúan estabilizando el orbital LUMO del dipolarófilo, disminuyendo el salto de energía HOMO-LUMO de la interacción azida-alquino. Este resultado está de acuerdo con la alta regioselectividad $1,4 / 1,5$ - observada en el caso de los alquinos triazólicos.

Finalmente, se demuestra que dichos alquinos triazólicos reaccionan con azidas en presencia de cobre(I) (CuAAC) de modo "ultra-rápido" (< 5 min ) dando lugar a sales de 4-(1,2,3-triazolil)-3-metil-1,2,3-triazolio con buenos rendimientos.

La realización de un estudio competitivo de la reacción de cicloadición CuAAC , empleando como dipolarofilos el alquino activado y el alquino neutro confirma la alta reactividad de los primeros, ya que la reacción ocurre a través del alquino catiónico con total selectividad.

Además, se demuestra que en determinados casos, la sal de 4-(1,2,3-triazolil)-3-metil-1,2,3-triazolio puede ser desmetilada en presencia de tiofenol en medio básico, dando lugar a su análogo neutro.
2. Sales de triazolio con reactividad "click" latente por $N 3$-alquilación de 1,2,3triazoles

En el tercer capítulo de esta tesis doctoral, se desarrolla una estrategia para preparar sales de 1,2,3-triazolio $N 3$-sustituidas a partir de 1,2,3-triazoles 1,4disustituidos con funcionalidad latente. Dicha transformación se lleva a cabo mediante el empleo de triflatos de alquilo, como agentes alquilantes, y se efectúa en dos etapas. En primer lugar se preparan los correspondientes triflatos a partir de alcoholes funcionalizados y seguidamente se emplean dichos triflatos en la reacción de $N$-alquilación dando lugar a sales de triazolio $N 1, N 3, \mathrm{C} 4$-trisustituidas con muy buenos rendimientos. Esta metodología es compatible con una gran variedad de grupos funciones.

Esta estrategia se ha aplicado a la síntesis de miméticos de Arg-Gly-Asp (RGD) altamente iodados, los cuales tienen un gran potencial como agentes de contraste para tomografía computarizada de rayos-X.

Con objeto de exterder las aplicaciones de las sales de 1,2,3-triazolio con funcionalidad latente, se han empleado sales de $N 3$-( $\omega$-azidoalquil)-1,2,3-triazolio como sustratos de la reacción CuAAC con diferentes alquinos, dando lugar por vez primera a sales de bis(1,2,3-triazolio) multisustituidas y con control posicional total de los sustituyentes.


Finalmente, se demuestra que tratando 4-etinil1,2,3-triazoles con triflatos de $\omega$-azidoalquilo, se produce la alquilación del anillo de triazol en la posición N3, seguida de una ciclación térmica [3+2] a consecuencia de la activación del alquino debida al efecto inductivo de la alquilación. Esta estrategia permite obtener un nuevo tipo de sistemas de tipo bis-triazol-triazolio tricíclicos. De acuerdo con los estudios computacionales, la energía de Gibbs para el estado de transición de la ciclación térmica $[3+2]$ es $10 \mathrm{kcal} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ menor que su análoga cicloadición intermolecular azida-alquino.


## 3. Halogenación de sales de 1,2,3-triazolio

Por último, se han diseñado y puesto a punto una nueva metodología para llevar a cabo la halogenación de sales de 1,2,3-triazolio a través de un intermedio carbénico de plata(I) en presencia de haluros de cianógeno, para dar lugar a sales de 5-halo-1,2,3-triazolio 1,3,4-trisustituidas. Se ha llevado a cabo un estudio computacional del mecanismo de reacción que ha permitido identificar un intermedio de reacción altamente electrófilo de tipo $\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{CN}-\mathrm{AgI}$ que es el agente halogenante de carbenos triazólicos.


Por último, se ha estudiado, tanto experimentalmente como teóricamente la reacción de $N 3$-desalquilación de sales de 1,2,3-triazolio en la etapa de formación del carbeno por tratamiento con bases fuertes en presencia de yodo cianógeno, dando lugar a 5-halo-1,2,3-triazoles.

## I

General introduction and objectives

## 1 <br> General introduction and objectives

### 1.1 1,2,3-Triazolium salts and their applications ${ }^{1}$

The spectacular development of the $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$-catalyzed azide-alkyne "click" cycloaddition reaction ( CuAAC ) has allowed the use of 1,4 -disubstituted 1,2,3-triazoles as ubiquitous scaffolds in synthetic chemistry. Owing to this wide availability, structurally complex 1,2,3-triazolium salts can be readily obtained (see Chapter 3) from the corresponding 1,2,3-triazoles by $N$-alkylation using alkyl halides, tosylates or triflates, or trialkyl oxonium tetrafluoroborates (Meerwein salts). This transformation often tolerates a wide variety of functional groups at positions $N 1$ and C 4 of the triazole ring and usually occurs with total $N 3$-regioselectivity. Furthermore, 1,2,3-triazolium salts bearing 1,3-diaryl substituents can be obtained directly by [3+2] cycloaddition between alkynes and 1,3-diaza-2-azoniaallene salts ${ }^{2}$ or by $N$-arylation with $\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{IBF}_{4}$ reagent. ${ }^{3}$ Finally, the anionic counterions $\mathrm{X}^{-}$of triazolium salts can be easily interchanged by simple washing with an excess of an inorganic salt or by using exchange resins. ${ }^{4}$

[^0]
\[

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{R}_{\stackrel{3}{\oplus} \stackrel{\oplus}{N}}^{N}{ }_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{X}_{\cdot \mathrm{R}^{1}}^{\ominus}
\end{gathered}
$$
\]

3-Substitited $\mathbf{1 H}$-1,2,3-triazolium salt

Figure 1. 1. General structure for $1 H-1,2,3$-triazoles and 3 -substituted $1 H-1,2,3$-triazolium salts.
These results can be rationalized taking into account some fundamental properties of $1 H-1,2,3$-triazoles and their corresponding triazolium salts. Accordingly, the three nitrogen atoms of the $1 H-1,2,3$-triazole ring cause a strong polarization of the aromatic $\pi$ system and the $\sigma$ framework. Considering relevant Lewis structures as well as inductive effects, the carbon atoms and the $N 1$ nitrogen are expected to be positively charged, while the $N 2, N 3$ atoms show negative partial charges. ${ }^{5}$ In agreement with this description, a very large dipole moment (4.38 D) was measured for $1 H-1,2,3$-triazole. ${ }^{6}$


Figure 1. 2. Selected contributing Lewis structures, partial charges and dipole moment of the $1 H-1,2,3-$ triazole ring.

Comparing the frontier molecular orbitals energies of 1,2,3-triazoles and 1,3imidazoles reveals that an increasing number of nitrogen atoms leads to a gradual stabilization of both HOMO and LUMO orbitals. 1,2,3-Triazoles feature one $N$-acidic $N$-H bond and two basic nitrogen lone pairs similar to 1,3 -imidazole (Figure 1. 3). The different

[^1]base strength of $N 2$ and $N 3$ positions is reflected by the natural population analysis (NBO) charges of -0.08 for $N 2$ and -0.28 for $N 3$ which involve a higher basicity for the later. ${ }^{8}$


Figure 1. 3. Electronic features of $1 H-1,2,3$-triazole and 1,3 -imidazole rings ( ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Energies obtained by semi-empirical AM1 calculations). ${ }^{\text {5b }}$

Upon alkylation, protonation or metal coordination at $N 3$, the polarization of the CH bond at C 4 position is strongly increased in 1,2,3-triazoles, and consequently their acidity. For example, the $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ value of 1,4-dimethyl-1,2,3-triazole is about 28 in DMSO, whereas its N -methyl triazolium salt is 24 (Figure 1.4).


Figure 1. 4. $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ ranges for selected azoliums and influences of inductive substituent effects on the relative CH -acidity determined by H/D-exchange kinetics. ${ }^{9}$

Imidazolium salts are known to be slightly more acidic than analogous triazolium salts. ${ }^{10}$ Nevertheless, replacement of the methyl group at C 4 position in 1,2,3-triazolium salts

[^2]by electrodonating and electrowithdrawing substituents may enhance the acidity by a factor ranging from several units to hundreds. Alternatively, the substitution of the $N$-methyl group by a phenyl group also increases the acidity about 30 times.

1,2,3-Triazolium salts have found important applications (Figure 1. 5) as ionic liquids by incorporating at least one flexible substituent $\left(\mathrm{R}^{2}\right)$ and a bulky hydrophobic anion $\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}, \mathrm{TfO}, \mathrm{PF}_{6}, \mathrm{NTf}_{2}\right)$ to difficult crystalline accommodation. In addition, the introduction of chiral substituents ( $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ ) provides polar structures potentially suitable to promote asymmetric organocatalysis. Upon deprotonation at C5, 1,2,3-triazolium salts form mesoionic N heterocyclic aromatic carbenes which strongly coordinate to transition metals. Finally, their acidic $\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{H}$ bond can be preserved to participate in supramolecular hydrogen bonding with anion acceptors.





Figure 1. 5. Some examples of 1,2,3-triazolium salts and their applications.

### 1.1.1 Triazolium salts as ionic liquids

Ionic liquids (ILs) are organic salts characterized by melting points below $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, negligible vapour pressure, thermal and chemical stability, high polarity and conductivity, moderate viscosity, and ability to dissolve a wide range of organic and inorganic compounds.

[^3]Despite their ionic nature and high polarity, most ILs containing the triflamide anion ( $\mathrm{NTf}_{2}$ ) are totally immiscible with water. The diversity in properties make ILs suitable for a plethora of applications, including "green" solvents for (bio)organic chemistry or catalysis, energy storage and materials science and nanotechnology.

Unquestionably, the most popular ILs are the 1,3-imidazole-based salts, which have become commercially available in bulk quantities. An important limitation of 1,3-imidazolebased "classical" ILs is the easy deprotonation at C2 position even under mild basic conditions. For example, unsubstituted C4 and C5 triazolium salts have shown to be superior to imidazole ILs as chemically inert solvents for the Baylis-Hillman reaction of methyl acrylate and acrylonitrile with aromatic aldehydes (Scheme 1. 1). ${ }^{11,12}$


Scheme 1. 1. Comparison of a Baylis-Hillman reaction conducted in triazolium IL and imidazolium IL solvents. Below: carbene-aldehyde adduct formation from imidazolium salts.

Bicyclic 1,2,3-triazolium ionic liquids $\mathbf{I}$ developed by $\mathrm{Chu}^{13}$ were used advantageously over DMF as solvents in the synthesis of the natural product rutaecarpine under microwave irradiation. These fully substituted 1,3,4,5-tetraalquil-triazolium analogues,

[^4]displayed superior chemical stability. Sasai ${ }^{14}$ has reported the gram scale synthesis of spiro bis(1,2,3-triazolium) salts II as chiral ionic liquids. Preliminary studies revealed the chiral discrimination ability of these triazolium ILs, suggesting their potential as asymmetric induction tools in catalysis (Figure 1. 6).



Figure 1. 6. Bicyclic I and tricyclic II 1,2,3-triazolium ionic liquids.

### 1.1.2 Triazolium salts as organonocatalysts

1,2,3-Triazolium salts can be covalently fixed to an organocatalytic chiral unit in order to enable affective recycling of the catalyst. Liebscher developed this concept and carried out the synthesis of the first chiral 1,2,3-triazolium salts derived from (S)-prolinol ${ }^{15}$ III, 4-hydroxy-L-proline ${ }^{16,17}$ IV and L-lysine ${ }^{18} \mathbf{V}$, and studied their catalytic properties in several asymmetric reactions (Scheme 1. 2).

Chiral triazolium salts III-V behave as efficient and easily recyclable organocatalysts (in some instances 4-5 cycles) in the asymmetric Michael addition of enols to $\beta$-nitrostyrenes, ${ }^{15 b, 16}$ the aldol reaction ${ }^{16,18}$ and the asymmetric $\alpha$-aminoxylation of carbonyl compounds with nitrosobenzene. ${ }^{17}$

[^5]

Scheme 1. 2. Chiral $N$-alkyl-1,2,3-triazolium-based organocatalysts derived from $\alpha$-amino acids and their use in several asymmetric reactions.

In another example of the potential of triazolium salts to design organocatalysts, very low loads ( $2 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) of the of the L-phenylalanine-derived triazolium catalyst VI were shown to promote a highly efficient asymmetric alkylation of oxindoles under mild reaction conditions (Scheme 1.3). ${ }^{19}$


Scheme 1. 3. Asymmetric alkylation of oxindoles promoted by chiral $N$-alkyl-1,2,3-triazolium organocatalysts.

The preceding examples show that 1,2,3-triazolium-based organocatalysts enjoy a much wider structural variety than the "classical" 1,3-imidazole salts and a similar or superior catalytic ability in most instances. More elaborated and specific organocatalysts are expected to be prepared in coming years.

[^6]
### 1.1.3 Triazolium salts as carbene ligands precursors

In a pioneering paper, Albrecht showed that 3-alkyl-1,2,3-triazolium salts can serve as precursors of transition metal complexes carrying 1,2,3-triazol-5-ylidene ligands, ${ }^{20}$ also referred to as $N$-heterocyclic carbenes (NHCs). As no canonical resonance forms containing a carbene can be drawn for free ligands VII without additional charges, they are known as mesoionic carbenes (MICs) (Figure 1. 7). MICs are more $\sigma$-donating ligands than classical 1,3-imidazole carbenes, which opens up interesting perpectives for their application to prepare very stabilized transition metal complexes.


Figure 1. 7. Canonical resonance structures of $N$-alkyl-1,2,3-triazole mesoionic carbenes MIC (VII).
Bertrand isolated and characterized by X-ray diffraction the first free 1,2,3-triazole mesoionic carbene synthetized by deprotonation of a 3-methyl-1,2,3-triazolium salt with $\mathrm{KN}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{2} .{ }^{21}$ Recently, the same group has demonstrated that MIC's can support simultaneously two adjacent carbon carbenes in the same structure. ${ }^{22}$ Alternatively, triazolylidene complexes can be prepared by transmetalation of silver carbenes obtained by the reaction of triazolium salts with $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{O} .{ }^{23}$


Scheme 1. 4. Preparation of MICs from $N$-alkyl-1,2,3-triazolium salts.

[^7]MICs can form a wide variety of complexes depending on the coordination ability of the carbene ligand, the number of triazole units included in the ligand, and the chelating or open character of the coordination bonds formed with the metal. Accordingly, triazole carbene ligands can be classified as monodentate, polydentate and bridged, polydentated bis(triazolylidenes) ${ }^{24}$ and bidentated $4,4^{\prime}$-bis(1,2,3-triazolylidenes) ${ }^{25}$ (Figure 1. 8).


Figure 1. 8. Main structural motifs of metal carbene complexes containing 1,2,3-triazolylidene ligands.
MIC complexes have important applications as catalysts. For example, Fukuzawa and coworkers have described the first synthesis of copper complexes bearing a 1,2,3triazole carbene ligand, ${ }^{26,27}$ demonstrating that they are efficient catalysts for azide-alkyne

[^8][3+2] cycloaddition reactions at very low loads of catalyst (typically $0.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ). ${ }^{26}$ In addition, complex VIII, was more active than other known $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ catalysts and performed well in reactions where classical catalysts failed. For example, the coupling of sterically bulky alkynes with bulky azides (e.g., Dipp-C $\equiv \mathrm{CH}$ with Dipp- $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ ) proceeded with high conversion in the presence of $3 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of MIC complex VIII (Scheme 1.5).


Scheme 1. 5. CuAAC reaction catalyzed with copper(I) 1,2,3-triazolylidene complex VIII.
Palladium(II) biscarbene complexes IX proved to be very effective for crosscoupling reaction catalysis, with important implementations in the Suzuki-Miyaura, Sonogashira and Heck reactions (Scheme 1. 6).


## Suzuki-Miyaura coupling



## Heck-Mizoroki olefination



Scheme 1. 6. Catalytic activity of palladium(II) bis(triazolylidene) complexes IX in cross-coupling reactions.
$27 \begin{aligned} & \text { Inomata, H.; Ogata, K.; Fukuzawa, S.-I.; Hou, Z. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 3986-3989. "Direct C-H } \\ & \text { carboxylation with carbon dioxide using 1,2,3-triazol-5-ylidene copper(I) complexes". }\end{aligned}$

Apart from forming C-C bonds, triazole-based complexes are also excellent oxidation catalysts. Currently, finding efficient methodologies for water oxidation is one of the biggest challenges in the field of sustainable energy. Iridium complexes comprising triazolylidene carbene ligands are among the most efficient catalytic systems for water oxidation in the presence of $\mathrm{Ce}(\mathrm{IV})$ as sacrificial oxidant. The turnover numbers (TON= 10.000) achieved using the bidentate triazole carbene $\mathbf{X}$ is the highest reported to date for such oxidation. This exceptional catalytic activity was attributed to the abnormal character of the triazolylidene ligand, which can assist the oxidation by enabling a proton-coupled electron transfer process indicated in Scheme 1.7.


Scheme 1. 7. Water oxidation catalysis using iridium and ruthenium triazolylidene complexes.
On the other hand, 1,2,3-triazol-5-ylidenes MICs have important applications aside from catalytic applications. For example, in ruthenium 1,2,3-triazol-5-ylidenes, the introduction of a carbene ligand triggers a response from the $\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{II})$ electron donor site, resulting in a substantial reduction of the gap between the HOMO and LUMO orbitals of the carbene complex. This lowered gap can facilitate the charge separation processes, with beneficial consequences for photovoltaic applications. ${ }^{28}$

[^9]
### 1.1.4 Triazolium salts in supramolecular chemistry ${ }^{29}$

Owing to the slightly polarized nature of the $\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{H}$ bond, $1,2,3$-triazoles have rapidly gained recognition as excellent hydrogen donors for selective anion binding. Recently, the groups of Sessler and Hay evaluated the binding of chloride by simple triazole and triazolium models. According to their calculations, the triazolium chloride complex is much more stable $\left(\Delta G=-89.2 \mathrm{Kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right)$ than the corresponding triazole chloride complex $\left(\Delta G=-12.4 \mathrm{Kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right)$ in vacuo (Figure 1. 9). ${ }^{30}$


Figure 1. 9. Comparison of the calculated (MP2/aug-cc-pVDZ) Gibbs energies, $\Delta G$, for the formation of a chloride complex with the triazolium cation and triazole in vacuum.

Pandey applied for the first time this concept to cyclic and acyclic bistriazolium complexes, ${ }^{31}$ which showed a high recognition preference for the biologically meaningful $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}{ }^{-}$anion when compared to halide anions. ${ }^{31 \mathrm{a}}$ The chelating ability of the triazolium ligands for different anions can be easily tuned by transforming them into multidentate structures ${ }^{32}$ such as XI-XII ${ }^{33}$ or by creating multivalent macrocycles like XIII ${ }^{34}$ (Figure 1. 10).

[^10]

Figure 1. 10. Triazolium-based cleft and macrocyclic anion receptors and association constants with selected anions.

1,2,3-Triazolium salts have also been widely used for the synthesis of catenane and rotaxane supramolecular structures using a diverse range of recognition motifs, such as electron-rich or electron-poor $\pi$-stacking systems, hydrogen bonding and metal ion coordination. ${ }^{35}$

Beer reported the first example of exploiting the 1,2,3-triazolium group in the anion template formation of rotaxane assemblies. ${ }^{36}$ Attractive halogen bond interactions can arise in solution from the terminal positive polarization of the C5-I bond in 5-iodo-1,2,3triazolium salts to give stringent linear binding with halides. Beer has demonstrated for the first time this concept to control and facilitate the assembly of the interlocked rotaxane structure XIV. ${ }^{29 \mathrm{c}}$ The iodotriazolium axle significantly enhances the rotaxane's anionrecognition properties in comparison with the hydrogen-bonding analogue, providing unusual selectivity for iodide in aqueous medium.

[^11]

Figure 1. 11. Selective recognition of halide anions with $N$-alkyl-1,2,3-triazolium-based receptors through C5-I $\cdots \mathrm{X}^{-}$halogen bond interactions.

The ability of mechanically interlocked molecules to undergo controlled, reversible molecular motion through changes in the relative positions of their constituent parts is receiving an ever increasing amount of interest due to the promise of potential nano-





Scheme 1. 8. Schematic representacion of the anion-induced molecular shuttling exhibed by a triazolium rotaxane.
technological applications as molecular switches and machines. Although the investigation of 1,2,3-triazolium-based molecular machines is still in its infancy, several triazolium-
containing rotaxanes have been shown to be capable of controlled, reversible shuttling induced by the addition of coordinating anions (Scheme 1.8) ${ }^{37}$.

The examples disclosed above illustrate the remarkable growth experienced by the chemistry of 3 - N -alkyl-1,2,3-triazolium salts during the last five years, boosted by their easy "click" assembly from structurally complex azides and alkynes. Despite this successful and fast development, some important aspects of the chemistry of 1,2,3-triazolium cations remain unexplored and will constitute the main subject of this PhD thesis.

### 1.2 Transformation reactions of 1,2,3-triazolium salts

With the exception of the conversion of 3-alkyl-1,2,3-triazolium salts into their corresponding transition metal carbene complexes, ${ }^{38}$ (see Section 1.1.3) and the hydrogenolytic deprotections of benzyl ester groups in proline-containing triazolium organocatalyst precursors, ${ }^{15-18}$ there are very few examples of chemical transformations of 1,2,3-triazolium salts to form metal-free compounds (Scheme 1. 9).


Scheme 1. 9. Transformation reactions of $N$-alkyl-1,2,3-triazolium salts to metal-free compounds described in prior works.

[^12]Recently, the pyridyl $N$-oxide substituent attached at the $N 1$ position of several 1,2,3triazolium salts has been deprotected to the mixed triazolium-amine ligands XV using molibdenum hexacarbonyl. ${ }^{39}$ Triazolium cations have shown to be inert to radical polymerization conditions, as illustrated by the easy conversion of the 4 -vinyl-1,2,3-triazolium monomers into the corresponding polyionic liquids XVI. ${ }^{40}$ Finally, upon deprotonation of the C5-H position, 3-alkyl-1,2,3-triazolium cations have been oxidized to the mesoionic oxides $\mathbf{X V I I}^{41}$ or transformed into the highly stable triazolium-borane ylides XVIII. ${ }^{42}$


Scheme 1. 10.Transformation reactions of $N$-alkyl-1,2,3-triazolium salts investigated in this PhD thesis.

[^13]In view of the scarce number of chemical reactions involving 1,2,3-triazolium salts as substrates, we planned to conduct several transformations at three of the substitution positions of the 1,2,3-triazolium ring (Scheme 1. 10). Furthermore, we selected such transformations to take advantage of the electronic activation provided by the triazolium system to some suitable neighboring groups.

We established the following general objectives for our research plan:

### 1.2.1 Triazolium cation-activated azide-alkyne cycloaddition reactions

Activation of the Huisgen azide-alkyne [3+2] cycloaddition reaction using the strongly electronwithdrawing triazolium ring to alter the HOMO/LUMO energy levels of alkynes, might provide a novel entry to conjugated molecules bonded by a polar triazoliumtriazole linker (Scheme 1. 11). Hybrid constructs could be formed following this approach starting from different organic azides, either in the absence or the presence of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ catalysts. In the later case, the strongly increased acidity of the triazolium acetylenes compared to the conventional ones, can anticipate an ultrafast CuAAC reaction rate. Detailed results related to this topic will be disclosed in Chapter 2.
 This work:


Scheme 1. 11. "Click" azide-alkyne [3+2] cycloaddition reactions activated by $N$-alkyl-1,2,3triazolium salts.

### 1.2.2 Triazolium salts with latent reactivity by N3-alkylation of 1,2,3-triazoles

As discussed in section 1.1.1, triazolium salts carrying complex substituents at $N 1$ and C4 positions from the starting azides and alkynes are well known materials. In contrast, introduction of complex (functional) groups by $N 3$-alkylation into 1,2,3-triazoles remains an unsolved problem. This approach would increase considerably the scope of complex triazolium salts available, providing a synthetic entry to unprecedented complex and cyclic triazolium structures. Detailed results related to this topic will be disclosed in Chapter 3.


Scheme 1. 12. Complex 1,2,3-triazolium salts by $N$-alkylation with functionalized triflates.

### 1.2.3 C-Halogenation of triazolium salts through carbene intermediates

C5-Halotriazolium salts are currently available from 1 H -1,2,3-triazoles bearing a previously installed halogen atom at C5 position by N3-alkylation reaction. At present there is no method to convert structurally complex $N$-alkyl-1,2,3-triazolium salts into their corresponding 5-halo derivatives. We hypothetised that mesoionic carbenes, readily available by C5-deprotonation of triazolium salts, could react with suitable electrophilic halogen sources reagents to provide 5-halo-1,2,3-triazolium salts. Detailed results related to this topic will be disclosed in Chapter 4.

Prior work:


This work:



1. Deprotonation
2. Electrophilic halogenation


Scheme 1. 14. C5-Halogenation of $N$-alkyl-1,2,3-triazolium salts.

1,2,3-Triazolium cation-activated azide-alkyne cycloaddition reactions

## 2 Triazolium cation-activated azide-alkyne cycloaddition reactions

### 2.1 Introduction

The Huisgen ${ }^{43}$ 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition reaction between azides and terminal or internal alkynes usually requires prolonged heating and results in mixtures of 1,4- and 1,5regioisomers. In 2001, the groups of Meldal ${ }^{44}$ and Sarpless ${ }^{45}$ independently discovered that copper(I) drastically accelerates the 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition between azides and terminal alkynes (CuAAC), yielding regioselectively 1,4-disubstituted 1,2,3-triazoles. Later on, was discovered the regioselective synthesis of 1,5 -disubstituted triazoles catalyzed by rhutenium(II) (RuAAC). ${ }^{46}$ The formation of 1,5-diarylsubstituted triazoles can also be promoted by strong bases ${ }^{47}$ (Scheme 2.1).


Scheme 2. 1. 1,3-Dipolar cycloaddition of azides and alkynes under thermal conditions provides mixtures of 1,4- and 1,5-disubstituted 1,2,3-triazoles. The $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ and $\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{II})$ catalysis gives single 1,4 and 1,5 diastereoisomers respectively.

As mentioned in the introduction chapter, the first general objective of this PhD thesis was to study the reaction of 4-alkynyl-1,2,3-triazolium salts with azides to form bis-

[^14]triazolium products under either thermal or catalytic conditions using cationic triazolium alkynes. To address this subject, we will survey in the subsequent sections the mechanistic details of such reactions, focusing on the electronic factors that govern their reaction rate and regioselectivity.

### 2.1.1 Thermally activated azide-alkyne 1,3-dipolar cycloadditions ${ }^{48}$

In 1893, Michael ${ }^{49}$ discovered the addition reaction of phenyl azide and dimethyl acetylenedicarboxylate to form a 1,2,3-triazole (Scheme 2. 2). Since then, different theories have been developed to explain and rationalize the factors governing the activation and regioselectiviy of such kind of reactions.


Scheme 2. 2. Cycloaddition of phenyl azide and dimethyl acetylenedicarboxylate.
Huisgen classified this reaction as [3+2] 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition, the concerted addition of a 1,3-dipole azide to an alkyne triple bond. Later, Woodward and Hofmann classified the reaction as an example of pericyclic cycloaddition which is thermally allowed due to symmetrically and geometrically favorable $\left[{ }_{\pi} 4_{\mathrm{s}}+{ }_{\pi} 2_{\mathrm{s}}\right]$ molecular orbital interactions. ${ }^{50}$ Despite this progresses, reaction rates and regisolectivity were difficult to predict until Sustmann ${ }^{51}$ and Houk ${ }^{52}$ applied the frontier molecular orbital (FMO) computational model to

[^15]the reaction. ${ }^{53,54,55}$ When the dipole has a high-lying HOMO which overlaps with the LUMO of the dipolarophile, the azide is referred to as HOMO controlled dipole or nucleophilic dipole. In this case, HOMO-raising electron-donating groups (EDG) as well as a LUMO-lowering electronwithdrawing groups (EWG) will increase the reaction rate favouring the 1,4 -isomer. ${ }^{51 b, c}$ Conversely, when the dipole has a low-lying LUMO which overlaps with the HOMO of the dipolarophile, the azide is referred to as LUMO-controlled dipole or electrophilic dipole, favouring the 1,5 -isomer. If both the HOMO and the LUMO of the dipole can overlap simultaneously with the complementary frontier orbitals of the dipolarophile, the azide is referred to as HOMO-LUMO-controlled dipole or ambiphilic dipole. This kind of interaction is observed, for example, in the cycloaddition of phenyl azide and phenylacetylene which yields a mixture of 1,4- and 1,5-substituted 1,2,3-triazoles in roughly 1:1 ratio (Figure 2. 1). ${ }^{56}$


Figure 2. 1. Ambiphilic dipole FMO interactions for the 1,3-dipole cycloaddition of phenyl azide and phenylacetylene. ${ }^{51 \mathrm{c}, 57}$

[^16]In general, the quantitative Huisgen reaction rate change is well predicted by the FMO model as a function of the azide and alkyne substituents. However, the observed regioselectivity is frequently in opposition to expectations based on FMO model, in particular for varying azide substituents. ${ }^{55}$ This lack of quantitative predictive accuracy promoted the development of sophisticated computational methods to include the distortion of the azide dipole during the interaction with the alkyne.

In an alternative approach, $\mathrm{Houk}^{58}$ proposed a distortion/interaction model based on high-accuracy quantum chemical methods to explain the cycloaddition rate increase observed for strained cycloalkynes. Figure 2.2 ilustrates how the distortion, interaction and activation energies $\left(\Delta E^{\not}\right)$ required to transform the reactants into their transition-state geometries are related. The distortion alters the electronic properties by narrowing the HOMO-LUMO gap of the 1,3-dipole and increasing the charge-transfer compared to the ground state. At the transition state, the destabilizing distortion $\left(\Delta E_{d}{ }^{\neq}\right)$is compensated by the stabilizing orbital interactions ( $\Delta E_{i}^{\neq}$) enabling the formation of the cycloadduct upon further movement along the reaction coordinate. If the distortion energy is comparable for the formation of both regioisomers, orbital interactions might control the regioselectivity.


Figure 2. 2. The distortiton/interaction model for the azide-alkyne cycloaddition reaction.

[^17]In view of Houk's distortion/interaction model, it is plausible that the introduction of strain to the alkyne ground state (cyclooctyne, ${ }^{58 \mathrm{a}}$ benzyne ${ }^{59}$ ) causes a rate enhancement in 1,3-dipolar cycloadditions (strain-promoted azide-alkyne cycloaddition, SPAAC).

### 2.1.2 Copper-catalyzed azide-alkyne cycloadditions ${ }^{48,60,61}$

As mentioned above, the $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$-catalyzed azide-alkyne cycloaddition ( CuAAC ) yields 1,2,3-triazoles about $10^{6}$ times faster than conventional thermal cycloadditions and virtually complete 1,4 - regioselectivity. Currently accepted CuAAC mechanism is supported by a collection of computational and experimental studies (Scheme 2. 3). Firstly, a $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ species undergoes $\pi$ coordination of the triple bond of the alkyne ( $\boldsymbol{\text { step A A }}$ ). This coordination greatly increases the CH -acidity of the therminal alkyne ( $\mathrm{p} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{a}}$ drops from $\approx 25$ to $\approx 15$ ) and allows the subsequent formation of a $\sigma$-coordinated $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ acetylide with the activated alkyne ( $\mathbf{\text { step B B }}$ ) in aqueous media even without an additional amine base. Some studies have shown that the reaction is second order with respect to $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$, thus a second $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ remains $\pi$ coordinated at the $\alpha$-carbon of the $\sigma$-bound acetylide resembling the known $\mu$-coordination mode of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ acetylides. In the next step, the $\pi$-coordinated $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ center activates the azide by coordinating with the lone pair electrons of the nitrogen (step C). According to this, the azide and the acetylide are not coordinated to the same copper atom. This effect is supported by the fact that no triazole formation occurs when a preformed $\sigma$-bound $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ acetylide is used as substrate without additional $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I}) .{ }^{62}$

[^18]

Scheme 2. 3. Proposed mechanism of the CuAAC ( $[\mathrm{Cu}]$ denotes a copper fragment that varies in the number of ligands and in the formal oxidation state).

In principle, coordination of the organic azide can occur via both the substituted or the terminal nitrogen, but, in contrast to the $\pi$-accepting terminal nitrogen, the $\pi$-donating substituted nitrogen is expected to increase the electron density on the metal center, which would facilitate the subsequent oxidative coupling (step D). The observed selectivity for the 1,4-regioisomer may be explained by the preference for $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I}) \pi$ coordination at the $\alpha$-carbon of the acetylide, which directs a nucleophilic attack of the $\beta$-carbon at the terminal, electrophilic nitrogen of the coordinated azide upon oxidative coupling. As a result of the latter, rate-limiting step, a six-membered metalacycle is formed including a $\mu$-alkenylidene. According to computational studies, this intermediate is stabilized by a geminal bimetallic coordination. Recently, the transient formation of the bimetallic cupra-cycle was corroborated by a $\mathrm{Cu}^{63} / \mathrm{Cu}^{65}$ crossover experiment and furthermore, improved activity has been observed when using a bimetallic, mixed-valent $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II}) / \mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ catalytic system. ${ }^{63}$ Ultimately, ring contraction and $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ extrusion via reductive elimination (step $\mathbf{E}$ ) affords the $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$-bound triazolide in a highly exothermic process. In aqueous media, the $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ triazolide then readily undergoes protonolysis (step F) liberating the free triazole and allowing the $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ to re-enter the catalytic cycle.

[^19]The addition of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$-stabilizing ligands (nitrogen-type donors) result in rate acceleration and avoid the formation of an inactive $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ complex. It should be noted that $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ acetylides tend to aggregate, which can stall the catalytic cycle, this is prevented by preparing the $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ acetylides in the presence of the organic azide and by the addition of a polydentated hemilabile ligand. ${ }^{64}$ A wide range of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ species have been reported in presence or not of nitrogen ligands as catalysts. However, when $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4} /$ sodium ascorbate is used as a $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ source in aqueous media, the CuAAC is highly efficient in most cases. ${ }^{45,60,64}$

### 2.1.3 Reactivity of the azide substrate

As shown before, the azide taking part in a 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition reaction is characterized by the presence of an electrophilic nitrogen atom with an electron sextet and a formal positive charge, as well as a nucleophilic nitrogen atom with an electron octet and a formal negative charge placed, respectively, at 1- and 3-positions (see Figure 2. 3). ${ }^{43 \mathrm{~b}}$

Figure 2. 3. Selected contributing resonance structures of an organic azide.

The rates and regioselectivities of thermal cycloaddition reaction observed for different azides can be partially modulated by placing adequate groups in their structures (Scheme 2. 4). Thus, electron-donating groups attached to the ring of aromatic azides slightly increase the relative cycloaddition reaction and 1,4-regioselectivity preference, whereas electron-withdrawing groups play the opposite role. As expected, aliphatic azides behave as better nucleophilic dipoles, giving rise to faster reactions and higher 1,4regioselectivities than aromatic azides.

[^20]


Scheme 2. 4. Azide substituent effect on the activation and regioselectivity of 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition reactions with methyl propiolate.

According to the mechanism proposal for the CuAAC reaction disclosed above, electron-rich azides also accelerate the metal-catalyzed reaction by facilitating the $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I}) \pi$ coordination at the disubstituted nitrogen atom of the azide, which would increase the electron density on the metal center, facilitating the subsequent oxidative coupling (Scheme 2. 3, step $\mathbf{C}$ ). As expected, steric hindrance of both azide and alkyne substrates is detrimental for the reaction rate either under thermal cycloaddition conditions or under CuAAC catalysis.

### 2.1.4 Reactivity of activated alkynes

In general, azides do not react easily with alkynes in the absence of a metal catalysts since they are poor 1,3-dipolar acceptors. Therefore, different approaches have been developed to increase the reactivity of the alkyne groups to promote metal-free azide-alkyne cycloadditions under mild conditions and improved regioselectivity.

The synthesis of 1,4- or 1,5-disubstituted triazoles can be carried out by modulating the electronic properties of alkynes. Thus, 1,5 -isomers can be prepared with total regioselectivity when alkynes with strong electron-donating groups (EDG) are used, such as magnesium acetylides ${ }^{65}$ or silylacetylenes ${ }^{66}$ (Scheme 2. 5). Importantly, in the trimethylsilyl directed azide-alkyne cycloadditions (SiAAC), the very high regioselectivity is only marginally affected when azide and alkyne are substituted with sterically demanding or

[^21]electron-withdrawing/donating groups with the lowest 1,5-regioselectivity being about $90 \%$ when strong EWGs are present.


Scheme 2. 5. Synthesis of 1,5-disubstituted-1,2,3-triazoles by using EDG-substituted alkynes.

On the other hand, several alkynes with electron-withdrawing substituents have been reported to provide increased reaction rates and preferred 1,4-regioisomers under thermal conditions. ${ }^{67}$ In particular, some alkynyl Fisher carbene complexes give single 1,4regioisomers ${ }^{68}$ and propiolic acid derivatives ${ }^{69,70}$ also show a similar trend (Scheme 2. 6). It is noteworthy that $N$-porpargyl propiolamide reacts with benzylazide in a fully chemoselective way, providing a mixture of 1,4 and 1,5-regioisomers exclusively at the more electrodeficient alkyne position. ${ }^{71}$

[^22]


Scheme 2. 6. Reaction rate activation and 1,4-regioselectivity enhancement for electrodeficient alkynes under thermal cycloaddition conditions.

In contrast to the reports covering the reactivity of electrodeficient propiolic alkynes with azides under thermal conditions, to our knowledge there are no studies on a parallel reactivity enhancement conducted under CuAAC catalytic conditions. Since the mechanisms of both processes are completely different, it is not obvious to assume a straight translation of the chemical behavior observed for thermal cycloadditions with electrodeficient alkynes to CuAAC reactions.

### 2.2 Hypothesis

It is well known that the acidity of CH proton increases significantly in 1,2,3triazolium salts with respect to the parent triazoles. As the C-H bond polarization is enhanced, the $\sigma^{*}(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H})$ orbital is lowering in energy. We anticipated that a similar electronic trend would occur at 4-ethynyl-triazolium cations (Scheme 2.7). As far as we are aware, the use of metal-free alkynes directly linked to a cationic heterocycle to assist dipolar cycloadditions has been almost completely neglected, with the exception of a few
ethynylpyridinium salts. ${ }^{72}$ Since 4-ethynyl-triazolium salts can easily incorporate structurally complex substituents through "click" chemistry, we decided to study such novel family of compounds as metal-free cationic alkynes to promote fast and selective azide-alkyne cycloadditions under both thermal and CuAAC conditions.


Scheme 2. 7. Molecular orbital energy change caused to the C-H bond by the replacement of a 1,2,3triazole ring (grey) by a 3-methyl-1,2,3-triazolium (black). Expected electronic effects for a triazolium cationic alkyne.

There are two reasons to consider cationic triazolium alkynes as privileged electrodeficient dipolarophiles for cycloadditions with azide dipoles: a) Many structurally different 3-alkyl-4-ethynyl-1,2,3-triazolium salts can be easily obtained from the corresponding "click" 4-ethynyl-1,2,3-triazoles by simple $N 3$-alkylation under smooth conditions (e.g. $N$-methylation with MeI, MeOTf or $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{OBF}_{4}$ ); b) Upon $N$-methylation, a simultaneous electronic stabilization of the alkyne LUMO orbital and an acidity-increase of the terminal alkyne proton can be achieved. These combined effects should increase their reactivity towards azides under thermal conditions and also under CuAAC conditions.

Regarding thermally activated azide-alkyne cycloadditions, and in agreement with the FMO model, cationic 3-methyl-1,2,3-triazolium alkynes would constitute exceptionally strong EWG dipolarophiles appropriate to accelerate the reaction and favour simultaneously the formation of 1,4-isomers by lowering both the LUMO energy level and narrowing the HOMO-LUMO gap (Figure 2.4).

[^23]

Figure 2. 4. Schematic representation of FMO interactions between azide and alkyne (left: phenylacetylene and right: cationic 3-methyl-4-ethynyl-1,2,3-triazolium salt).

On the other hand, it is also conceivable that CuAAC reactions could be accelerated using 4-ethynyl-3-methyl-1,2,3-triazolium cations as alkyne components. According to the mechanism proposal of CuAAC (Scheme 2.3), the rate-limiting step of the catalytic cycle to form triazoles is the oxidative coupling of a di-copper(I)-alkyne-azide complex to form a nitrogen carbon bond between the terminal nitrogen of the azide and the internal carbon of the alkyne to give a di-copper-metalacycle (step D). We hypothesized that a strongly electron-withdrawing triazolium cation group attached to the alkyne moiety should facilitate considerably such process increasing the rate of the whole reaction. Furthermore, the increased acidity of the terminal alkyne group should cooperate to provide the key copper acetylide intermediates under milder conditions (Scheme 2. 8). Conversely, electron-rich azides should accelerate the reaction by facilitating the $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I}) \pi$ coordination at the substituted nitrogen atom of the azide, which would increase the electron density on the metal center, facilitating the subsequent oxidative coupling.


Scheme 2. 8. Expected acceleration of the rate-limiting CuAAC reaction step assisted by $1,2,3$ triazolium cationic alkynes.

### 2.3 Objectives

According to the hypotheses described above, we selected the following partial objectives for the first part of this doctoral thesis work:

1. To design and synthesize a novel class of cationic alkynes, comprising the 3-alkyl-4-ethynyl-1,2,3-triazolium moiety, from 4-hydroxyethyl-1,2,3-triazoles.

2. To conduct a kinetic study of the reaction of 4-ethynyl-3-methyl-1,2,3-triazolium salts with azides to give bicyclic 3-methyl-4-(1,2,3-triazolyl)-1,2,3-triazolium salts under thermal activation conditions. Variable temperature NMR techniques will be used to determine the main thermodynamic and kinetic parameters ( $k_{\mathrm{i}}, E a, \Delta G^{\ddagger}, \Delta \Delta G^{\ddagger}$, etc.) involved in the transformation of cationic alkynes and azides into the 1,4- and 1,5regioisomeric bis-triazole products.


Computational DFT calculations will be also conducted to assess the experimental results and to establish a general model to predict the activation degree and regioisomer ratios formed in this kind of Huisgen reactions. This methodology will be extended to 3-methyl-4-(propyn-1-yl)-1,2,3-triazolium salts.
3. To develop a doubly activated azide-alkyne "click" reaction, taking advantage of the simultaneous reaction rate-acceleration provided by the use of triazolium cationic alkynes and $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$-catalysts. This could be an "ultra-fast" CuAAC reaction.


### 2.4 Results and discussion

### 2.4.1 Synthesis of $\boldsymbol{N}$-alkyl-1,2,3-triazolium alkynes

As stablished in the first objective of our working plan, we aimed to develop a methodology to synthesize novel cationic 3-alkyl-4-alkynyl-1,2,3-triazolium salts 2 from the corresponding 4-alkynyl-1,2,3-triazoles 1 (Scheme 2. 9). Seeking to conduct mechanistic studies (see below), we also considered the preparation of a few 4-alkynyl triazolium salts with internal alkyne substituents 4.


Scheme 2. 9. Synthesis of N3-alkyl-4-alkynyl-1,2,3-triazolium salts.
In the next sections of this chapter we will disclose the preparation of the parent 4ethynyl triazoles applying a methodology previously stablished in our group, followed by a study of the $N$-alkylation of 4-alkynyl-triazoles using different alkylating reagents.

### 2.4.1.1 Synthesis of 4-alkynyl-1,2,3-triazoles

The synthesis of 4-ethynyl-1,2,3-triazoles $\mathbf{1}$ was setted up by Dr. Itxaso Azcune and Dr. Maialen Sagartzazu-Aizpurua in our laboratory along their PhD thesis work. They used 4-hydroxymethyl-1,2,3-triazoles $\mathbf{5}$ as the key intermediate to synthesize nonsymmetrically substituted 4,4'-bis(1,2,3-triazoles) by applying a "double-click" disconnection. ${ }^{42}$ They prepared 4-ethynyl-1,2,3-triazoles $\mathbf{1}$ following a two-step process consisting of a Swern
oxidation ${ }^{73,74}$ followed by a Bestmann-Ohira alkynylation of the intermediate 4-formyl-1,2,3triazoles 5 (Scheme 2.10).


Scheme 2. 10. Retrosynthesis of 4-ethynyl-1,2,3-triazoles 1: stepwise Swern oxidation/Bestmann-Ohira alkynylation.

Following this method, we prepared the triazoles 5, comprising a good electrondonating group ( Bn ) and a moderately electron-withdrawing aromatic group ( $\mathrm{NC}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ ) (Table 2. 1). The $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$-catalyzed click reactions of propargyl alcohol with different azides proceeded in 74-87 \% yields. 4-Hydroxymethyl triazoles 5 were submitted to Swern oxidation in a very clean and almost quantitative reaction in all instances. Then, the freshly prepared aldehydes were treated directly with the Bestmann-Ohira reagent 8 and the homologated alkyne products were monitored by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR. The triazoles 1 were easily identified by the terminal alkyne proton signal around $3.20-3.30 \mathrm{ppm}$. As a representative example, in Figure 2. 5 the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of compounds derived from 1-benzyl-4-hydroxymethyl-1,2,3-triazole 5a are shown. In all cases, the alkynyl-triazoles $\mathbf{1}$ were prepared in good overall yields (Table 2.1).


Table 2. 1. Homologative synthesis of 4-ethynyl-1,2,3-triazoles 1.


Reagents and conditions: (a) $\mathrm{HC} \equiv \mathrm{CCH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{CuSO}_{4} \cdot 5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, sodium ascorbate, $t \mathrm{BuOH}: \mathrm{THF}: \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, r.t., 16 h; (b) $(\mathrm{COCl})_{2}, \mathrm{DMSO}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, from $-55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to r.t., 75 min ; (c) $\mathrm{MeCOCN}_{2} \mathrm{PO}(\mathrm{OMe})_{2} \mathbf{8}, \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, MeOH , from $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to r.t., 3 h .

| Entry | R | Azide | Alkyne | Yield $^{\text {a (\%) }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\mathrm{Bn}-$ | $\mathbf{6 b}$ | $\mathbf{1 a}$ | 75 |
| 2 | $\mathrm{Ph}-$ | $\mathbf{6 a}$ | $\mathbf{1 b}$ | 83 |
| 3 | $4-\mathrm{NC}^{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-$ | $\mathbf{6 c}$ | 1c | 80 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Overall yields for the transformation of alcohols $\mathbf{5}$ into alkynes $\mathbf{1}$.


Figure 2. 5. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) spectra of compounds $\mathbf{5 a}, 7 \mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{1 a}$.

The 4-(prop-1-ynyl)-1,2,3-triazole $\mathbf{3}$ was also prepared following a standard alkyne alkylation procedure. ${ }^{75}$ Thus, the 4-ethynyl-1,2,3-triazole 1 was deprotonated with BuLi in THF at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to form the corresponding acetylide, which was quenched with an excess MeI to provide the internal alkyne product in excellent yields (Scheme 2.11).


Scheme 2. 11. Synthesis of the internal alkyne 3. Reagents and conditions: (a) BuLi, THF, $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then MeI, r.t., 5 h.

With the 4-alkynyl-1,2,3-triazoles $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{3}$ in hand, we undertook the preparation of the corresponding N3-alkyl-4-alkynyl-1,2,3-triazolium salts 2 and 4.

### 2.4.1.2 Synthesis of $\boldsymbol{N}$-alkyl-1 $\boldsymbol{H}$-1,2,3-triazolium alkynes

In an early experiment we applied the standard $N$-methylation conditions used for alkyl- or aryl-substituted triazoles. ${ }^{76}$ Thus, the 1-benzyl-4-ethynyltriazole 1a was treated with an excess MeI in refluxing acetonitrile for several hours. Unfortunately, this reaction resulted in a very low conversion to the expected N -methyl-triazolium iodide and the formation of large amounts of degradation products. Changing the iodomethane equivalents, the solvent or the reaction temperature led to unsatisfactory results in every case.

It is known that trialkyloxonium tetrafluoroborates (Meerwein salts) are highly reactive towards the $N 3$ position of 1,2,3-triazoles giving a regioselective methylation or ethylation reaction of nitrogenated heterocycles, including 4, $4^{\prime}$-bistriazoles and 4,4'bistriazoles bridged by aromatic or aliphatic groups. ${ }^{77}$

[^24]Table 2. 2. Synthesis of N3-alkyl-4-ethynyl-1,2,3-triazolium salts 2 and 4.


Reagents and conditions: (a) Alkylating reagent $\left(\mathrm{R}^{2}-\mathrm{X}\right), \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, r.t., 5 h .

| Entry | $\mathbf{R}^{1}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{3}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{2}-\mathbf{X}$ | Reaction Conditions | Product | $\text { Yield }^{\mathrm{a}}(\%)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Bn | Me | H | $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{OBF}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \text {, r.t., } 5 \text { h }$ | 2a | 84 |
| 2 | $\mathrm{Bn}$ | Et | H | $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{OBF}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \text {, r.t., } 5 \text { h }$ | 2b | 72 |
| 3 | 4- $\mathrm{NCC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}{ }^{-}$ | Me | H | $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{OBF}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \text {, r.t., } 5 \text { h }$ | $2 \mathrm{c}$ | $70$ |
| 4 | 4- $\mathrm{NCC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ - | Et | H | $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{OBF}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \text {, r.t., } 5 \text { h }$ | $2 d$ | $78$ |
| 5 | $\mathrm{Ph}$ | $\mathrm{Me}$ | H | $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{OBF}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \text {, r.t., } 5 \text { h }$ | $2 \mathrm{e}$ | 83 |
| 6 | Ph | Me | Me | MeOTf | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, r.t., 5 h | 4 | >99 |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ Yield of isolated pure product.

Accordingly, we prepared 3-alkyl-4-ethynyl-1,2,3-triazolioum tetrafluoroborates 2
(Table 2.2) by treating the 4-ethynyl-1,2,3-triazoles 1 with the corresponding Meerwein salt in dichloromethane at room temperature for 5 hours. The reaction products were obtained in good yields after a single washing with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. A similar smooth $N$-alkylation reaction led to the 4-propynyl-triazolium compound 4 using methyl triflate instead of Meerwein salts (see entry 6 in Table 2. 2)

The $N$-methylation reaction was monitored by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}$ (Figure 2. 6) and, as expected, a substantial deshielding was observed for the terminal alkyne proton H 1 and the triazole proton H2 signals of the 4-ethynyl-1,2,3-triazole $\mathbf{1 b}$ after their conversion to the N -alkyl-triazolium salts 2 . In particular, $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ showed a 1 ppm downfield shift with respect to the parent triazole. This effect could be considered an evidence of the striking polarization change of the alkyne moiety caused by the $N$-methylation of the triazole ring and the subsequent formation of a conjugated cation-alkyne electronic system.


Figure 2. 6. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}^{2} \mathrm{~d}_{3}$ ) spectra of 4-ethynyl-1,2,3-triazole $\mathbf{1 b}$ and 4-ethynyl-3-methyl-1,2,3-triazolium tetrafluoroborate $\mathbf{2 b}$.

### 2.4.2 Kinetic study of the thermal cycloaddition reaction of cationic triazolium alkynes with azides

The next objective of our work was to conduct a study to determine the main thermodynamic and kinetic parameters ( $k_{\mathrm{i}}, E a, \Delta G^{\neq}, \Delta \Delta G^{\neq}$, etc.) of the reaction of cationic triazolium alkynes and azides to give 1,4- and 1,5-regioisomeric bistriazole products (Scheme 2. 12.). We chose variable temperature NMR techniques to record the conversiontime diagrams because the conversion can be monitored in an NMR tube using deuterated solvents covering a convenient temperature range.


Scheme 2. 12. Kinetic study of the thermal cycloaddition reaction of cationic triazolium alkynes with azides.

Seeking to disclose the contribution of the dipolarophile and dipole reagents to the reaction rate and regioselectivity, we conducted three separate test sets covering the following effects: a) the structure of the cationic alkyne, b) the structure of the azide and $c$ ) the reaction temperature.

### 2.4.2.1 Alkyne structure effect

In order to determine the activation effect directly assignable to the inductive effect caused by the triazolium moiety on the alkyne reactivity, we chose to compare the kinetic


Scheme 2. 13. Thermal cycloaddition reaction of benzylazide with the triazole alkyne $\mathbf{1 c}$ and the cationic triazolium alkyne 2c.
behaviour of the analogous triazolium/triazole alkynes 1c and 2c, differing only in the methyl group attached to the triazole ring. Each reaction shown in Scheme 2. 13 was monitored for 120 hours in two different solvents ( $\mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{d}_{3}$ and DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) at $80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ following the intensity changes of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR signals assigned to the triazole and triazolium protons of the reactants and products.


Figure 2. 7. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{d}_{3}\right)$ spectra of the cycloaddition reaction of cationic triazolium alkyne $\mathbf{2 c}$ with benzylazide $\mathbf{6 b}$. Bottom: expansion of the triazole proton region showing the signals of the minor 1,5-regioisomer.

For instance, Figure 2. 7 shows a set of ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra recorded at different conversion for the cycloaddition of benzylazide $\mathbf{6 b}$ with the triazolium alkyne $\mathbf{2 c}$ to form the bistriazoles 9 . Among the two isomeric product, the major 1,4-regioisomer was largely prevalent, but the minor 1,5-regioisomer could be detected upon expansion of the triazole $\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{H}$ region.

Conversions of 15-33 \% (in MeCN- $\mathrm{d}_{3}$ and DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$, respectively) were recorded after the monitored time frame for alkyne 1c (Figure 2. 8). Under identical conditions, a full conversion was observed for $\mathbf{2 c}$ in $\mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{d}_{3}$ and DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$. In addition, no solvent effect was observed when the cationic alkyne $\mathbf{2 c}$ was used as substrate, whereas the neutral akyne 1c showed a higher reaction rate in the polar DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ solvent than in $\mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{d}_{3}$.


Figure 2. 8. Conversion-time plots for the cycloaddition reactions of benzylazide $\mathbf{6} \mathbf{b}$ with the triazole alkyne $\mathbf{1 c}$ and the cationic triazolium alkyne 2 c at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Regioselectivities were also measured by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (Table 2. 3). Thus, quasi-total regioselectivity in favour of 1,4 -isomer (> $94 \%$ ) was observed for $2 \mathbf{2 c}$ in $\mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{d}_{3}$ and DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ upon complete conversion. However, this effect was not observed when alkyne 1c was used as the dipolarophile, and only modest regisoselectivities in favour of the 1,4-isomer (54-68\%, MeCN- $\mathrm{d}_{3}$ and DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$, respectively) were obtained.

Table 2. 3. Regioselectivity of the cycloaddition of benzylazide with triazole alkynes (see Scheme 2. 13).

| Entry $^{\text {a }}$ | Alkyne | Product | Time (h) | Conversion (\%) | 1,4-Isomer (\%) | 1,5-Isomer (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1c | $\mathbf{8}$ | 113 | $15(33)$ | $54(68)$ | $46(32)$ |
| 2 | 2c | $\mathbf{9}$ | 113 | $99(100)$ | $95(94)$ | $5(6)$ |

[^25]In Figure 2.9 are compared the evolutions of the concentrations of reagents and products along the reaction time, showing a dramatic increase of the reaction rate when the cationic triazolium alkyne 2c was reacted with benzylazide in acetonitrile solution at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. In both cases, the application of a standard kinetic simulation algorithm confirmed a concentration variation matching an ideal second order kinetic, with first order for each reagent.


Figure 2. 9. Conversion evolution for the cycloaddition of benzylazide $\mathbf{6 b}$ with the triazole alkyne $\mathbf{1 c}$ (plot A) and the cationic triazolium alkyne 2c (plot B) conducted in MeCN- $\mathrm{d}_{3}$. Experiments conducted using 3 equivalents of benzylazide per mol of alkyne.

From these experiments, it was evident that cationic 3-alkyl-1,2,3-triazolium alkynes show a substantially higher thermal reactivity towards azides than neutral triazole alkynes. Furthermore, in good agreement with our hypothesis, an alkyne LUMO-controlled cycloaddition leading preferably to 1,4-regioisomers should prevail in the FMO interaction of cationic 3-alkyl-1,2,3-triazolium alkynes to form bis-triazole products. Since the structures of $\mathbf{1 c}$ and $\mathbf{2 c}$ only differ in the methyl group attached to the triazole $N 3$ atom, these activation
and regiocontrol effects could be mostly attributed to the inductive effect exerted by the triazolium cation on the alkyne.

Finally, we ran an additional cycloaddition reaction with benzylazide to check whether the triazolium cation activation observed for the terminal alkyne $\mathbf{2 c}$ could be extended to the analogous internal cation alkyne 4 (Scheme 2.14).


Scheme 2. 14. Cycloaddition reaction of benzylazide with the cationic triazolium internal alkyne 4.
In a preliminary trial conducted in $\mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{d}_{3}$ at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, only a small conversion to the bis-triazoles 10 was evidenced by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR after 200 h , but it was no possible to quantify the formation of the minor 1,5 -regioisomer and, therefore, the $1,4 / 1,5$ ratio. The standard procedure to carry out Huisgen cycloadditions with internal alkynes ${ }^{78}$ often involves the heating of the reactants in boiling toluene. We adopted such methodology and heated a mixture of the internal alkyne $\mathbf{4}$ and benzylazide $\mathbf{6 b}$ at $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a sealed tube for 18 h . Under such conditions, we achieved a $62 \%$ conversion and a remarkable ${ }^{79} 1,4 / 1,5$ isomer ratio of $89: 11$. This result is among the highest selectivities reported for such kind of transformations.

[^26]
### 2.4.2.2 Azide structure effect

Next, we studied the activation/stereodirection effect exerted on the cycloaddition reaction by azides of different electron charge density (Scheme 2. 15). In this case, according to FMO theory, azides with EDG should increase the reaction rate improving the 1,4regioselectivity at the same time. To evaluate the implementation of this hypothesis to cationic alkynes, we studied the reaction of the triazolium dipolarophile $\mathbf{2 c}$ with the azide dipoles 6a-f, which bear different substituents representing a wide array of electronic and steric patterns.


Scheme 2. 15. 1,3-Dipolar cycloaddition of the cationic triazolium alkyne $\mathbf{2 c}$ with different azides 6 .
The reactions shown in Scheme 2.15 were monitored for 113 hours in two different solvents (DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ and $\mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{d}_{3}$ ) at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ by following the intensity changes of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR signals assigned to the triazole and triazolium protons of the alkyne 2 c and products 9 . Conversions higher than $90 \%\left(M e C N-d_{3}\right.$ and $\mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}$, respectively) were attained after the monitored time frame for the alkyne (Figure 2. 10). Surprisingly, a strong activation was observed for bis(trimethylsilyl)methyl azide dipole, and conversion was complete after 14 hours at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. As in previous trials, no solvent effect was observed for the cycloaddition of any of the azides tested with the cationic alkyne $\mathbf{2 c}$.


Figure 2. 10. Conversion-time plot for the cycloaddition reaction of the cationic alkyne $\mathbf{2 c}$ (Scheme 2. 15) with azides ( $\mathbf{6 a - f}$ ) in $\mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{d}_{3}$ (for an equivalent conversion-time plot in DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ see Appendix 2).

As can be seen from the conversion times listed in Table 2. 4, azides with aliphatic substituents (entries 1,2) are more reactive than aromatic ones (entries 3-5). Among aromatic azides, electron-rich azides (entry 3) gave a slightly faster conversions than electron-poor aromatic azides (entry 5). Briefly, the studied azides can be ordered as follows according to their reactivity: $\mathbf{6 e}<\mathbf{6 d}<\mathbf{6 c}<\mathbf{6 b}<\mathbf{6 a}$.

Table 2. 4. Cycloaddition reaction outcome of the cationic triazolium alkyne 2 c with different azides.

| Entry ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Azide | Time (h) | Conversion (\%) | 1,4-Isomer (\%) | 1,5-Isomer (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  | 14 | 100 | 99 | 1 |
| 2 |  <br> 6b | 60 | 99 | 95 | 5 |
| 3 |  $6 c$ | 80 | 95 | 95 | 5 |
| 4 |  <br> 6d | 113 | 92 | 96 | 4 |
| 5 |  $6 e$ | 113 | 90 | 94 | 6 |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ Reactions conducted in $\mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{d}_{3}$ at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Finally, the very high regioselectivity (> $94 \%$ ) attained in every instance is worth mentioning. Specially, because it is only marginally affected when the azide is substituted with sterically demanding or electron-withdrawing/donating groups. This fact suggests that energy perturbations on the azide HOMO orbital caused by usual substituents makes a relatively modest contribution to the HOMO-LUMO interactions governing the reaction regioselectivity.

### 2.4.2.3 Temperature effect

Although the reaction rate enhancement of alkyne-azide cycloadditions by increasing the temperature can be confidently anticipated, the precise effect of the temperature on the regioselectivity is not obvious. In order to establish such effect, we studied the reaction of benzylazide 6b with triazole alkynes 1c and 2c at different temperatures. Experiments were conducted in a sealed NMR tube in MeCN- $\mathrm{d}_{3}$ and DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ at 60,80 and $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


Scheme 2. 16. Thermal cycloaddition of benzylazide with the triazole alkyne 1c and the cationic triazolium alkyne $\mathbf{2 c}$ at different temperatures.

Again, the reaction conversions were easily calculated from the intensity changes of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR signals assigned to the triazole and triazolium protons of the reactants and products. As shown in Figure 2. 11, temperature rapidly increased the reaction rate when the cationic alkyne 2c was used as a dipolarophile with benzylazide. For instance, after a 13 h reaction time, the conversion raised from $20 \%$ at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 52 \%$ at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $90 \%$ at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


Figure 2. 11. Conversion-time plot for the cycloaddition reaction of cationic triazolium alkyne $\mathbf{2 c}$ with benzylazide at 60, 80 and $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in $\mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{d}_{3}$ (for an equivalent conversion-time plot in DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ see Appendix 2).

Table 2. 5. Conversion of triazole alkyne $\mathbf{1 c}$ and cationic triazolium alkyne $\mathbf{2 c}$ into bis-triazole products 8 and 9 (see Scheme 2.16) at different temperatures.

| Entry ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Alkyne | Product ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Temperature $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Time <br> (h) | Conversion (\%) | 1,4-Isomer (\%) | 1,5-Isomer <br> (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  |  | 60 | 113 | 10 (14) | 65 (69) | 35 (31) |
| 2 | 1c | 8 | 80 | 113 | 23 (37) | 54 (68) | 46 (32) |
| 3 |  |  | 100 | 13 | 16 (38) | 62 (66) | 38 (34) |
| 4 |  |  | 60 | 113 | 90 (93) | 85 (88) | 15 (12) |
| 5 | 2c | 9 | 80 | 113 | 99 (100) | 95 (94) | 5 (6) |
| 6 |  |  | 100 | 13 | 92 (100) | 97 (95) | 3 (5) |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Reactions conducted in $\mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{d}_{3}$. Values in parentheses correspond to equivalent conversions in DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Combined mixtures of 1,4- and 1,5- isomers.

The reaction rates and conversions increase by raising the temperature. In addition, 1,4-regioselectivity was also slightly improved with the temperature when the cationic alkyne 2c was used as dipolarophile. However, this effect was not observed for the neutral alkyne $\mathbf{1 c}$ which gave very similar regioselectivities at the three different temperatures.

After the accomplishment of our preliminary reactivity investigation of the cycloaddition reaction of cationic triazolium alkynes with azides, we conducted a more accurate quantitative study to determine the kinetic parameters governing these reactions.

### 2.4.2.4 Determination of the reaction rate constants by NMR spectroscopy

From a kinetic point of view, the thermal cycloaddition of an alkyne (A) and an azide (B) to give a mixture of 1,4-triazole (C) and 1,5-triazole (D) is actually the combination of two different competitive bimolecular reactions, each of them is $1^{\text {st }}$ order with respect to $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{B} .{ }^{80}$ Therefore, two independent rate constants ( $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ ) have to be determined at a given temperature to establish the conversion of the system at any time.
A: $\quad R^{1}=$

$C: R^{1-} N^{N}=N$
B: $R^{2}-N_{3}$

D: $R^{1-N}$
$\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{N}$

The kinetic constants were calculated by solving the set of differential equations by a standard fourth order Rounge-Kutta method along with the minimization of an objective function using the last square approach. ${ }^{81}$

In a constant-volume isothermal ideal system, ignoring the backward reaction for a large excess of one reactant, excluding side reactions and neglecting the activity coefficients of the compounds in the mixture, the reaction rate of the alkyne (A) is calculated as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{A}}=\frac{\partial[A]}{\partial t}=\frac{\partial[B]}{\partial t}=k_{1,4}[A][B]+k_{1,5}[A][B]=\left(k_{1,4}+k_{1,5}\right)[A][B] \tag{eq. 2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eq. $\mathbf{2 . 1}$ may be writen in terms of alkyne mole fraction, $X_{A}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{A}}=[A]_{0} \frac{\partial X_{A}}{\partial t}=\left(k_{1,4}+k_{1,5}\right)\left([A]_{0}-[A]_{0} X_{A}\right)\left([B]_{0}-[A]_{0} X_{A}\right) \tag{eq. 2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $M=\frac{[B]_{0}}{[A]_{0}}$ is the initial mole ratio of reactants $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{B}$,

[^27]$$
-\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{A}}=\left(k_{1,4}+k_{1,5}\right)[A]_{0}^{2}\left(1-X_{A}\right)\left(M-X_{A}\right)
$$
this differential equation can be integrated as follows:
$$
\int_{0}^{X_{A}} \frac{d X_{A}}{\left(1-X_{A}\right)\left(M-X_{A}\right)}=[A]_{0} k \int_{0}^{t} d t
$$
and solved to give:
$$
\ln \frac{[B]}{M[A]}=\left([B]_{0}-[A]_{0}\right)\left(k_{1,4}+k_{1,5}\right) t=\left([B]_{0}-[A]_{0}\right) m_{1} t
$$
eq. 2.5
wherein $\left(k_{1,4}+k_{1,5}\right)=m_{1}$
On the other hand, taking into account the formation rates of the products $\mathbf{C}$ and $\mathbf{D}$ :
\[

$$
\begin{align*}
& r_{C}=\frac{\partial[C]}{\partial t}=k_{1,4}[A][B]  \tag{eq. 2.6}\\
& r_{D}=\frac{\partial[D]}{\partial t}=k_{1,5}[A][B] \tag{eq. 2.7}
\end{align*}
$$
\]

the following rate constant ratio can be established dividing eq. 2.6 by eq. 2.7:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{r_{C}}{r_{D}}=\frac{k_{1,4}}{k_{1,5}}=\frac{[C]-[C]_{0}}{[D]-[D]_{0}}=m_{2} \tag{eq. 2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$m_{1}$ and $m_{2}$ can be calculated from the slope of eq. 2.5 and eq. 2.8:

From eq. 2.5:


From eq. 2.8:

[D] - [D] $]_{0}$

Finally, the rate constants $k_{1,4}$ and $k_{1,5}$ can be calculated from $m_{l}$ and $m_{2}$,

$$
\left.\begin{array}{c}
k_{1,4}+k_{1,5}=m_{1} \\
\frac{k_{1,4}}{k_{1,5}}=m_{2}
\end{array}\right\} \rightarrow \begin{gathered}
k_{1,4}=m_{1}-k_{1,5}  \tag{eq. 2.9}\\
k_{1,5}=\frac{m_{1}}{1+m_{2}}
\end{gathered}
$$

The second order kinetics plots used to calculate $k_{1,4}$ and $k_{1,5}$ constants for the reaction of benzylazide $\mathbf{6 b}$ with alkynes $\mathbf{1 c}$ and $\mathbf{2 c}$ in $\mathrm{MeCN}^{-\mathrm{d}_{3}}$ at different temperatures are shown in Figure 2. 12 and Figure 2. 13. In each case, the rate constant $k_{1,4}$ leading to the 1,4-regioisomers was calculated ploting $\ln ([B] / M[A])$ vs time, and the $k_{1,5}$ constant leading to the 1,5 -regioisomers was calculated plotting $[C]-[C]_{0}$ vs $[D]-[D]_{0}$. The calculated $k_{1,4}$ and $k_{1,5}$ values are gathered in Table 2. 6 and Table 2.7 for alkynes $\mathbf{1 c}$ and $\mathbf{2 c}$ respectively.



Figure 2. 12. Second order reaction rate plots for the cycloaddition of benzylazide $\mathbf{6 b}$ with triazole alkyne 1c. A: reactants conversion eq. 2.5; B: products formation eq. 2.8 .

Table 2. 6. Rate constants measured at different temperatures for the reaction of benzylazide $\mathbf{6 b}$ with the triazole alkyne 1c.




Figure 2. 13. Second order reaction rate plots for the cycloaddition of benzylazide $\mathbf{6 b}$ with triazole alkyne 2c. A: reactants conversion eq. 2.5; B: products formation eq. 2.8.

Table 2. 7. Rate constants measured at different temperatures for the reaction of benzylazide $\mathbf{6} \mathbf{b}$ with the cationic triazolium alkyne $\mathbf{2 c}$.


From the data collected in the tables, we draw the following conclusions:
a. Rising the temperature from $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ caused the increase of both $k_{1,4}$ and $k_{1,5}$ either for the neutral alkyne 1c or the cationic alkyne 2c, but the rate-increase was about 11-13 times larger for the former, and only 4-7 times for the later. Thus, the reactivity of cationic alkynes towards azides seems to be less sensitive to temperature changes than neutral alkynes.
b. At comparable temperatures, the cationic alkyne $2 \mathbf{c}$ reacted with benzylazide about 4044 times faster than the neutral alkyne 1c to afford the major 1,4-regioisomers. However, this rate increase was only 2-4 times for the minor 1,5-regioisomers. This observation is in agreement with a preferred HOMO controlled dipole orbital interaction (see Figure 2.1 in section 2.1.1) for cationic triazolium alkynes with respect to the neutral triazole counterparts.
c. In all instances, the solvent effect on the reaction rate constants was found to be negligible, since all the values were essentially identical in acetonitrile and DMSO.

Following the same procedure described above, we calculated the rate constants for the cycloaddition of the cationic triazolium alkyne 2c with different azides at $80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in MeCN- $\mathrm{d}_{3}$ and DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$, respectively. The calculated $k_{1,4}$ and $k_{1,5}$ constants leading to the 1,4- and 1,5-regioisomers are listed in Table 2.8.

Table 2. 8. Rate constants for the reaction of different azides with the cationic triazolium alkyne 2c measured at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in $\mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{d}_{3}$ and DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$.

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Values in parentheses correspond to DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$.
Again, it is apparet from the data collected in the table that strongly electron donating azides (entry 1) react with the cationic alkyne $\mathbf{2 c}$ up to 33 times faster than aromatic azides with electron-withdrawing groups (entry 5). Moreover, the combination of such electron rich azides and cationic triazolium alkyne provides an even greater reaction rate increase when compared with the non activated alkynes and azides (Scheme 2. 17). This rate increase is much more significant for the cycloaddition leading to 1,4 -regioisomers than to the 1,5 -regioisomers. For example, the cycloaddition of bis(trimethylsilyl)methyl azide 6a with the cationic alkyne $\mathbf{2 c}$ is 356 times faster than the cycloaddition of benzylazide with the uncharged triazole alkyne 1c. But, the formation of the corresponding 1,5-regioisomers from the same substrates is only 45 times faster.


Scheme 2.17. Rate constant comparison for azide-alkyne cycloaddition reactions leading to 1,4 - and 1,5-regioisomers.

### 2.4.2.5 Determination of thermodynamic parameters

### 2.4.2.5.1 Activation energy

The activation energy $\left(E_{a}\right)$ of a chemical reaction can be easily calculated from the reaction rate constants ( $k$ ) using the Arrhenius's law (see eq. 2.10):

$$
k=k_{0} e^{-E_{a} / R T}
$$

For practical purposes, a linear relationship between the rate constant and the temperature can be achieved using eq. 2.11:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln k=\ln k_{0}-\frac{E_{a}}{R T} \tag{eq. 2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

A plot of $\ln k$ vs $1 / T$ gives a straight line with slope $-E_{d} / R$ which intercepts the ordinate axis at $k_{o}$ (frequency factor) as shown in figure Figure 2. 14 for the reaction of benzylazide with triazole alkyne 1c (plot A) and triazolium alkyne 2c (plot B) in MeCN- $\mathrm{d}_{3}$
(for plots in DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ see Appendix 2). In each diagram separate straights represent the reaction rate variation leading to 1,4 -isomers $\left(k_{1,4}\right)$ and 1,5 -isomers $\left(k_{1,5}\right)$.


Figure 2. 14. Plots of $\ln k$ vs $1 / T$, for the reaction of benzylazide $\mathbf{6 b}$ with the triazole alkyne $\mathbf{1 c}$ (plot A) and the triazolium alkyne 2c (plot B) measured between $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in $\mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{d}_{3}$.

Values of the activation energies calculated from the plots of Figure 2.14 are given in Table 2.9.

Table 2.9. Activation energies, $\left(E_{a}^{\neq}\right)$for the cycloaddition of benzylazide with triazole alkynes 1c and 2 c in $\mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{d}_{3}$.

| Entry $^{\mathbf{a}}$ | Alkyne | $\boldsymbol{E}_{a}{ }^{\neq 1,4}\left({\left.\mathbf{k c a l} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right)}^{\boldsymbol{E}_{a}{ }^{\neq 1,5}\left(\mathrm{kcal} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right)}\right.$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\mathbf{1 c}$ | $14.92(14.83)$ | $15.54(15.94)$ |
| 2 | 2c | $8.37(11.58)$ | $9.42(12.21)$ |

${ }^{a}$ Values in parentheses correspond to activation energies measured in DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$.

From the data collected in the Table 2.9, we can conclude that the activation of the cycloaddition reaction of the triazole alkyne $\mathbf{1 c}$ is disfavoured with respect to cationic alkyne 2c by about $6 \mathrm{kcal} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ for the formation of both 1,4- and 1,5-regioisomers. Moreover, the activation energy difference leading to each regioisomer was slightly higher for the cationic alkyne $2 \mathbf{c}\left(1 \mathrm{kcal} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right)$ than for the neutral alkyne $\mathbf{1 c}\left(0.6 \mathrm{kcal} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right)$. Finally, slightly lower values of activation energies ( $2.8-3.2 \mathrm{kcal} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ ) were found in DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$, than in $\mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{d}_{3}$ only for the cationic alkyne 2c. This fact is in good agreement with the known tendency of the 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition to occur preferably in non-coordinating solvents.

Although the activation energy provides a useful macroscopic indication for a reaction to occur, a microscopic approach describing the thermodynamic paramethers governing the formation of the transition state can be more accurately established with the activation Gibbs energy.

### 2.4.2.5.2 Activation Gibbs energy

The activation Gibbs energy $\left(\Delta G^{\nexists}\right)$ of a reaction is defined by the following relationship between the activation enthalpy $\left(\Delta H^{\not}\right)$ and activation entropy $\left(\Delta S^{\not}\right)$ :

$$
\Delta G^{\ddagger}=\Delta H^{\ddagger}-T \Delta S^{\ddagger}
$$

The activation enthalpy and entropy represent, respectively, the energetic and structural components of the activation Gibbs energy required to attain the reaction transition state from the reagents, and can be calculated from the Eyring equation (occasionally also known as Eyring-Polanyi equation) which describes the variation of the rate of a chemical reaction with temperature:

$$
k=\frac{k_{B} T}{h} e^{-\Delta G^{\ddagger} / R T}
$$

wherein $k_{\mathrm{B}}$ is the Boltzmann's constant and $h$ is the Planck's constant. eq. 2.13 may be written as:

$$
k=\left(\frac{k_{B} T}{h}\right) e^{-\Delta H^{\ddagger} / R T} \cdot \mathrm{e}^{\Delta \mathrm{S}^{\ddagger} / \mathrm{R}}
$$

To find the linear form of the Eyring-Polanyi equation:

$$
\ln \frac{k}{T}=-\frac{\Delta H^{\ddagger}}{R} \frac{1}{T}+\ln \frac{k_{B}}{h} \frac{\Delta S^{\ddagger}}{R}
$$

Plotting of $\ln (k / T)$ vs $1 / T$ gives a straight line of slope $-\Delta H^{\ddagger} / R$ from which the activation enthalpy can be calculated. This straight intercepts the coordinate axis at $\ln \left(k_{B} / h\right)+\Delta S^{\ddagger} / R$ providing the activation entropy value. Plots corresponding to the triazole alkyne 1c (plot A) and the cationic triazolium alkyne 2c (plot B) are shown in Figure 2.15 (see Appendix 2 for equivalent plots in DMSO-d d $_{6}$. In each case, the activation paramethers $\Delta H^{\neq}$and $\Delta S^{\neq}$were independently calculated for the reactions leading to 1,4regioisomers $\left(k_{1,4}\right)$ and to 1,5 -regioisomers ( $k_{1,5}$ ). The calculated paramethers together with the activation Gibbs energy $\Delta G^{\neq}$are collected in Table 2. 10.


Figure 2. 15. Eyring-Polanyi equation plots for the cycloaddition of benzylazide with the triazole alkyne 1c (plot A) and the triazolium alkyne $\mathbf{2 c}(\operatorname{plot} B)$ in $\mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{d}_{3}$.

Table 2. 10. Main kinetic activation parameters measured for the cycloaddition of benzylazide $\mathbf{6 b}$ with the triazole alkyne $\mathbf{1 c}$ and the cationic triazolium alkyne $\mathbf{2 c}$.

| Entry ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Alkyne | $\Delta H^{\ddagger}{ }_{1,4}$ | $\Delta H^{\ddagger}{ }_{1,5}$ | $\Delta S^{\ddagger}{ }_{1,4}$ | $\Delta S^{\neq}{ }_{1,5}$ | $\Delta \boldsymbol{G}^{\neq}{ }_{1,4}$ | $\Delta G^{\ddagger}{ }_{1,5}$ | $\Delta 4 G^{\neq 1,4 \rightarrow 1,5}{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | kcal $\cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ |  | $\mathrm{cal} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$ |  | kcal $\cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ |  |  |
| 1 | 1c | 14.22 | 14.84 | -43 | - 42 | 29.38 | 29.79 | 0.41 |
|  |  | (14.13) | (15.24) | (-43) | (-41) | (29.27) | (29.76) | (0.49) |
| 2 | 2 c | 7.67 | 8.72 | - 55 | - 58 | 26.99 | 29.04 | 2.05 |
|  |  | (10.89) | (11.52) | (-46) | (-50) | (26.95) | (29.00) | (2.05) |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ Masured in MeCN- $\mathrm{d}_{3}$. Values in parentheses correspond to reactions conducted in DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6} .{ }^{\mathrm{b}} \Delta \Delta G^{\neq}=$ $\Delta G_{1,5}^{\neq}-\Delta G_{1,4}^{\neq}$.

An inspection of the activation parameters of the Table 2.10 revealed that both enthalpy ( $\boldsymbol{\Delta} \boldsymbol{H}^{\neq}>0$ ) and entropy ( $\boldsymbol{\Delta} \boldsymbol{S}^{\neq}<0$ ) activation parameters were moderately unfavorable for an spontaneous transformation. A more detailed comparison of entries 1 and 2 showed, however, that the enthalpy factor was about $6 \mathrm{kcal} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ lower for the cationic alkyne $\mathbf{2 c}$, whereas the entropy component was $12-16 \mathrm{cal} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$ lower for the neutral alkyne 1c. This observation was consistent with a mechanistic interpretation of the cycloaddition reaction with benzylazide $\mathbf{6 b}$ involving a stronger electronic activation for the cationic alkyne 2c and a less sterically hindered interaction for alkyne 1c to form the corresponding triazole products.

Regarding the reaction regioselectivity, a comparison of the activation Gibbs energy columns 5, 6 and 7 , reveals that both alkynes $\mathbf{1 c}$ and $2 \mathbf{c}$ have higher $\boldsymbol{\Delta} \boldsymbol{G}_{1,5}^{\neq}$activation energies than $\boldsymbol{\Delta} \boldsymbol{G}^{\neq}{ }_{1,4}$. Nevertheless, the activation energy difference ( $\boldsymbol{\Delta} \boldsymbol{\Delta} \boldsymbol{G}^{\neq}$) is significantly larger $\left(2.1 \mathrm{kcal} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right)$ for the cationic alkyne $\mathbf{2 c}$ than for the neutral alkyne $\mathbf{1 c}\left(0.4 \mathrm{kcal} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-}\right.$ ${ }^{1}$ ). This results were in good agreement with the experimental observation that isomer ratio 1,4/1,5 was larger for the cationic alkyne 2c than for the neutral akyne 1c. Finally, an excellent correlation of the measured $\boldsymbol{\Delta} \boldsymbol{\Delta} \boldsymbol{G}^{\neq}$values and the experimental $1,4 / 1,5$ isomer ratio was found in each case (see bellow, Table 2.11).

### 2.4.3 Computational study of the thermal cycloaddition reaction of benzylazide with triazole and triazolium alkynes

In parallel to the experimental work, a collaboration with Dr. José Ignacio Miranda (SGIker, UPV-EHU) enabled us to conduct a computational exploration of the [3+2] dipolar cycloaddition of benzylazide $\mathbf{6 b}$ and the triazole alkynes 1c, 2c and $\mathbf{4}$ shown in Figure 2.16.


Figure 2. 16. Structures of the triazole alkynes studied for cycloaddition with benzylazide. Anionic components were excluded from computation.

Computational calculations were conducted B3LYP/6-31++G** level of theory, as implemented in the Gaussian09 suite of programs. ${ }^{82}$ Stationary points had only one negative frequency and thermodynamic corrections were derived by calculating the Hessian matrix analytically at such level of theory. Single-point calculations with the self-consistent reaction field (SCRF) based on the IEF-PCM8 solvation model (MeCN, $\varepsilon=36.64$; Toluene, $\varepsilon=2.37$ ) were carried out on the previously optimized most relevant structures at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, respectively. The standard state for all thermodynamic data was 298.15 K and 1 atm . Two transition states and reaction products were computed for each benzylazide/alkyne pair leading, respectively to the 1,4- and 1,5-regioisomers, as shown in Figure 2. 17.
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Figure 2. 17. Transition states and reaction products computed at B3LYP/6-31++G** level of theory for the cycloaddition reaction of benzylazide $\mathbf{6 b}$ and triazole alkynes $\mathbf{1 c}, \mathbf{2 c}$ and $\mathbf{4}$. Relative $\Delta G^{\neq}$ energies in $\mathrm{kcal} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ calculated at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in MeCN (for $\mathbf{1 c}$ and $\mathbf{2 c}$ ) and $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in toluene (for 4).

A comparison of the activation Gibbs energies computed for the 6 transition states showed that the lower activation barriers corresponded in all instances to the 1,4regioisomers. Such pathways were always of lower activation free energy than the equivalent transition states leading to 1,5 -regioisomers. The activation barrier difference $\Delta \Delta G^{\neq}$between each pair of $1,4-/ 1,5$ - isomers was about $2.7 \mathrm{kcal} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ for the cationic alkynes 2 c and $\mathbf{4}$, but for the neutral alkyne 1c, was only $0.4 \mathrm{kcal} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$. Calculated activation Gibbs energies and regioselectivities collected in Table 2. 11 were in excellent agreement with the experimental values measured (see Table 2.10).

The selective stabilizing trend predicted by the calculations for charged alkynes $\mathbf{2 c}$ and $\mathbf{4}$ with respect to uncharged alkyne $1 \mathbf{c}$, could also be extended to the reaction products. Indeed, each 4-(triazolyl)triazolium salt $\mathbf{9}_{\mathbf{1 , 4}}$ or $\mathbf{1 0}_{\mathbf{1 , 4}}$ was about $10-11 \mathrm{kcal} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ more stable than the equivalent $\mathbf{9}_{1,5}$ and $\mathbf{1 0}_{\mathbf{1}, 5}$ regioisomers. However, the neutral 4,4-bis-triazole $\mathbf{8}_{\mathbf{1 , 4}}$ was only $5 \mathrm{kcal} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ more stable than the regioisomer product $\mathbf{8}_{\mathbf{1}, 4}$.

The origin of the higher cycloaddition regioselectivity observed for the cationic alkynes 2c and $\mathbf{4}$ with respect to the neutral alkyne 1c was assumed to be related to geometry differences in the transition states (Table 2. 11). After a carefull inspection, we noticed that the dihedral angle $(\varphi)$, comprising the N1-C2 atoms of the parent triazole and the C3-N4 atoms of the newly formed triazole, was substantially different for the $\mathrm{TS}_{1,4}$ and $\mathrm{TS}_{1,5}$ transition states of the charged alkynes 2c and $\mathbf{4}$ and the uncharged alkyne 1c. For the later, both $\mathrm{TS}_{1,4}$ and $\mathrm{TS}_{1,5}$ displayed two quasi coplanar triazole rings forming $177^{\circ}$ and $29^{\circ}$ torsion angles, respectively. In contrast, the two triazole rings of the $\mathrm{TS}_{1,4}$ and $\mathrm{TS}_{1,5}$ transition states of the cationic alkyne $\mathbf{2 c}$ were coplanar only for $\mathrm{TS}_{1,4}\left(\varphi=178^{\circ}\right)$, but orthogonal for $\mathrm{TS}_{1,5}\left(\varphi=-90^{\circ}\right.$ ). This fact should allow a stabilizing conjugated electron-withdrawing effect of the triazolium ring only for the coplanar $T S_{1,4}$ of the charged alkyne $\mathbf{2 c}$, but not for $\mathrm{TS}_{1,5}$. This should account for the experimentally measured activation free energy difference $\Delta \Delta G^{\neq}$ of about 2-3 $\mathrm{kcal} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ between $\mathrm{TS}_{1,4}$ and $\mathrm{TS}_{1,5}$ transition states. A similar pattern applies for the internal cationic alkyne 4.

Table 2. 11. B3LYP/6-31++G** transition state structures and Gibbs energies for the 1,3 -dipolar cycloaddition of benzylazide and triazole alkynes $\mathbf{1 c}, 2 \mathbf{c}$ and 4 . Relative free energies are in $\mathrm{kcal} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$.

${ }^{\text {a }}$ All values are in $\mathrm{kcal} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$. Values in parentheses represent experimental results. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Calculated in MeCN at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{\mathrm{C}}$ Calculated in toluene at $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

From the computational data recorded above and the previously measured kinetic activation values, it was possible to draw some general conclusions on the particular reactivity shown by triazolium cationic alkynes towards azides in [2+3] thermal cycloadditions:
a. Azides react with cationic triazolium alkynes to form 1,4-disubstituted triazoles at a significantly higher rate than the equivalent uncharged alkynes.
b. Relative energy barriers of the $\mathrm{TS}_{1,4}$ transitions states for cationic triazolium alkynes are about 2-3 kcal•mol ${ }^{-1}$ lower than the $\mathrm{TS}_{1,5}$ ones for a given azide. Therefore, the resulting regioisomeric bis-triazole products usually show 1,4-/1,5- ratios above $90 \%$.
c. The selective triazolium activating effect for 1,4-regioisomers occurs not only in terminal triazolium alkynes, but also in internal alkynes directly attached to the triazolium cation.

### 2.4.4 Doubly activated CuAAC reactions

As demostrated above, cationic triazolium alkynes show an enhanced thermal reactivity with azides. A qualitative activation range of up to two orders of magnitude with respect to equivalent neutral alkynes could be stablished (Figure 2. 18, A). Following our work plan, we addressed the third objective of this chapter, the study of the reactivity of cationic triazolium alkynes with azides under CuAAC conditions. We surmised that a double inductive and catalytic activation would occur allowing an "ultra-fast" conversion to the corresponding 1,4-disubstituted-triazole products (Figure 2. 18, B).


Figure 2. 18. Relative reaction rate acceleration: A) Thermal cycloadditions; B) Copper(I) catalyzed cyclizations.

To put into evidence the reaction rate acceleration promoted by the triazolium ring, we carried out some competition experiments submitting an equimolar mixture of the cationic triazolium alkyne $\mathbf{2 e}$ and the neutral akyne $\mathbf{1 b}$ to cyclization reaction with an equivalent of benzylazide $\mathbf{6 b}$ in the presence of a copper(I) catalysts. To monitor the reaction conversion we chose to conduct it in a NMR sample tube recording the data as in previous experiments ran with thermally activated cycloaddition reactions. Looking for fully homogeneous reactions conditions, we found that all reactants ( 32 mM ) and a catalytic system formed by $\mathrm{CuOAc} / \mathrm{NaOAc}$ was completely soluble in a $10: 1$ mixture of $\mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{d}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ at room temperature $\left(27^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ (Scheme 2. 18).


Scheme 2. 18. Competitive CuAAC reation of the cationic triazolium alkyne $2 \mathbf{e}$ with benzylazide $\mathbf{6 b}$ in the presence of triazole alkyne 1b. Reagents and conditions: (a) $\mathrm{CuOAc}, \mathrm{NaOAc}, \mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{d}_{3}: \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O} 1: 0.1$, $27^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, up to 25 min .

As shown in Figure 2. 19 a fully chemoselective transformation of the cationic triazolium alkyne 2e took place in the presence of a $40 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ catalyst load (> $99 \%$ conversion after 20 min ). Importantly, no conversion was observed for the neutral triazole 1b during the monitored time frame.


Figure 2. 19. Conversion-time plot of the competitive cyclization reaction of a mixture of alkynes 1b and $\mathbf{2 e}$ with benzylazide $\mathbf{6 b}$ catalyzed by $\mathrm{CuOAc} / \mathrm{NaOAc}(40 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$.

As illustrated in Figure 2. 20, the reaction conversion of benzylazide to the bistriazole $\mathbf{1 1}$ could be easily determined from the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra recorded at different reaction times. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR signals of alkynes were easily identified by the triazole and triazolium protons signals around 8.5 ppm and 9.0 ppm , respectively.


Figure 2. 20. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{d}_{3}: \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O} 1: 0.1$ ) spectra for the competitive reaction of benzylazide with the cationic triazolium alkyne $\mathbf{2 e}$ in the presence of the neutral alkyne $\mathbf{1 b}$.

A totally chemoselective reaction of benzylazide with the cationic triazolium alkyne $\mathbf{2 e}$ was achieved under the studied conditions, and the neutral triazole alkyne $\mathbf{1 b}$ could be recovered unchanged, showing that the reactivity of $\mathbf{2 e}$ was significantly higher than $\mathbf{1 b}$.

These results were interesting not only from a mechanistic point of view, but were applicable to preparative reactions conducted under "ultra-fast" conditions.

### 2.4.5 $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$-catalyzed "ultra-fast" synthesis of 3-methyl-4-triazolyl-1,2,3-triazolium salts

Taking advantage of the fast transformation disclosed in the previous section, we decided to extend such methodology to different azides at a preparative scale. As shown in

Table 2. 12 total conversions and high isolated yields were achieved in less than 5 minutes for all instances.

Table 2. 12. "Ultra-fast" CuAAC reactions of cationic triazolium alkynes with azides promoted by $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ sources. Reagents and conditions: (a) $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$-catalyst, solvent, r.t., 5 min .


${ }^{a}$ Yield of isolated pure product.

The click reactions were very efficient using a $20 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ load of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ salts, including the previously mentioned $\mathrm{CuOAc} / \mathrm{NaOAc}$ system and also the Sharpless' $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4} /$ sodium ascorbate system (compare entries 1 and 3 ).

The scope of the reaction included standard aliphatic azides (entries 1 and 3) and also poorly reactive aromatic azides with electron-withdrawing groups (see entries 4 and 6). The reaction also worked efficiently for strongly hindered aromatic azides (see entries 7 and 8) and was tolerant with functionalized aliphatic azides (entries 9 and 10).

As previously noted by different autors, ${ }^{83}$ electron-rich alkynes often fail to react with electron-deficient or strongly hindered aromatic azides under CuAAC conditions. In particular, our group reported ${ }^{84}$ that 4-ethynyl-triazoles give very sluggish cyclization to $4,4^{\prime}$-bistriazoles with such kind of azides. To overcome this drawback, we considered the N demethylation of 4-triazolyl-1,2,3-triazolium salts as an alternative to prepare 4,4'-bis(1,2,3triazoles) reluctant to form under standard CuAAC conditions (Scheme 2.19).


Scheme 2. 19. Retrosynthesis of non-symmetrically substituted 4,4'-bis(1H-1,2,3-triazoles) from 3-methyl-triazolium salts.

After extensive experimentation, we found that treating the electron defficient or strongly hindered bis-triazolium salts $\mathbf{1 5 - 1 7}$ with thiophenol in the presence of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ in MeCN at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 18 hours, the desired N -demethylated bistriazoles $\mathbf{2 0 - 2 2}$ were obtained in good yields (68-88 \%) (Table 2. 13).

[^29]Table 2. 13. Synthesis of nonsymmetrically substituted $4,4^{\prime}$-bis(1,2,3-triazoles) by $N$-demethylation of triazolium salts.


Reagents and conditions: (a) Thiophenol, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{MeCN}, 5{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 18 \mathrm{~h}$.

| Entry | $\mathbf{R}$ | Substrate | Product | Yield (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $4-\mathrm{F}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | $\mathbf{1 5}$ | 20 | $73 \%$ |
| 2 | $\mathbf{1 6}$ | 21 | $68 \%$ |  |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ Yield of isolated pure product.

### 2.5 Conclusions

3-Alkyl-4-alkynyl-1,2,3-triazolium salts can be prepared in good yields from "click" 4-alkynyl-1H-1,2,3-triazoles by $N 3$-alkylation with Merweein's salts $\left(\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{OBF}_{4}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{OBF}_{4}\right)$ or methyl trifluoromethanesulfonate.

It has been found that these novel cationic triazolium alkynes react with alkyl- and aryl azides under thermal activation conditions $\left(60-100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ to give mixtures of bicyclic 4-(1,2,3-triazolyl)-3-methyl-1,2,3-triazolium salts with 1,4- and 1,5-substitution at the newly created triazole ring. These reactions occur about 40-350 times faster than the equivalent cycloadditions with neutral 4-alkynyl-1 H -1,2,3-triazoles, demonstrating the strong electron withdrawing effect exerted by the triazolium ring on the reaction outcome.

Cationic triazolium alkynes react with azides to give higher 1,4-/1,5- regioisomer selectivities (typically > 95\%) than their neutral triazole equivalent alkynes. According to kinetic measurements conducted using variable temperature ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR techniques, the $\Delta G^{\ddagger}$ activation energy barriers for the TS-1,4 transition states of cationic triazolium alkynes are 2-
$3 \mathrm{kcal} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ lower than TS-1,5 transition states. In contrast, this difference is only 0.4 $\mathrm{kcal} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ for similar neutral triazole alkynes.

Computational DFT calculations suggest that cationic triazolium alkynes act as strong LUMO-lowering dipolarophiles, narrowing the HOMO-LUMO energy gap of the azide-alkyne interaction, and favoring the reaction with nucleophilic azides. The same calculations indicate that the high 1,4/1,5- regioselectivity observed arises from the different approaches of the azide to the cationic triazolium alkyne in the transition states TS-1,4 and TS-1,5. The former has a coplanar geometry around the newly created triazole ring, whereas the second adopts a more hindered and energetically costly orthogonal geometry.

Finally, it has been demonstrated that cationic triazolium alkynes react with azides under "ultra-fast" CuAAC conditions (<5 min) to give bicyclic 4-(1,2,3-triazolyl)-3-methyl-1,2,3-triazolium salts in good yields. Competitive reactions have shown that this reactions can be conducted in the presence of neutral alkynes with complete chemoselectivity. In some instances, the bis-triazolium salts can be demethylated to 4,4'-bis(1,2,3-triazoles) with thiophenol in a basic medium.

Triazolium salts with latent "click" reactivity by N3alkylation of 1,2,3-triazoles

## 3 Triazolium salts with latent "click" reactivity by N3-alkylation of 1,2,3-triazoles

### 3.1 Introduction

To address the second general objective of this PhD thesis, consisting in the introduction of alkyl substituents with functional groups in 1,2,3-triazoles by N3-alkylation (section 1.2.1, page 20), we first surveyed the main contributions to the topic.

As previously discussed in the general introduction (section 1.1), 1,2,3-triazolium salts are prepared in a $N 3$-regioselective manner from 1,4-disubstituted-1 H -1,2,3-triazoles by using soft alkylating reagents, as for example alkyl iodides and bromides (RX), alkyl triflates (ROTf) and Meerwein's salts $\left(\mathrm{R}_{3} \mathrm{OBF}_{4}\right)$. Occasionally, other less common alkylating reagents such as alkyl tosylates (ROTs) ${ }^{85}$, trimethyl phosphate $\left(\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)$ and methyl sulfate $\left(\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)^{86}$ have been used. Triazoles are considerably more difficult to alkylate than basic aromatic heterocycles (e.g. pyridines). The reaction is strongly dependent on the steric hindrance of the alkylating group and is limited to primary alkyl groups. Small groups (methyl, ethyl) or activated groups (allyl, benzyl) give generally good yields albeit a large excess of the alkylating reagent is often required. On the other hand, the reaction is also sensitive to the $N 1$-substituent of the heterocycle. For example, Huynh ${ }^{87}$ carried out the alkylation reaction of 1,2,3-triazoles with benzyl bromide and observed a dramatic yield drop as the donor inductive effect of the $N 1$ substituent decreases changing from aliphatic to aromatic (Scheme 3.1).

[^30]
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$\mathrm{R}^{1}=i \mathrm{Pr}$, neat, $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 18 \mathrm{~h}(88 \%)$
Bn, neat, $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 18 \mathrm{~h}(46 \%)$
Ph , neat, $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 3 days ( $32 \%$ )
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Scheme 3. 1. N3-alkylation reaction of 1H-1,2,3-triazoles with alkyl halides.
For 1,4-dialkyl-substituted triazoles, the scope of the $N 3$-alkylation reaction has been extended to medium length and $\beta$-branched alkyl groups. For example, triazolium salts $\mathbf{2 5 - 2 7}$ were obtained in good yields by treating the corresponding triazoles with alkyl iodides in refluxing MeCN overnight. ${ }^{88}$

The functional group tolerance for the $N 3$-alkylation reaction of 1,4 -disubstituted triazoles with small or activated alkylating halides has been screened by several authors (Figure 3. 1). Accordingly, it is possible to prepare triazolium salts from 1,2,3-triazoles bearing ethers, amides, ${ }^{89}$ carbamates ${ }^{90}$ and even sulfide functionalities ${ }^{91}$ in their $N 1$ and $C 4$ substituents.


Figure 3. 1. Functional group tolerance for the $N$-alkylation of 1,2,3-triazoles.

[^31]As far as we are aware, there are only two examples of $N 3$-alkylation of 1,2,3triazoles with functionalized alkylating agents. The first one is the $\omega$-sulfonylbutylation of a monosubstituted triazole with the cyclic sulfonate $\mathbf{3 1}$ to give the triazolium salts $\mathbf{3 2}$ with a terminal sulphonic acid group. This compound was used as a recyclable Brønsted acidic ionic liquid and proved to be an effective promoter for the cycloisomerization of alkenyl alcohols (Scheme 3. 2). ${ }^{92}$


Scheme 3. 2. Examples of 1,2,3-triazolium salts obtained by $N 3$-alkylation reaction with functionalized alkylating reagents.

The second example was reported by Liebscher ${ }^{93}$ and described the synthesis of the triazolium salt 34 by alkylating the 1,4-dibutyl-1,2,3-triazole with the elaborated alkyl bromide 33.

### 3.2 Hypothesis

There is little information accounting for the mechanistic details governing the N alkylation reaction of triazoles with alkylating agents. ${ }^{94}$ Nevertheless, we assumed that the nature of the living group of the alkylating reagent plays a critical role to get a successful N3-

[^32]alkylation of 1,2,3-triazoles with relatively complex and/or functionalized alkyl groups. On the basis of the previous experience of our research group, we observed that alkyl triflates were more efficient alkylating agents than similar alkyl iodides, bromides or Merweein's salts (see, for example Table 2.2 in section 2.4.1.2). Since alkyl triflates are easily available from alcohols by treatment with trifluoromethanesulfonic anhydride, we considered such compounds as ideal precursors to prepare functionalized alkylating agents for 1,2,3-triazoles (Scheme 3. 3).


Scheme 3. 3. General strategy to incorporate latent reactivity into $1 H-1,2,3$-triazoles from functionalized alcohols.

To endorse the desired latent "click" reactivity to the triazolium salts, we selected starting alcohols incorporating terminal azide or alkyne groups in their structure, assuming the chemical compatibility of the azide and alkyne groups with the strongly electrophilic alkyltriflate moiety.

In addition to the incorporation of reactive functional groups, we hypothesized that N3-alkylation could also be a useful reaction to introduce labelling groups into triazole mimetics of bioactive molecules. ${ }^{95}$ In a recent contribution from our research group, ${ }^{96}$ Dr. Sagartzazu-Aizpurua described the novel iodinated Arg-Gly-Asp (RGD) mimetic 35 (Scheme 3. 4), which showed high affinity for $\alpha_{v} \beta_{3}$ tumor integrins and acted as a tissueselective contrast agent for X-ray computed tomography (CT) scanning (see also section 3.4.2). We surmised that $N$-alkylation reaction of monoiodinated triazole peptidomimetics like 35 with alkyl triflates labeled with a densely iodinated group, could be a practical route to transform them into tetraiodinated triazolium derivatives with improved radiological

[^33]activity. This approach, though, involves important structural and electronic changes that could affect the affinity of the labeled salt with the biological receptor.


Scheme 3. 4. General strategy to incorporate labelling groups into bioactive 1,2,3-triazoles using a N3alkylation reaction.

Finally, we conceived that $N$-alkylation of suitable 1,2,3-triazoles could be used to trigger unusual reactivity. In particular, we hypothesized that N3-alkylation of 4-ethynyl-1,2,3-triazoles $\mathbf{1}$ with $\omega$-azidoalkyl triflates should provide the cationic triazolium alkynes 37 incorporating simultaneously an azide group (Scheme 3. 5). Since the cycloaddition torsion angle $\varphi$ is close to zero, we believed that a reaction pathway leading to unprecedented tricyclic 1,5-/1,4-bis-triazole cycloadducts 36 would be strongly favoured.



Scheme 3. 5. Likely synthetic route to tricyclic 1,5/1,4-bis-triazoles from cationic triazolium alkynes using a $N 3$-alkylation reaction.

### 3.3 Objectives

According to the hypothesis discussed above, we selected the following partial objectives for the second part of this doctoral thesis work:

1. To synthesize novel 3- $N$-alkyl-1,2,3-triazolium salts incorporating $N 3$-substituents with functional groups. More particularly, we focused on triazolium salts with terminal azide and alkyne groups with latent "click" reactivity. To conduct the N -alkylation reactions, we planned to use primary alkyl triflates obtained from $\omega$-azidoalcohols and terminal alkynols.

2. The synthesis of a series of densely iodinated Arg-Gly-Asp (RGD) peptidomimetics for radiological CT scanning following the N3-alkylation with alkyl triflates. To accomplish this task, we intended to prepare the requisite triazole precursors using the $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$-catalyzed alkyne-azide cycloaddition reaction approach. In order to check potential affinity improvements with the tumor integrin receptors, we designed three RGD mimetics with $C 4$ substituents of variable length.

3. To demonstrate the actual reactivity of the $N 3$ substituents of 1,2,3-triazolium salts by performing azide-alkyne cycloadditions, both under CuAAC and under thermal activation conditions. These transformations should allow the first synthesis of novel
bis-triazolium heterocyclic systems with complete positional control for all the substituents.


### 3.4 Results and discussion

### 3.4.1 Synthesis of $\mathbf{1 , 2 , 3}$-triazolium salts with functionalized $N 3$-substituents

To address the first objective, we divided our working plan into three tasks. First, to develop an improved method to prepare alkyl triflates from primary alcohols functionalized with azide groups or terminal alkyne groups. Then, to use the resulting functionalized alkyl triflates to alkylate 1,4-disubstituted 1,2,3-triazoles, and finally to extend such procedure to the preparation of a densely iodinated contrast agents designed to enhance the radiological opacity of soft tissues under anatomic X-ray computed tomography (CT) scanning.

Alkyl triflates are excellent alkylating reagents, but their preparation as pure isolated compounds is not obvious. They are often prepared from alcohols by reaction with triflic anhydride in the presence of organic bases, like pyridine ${ }^{97}$ or poly(vinylpyridine). ${ }^{98}$ However, the mild basic aqueous washing required to separate reaction byproducts often causes a considerable hydrolysis of alkyl triflates rendering their purification unpractical.

Hanack and Collins took advantage of using heterogeneous reaction conditions to prepare enol triflates from ketones. ${ }^{99}$ Following this approach, we found that treating an alcohol with equimolar amounts of triflic anhydride and anhydrous $\mathrm{KHCO}_{3}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (Table 3. 1) resulted in quantitative conversion to the desired alkyl triflates. After a simple filtration of the heterogeneous reaction mixture under anhydrous conditions, a solution of a practically pure alkyl triflate was obtained ready for use in N -alkylation reactions. Some of the alkyl triflates $\mathbf{3 8}$ prepared using our heterogeneous water-free conditions are shown in Table 3. 1.

[^34]Table 3. 1. Synthesis of alkyl triflates from alcohols.
Cntry
${ }^{a}$ Not isolated. Conversion determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Isolated yield.
The method was useful to prepare not only simple alkyl triflates (entry 1), but also functionalized ones (entries 2 and 3), including the alkyl triflate 38d which incorporates the acid-sensitive alkynyl group (entry 4). Some bulky aromatic alkyl triflates could be isolated. For example, the 2,4,6-triiodophenol derivatives 38e-f (entries 5 and 6) were stable and could be stored at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for months without appreciable decomposition.

[^35]Next, we used the freshly prepared dichloromethane solutions of alkyl triflates $\mathbf{3 8}$ to study the $N 3$-alkylation of the model 1,4-disubstituted triazole 39 (Scheme 3. 6). In a first trial, we checked by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR the aliquots of an equimolar mixture of the triazole and the triflate 38e in deuterated chloroform. Disappointingly, after 10 hours at ambient temperature, only minor amounts ( $<20 \%$ ) of the desired triazolium salt 40 were detected. Heating the mixture or prolonging the reaction time did not led to a significant conversion improvement. Several experiments to screen different solvents and reaction conditions failed to provide a clean $N$-alkylation reaction. Finally, we tried the reaction of a neat mixture of the triflate and the triazole obtained by the slow evaporation of an equimolar solution of both reactants in dichloromethane under a nitrogen stream. To our delight, the expected triflate triazolium salt 39 formed at room temperature after 18 hours and was isolated in $98 \%$ yield.


Scheme 3. 6. Synthesis of $N 3$-substituted 1,2,3-triazolium triflates 40-45. Reagents and conditions: (a) Neat, $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 18 h .

When the solvent-free reaction conditions were extended to other alkyl triflates, the corresponding triazolium salts were obtained in moderate to excellent isolated yields after a flash chromatographic purification (Figure 3. 2). Importantly, latent functional groups like azide or alkyne were tolerated.


Figure 3. 2. 1,2,3-Triazolium salts prepared by $N 3$-alkylation of 39 with alkyl triflates. Yield of isolated pure product in parentheses.

In Figure 3. 3 are compared the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of the alkyl triflate 38e, the triazole 39 and the triazolium salt 40. As expected, upon $N$-alkylation, the triazole proton (4) shifted downfield from 7.6 ppm to 9.0 ppm in the final triazolium triflate. A similar behavoiur, albeit less pronounced, was observed for the benzylic protons (5).

$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll}10.0 & 9.5 & 9.0 & 8.5 & 8.0 & 7.5 & 7.0 & 6.5 & 6.0 & 5.5 & 5.0 & 4.5 & 4.0 & 3.5 & 3.0 & 2.5 & 2.0 & 1.5 & 1.0 & 0.5 & 0\end{array}$
Figure 3. 3. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR Spectra ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) of reactants 38e, $\mathbf{3 9}$ and product $\mathbf{4 0}$.
Finally, a functional group tolerance test was conducted with triflate 38e and different 1,4-disubstituted triazoles bearing moderately basic functional groups (Figure 3. 4). Good to excellent yields of N -alkylated triazoles were obtained in the presence of a wide array of electron rich functional groups, comprising alkynes (46), esters (47) or carbamates (49). The monoalkylated bis-triazole (50) was worth mentioning. This alkylation reaction occurred in a clean stepwise manner and no formation of $N, N^{\prime}$-dialkylated product was observed, likely due to the deactivation effect exerted by newly formed triazolium cation on the neighboring triazole $N 3$-nitrogen.


47 (79\%)

48 (91\%)



Figure 3. 4. Functional group tolerance test for the $N 3$-alkylation of triazoles with the model alkyl triflate 38e. Yield of isolated pure product.

In an attempt to make the $N$-alkylation reaction amenable to a "one-pot" procedure by generating the alkyl triflates in situ from alcohols and triflic anhydride in the presence of triazoles, we explored the test reaction shown in Scheme 3. 7. A maximum $42 \%$ conversion to the desired triazolium triflate 40 was recorded by NMR analysis when the reaction was carried out overnight at room temperature in the presence of molecular sieves. Despite many trials carried out to improve this result, no complete conversion of the starting triazole could be achieved.


Scheme 3. 7. Direct "one-pot" synthesis of triazolium triflate 40 from triazoles and alcohols.

After proving the wide scope and functional group tolerance of the triazole alkylation method, we addressed the second objective of this chapter, consisting in the obtention of densely iodinated $N$-alkyl-triazolium salts derived from the angiogenic tripeptide Arg-Gly-Asp (RGD), and their evaluation as contrast agents for X-ray computed tomography scanning.

### 3.4.2 Iodinated RGD triazolium salts for X-ray computed tomography (CT) scanning

### 3.4.2.1 Background and previous work

X-Ray computed tomography scanning is one of the most useful and popular anatomic imaging radiographic techniques in medical pratice. Usually, iodinated contrast agents are used to diffract X-ray beams and get proper CT images. Among these radiological opacity promoters, iodixanol 51 (Visipaque ${ }^{(®)}$ ) is used worldwide in routine tumor diagnosis, although it shows no selective affinity for tumor tissues (Figure 3. 5). Therefore, densely iodinated organic molecules with high affinity for tumor tissues are highly desirable for tumor-targeted CT scanning diagnosis. Unfortunately, they are not available at present.

As mentioned above (see section 3.2), our group has designed and synthesized the novel iodinated triazole RGD mimetic 35 (Figure 3. 5). This compound displayed a high affinity for $\alpha_{\mathrm{v}} \beta_{3}$ integrins, which are overexpressed in many tumor tissues.




lodinated RGD mimetic 35

Figure 3. 5. X-Ray computed tomography (CT) scanning images of two samples of CC531 human colocarcinoma cells inoculated in the liver of Waj/Rij male rats, after the intraarterial injection of iodixanol 51 (A) and the iodinated RGD contrast agent 35 (B). Bright white spots show tumor nuclei and small metastasis.

Figure 3.5 shows CT images of two samples of CC531 human colocarcinoma cells inoculated in the liver of Waj/Rij male rats, after the intraarterial injection of iodixanol (sample A) and the iodinated RGD contrast agent 35 (sample B). As expected, no image brightness difference between the liver tissue and the tumor tissue could be appreciated for the iodixanol-treated sample (A). In contrast, the iodinated RGD mimetic $\mathbf{3 5}$ was selectively accumulated in the tumor tissue allowing for the record of very small bright metastatic tumors of less than 2 mm diameter (B).

### 3.4.2 $\quad$ Synthesis of iodinated RGD triazolium salts

Our working plan to prepare the densely iodinated triazolium salts 58-60 was divided into two parts (Scheme 3. 8). First, we synthesized the 5-iodotriazoles 35, 52 and 53 with C 4 substituents of different length in order to study their affinity for $\alpha_{v} \beta_{3}$ tumor integrins. This transformation was planned using CuAAC reactions conducted in the presence of a iodonium ion source. Then, after selecting the more active RGD triazoles, we intended to apply the $N$-alkylation method developed above (section 3.4.1) to get the desired tetraiodinated triazolium salts 58-60.

## Synthesis of RGD iodotriazoles mimetics



Synthesis of RGD iodotriazolium mimetics


Scheme 3. 8. Retrosynthetic analysis of tetraiodinated RGD triazolium salts 58-60.

Our synthesis started with the preparation of alkynes $\mathbf{5 4 - 5 6}$ and the azide $\mathbf{5 7}$, using a methodology adapted from the approach of Calorini and Guarna. ${ }^{103}$ Alkynes 54-56 were prepared in 64-89 \% yield from the commercially available $\omega$-alkynylamines $\mathbf{6 1}$ upon treatment with 1,3-bis(tert-butoxycarbonyl)-2-methyl-2-thiopseudourea and a catalytic amount of $N, N$-dimethylaminopyridine (DMPA). In turn, azide 57 was prepared in two reaction steps. First, the $\beta$-aminoester ${ }^{104} 62$ was acylated with bromoacetyl chloride and triethylamine to give an intermediate bromoacetamide ( $87 \%$ ), which was immediately treated with sodium azide in DMF at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to provide the azidopeptide 57 in $83 \%$ yield.


Scheme 3. 9. Reagents and conditions: (a) ( $\mathrm{n}=1, \mathrm{n}=2$ ) BocHN-C(SMe)=NBoc, DMAP (cat.), $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, $35{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 3 days then, $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 18 \mathrm{~h}$; (n=3) BocHN-C(SMe)=NBoc, DMAP (cat.), $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24 \mathrm{~h}$; (b) TEA, $\mathrm{BrCH}_{2} \mathrm{COCl}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 15 \mathrm{~min}$, then r.t., 30 min ; (c) $\mathrm{NaN}_{3}, \mathrm{DMF}, 80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 16 \mathrm{~h}$; (d) CuI , NBS, DIPEA, MeCN , r.t., 4 h; (e) $\mathrm{HCl} 4 \mathrm{M}, 1,4$-dioxane: $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ 1:1, r.t., 16 h.

[^36]With the alkynes and the azide in hand, we followed the method of Zhang ${ }^{105}$ to prepare 5-iodo-1,2,3-triazoles conducting the CuAAC reaction in the presence of iodinium ion generated in situ from CuI and $N$-bromosuccinimide (NBS). Accordingly, each alkyne 54-56, the azide 57 and DIPEA were added to a solution of CuI and NBS in anhydrous acetonitrile. After 4 hours, the product was purified by column chromatography to give the corresponding 5-iodo-1,2,3-triazoles 63-65 in fair yields (40-58 \%). Finally, the Boc groups and the methyl ester were simultaneously deprotected in acid medium to yield the corresponding iodinated RGD mimetics 35,52 and 53.

In Figure 3. 6 are compared the fully assigned ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of iodinated triazoles 63-65. As expected, analogous protons show very similar chemical shifts in three spectra, excepting for the signals of the alkyl chain linking the guanidine moiety and the triazole C 4 position.


Figure 3. 6. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR Spectra ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) of iodinated RGD mimetic precursors 63-65.

[^37]A mechanistic sketch of the three component CuAAC reaction leading to 5iodotriazoles is outlined in Scheme 3. 10. The copper triazolide intermediate formed in the CuAAC catalytic cycle exchanges the metal for a iodonium "I'" specie generated "in situ" from NBS and CuI. This leads to the liberation of the iodinated triazole and the regeneration of an active copper salt to complete the catalytic cycle.


Scheme 3. 10. Mechanistic sketch for the triazole iodination step from a copper triazole intermediate and a iodonium species.

All the monoiodinated RGD mimetics $\mathbf{3 5}, 52$ and $\mathbf{5 3}$ were submitted to a radiologic study carried out in collaboration with Dr. Ignacio García-Alonso (Departamento de Cirugía y Radiología y Medicina Física at UPV/EHU), Dr. Néstor Etxebarria (Departamento de Química Analítica at UPV/EHU) and Dr. José Javier Echevarría (Servicio de Radiología Hospital Galdakao-Usánsolo).

The iodinated RGD mimetics $\mathbf{3 5}$, 52 and 53 were homogeneized with one molar equivalent of $(L)$-lactic acid and dissolved in a saline solution. Then, each sample was injected intraarterially to $\mathrm{Waj} / \mathrm{Rij}$ male rats inoculated with human CC531 colocarcinoma cells. One hour after the administration of the corresponding contrast agents, X-ray computed tomographic (CT) images were recorded in vivo. Then, the animals were killed and radiologic attenuations were determined separately in the liver and in the tumor for a set of three rats in each experiment. The measured attenuation ranges (in Hounsfield units) are collected in Table 3. 2. Finally, the samples from liver and tumor tissue were analyzed by inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) to determine the iodine content.

Table 3. 2. Radiologic attenuation in Hounsfield Units (HU) and iodine content in the liver and the tumor after intraarterial administration of iodinated contrast agent to Waj/Rij male rats inoculated with CC531 human colocarcinoma cells

| Entry | Contrast agent | $\begin{gathered} {[I]^{\mathrm{a}}} \\ (\mathrm{mg}) \end{gathered}$ | Liver |  | Tumor |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | X-Ray CT <br> (HU) | $\begin{gathered} \text { ICP-MS }[I]^{b} \\ (\mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{g}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { X-Ray CT } \\ (\mathbf{H U}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { ICP-MS [I] } \\ (\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{g}) \end{gathered}$ |
| 1 | Iodixanol | 30 | 114-120 | 32 | 79-87 | 35 |
| 2 | 35 | 27 | 129-137 | 430 | 188-201 | 2444 |
| 3 | 53 | 17 | 103-133 | $\square^{\text {c }}$ | 96-113 | - ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| 4 | 52 | $24^{\text {b }}$ | 123-152 | -c | 95-123 | -c |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ Iodine weight equivalent injected. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Iodine content in the tissue determined by inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS). ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Only basal iodine concentrations detected.

From the results collected in Table 3. 2, we concluded that only compound 35 displayed a strong a selective radiological attenuation increase in the tumor tissue compared to the liver (entry 2). This observation was also confirmed by the higher accumulation of iodine determined by ICP-MS in the tumor. Unfortunately, the RGD iodotriazoles $\mathbf{5 3}$ and $\mathbf{5 2}$ with shorter C4 alkyl linkers failed to give a differential radiological attenuation or iodine accumulation in the tumor (entries 3 and 4).

### 3.4.2.3 Synthesis of iodotriazolium RGD mimetic

Since only iodotriazole $\mathbf{3 5}$ gave significant radiological activity, we decided to prepare the $N$-alkylated triazolium salt $\mathbf{6 0}$. To accomplish this task, we tried two approaches (Scheme 3. 11).


Scheme 3. 11. Synthetic approaches for the preparation of the tetraiodinated triazolium salt $\mathbf{6 0}$ by N alkylation of iodotriazoles $\mathbf{3 5}$ and $\mathbf{6 5}$.

The most desirable and direct approach was the $N$-alkylation reaction of the RGD mimetic iodotriazole 35 with 2,4,6-triiodophenyl trifate 38e. Disappointingly, the starting compound 35 was recovered unchanged after several alkylation trials carried out by evaporating a suspension of the iodotriazole and the alkylating agent $\mathbf{3 8 e}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ or MeCN . This reaction failure was attributed to the lack of solubility of $\mathbf{3 5}$ in noncoordinating organic solvents. To overcome the solubility issues, we tried an alternative stepwise transformation from the fully protected iodotriazole 65, which was soluble in dichloromethane.


Scheme 3. 12. Synthesis of the tetraiodinated triazolium RGD mimetic 60.
Evaporation of an equimolar mixture of compound 65 and the iodinated triflate 38e from a dichloromethane solution and warming the residue overnight at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ provided an intermediate $N$-alkylated triazolium salt in $77 \%$ isolated yield after purification by flash column chromatography. This compound was submitted to the cleavage of the guanidine Boc
groups with trifluoroacetic acid, followed by the quantitative demethylation of the ester group with hydrochloric acid in aqueous DMSO to afford the tetraiodinated RGD mimetic 60 in quantitative yield. This compound is currently under screening as a contrast agent for X ray CT scanning and the results will be reported in due course.

### 3.4.3 Synthesis of polysubstituted bis(1,2,3-triazolium) systems with total positional control

Following our general working plan, we addressed our next objective, consisting in the demonstration of the "click" reactivity on $N$-alkyltriazolium salts incorporating terminal azide and alkyne functionalities at the $N 3$-substituent. In particular, we chose to synthesize a few fully substituted bis(1,2,3-triazolium) systems ( $\mathbf{6 6}$ and 67) with unprecedented positional control following a CuAAC approach (Scheme 3.13).


Scheme 3. 13. Accessing polysubstituted bis(1,2,3-triazolium) systems with total positional control.
In the literature, there are several reports on symmetrically substituted bis(1,2,3triazolium) salts prepared by CuAAC cycloaddition reactions of diynes or diazides followed by $N$-alkylation (Figure 3. 7). Among them, rigid 4, $4^{\prime}$-bis(triazolium) salts, ${ }^{106}$ alkylene

[^38]bridged bis(triazolium) salts, ${ }^{107}$ arylene bridged propylene bis(triazolium) salts ${ }^{90,108}$ and spiro bis(1,2,3-triazolium) salts ${ }^{109}$ have been described. However, no method exist to prepare nonsymmetrically substituted bis(1,2,3-triazolium) systems with total positional control. ${ }^{110}$


Figure 3. 7. Symmetrically substituted bis(1,2,3-triazolium) salts.
To implement our approach, we chose the azide-functionalized triazolium salt $\mathbf{4 2}$ as a model and conducted two CuAAc reactions with phenylacetylene and 1-[(prop-2-yn-1yloxy)methyl]pyrene, respectively. As expected, a clean and high-yielding transformation to the mixed triazole-triazolium compounds 68a-b was observed in each case using $20 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ $\mathrm{CuOAc} / \mathrm{NaOAc}$ catalyst (Scheme 3. 14).

[^39]

Scheme 3. 14. Synthesis of mixed triazole-triazolium compounds 68. Reagents and conditions: (a) $\mathrm{CuOAc}, \mathrm{NaOAc}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN} /{ }^{t} \mathrm{BuOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ 1:0.4:0.1, r.t., 18 h .

As a proof of principle of the obtention of polysubstituted bis(1,2,3-triazolium) salts 66, we alkylated the triazole ring of 68b with methyl triflate to get the expected product in 77 \% yield (Scheme 3. 15). As far as we know, this dicationic salt constitutes the first example of a completely site-controlled tetrasubstituted bis(1,2,3-triazolium) of this kind.


Scheme 3. 15. Preparation of a bis(1,2,3-triazolium) salt with total positional control. Reagents and conditions: (a) $\mathrm{MeOTf}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, r.t., 5 h.

Next, we investigated the CuAAC coupling of two triazolium salts through the azide- and alkyne-terminated $N 3, N 3^{\prime}$-substituents previously introduced by $N$-alkylation (Scheme 3. 16). Thus, triazolium alkyne 42 and triazolium alkyne ${ }^{111} 69$ were reacted at room temperature in the presence of $20 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of $\mathrm{CuOAc} / \mathrm{NaOAc}$ catalyst to afford a $77 \%$ yield of

[^40]the tricyclic bis(1,2,3-triazolium) salt 67. It is worth mentioning that this approach allows the modular control of up to six structural parameters, including not only the four triazolium $N 1$ and C 4 substituents, but also the two alkyne spacers linking the triazolium $N 3, N 3^{\prime}$-positions with the central triazole ring.


Scheme 3. 16. Preparation of a hybrid triazole/bis(1,2,3-triazolim) salt with total positional control. Reagents and conditions: (a) $\mathrm{CuOAc}, \mathrm{NaOAc}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN} /{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{BuOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ 1:1:0.1, r.t., 18 h .

The assigned ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of the reagents and the polysubstituted bis(1,2,3triazolium) hybrids 67 are compared in Figure 3. 8.


Figure 3. 8. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) of triazolium compounds $\mathbf{4 2 , 6 9}$ and 67.

Again, to the best of our knowledge, compound 67 is the first example of nonsymmetrically substituted bis(1,2,3-trizolium)-triazole hybrid salt.

### 3.4.4 Tandem $N$-alkylation/thermal cyclization of 4-alkynyl-triazoles to tricyclic 4,5'-bis(1,2,3-triazolium) salts

From the detailed study disclosed in section 2.4.2.4 on the thermal [3+2] cycloaddition of azides with cationic triazolium alkynes, we concluded that $N 3$-alkylation of 4-alkynyl-1,2,3-triazoles enhances significantly the cycloaddition reaction rate. Now, to complete the last objective of this chapter, we considered an intramolecular version of this reaction using and azide-functionalized alkyl triflate as the reaction promoter (Scheme 3. 17).


Scheme 3. 17. Tandem $N$-alkylation/cycloaddition reaction of 4-ethynyl-1,2,3-triazoles with $\omega$ azidoalkyl triflates.
$N$-alkylation of 4-ethynyl-1,2,3-triazoles 1 with short $\omega$-azidoalkyl triflates $(\mathrm{n}=1,2)$ should provide the intermediate cationic triazolium alkynes 71, incorporating a latent azide group. Owing to the favorable entropic conditions, such intermediate should likely envolve to a triazole-triazolium tricyclic intermediate through a 1,5- ring closure geometry. Finally, after a second $N$-alkylation with an alkyl triflate of choice, the bridged bis(1,2,3-triazolium) salts 70 should be accessible for the first time.

In a first trial, triazole alkyne 1a was treated with one equivalent of 2-azidoethyl triflate 38b following the solvent evaporation protocol described above (section 3.4.1). Unfortunately, we only observed the formation of polymer products arising presumably from
intermolecular azide-alkyne cycloaddition reactions. In sharp contrast with this result, when an equimolar solution of both reactants in acetonitrile (concentration $\approx 0.2 \mathrm{M}$ ) was warmed at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 18 h , a complete transformation to the tricyclic product $\mathbf{7 2 b}$ was observed (Scheme 3. 18). A similar cyclization reaction took place using 3-azidopropyl triflate 72c, albeit in significantly lower yield.


Scheme 3. 18. Tandem $N$-alkylation/cyclization of triazolyl alkyne 1a to bridged 1,5 -triazoletriazolium compounds 72.

From a mechanistic point of view, some aspects of this transformation were meaningful: a) the mild thermal conditions required to activate the [3+2] azide-alkyne cycloaddition, b) the easy formation of the triazole 1,5-regioisomer and, c) the strong dependence of the reaction performance with the length of the $\omega$-azidoalkyl triflate.

In the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra collected in Figure 3. 9 are compared the starting ethynyltriazole 1a and the tricyclic triazole-triazolium adduct 72a, showing the characteristic downfield chemical shifts of triazole and triazolium protons at 8.2 and 8.7 ppm , respectively.


Figure 3. 9. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{d}_{3}$ ) of triazolium compounds 1a and 72a.
In both instances, it was possible to observe the transient formation of 4-ethynyltriazolium intermediates $\mathbf{7 1}$ when the reaction was conducted in an NMR sample tube using $\mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{d}_{3}$ as solvent. Carrying the $N$-alkylation under carefully controlled conditions, the 3azidopropyl salt 71b could be isolated and characterized. Its ${ }^{1} \mathrm{HNMR}$ spectrum is shown in Figure 3.9 and the characteristic triazolium and alkyne protons appear at 8.7 and 4.7 ppm , respectively.


Figure 3. 10. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ of the azido alkyne triazolium intermediate $\mathbf{7 1 b}$.
To complete our final objective, we submitted the triazole of 72a to a $N$-alkylation reaction to get the expected bridged bis(1,2,3-triazolium) compound 70a with nonsymmetrical substituents at $N 1, N 1^{\prime}$ positions. Thus, treating 72a with one equivalent of methyl triflate afforded the target compound in excellent yield (Scheme 3. 19).


Scheme 3. 19. Synthesis of nonsymmetrically substituted 4,5'-bis(1,2,3-triazolium) bridged salt 70a. Reagents and conditions: (a) MeOTf, MeCN, r. t., 5 h.

Again, this was the first synthetic route allowing the preparation of such bridged tricyclic 4,5'-bis(1,2,3-triazolium) salts. Among other potential uses, we anticipate that these novel compounds constitute excellent precursors for mesoionic dicarbenes that could find applications as chelating ligands for transition metal-based catalysts ${ }^{112}$ or highly directional ditopic hydrogen donors for supramolecular constructs.

[^41]
### 3.4.4.1 Computational study of the intramolecular thermal cycloaddition of a triazolium azidoalkynes

To understand the mild thermal conditions $\left(30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ required to activate the intramolecular [3+2] azide-alkyne cycloaddition described above, we established a collaboration with Dr. Miranda (SGIker, UPV-EHU). We chose the triazolium azidoalkyne 73 as a model and, to reduce the computational cost, the N1-benzyl group was abbreviated to methyl (Figure 3. 11). Calculations were conducted at the B3LYP/631G** level of theory following the same method described in section 2.4.3.


Figure 3. 11. Computed activation transition state for the intramolecular thermal cycloaddition of azidoalkyne 73 to the tricyclic triazol-triazolium compound 74. Relative free energies are in $\mathrm{kcal} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$.

According to the computed results, the activation Gibbs energy for the transition state (TS-IV-1,5) leading to 1,5 -ring closure was of only $21.0 \mathrm{kcal} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$. This value was about $10 \mathrm{kcal} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ lower than the comparable activation energy for the intermolecular cycloaddition of benzylazide and the cationic triazolium alkyne 2c described in chapter 2 (see Figure 2.18). Moreover, the small cycloaddition dihedral angle $\varphi=+6.8^{\circ}$ was also consistent with the low activation energy calculated. All these datas, were in excellent agreement with the experimental observations.

### 3.5 Conclusions

A novel general strategy to introduce an additional labeled or functionalized N3substituent into readily accessible "click" 1,4-disubtituted- $1 H$-1,2,3-triazoles has been developed for the first time.

Alkyl triflates have been shown to be the reagents of choice for such transformation. A two-step protocol consisting in the heterogeneous triflation of alcohols, followed by a solvent-free $N 3$-alkylation of 1,2,3-triazoles, provides $N 1, N 3, \mathrm{C} 4$-trisubstituted-1,2,3triazolium salts in excellent yields and with great operational simplicity. This methodology has been applied to the preparation of a densely iodinated Arg-Gly-Asp (RGD) mimetic with potential use as imaging contrast agent for X-ray computed tomography scanning.

The latent reactivity of some model $N 3$-( $\omega$-azidoalkyl)-1,2,3-triazolium salts has been demonstrated by achieving their CuAAC coupling with alkynes to transform them into multisubstituted bis(1,2,3-triazolium) salts with unprecedented positional control.

Finally, it has been demonstrated that reacting 4-ethynyl-1,2,3-triazoles with short $\omega$-azidoalkyl triflates leads to a novel tandem reaction involving the $N 3$-alkylation of the triazole ring, followed by the intramolecular thermal [3+2] azide-alkyne cycloaddition to unique tricyclic bis-triazole-triazolium systems. According to computational calculations, the activation Gibbs energy for the transition state is at least $10 \mathrm{kcal} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ lower for this reaction than for standard intermolecular azide-alkyne cycloadditions.

## 4

Halogenation of 1,2,3-triazolium salts

## 4 Halogenation of 1,2,3-triazolium salts

### 4.1 Introduction

As mentioned in section 1.1.4, halotriazolium salts have been mostly used to assemble supramolecular structures through strong halogen-halogen interactions. This characteristic has also been used to activate some organic reactions taking advantage of the cationic nature of the 1,2,3-triazolium moiety. For example, Huber has recently shown that 5-iodo-1,2,3-triazolium salt 75 can act as an "organic $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}$equivalent" by facilitating the halide-abstraction step in the nucleophilic substitution reaction of benzhydryl bromide with acetonitrile (Scheme 4. 1). ${ }^{113}$ This case illustrates the interest to develop novel methods to prepare 5-halo-1,2,3-triazolium salts designed to incorporate proper groups for reaction activation and/or stereocontrol.


Scheme 4. 1. Nucleophilic substitution rate enhancement promoted by 5 -iodo-1,2,3-triazolium cations.
5-Halo-1,2,3-triazolium salts are usually prepared by $N 3$-alkylation of 5-halo-1,2,3triazoles (Scheme 4. 2), which in turn, are prepared by a three component azide-alkynehalonium CuAAC reaction, using $N$-halosuccinimides as electrophilic halogen sources. ${ }^{114}$

[^42]Alternatively, 5-iodotriazoles are also accessible by the direct CuAAC cycloaddition of azides with iodoalkynes. ${ }^{115}$ The $N$-alkylation of 5-halotriazoles 76 is considerably more difficult than the $N$-alkylation of nonhalogenated analogs, owing to the electron withdrawing effect of the halogen atom on the triazole ring. In addition, this approach is not compatible with the preparation of 3-aryl-5-halo-1,2,3-triazolium salts $78\left(\mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{Ar}\right),{ }^{2}$ because the N3arylation of halotriazoles 76 is impracticable. A direct halogenation of triazolium salts 77, which can be prepared without substitution restrictions, would be an interesting alternative route to prepare 5-halo-1,2,3-triazolium salts overcoming the aforementioned limitations.


Scheme 4. 2. Synthetic routes to 5-halo-1,2,3-triazolium salts.

### 4.2 Hypothesis

On the basis of the preceding analysis, we considered 1,2,3-triazole mesoionic carbenes ${ }^{116}$ as convenient neutral electron-rich species to prepare 5 -halotriazolium salts 78

Chem. 2013, 78, 10519-10523. "Tandem reaction of 1-copper(I) alkynes for the synthesis of 1,4,5trisubstituted 5-chloro-1,2,3-triazoles".
115 Hein, J. E.; Tripp, J. C.; Krasnova, L. B.; Sharpless, K. B.; Fokin, V. V. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 8018-8021. "Copper(I)-catalyzed cycloaddition of organic azides and 1-iodoalkynes".
116 (a) Mathew, P.; Neels, A.; Albrecht, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 13534-13535. "1,2,3triazolylidenes as versatile abnormal carbene ligands for late transition metals". (b) Guisado-
(Scheme 4. 3). Taking advantage of the enhanced C5-H acidity of 1,2,3-triazolium salt 77, and their easy transformation into free carbenes ${ }^{116 \mathrm{~b}} \mathbf{7 9}$ or silver carbene complexes ${ }^{117} \mathbf{8 0}$, we hypothesized that such activated species would react with suitable electrophilic halogen sources to provide 5-halotriazolium salts 78.


Scheme 4. 3. Direct C5-halogenation of $1,2,3$-triazolium salts through $1,2,3$-triazole carbene intermediates.

As outlined in section 1.1.3, 1,2,3-triazole carbenes have been extensively exploited as strongly $\sigma$-donating neutral ligands for a large variety of transition metals. ${ }^{38}$ However, the use of 1,2,3-triazole carbenes to form $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ or $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{X}(\mathrm{X}=$ halogen) bonds through the carbenic lone electron pair remains unexplored.

In a preliminary $a b$ initio ${ }^{118}$ computational calculation, we estimated the relative electrophilic nature of the iodine atom in several halogenating agents and triazolic species, including $N$-iodosuccinimide or cyanogen iodide ${ }^{119}$ (Figura 1.3). According to these results, we could anticipate the potential suitability of both reagents as electrophilic halogen sources for triazole carbenes.

Barrios, G.; Bouffard, J.; Donnadieu, B.; Bertrand, G. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 4759-4762. "Crystalline 1H-1,2,3-triazol-5-ylidenes: new stable mesoionic carbenes (MICs)".
117 Lin, I. J. B.; Vasam, C. S. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2007, 251, 642-670. "Preparation and application of N -heterocyclic carbene complexes of $\mathrm{Ag}(\mathrm{I}) "$ ".
118 Computed with the Gaussian 09vB. 01 using the basis set $6-311 \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p})$ for hydrogen, carbon, nitrogen and oxygen atoms, and the basis aug-cc-pVDZ-PP EMSL for iodine atom. Reed, A.E.; Curtiss, L. A.; Weinhold, F. Chem. Rev. 1988, 88, 899-926. "Intermolecular interactions from anatural bond orbital, donor-acceptor view point".
${ }^{119}$ Cyanogen iodide is a stable solid, readily available in multigram scale from sodium cyanide and molecular iodine: Bak, B.; Hillebert, A. Org. Synth. Coll. Vol. 1963, 4, 207-208. "Cyanogen iodide".


Figure 4. 1. Natural bonding orbital (NBO) charges calculated for iodine atom in different iodinated species.

Considering these computational results, we judged interesting to study the reaction of triazole mesoionic carbenes or their silver complexes with molecular iodine, N halosuccinimides and cyanogen halides.

### 4.3 Objectives

According to the hypothesis discussed above, we stablished the following objectives for this part of the thesis work:

1. To study the metal-free synthesis of 5-halo-3-methyl-1,2,3-triazolium salts following a C5 deprotonation-halogenation sequence, using cyanogen halides as halogenating reagents.

2. To study the electrophilic halogenations reaction of silver 1,2,3-triazole carbene complexes using several halogenating reagents, including iodine, $N$-halosuccinimides or cyanogen halides.


A computational analysis of the likely reaction pathways will be undertaken to gain insight on the mechanistic details of the reaction and to compare it with the silver-free transformation.

### 4.4 Results and discussion

### 4.4.1 Deprotonative halogenation of $\boldsymbol{N}$-alkyl-1,2,3-triazolium salts

To address the first objective of this chapter, we studied the deprotonation of a 3-methyl-1,2,3-triazolium salts to the corresponding carbenes in the presence of an halogenating reagent. We selected the 1,4-diaryl triazolium tetrafluoroborate $\mathbf{8 1}{ }^{120}$ as a model to prevent unwanted deprotonations at 1,4 - positions by the strong base required to form the mesoionic carbene intermediate (tipically, KHMDS or LiHMDS). Among the potential halogenating agents (see Figure 4. 1 in the introduction section), we selected cyanogen iodide for its lack of potentially acidic hydrogen atoms. Thus, following a standard protocol to prepare mesoionic carbenes, ${ }^{116 \mathrm{~b}}$ the triazolium salt $\mathbf{8 1}$ was treated with 3 equivalents of lithium bis(trimethylsilyl)amide in THF at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 hour, followed by the addition of cyanogen iodide and warming of the mixture to room temperature (Scheme 4.4).


Scheme 4. 4. Demethylation reaction of 1,4-diaryl-3-methyl-1,2,3-triazolium salt $\mathbf{8 1}$.
Surprisingly, instead of the expected C5-halogenation product, we observed a clean and quasi-quantitatine $N$-demethylation of the triazolium ring to the parent triazole. The transformation was confirmed unambiguously by comparing the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of $\mathbf{8 1}$ and the reaction product $\mathbf{8 2}$ (Figure 4. 2). An upfield shift of the triazolic proton from 8.8 ppm to 8.2 ppm and the disappearance of the methyl protons at 4.4 ppm were fully consistent with the proposed reaction.

[^43]

Figure 4. 2. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) spectra of the triazole derivatives $\mathbf{8 1}$ and $\mathbf{8 2}$ (see Scheme 4. 4).

The intriguing nature of the transformation and the smooth reaction conditions required to perform it (compare, for example, with the $N$-demethylation described in section 2.4.4, pages 71-72) prompted us to conduct a more in depth study carrying out a few additional experiments (Scheme 4. 5). First, we repeated the reaction in the absence of cyanogen iodide, replacing it with $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The disappearance of CH protons at 8.8 ppm in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of the product and the unchanged presence of the $N$-methyl group at 4.5 ppm , denoted the formation of the C5-deuterated triazolium salt $\mathbf{8 4}$. The deuteration proved the formation of the carbene intermediate $\mathbf{8 3}$ under the conditions used and confirmed the necessity of cyanogen iodide to complete the $N$-demethylation of the triazolium ring. Next, (Scheme 4. 5, B) we repeated the experiment treating the in situ generated carbene 83 with cyanogen iodide and increasing the temperature. Reaction aliquots were quenched periodically with a diluted solution of trimethylsilyl chloride and acetic acid in THF. At -78 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the starting triazolium cation 81 was only partially converted into 5 -iodotriazolium $\mathbf{8 5}$. Raising the temperature to $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 5$-iodotriazolium cyanide $\mathbf{8 5}$ was obtained as the only product (see the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of Figure 4. 3).
A)

B)


Scheme 4. 5. Deuteration reaction (A) and sequential outcome (B) of the $N$-demethylation reaction of the triazolium salt $\mathbf{8 1}$ to put into evidence the formation of reaction intermediates.

Warming the mixture to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, resulted in a complete demethylation of $\mathbf{8 5}$ to the 5iodotriazole 86. Finally, adding one equivalent of LiHMDS and keeping the mixture at room temperature, led to the total deiodination reaction of $\mathbf{8 6}$ to $\mathbf{8 2}$.

These experiments clearly established the reaction intermediates involved in the N demethylation sequence of the triazolium salt 81 and confirmed the demethylation of the 5iodotriazolium cyanide $\mathbf{8 5}$ into the 5-iodotriazole $\mathbf{8 6}$ at temperatures around $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ as the key reaction step.


Figure 4. 3. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{d}_{3}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ spectra of reaction aliquots quenched at different temperatures from the demethylation reaction of $\mathbf{8 1}$ with LiHMDS and cyanogen iodide (Scheme 4. 5).

In parallel with the experimental exploration, a collaboration was stablished with Prof. Enrique Gómez-Bengoa and Béla Fiser (Departamento de Química Orgánica-I UPV/EHU) to gain insight on the mechanism of the aforementioned demethylation step (85 $\rightarrow 86$ ).

We guessed at least two plausible pathways to explain the $N$-demethylation of $\mathbf{8 5}$ (Figure 4. 4). The first obvious option was the $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}}{ }^{2}$ attack of cyanide anion to the electrophilic $N$-methyl group in 85 to form the iodotriazole 86 and acetonitrile (TS-I). Alternatively, the strongly basic bis(trimethylsilylamide) anion could deprotonate the relatively acidic methyl group (TS-II) to generate a transient ylide intermediate $\mathbf{8 7}$ that would subsequently envolve to the iodotriazole 86 (see Figure 4. 4).


Figure 4. 4. Free-energy profiles of two alternative reaction pathways for the $N$-demethylation of $\mathbf{8 5}$.
All reported structures were optimized at DFT level by using the B3LYP ${ }^{121}$ hybrid functional as implemented in Gaussian 09. ${ }^{122}$ Optimizations were carried out in a solvent model ${ }^{123}$ (IEFPCM, solvent $=$ acetonitrile $)$, using the standard $6-31 \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p})$ basis set for $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}$, N and Si atoms. The LANL2DZ basis set was used for I atom. ${ }^{124}$

121 (a) Lee, C.; Yang, W.; Parr, R. G. Phys. Rev. B 1988, 37, 785-789. "Development of the ColleSalvetti correlation-energy formula into a functional of the electron density". (b) Becke, A. D. J. Chem. Phys. 1993, 98, 5648-5652. "Density-functional thermochemistry. III. The role of exact exchange". (c) Kohn, W.; Becke, A. D.; Parr, R. G. J. Phys. Chem. 1996, 100, 12974-12980. "Density Functional Theory of electronic structure".
${ }^{122}$ Gaussian 09, Revision D.01; M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, B. Mennucci, G. A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, M. Caricato, X. Li, H. P. Hratchian, A. F. Izmaylov, J. Bloino, G. Zheng, J. L. Sonnenberg, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, T. Vreven, J. A. Montgomery, Jr., J. E. Peralta, F. Ogliaro, M. Bearpark, J. J. Heyd, E. Brothers, K. N. Kudin, V. N. Staroverov, T. Keith, R. Kobayashi, J. Normand, K. Raghavachari, A. Rendell, J. C. Burant, S. S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, M. Cossi, N. Rega, J. M. Millam, M. Klene, J. E. Knox, J. B. Cross, V. Bakken, C. Adamo, J. Jaramillo, R. Gomperts, R. E. Stratmann, O. Yazyev, A. J. Austin, R. Cammi, C. Pomelli, J. W. Ochterski, R. L. Martin, K. Morokuma, V. G. Zakrzewski, G. A. Voth, P. Salvador, J. J. Dannenberg, S. Dapprich, A. D. Daniels, O. Farkas, J. B. Foresman, J. V. Ortiz, J. Cioslowski, and D. J. Fox, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT, 2013.
123 (a) Cancès, E.; Mennucci, B.; Tomasi, J. J. Chem. Phys. 1997, 107, 3032-3047. "A new integral equation formalism for the polarizable continuum model: theoretical background and applications to isotropic and anisotropic dielectrics". (b) Cossi, M.; Barone, V.; Mennuci, B.; Tomasi, J. Chem. Phys. Lett. 1998, 286, 253-260. "Ab initio study of ionic solutions by a polarizable continuum

Although both mechanisms provided consistent transition states, the $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}}{ }^{2}$ pathway through TS-I was about $3 \mathrm{kcal} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ higher in energy than the alternative deprotonation mechanism. In addition, the neutral electronic character of ylide 87 likely contributed to its stability. Besides, we concluded that transition state TS-II was the preferred pathway to the demethylated iodotriazole 86. The detailed structure of the transition state TS-II it shown in

Figure 4. 4. Finally, the activation energy for the $\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{H}$ deprotonation of the parent triazolium proton in 81 (Scheme 4. 5) was found to be only $4.4 \mathrm{kcal} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$, which was consistent with the easy carbene formation observed at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

On the basis of the results discussed above, we concluded that the deprotonationhalogenation of $N$-alkyl-1,2,3-triazolium salts was not a synthetically convenient approach to prepare 5-halo-1,2,3-triazolium salts. Conversely, the deprotonation-halogenation approach provided a potentially usefull method for the mild $N$-dealkylation of 1,2,3-triazolium salts by the abstraction of an $\alpha$-alkyl proton with a base in the presence of cyanogen halides.

### 4.4.2 Synthesis of 5-halo-1,2,3-triazolium salts from silver carbenes

Next, we addressed the synthesis of 5-halo-1,2,3-triazolium salts from the readily available silver carbenes by reaction with electrophilic halogen sources. Since silver triazole carbenes are compatible with a considerable number of functional groups, this approach was expected to have a wider scope than the deprotonation-halogenation method. For the successful preparation of silver carbene complexes from 3-methyl-1,2,3-triazolium cations and $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, the counteranion of the starting salt was of crucial importance. Iodide and similar halides strongly stabilize the silver cation in the carbene complex $\mathbf{8 9}$, whereas $\mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$or $\mathrm{TfO}^{-}$nucleofuge anions favour the formation of cationic silver complexes coordinated with two carbene ligands.
dielectric model". (c) Tomasi, J.; Mennucci, B.; Cancès, E. J. Mol. Struct. (Theochem), 1999, 464, 211-226. "The IEF version of the PCM solvation method: an overview of a new method addressed to study molecular solutes at the QM ab initio level".
124 (a) Hay, P. J.; Wadt, W. R. J. Chem. Phys. 1985, 82, 270-283. "Ab initio effective core potentials for molecular calculations. Potentials for the transition metal atoms scandium to mercury". (b) Wadt, W. R.; Hay, P. J. J. Chem. Phys. 1985, 82, 284-298. "Ab initio effective core potentials for molecular calculations. Potentials for main group elements Na to Bi". (c) Hay, P. J.; Wadt, W. R. J. Chem. Phys. 1985, 82, 299-310. "Ab initio effective core potentials for molecular calculations. Potentials for potassium to gold including the outermost core orbitals".

To start our study, we screened the halogenation reaction of the triazolium iodide $\mathbf{8 8}^{125}$ with several electrophilic halogen sources under different conditions (Table 4. 1). Reactions were conducted in NMR sample tubes using MeCN- $\mathrm{d}_{3}$ as solvent.

Table 4. 1. Reaction screening for the halogenation of carbene $\mathbf{8 9}$ with electrophilic halogen sources.


Reagents and conditions: (a) $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( 1.5 eq), $\mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{d}_{3}$, r.t., 16 h ; (b) reagent ( 1.2 eq), r.t.

| Entry | Reagent | Time (h) | X | Y | Product | Conversion ${ }^{\text {a }}$ (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ | 5 | I | I | 90 a | 60 |
| 2 |  | 3 | I | I | 90a | $<10$ |
| 3 |  | 3 | -- | -- | -- | -- ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| 4 |  | 3 | -- | -- | -- | -- ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| 5 | ICN | 1 | I | CN | 90b | >98 |
| 6 | BrCN | 1 | Br | CN | 90 c | >98 |
| $7^{\text {c }}$ | ICN | 1 | I | CN | 90d | $90^{\text {d }}$ |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Conversion calculated by integration of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR signals of N -methyl groups of the carbene and the halotriazolium salt. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ No conversion observed. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ One-pot synthesis using a mixture of $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{O} / I C N$. ${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Yield of isolated pure product.

Unexpectedly, the metalation reaction of 1,2,3-triazolium iodide $\mathbf{8 8}$ with silver oxide took place with concomitant deuteration at the benzylic position providing the carbene 89. This observation was in agreement with the easy $\alpha$-deprotonation predicted for triazolium

[^44]cation $N$-substituents (Figure 4. 4) and was an additional demonstration of the acidityenhancement caused by the triazolium moiety. Consequently, all the halogenation reactions of silver carbene complexes conducted in deuterated solvents furnished the corresponding deuterated 5-halo-1,2,3-triazolium salts 90 .

Regarding the silver-halogen exchange ability of the electrophilic halogen sources tested, results collected in Table 4.1 clearly revealed the superiority of cyanogen iodide and cyanogen bromide (entries 5-7) compared to molecular iodine (entry 1) and N halosuccinimides (entries 2-4). Importantly, product 90d was obtained in $90 \%$ isolated yield (entry 7) when the reaction was carried out in a single operation reacting the triazolium salt 88 with a mixture of $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and cyanogen iodide. ${ }^{126}$ Besides, the reaction products obtained from cyanogen halides were particularly easy to purify. Actually, a simple filtration through celite and sublimation of the low-boiling halogen cyanides during the evaporation of the solvents provided practically pure 5-halo-1,2,3-triazolium salts. Therefore, we selected cyanogen halides to conduct further experiments.

The deuterated nature of 5-iodo-1,2,3-triazolium salt 90a was confirmed by comparison with the protonated product obtained by conducting the same reaction in normal acetonitrile. In Figure 4.5 are compared the assigned ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of the 5-iodo-1,2,3-1,2,3-triazolium salt 78a and the deuterated analog 90b.

[^45]

Figure 4. 5. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{d}_{3}$ ) spectra of iodinated triazolium salts 90 b and $\mathbf{7 8 a}$.

The deuteration of 90b was also assessed from its HMRS spectrum (Figure 4. 6). A base peak at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=378.0444$, consistent with the molecular formula $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{IN}_{3}$, and a fragmentation peak at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=252.1478\left(\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3}\right)$ arising from the loss of the iodine atom, fully confirmed the proposed structure.


Figure 4. 6. HMRS spectrum of deuterated triazolium cation $\mathbf{9 0 b}$.

With the optimized conditions in hand, we studied the scope of the synthesis of 5-halo-3-methyl-1,2,3-triazolium salts 78 following the one-pot metalation-halogenation procedure described above (Table 4. 2).

Table 4. 2. One-pot metalation-halogenation synthesis of iodinated triazolium salts 78.


Reagents and conditions: (a) $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (1.5 eq), XCN (1.2 eq), $\mathrm{MeCN} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} 1: 1$, r.t., $5-18 \mathrm{~h}$.

| Entry | Substrate | X | $\mathbf{R}^{1}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{3}$ | X | Product | Yield ${ }^{\text {a }} 78$ (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 77a | I | Bn | Ph | Me | I | 78a | 99 |
| 2 | 77b | Cl | Bn | Ph | Me | Br | 78b | 99 |
| 3 | 77c | I | Bn | $\mathrm{HOCH}_{2}{ }^{-}$ | Me | I | 78c | 96 |
| 4 | 77d | I | Bn | $4-\mathrm{MeO}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}{ }^{-}$ | Me | I | 78d | 89 |
| 5 | 77e | I | Bn |  | Me | I | 78e | 98 |
| 6 | 77f | I | Ph | Ph | Me | I | 78 f | 98 |
| 7 | 77g | Cl | Ph | Ph | Ph | Br | 78g | 96 |
| 8 | 77h | I |  | Ph | Me | I | 78h | -- ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| 9 | 77i | I | $\star$ |  | Me | I | $78 \mathbf{i}$ | -- ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| 10 | 77j | I | Bn |  | Me | I | 78j | 92 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Yield of isolated pure product. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ No reaction was observed.
The reaction provided excellent isolated yields of most 5-halo-1,2,3-triazolium cyanides and was tolerant with several functional groups including alcohols (entry 3) or carbamate NH functions (entry 5). All the products showed characteristic cyanide IR absorption bands at $2130-2138 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Both 5-iodo-triazolium and 5-bromo-triazolium salts were obtained by just changing the halogenating reagent from cyanogen iodide to the commercially available cyanogen bromide (entries 1-2). It is noteworthy that only the halogen atom of the cyanogen halides was bonded to the triazole carbene intermediate,
according to the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ RMN and HRMS spectra. The method also allowed for the preparation of 1,3,4-triarylated-1,2,3-triazolium halides (entry 7) or the bistriazole salt 78j (entry 10). Only the substrates containing $N 1$-substituents with markedly acidic $\alpha$-protons (entries 8-9) failed to give the desired iodinated triazolium salt. Instead, unidentified reaction by-products were formed, probably araising from the decomposition of an unstable triazolium ylide intermediate.

As an example of the formation of functionalized 5-iodo-1,2,3-triazolium salts, the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of 78e and its precursor 77e are shown in Figure 4. 7.


Figure 4. 7. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) spectra of triazolium compounds 77 e and $\mathbf{7 8 e}$.
Looking for chemoselective triazolium halogenation reactions, we investigated the 4-ethynyl-1,2,3-triazolium iodide 91, containing a potentially competitive $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond (Scheme 4. 6). Performing the reaction in the presence of 1.1 equivalents of $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and 2.0 equivalents of ICN, the iodoalkyne $\mathbf{9 2}$ was obtained as the exclusive reaction product. This result suggests a stronger acidity of the alkyne proton compared to the triazolium proton and, hence, the faster formation of a silver acetylide intermediate than the triazole carbene.

Besides, performing the halogenation of 91 with 2.2 equivalents of $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{O} 2.0$ and 4.0 equivalents of ICN, resulted in the high yield formation of the dihalogenated product 93.


Scheme 4. 6. Chemoselective iodination reaction of the cationic alkyne 91. Reagents and conditions: (a) $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1.1 \mathrm{eq}), \mathrm{ICN}(2.0 \mathrm{eq}), \mathrm{MeCN} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} 1: 1$, r.t., 18 h .; (b) $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (2.2 eq), ICN (4.0 eq), $\mathrm{MeCN}: \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ 1:1, r.t., 18 h .

Finally, we checked the dihalogenation of bistriazolyl silver dicarbenes to prepare bis-(5,5'-dihalo-1,2,3-triazolium) salts 95 incorporating an induced axial chirality element into their structures (Scheme 4. 7). This transformation was achieved treating the bistriazolium salt 94 with $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in the presence of cyanogen bromide. Alternatively, the $N, N^{\prime}$ dialkylation reaction of the 5,5'-diiodinated bistriazole 96 also gave the same type of unprecedented chiral compounds. The bis-triazole precursors 94 and 96 required to conduct the study were obtained by double CuAAC cycloadditions of benzylazide with 1,4-bis(trimethylsilyl)-1,3-butadiine ${ }^{127}$ and 1,4-diiodo-1,3-butadiyne, ${ }^{115}$ respectively.


Scheme 4. 7. Synthesis of 5,5'-dihalogenated bis(1,2,3-triazolium) salts 95 incorporating a chiral axis. Reagents and conditions: (a) i) $\mathrm{Bn}-\mathrm{N}_{3}, \mathrm{CuSO}_{4} \cdot 5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, sodium ascorbate, pyridine, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, t \mathrm{BuOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ $1: 1$, r.t., 24 h ; ii) $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{OBF}_{4}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, r.t., 16 h ; iii) Amberlist. HCl ; (b) $\mathrm{Bn}-\mathrm{N}_{3}, \mathrm{CuI}$, TBTA, THF, r.t., 18 h; (c) $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{Br}-\mathrm{CN}, \mathrm{MeCN}$, r.t., 16 h ; (d) $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{OBF}_{4}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, r.t, 18 h .

[^46]In Figure 4. 8 are compared the assigned ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of the iodinated triazole 96 and the bis-triazolium salt 95b. The magnetically equivalent benzylic protons of 96 resonating at 5.7 ppm turned into diastereotopic nonequivalent protons at 6.0 ppm for the salt 95b. This fact clearly indicated the creation of an important rotation restriction around the 5,5'-C-C bond and, hence, the incorporation of a chiral axis to the atropoisomeric racemic bis-triazolium salt 95b.


Figure 4. 8. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{d}_{4}$ ) spectra of the iodinated bis-triazoles $\mathbf{9 5 b}$ and 96.

According to our previous observation (see Table 4. 1), dibromination of 94 with silver oxide and cyanogen bromide should take place through a chiral bis-triazolylidene silver dicarbene intermediate 97. To confirm this aspect, we monitored by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR the reaction of bis-triazolium salt $\mathbf{9 4}$ with silver oxide in $\mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{d}_{3}$ at different conversion stages (see Figure 4. 9).

The analysis of spectra revealed a stepwise formation of the silver dicarbene 97 through a monometalated transient intermediate, which was clearly detectable at $\approx 50 \%$ reaction conversion. This observation also suggested a significantly slower reaction rate for
the second metalation step, as a consequence of the electron donating effect of the neighboring carbene, which should weaken the acidity of the triazolium ring. Importantly, the benzylic methylene protons became diastereotopic in the biscarbene complex 97 as a result of the formation of a chiral axis during the double metalation reaction.


Figure 4. 9. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{d}_{3}\right)$ spectra of the conversion of $\mathbf{9 4}$ into the chiral racemic silver dicarbene $97\left(\mathrm{~L}=\mathrm{NC}-\mathrm{Me}-\mathrm{d}_{3}\right)$.

In order to get insight on the specific role exerted by the silver cation in these transformations and the remarkable effectiveness shown by cyanogen halides compared to other electrophilic halogen sources, we decided to perform a computational mechanistic exploration.

### 4.4.2.1 Computational study of the iodination of silver triazolylidenes with cyanogen iodide

To conduct a computational investigation of the mechanism of the halogenation reaction of silver 1,2,3-triazole carbenes with cyanogen iodide, we selected the trimethylated structure 98 as a model. Calculation were conducted by Prof. Enrique Gómez-Bengoa and

Béla Fiser (Departamento de Química Orgánica-I, UPV-EHU) using the same methodology described in section 4.4.1 with the solvent model (IEFPCM, solvent= acetonitrile) and the LANL2DZ basis set for the Ag atom. After extensive computation, the sequential mechanism shown in Figure 4.10 was proposed.


Figure 4. 10. Free-energy profile for the iodination reaction of the silver carbene $\mathbf{9 8}$ with cyanogen iodide.

Initially, silver complex 98 dissociated to the free carbene 99 and silver iodide in an endothermic process. Coordination of the freshly freed AgI ion pair with the cyanogen iodide nitrogen atom resulted in the formation of complex 100. This species had an electrophilic iodide atom which accepted the carbene electron lone pair to form the complex 101 possessing a partial triazolium ylide character. The exothermic dissociation ( $-19.2 \mathrm{kcal} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ ) of the I-C bond in $\mathbf{1 0 1}$ delivered the final 5-iodo-triazolium product 102, together with a transient silver iodide-isocyanide complex $\mathbf{1 0 3}$ which inmediatly rearranged to the cyanoiodoargentate (I) anion 104 liberating an additional $-30.2 \mathrm{kcal} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$.

All the intermediates involved in the mechanism were accessible through very low barrier transition states. Therefore, the free energy values quoted in Figure 4. 10 correspond to the energy minima of such intermediates. The reaction rate-determining step was the addition of the silver complex $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ to carbene $\mathbf{9 9}$ to form the intermediate 101. This step was almost barrierless, slightly exothermic and highly favoured by the strong electrophilic character of the iodine atom in complex 100. Indeed, one could assume an alternative reaction pathway involving the interaction of triazole carbene 99 with cyanogen iodide to form directly the silver free product 102 and cyanide anion. However, a comparison of the NBO charges of the iodine atom in $100(+0.43)$ and in cyanogen iodide $(+0.32)$, clearly, precluded the direct attack of cyanogen iodide to carbene $\mathbf{9 9}$ when silver salts were present in the reaction.

Finally, an alternative three center mechanism involving the interaction of cyanogen iodide and the carbene complex 98 was also examined, but it was discarded because it required an activation barrier energy of $67 \mathrm{kcal} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$.

### 4.4.3 Peterson olefination of $N 1$-( $\alpha$-silylalkyl)-1,2,3-triazolyl carbanions

As detailed in the previous section (Table 4. 1, page 116), $\alpha$-deprotonation of $N$ -(alkyl)-1,2,3-triazolium cations with suitable bases provides triazolyl carbenes with the concomitant formation of transient N1-( $\alpha$-alkyl)-1,2,3-triazolium carbanions able to experience $\alpha$-deuteration reactions.

We hypothesized that a double deprotonation of triazolium cations bearing suitable carbanion-stabilizing groups should lead to carbene-carbanion intermediates that could be trapped with carbonyl compounds to form carbon-carbon bonds adjacent to the triazolium ring (Scheme 4. 8). Trialkylsilyl group are known to be excellent $\alpha$-carbanion stabilizing substituents, and they add to carbonyl compounds to form $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ bonds (Peterson olefination). ${ }^{128}$

[^47]

Scheme 4. 8. Silicon-stabilized 1,2,3-triazolylidene- $\alpha$-carbanions as potential substrates for Peterson olefination.

We selected the trimethylsilylmethyl- and bis(trimethylsilyl)methyl groups ${ }^{129}$ as substituents of choice at position $N 1$ of 1,2,3-triazolium heterocycles to study the double deprotonation in the presence of strong bases. Although one silyl group is lost in this reaction, the use of bis(trimethylsilyl)methyl triazolium salts $\left(\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)$ as substrates would allow to keep one silyl group attached to the final product. In this way, novel $\alpha$ silylalkyl triazoles would be accessible from a single triazole skeleton. It is worth mentioning that some silicon-containing azaheterocyclic compounds display remarkable biological activities. ${ }^{130}$

To conduct our exploratory study, we first carried out the synthesis of siliconcontaining triazoles 106 and triazolium salts $\mathbf{1 0 7}$ from the commercially available chloromethyltrimethylsilane 105a and chlorobis(trimethylsilyl)methane 105b. First, the chloromethylsilanes were reacted with sodium azide in HMPA to form the corresponding trimethylsilylmethyl azides, followed by the in situ addition of phenylacetylene, DIPEA and CuI catalyst. Then, the resulting 1 -(trimethylsilyl)methyl-1,2,3-triazoles 106 were N alkylated with trimethyloxonium tetrafluoroborate or methyl triflate in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ to afford good overall yields of triazolium salts 107 (Scheme 4. 9).
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Scheme 4. 9. Synthesis of silylated 1,2,3-triazoles 106 and triazolium salts 107. Reagents and conditions: (a) i) $\mathrm{NaN}_{3}$, HMPA, r.t., 2 h ; ii) phenylacetylene, CuI, DIPEA, r.t., 18 h ; (b) $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{OBF}_{4}$ or MeOTf, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, rt., 18 h .

To check out the deprotonation reaction of N1-alkyl-triazolium cations, we first treated compounds 107a-c with two equivalents of LiHMDS at low temperature in the presence of the carbene-trapping rhodium(I) complex $[\mathrm{Rh}(\operatorname{cod}) \mathrm{Cl}]_{2}$ (Scheme 4. 10). In all cases, the silylated N1-alkyl chains remained uncharged and only the rhodium carbene complexes 105a-c, arising from the $\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{H}$ monodeprotonation, were obtained in good yields, Then, we conducted a similar reaction using a stronger base ( BuLi ) and adding one equivalent of pivalaldehyde to the preformed anion of $\mathbf{1 0 7 b}$. Dissapointingly, the reaction only gave the desilylated triazolium salt $\mathbf{1 0 8}$, but no trace of the expected olefination product 109. Similar results were obtained from triazolium salts $107 \mathbf{a}$, and benzylic compounds 107c gave only decomposition side products.


Scheme 4. 10. Attemped double deprotonation of $N$-alkyl-1,2,3-triazolium salts 107, followed by trapping with pivalaldehyde or $[\mathrm{Rh}(\operatorname{cod}) \mathrm{Cl}]_{2}$.

To establish whether the failure of the $\alpha$-deprotonation reactions of triazolium salts 107 was caused by the cationic heterocyclic ring, we tested the same transformation starting from the neutral $N$-[bis(trimethylsilyl)methyl)]-1,2,3-triazole 106b. This compound was deprotonated with BuLi in THF for 1 hour at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the resulting bis(trimethylsilyl) $\alpha$ carbanion was olefinated with a few nonenolizable aldehydes (see Table 4. 3). Mixtures of $Z: E$ isomers of the unprecedent $\alpha$-triazolyl vinylsilanes 111 were obtained in fair to good yields and, in some instances (entry 2), each isomer could be separated by column chromatography or crystallization. Besides, the catalytic hydrogenation of isomeric mixtures allowed the quantitative transformation into the novel silylated triazoles $\mathbf{1 1 2}$.

Table 4. 3 Peterson olefination of silyl-triazole $\mathbf{1 0 6 b}$ with aldehydes.


Reagents and conditions: (a) i) BuLi , THF, $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$; ii) R-CHO, $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 18 \mathrm{~h}$; (b) $\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$, MeOH , r.t., 18 h .

| Entry | $\mathbf{R}$ | Product | Yield ${ }^{\text {a }}$ (\%) | Product | Yield ${ }^{\text {a }}$ (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\mathrm{H}^{\text {b }}$ | 111a | 83 | -- | -- |
| 2 |  | 111b | $77^{\text {c }}$ | 112b | >99 |
| 3 |  | 111c | $77^{\text {d }}$ | 112c | >99 |
| 4 |  | 111d | $75^{\text {e }}$ | 112d | >99 |
| 5 |  | 111e | $64^{\text {f }}$ | -- | -- |

${ }^{a}$ Yield of isolated pure product. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Anhydrous formaldehyde gas was prepared by thermal depolymerization of paraformaldehyde. ${ }^{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{Z} / \mathrm{E}$ ratio: $69 / 31 .{ }^{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{Z} / \mathrm{E}$ ratio was not measured. ${ }^{\mathrm{e}} \mathrm{Z} / \mathrm{E}$ ratio: 44/56. ${ }^{\text {f }}$ Z/E ratio: 50/50.

As discussed above (Scheme 4. 10) our attemps to prepare $\alpha$-(1,2,3-triazolium)vinylsilanes 109 by double deprotonation/Peterson olefination of $N$-(silylalkyl)-triazolium
salts met with failure. In contrast, we found that $N$-alkylation of $\alpha$-triazolyl-vinylsilanes 111 with trimethyloxonium tetrafluoroborate provided the triazolium salt $\mathbf{1 0 9}$ in good yields (Scheme 4. 11 and Figure 4. 11).


Scheme 4. 11. Synthesis of $\alpha$-(1,2,3-triazolium)-vinylsilanes 109. Reagents and conditions: (a) $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{OBF}_{4}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, r.t., 16 h.


Figure 4. 11. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ spectra of silylated triazole compounds $\mathbf{1 1 1 b}, \mathbf{1 1 2 b}$ and 109b.

### 4.5 Conclusions

Halogenation of $1,2,3$-triazole-5-ylidene carbenes with cyanogen iodide or cyanogen bromide provides a novel general entry to 1,4,3-trisubstituted 5 -halo-1,2,3triazolium cyanides.

When the intermediate carbenes are formed by deprotonation of $1,2,3$-triazolium salts at C5-H position with strong bases in the presence of cyanogen halides, the triazole ring may experience a $N 3$-dealkylation reaction to provide 5 -halo-1,2,3-triazoles. According to computational calculations, this reaction occurs by $\alpha$-deprotonation of the $N 3$-alkyl group.

Alternatively, silver carbene complexes prepared in situ from 1,4,3-trisubstituted $1,2,3$-triazolium salts in the presence of cyanogen halides also provide 5 -halo- $1,2,3$-triazoles in a one-pot manner. If this transformation is conducted in $\mathrm{MeCN}^{2} \mathrm{~d}_{3}, 5$-halo- $1,2,3$-triazolium salts deuterated at the $N 1$-substituent $\alpha$-position can be obtained. The computationally calculated mechanism for this reaction suggests that I-CN-AgI intermediate is the more likely electrophilic halide source to iodinate $1,2,3$-triazolylidene carbenes.

## 5

General conclusions

## 5 General conclusions

Easily accessible "click" 3-alkyl-1,2,3-triazolium salts provide a novel platform to perform unprecedented synthetic transformation taking advantage of their strongly electron deficient nature.

Cationic triazolium C4-alkynes react with alkyl- and aryl azides under Huisgen thermal activation conditions to give mixtures of bicyclic 4-(1,2,3-triazolyl)-3-methyl-1,2,3triazolium salts about $10^{2}$ times faster than nonactivated alkynes. Cationic triazolium alkynes act as strong LUMO-lowering dipolarophiles, narrowing the HOMO-LUMO energy gap of the azide-alkyne interaction, and favoring the reaction with nucleophilic azides. This reaction occurs with high 1,4/1,5- regioselectivity (typically > 95\%) caused by the orthogonal geometry adopted by the transition state TS-1,5 (but not by TS-1,4) around the newly created N -alkyl-1,2,3-triazolium ring. Cationic triazolium alkynes also react with azides under "ultra-fast" CuAAC conditions ( $<5 \mathrm{~min}$ ) to give bicyclic 4-(1,2,3-triazolyl)-3-methyl-1,2,3-triazolium salts in a completely chemoselective way, compatible with neutral alkynes.

A general route to prepare $N 3$-alkyl-1,2,3-triazolium salts with an additional labeled or functionalized $N 3$-substituent has been developed for the first time. Solvent-free N3alkylation of 1,2,3-triazoles with functionalized alkyl triflates provides N1,N3,C4-trisubstituted-1,2,3-triazolium salts with great operational simplicity. The latent reactivity of some model $N 3$-( $\omega$-azidoalkyl)-1,2,3-triazolium salts has been demonstrated by a CuAAC coupling with alkynes to which affords multisubstituted bis(1,2,3-triazolium) salts with total positional control. When the method is applied to 4 -ethynyl-1,2,3-triazoles using short $\omega$ azidoalkyl triflates, a novel tandem reaction involving an intramolecular thermal [3+2] azidealkyne cycloaddition, leads to unprecedented tricyclic bis-triazole-triazolium systems.

The enhanced C5-H acidity of 3-alkyl-1,2,3-triazolium salts can been exploited to form intermediate 1,2,3-triazole-5-ylidene carbenes or their silver(I) complexes, which react with cyanogen iodide or cyanogen bromide to give 1,4,3-trisubstituted 5-halo-1,2,3triazolium salts. Computational calculations suggest that halogenation of silver carbenes occurs through highly electrophilic $\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{CN}-\mathrm{AgI}$ intermediates. In the presence of strong bases, 5-halo-1,2,3-triazolium salts may experience a N3-dealkylation reaction to provide 5-halo-1,2,3-triazoles.

## 6

Experimental

## 6 Experimental <br> General

All reagents and solvents were obtained from commercial sources (Aldrich, Acros, Merck, Sigma and Fluka) and were used without further purification unless stated otherwise. Tetrahydrofuran (THF) and diethyl ether ( $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) were dried through PS-MD-2columns. Extra pure dichloromethane $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$, acetonitrile $(\mathrm{MeCN})$, hexane (Hex) and ethyl acetate (EtOAc) were bought from Sharlau.

Moisture sensitive reactions were carried out under an atmosphere of nitrogen in oven or flame-dried glassware with magnetic stirring.

Purification of reaction products was carried out by flash chromatography using silica gel 60 (230-400 mesh). Analytical thin layer chromatography was performed on 0.25 mm silica gel 60-F plates. Visualization was accomplished with UV light and phosphomolybdic acidammonium cerium (IV) nitric-sulfuric acid-water reagent, followed by heating.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker 500,400 and 300 MHz ; and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra at 125,101 and 75 MHz . The chemical shifts are reported as $\delta$ values ( ppm ) relative to residual deuterated solvent as internal standards: for $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3} \delta \mathrm{H}(7.26 \mathrm{ppm})$ and $\delta \mathrm{C}(77.16 \mathrm{ppm})$, respectively; for $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{d}_{4} \delta \mathrm{H}(3.31 \mathrm{ppm})$ and $\delta \mathrm{C}(49.0 \mathrm{ppm})$, respectively; for $\mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{d}_{3} \delta \mathrm{H}$ (1.94 ppm) and $\delta \mathrm{C}(118.26 \mathrm{ppm}, 1.32 \mathrm{ppm})$, respectively; for DMSO $-\mathrm{d}_{6} \delta \mathrm{H}(2.50 \mathrm{ppm})$ and $\delta \mathrm{C}(39.52 \mathrm{ppm})$, respectively.

Mass spectra were acquired on a time of flight (TOF) mass spectrometer (SYNAPT G2 HDMS from Waters, Milford, MA, USA) equipped with an electrospray source in positive mode (ESI+). Melting points were measured with a Büchi SMP-20 melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. Infrared spectra were recorded on a Bruker Alpha P. Optical rotations were measured on an Jasco P-200 polarimeter using a sodium lamp (589 nm, D line) at 25 $\pm 0.2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Analytical HPLC was performed on a Waters-600E chromatograph (diode array UV detector), using a Diacel Chiralpak OD-H column. The mobile phase was $\mathrm{iPrOH} / \mathrm{Hex}$ 70:30 with a flow rate of $0.5-1 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$ monitored by UV detection at 227 nm .

### 6.1 Preparation of precursors, reagents and known compounds

### 6.1.1 Preparation of Ohira-Bestmann reagent ${ }^{131}$

## $p$-Toluenesulfonyl azide (tosyl azide) ${ }^{132}$

 $p$-Toluenesulfonyl chloride ( $10.50 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.00 \mathrm{~g}$ ) and $\mathrm{NaN}_{3}(10.50 \mathrm{mmol}$, $682 \mathrm{mg})$ were taken in acetone:water $(1: 1,60 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and were stirred for 2 hours. Acetone was evaporated and the water phase was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(30 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{x}$ 3). The organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure. The product was stored in the fridge $\left(-15{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. Yield: quantitative. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (200 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.85(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.41(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}), 2.48\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.

## Dimethyl 1-diazo-2-oxopropylphosphonate ${ }^{133}$



To a solution of tosyl azide ( $11.12 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.08 \mathrm{~g}$ ) in anhydrous THF ( 50 mL ) cooled at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added successively $\mathrm{NaH}(18.50 \mathrm{mmol}, 445 \mathrm{mg})$ and a solution of dimethyl-(2-oxopropyl)-phosphonate ( $9.27 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.57 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) in THF ( 10 mL ). The mixture was stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 hour and at ambient temperature for another 1 hour. After this time the reaction mixture was filtered through a celite pad and the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure keeping the water bath of the rotary evaporator below $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The product was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, Hex/EtOAc 1:1). Yield: $356 \mathrm{mg}(90 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 3.87\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$, $3.81\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 2.27\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.
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### 6.1.2 Preparation of azides ${ }^{134}$

### 6.1.2.1 General procedure for the synthesis of aromatic azides ${ }^{135}$

To a solution of the corresponding aromatic amine ( 1.00 mmol ) in MeCN $(2.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ cooled at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added ${ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{BuONO}(1.50 \mathrm{mmol})$ followed by the dropwise addition of TMS- $\mathrm{N}_{3}(1.20$ $\mathrm{mmol})$. The resulting yellow solution was stirred at ambient temperature for 2 hours and then concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, Hex/EtOAc).

## 4-Azidobenzonitrile ${ }^{135 a}$

NC The general procedure 6.1.2.1 was followed starting from 4aminobenzonitrile ( $10.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.18 \mathrm{~g}$ ), ${ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{BuONO}(20.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.37 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and TMS-N ${ }_{3}(11.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.46 \mathrm{~mL})$ in $\mathrm{MeCN}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The product was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, Hex/EtOAc 1:10). Yield: $1.20 \mathrm{~g}(83 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar})$.

## 4-Fluorophenyl azide ${ }^{136}$


The general procedure 6.1.2.1 was followed starting from 4-fluoroaniline $(10.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.11 \mathrm{~g}),{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{BuONO}(20.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.37 \mathrm{~mL})$ and TMS-N ${ }_{3}(11.00$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 1.46 \mathrm{~mL})$ in $\mathrm{MeCN}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The product was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, Hex). Yield: $1.25 \mathrm{~g}(91 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.08(\mathrm{t}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, Ar), $7.05-6.94$ (m, 2H, Ar).

## 4-Methoxyphenyl azide ${ }^{135 \mathrm{a}}$



The general procedure 6.1.2.1 was followed starting from 4-methoxyaniline $(10.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.23 \mathrm{~g}),{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{BuONO}(20.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.37 \mathrm{~mL})$ and TMS- $\mathrm{N}_{3}(11.00$

[^50]$\mathrm{mmol}, 1.46 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) in $\mathrm{MeCN}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The product was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, Hex/EtOAc 1:10). Yield: $1.47 \mathrm{~g}(98 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 6.98$ (d, $J$ $=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 6.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 3.82\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$.

## Phenylazide ${ }^{135 b}$



The general procedure 6.1.2.1 was followed starting from aniline $(10.00 \mathrm{mmol}$, 931 mg ), ${ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{BuONO}(20.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.37 \mathrm{~mL})$ and TMS- $\mathrm{N}_{3}(11.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.46 \mathrm{~mL})$ in MeCN ( 30.00 mL ). The product was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, Hex). Yield: $893 \mathrm{mg}(75 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.38(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.17(\mathrm{t}, J$ $=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar})$.

## 2,4,6-Trimethylphenylazide ${ }^{137}$

The general procedure 6.1.2.1 was followed starting from 2,4,6-trimethylaniline
 $(10.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.40 \mathrm{~mL}),{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{BuONO}(20.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.37 \mathrm{~mL})$ and TMS-N ${ }_{3}(11.00$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 1.46 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) in $\mathrm{MeCN}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The product was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, Hex). Yield: $1.46 \mathrm{~g}(91 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 6.86$ (s, 2H, Ar), $2.35\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, C H_{3}\right), 2.28\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, C H_{3}\right)$.

## 2,6-Diisopropylphenylazide ${ }^{135 a}$

The general procedure 6.1.2.1 was followed starting from 2,6-diisopropylaniline
 $(10.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.88 \mathrm{~mL}),{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{BuONO}(20.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.37 \mathrm{~mL})$ and TMS-N ${ }_{3}$ (11.00 $\mathrm{mmol}, 1.46 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) in $\mathrm{MeCN}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The product was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, Hex). Yield: 1.81 g ( $89 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.21(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 3.39$ (hept, $\left.J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\right), 1.30\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.

## 4-Nitrophenylazide ${ }^{135 b}$

The general procedure 6.1.2.1 was followed starting from 4-nitroaniline
 $(10.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.11 \mathrm{~mL}),{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{BuONO}(20.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.37 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{TMS}^{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3}$ $(11.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.46 \mathrm{~mL})$ in $\mathrm{MeCN}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The product was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, Hex/EtOAc 1:3). Yield: 1.51 g (92 \%). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (500 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar})$.
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### 6.1.2.2 General procedure for the synthesis of aliphatic azides

The corresponding alkyl bromide ( 1.00 mmol ) was added to a solution of $\mathrm{NaN}_{3}(1.10 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMSO ( 2.2 mL ) and the reaction mixture was stirred for 18 hours at ambient temperature. Then, the reaction mixture was quenched with water $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the product was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL} x \mathrm{3})$. The organic phase was washed with water, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure. The product was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, Hex/EtOAc).

## Benzyl azide ${ }^{138}$ (6b)



The general procedure 6.1.2.2 was followed starting from benzyl bromide ( $3.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.35 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and $\mathrm{NaN}_{3}(3.30 \mathrm{mmol}, 215 \mathrm{mg}$ ) in DMSO ( 6.6 mL ).

Yield: $379 \mathrm{mg}(95 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 4.33\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$.

## 4-tert-Butylbenzyl azide ${ }^{139}$



The general procedure 6.1.2.2 was followed starting from 4-tertbutylbenzyl bromide ( $2.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 587 \mathrm{mg}$ ) and $\mathrm{NaN}_{3}(2.90 \mathrm{mmol}, 188 \mathrm{mg}$ ) in DMSO ( 5 mL ). Yield: $482 \mathrm{mg}(98 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ $7.50(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.34(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}), 4.38\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.42(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ).

## Methyl azidoacetate ${ }^{140}$



Methyl bromoacetate ( $21.25 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.22 \mathrm{~g}$ ) was taken in anhydrous DMF ( 5 $\mathrm{mL})$ and $\mathrm{NaN}_{3}(22.50 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.46 \mathrm{mg})$ was added. The mixture was stirred at ambient temperature for 3 hours, then water was added ( 2 mL ) and the solution was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3)$. The organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure. Yield: $2.32 \mathrm{~g}(95 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 3.89 (s, 2H, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $3.81\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.
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## 2-Azidoethanol ${ }^{141}$

$\mathrm{N}_{3} \simeq \mathrm{OH}$ 2-Bromoethanol ( $3.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 375 \mathrm{mg}$ ) was taken in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{NaN}_{3}$ ( 3.30 $\mathrm{mmol}, 214 \mathrm{mg}$ ) was added. The mixture was stirred at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 8 hours, then cooled at ambient temperature and the solution was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \mathrm{~mL} x 3)$. The organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure. Yield: 222 $\mathrm{mg}(87 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 3.92\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}\right), 3.55(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $\left.5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$.

## 3-Azidopropan-1-ol ${ }^{142}$

$\mathrm{N}_{3} \mathrm{OH}_{\mathrm{OH}}$ A mixture of 3-bromopropan-1-ol ( $7.19 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.65 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and $\mathrm{NaN}_{3}(14.38$ mmol, 935 mg ) in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 18 hours, then cooled at ambient temperature and the solution was extracted with EtOAc ( $20 \mathrm{~mL} \times 5$ ). The combined organic layers were washed with brine ( $20 \mathrm{~mL} \times 1$ ), dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. Yield: $698 \mathrm{mg}(96 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 3.79(\mathrm{t}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ ), $3.49\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.94-1.76\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$.

## 2-Azidoethyl 5-( $N, N$-dimethylamino)naphthalene-1-sulfonate ${ }^{143}$



Triethylamine ( $4.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 540 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) was added to a solution of 5-(dimethylamino)naphthalene-1-sulfonyl chloride (dansyl chloride) (1.67 $\mathrm{mmol}, 500 \mathrm{mg}$ ) and 2-azidoethanol ( $4.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 278 \mathrm{mg}$ ) in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 16 hours. Then, it was washed with a sodium phosphate buffer solution $(\mathrm{pH}=7.4)(3 \mathrm{~mL} \times 2)$ and the aqueous layers were extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3)$. The combined organic phases were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. Yield: $0.55 \mathrm{~g}(99 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$

[^53]NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 8.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.65(\mathrm{t}$,
$J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.58(\mathrm{t}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 4.14(\mathrm{t}, J=5.3$
$\left.\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.47\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.92\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right)$.

## Bis(trimethylsilyl)methyl azide ${ }^{144}$


#### Abstract

$\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Si} \quad$ To a solution of sodium azide ( $5.04 \mathrm{mmol}, 328 \mathrm{mg}$ ) in anhydrous HMPA ( 2.3  $\mathrm{mL})$, bis(trimethylsilyl)chloromethane ( $4.58 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.00 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 5 hours. Water $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and the mixture was extracted with hexane ( $1 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3$ ). The combined organic solutions were washed with a saturated aqueous solution of $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(1 \mathrm{~mL} \times 2)$ and dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. Yield: 830 $\mathrm{mg}(90 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.21(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 0.16\left(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.


### 6.1.3 Synthesis of symmetrically substituted 4,4 '-bis( $\mathbf{1 H}-1,2,3$-triazoles)

## 1,1’-Dibenzyl-4,4'-bis-(1H-1,2,3-triazole) ${ }^{145}$

To a solution of 1,4-bis(trimethylsilyl)-1,3-butadiyne (2.00
 $\mathrm{mmol}, 389 \mathrm{mg}$ ) and benzyl azide ( $4.20 \mathrm{mmol}, 559 \mathrm{mg}$ ) in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / t \mathrm{BuOH} 1: 1(30 \mathrm{~mL}), \mathrm{CuSO}_{4} \cdot 5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.80 \mathrm{mmol}, 200 \mathrm{mg})$, sodium ascorbate $(1.60 \mathrm{mmol}$, $317 \mathrm{mg}), \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(4.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 553 \mathrm{mg})$ and pyridine ( $20.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.60 \mathrm{ml}$ ) were added and the reaction mixture was stirred vigorously at room temperature for 24 hours. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure, the residue was suspended in aqueous $10 \% \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ (10 mL ) and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(15 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3)$. The combined organic phases were dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ and the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure to afford the product. Yield: $500 \mathrm{mg}(80 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.96$ (s, 2H, triazole), 7.40 (d, $J=6.8$

[^54]$\mathrm{Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.35-7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 5.59\left(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta$ 140.4, 134.3, 129.2, 128.9, 128.2, 120.5, 54.4.

## $1,{ }^{\prime}$ '-Bis(( $R$ )- $\alpha$-methylbenzyl)-4,4'-bis( $\mathbf{1 H - 1 , 2 , 3 - t r i a z o l e ) ~}$

To a solution of 1,4-bis(trimethylsilyl)-1,3-butadiyne (1.37
 $\mathrm{mmol}, 266 \mathrm{mg}$ ) and ( $R$ )-(+)- $\alpha$-methylbenzylazide ( 2.87 mmol , $422 \mathrm{mg})$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / t \mathrm{BuOH} 1: 1(20 \mathrm{~mL}), \mathrm{CuSO}_{4} \cdot 5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1.15 \mathrm{mmol}$, 402 mg ), sodium ascorbate ( $2.30 \mathrm{mmol}, 456 \mathrm{mg}$ ), $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(2.74 \mathrm{mmol}, 379 \mathrm{mg})$ and pyridine $(13.70 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.10 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added and the reaction mixture was stirred vigorously at ambient temperature for 24 hours. The solvent was evaporated in vacuo, the residue was suspended in aqueous $10 \% \mathrm{NH}_{3}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3)$. The combined organic phases were dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ and the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure to afford the product. Yield: $414 \mathrm{mg}(88 \%)$. White solid ( $\mathrm{mp}=168{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{20}=-$ $37.8^{\circ}$ ( $\mathrm{c}=1.05, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ). IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 1456$ (triazole). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.98(\mathrm{~s}$, 2 H , triazole), $7.43-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 5.89\left(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right), 2.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{NMR}}\left(126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$ ): $\delta 139.5,129.0,128.6,126.5,119.4,60.4,29.7$, 21.2. HRMS (ESI + ): $m / z[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{6}: 345.1828$; found: 345.1840.

### 6.1.4 General procedure for the synthesis of $\mathbf{1 H}-1,2,3$-triazoles

## Method A:

To a solution of the corresponding azide ( 1.00 mmol ) and alkyne ( 1.10 mmol ) in $\mathrm{THF} / t \mathrm{BuOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \quad 1: 1: 1(5 \mathrm{~mL})$, sodium ascorbate $(0.40 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4} \cdot 5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.20$ mmol ) were added. The reaction mixture was stirred for 18 hours at ambient temperature. The organic solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure and the aqueous residue was extracted with $\mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{NH}_{3} 20 \%\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$. The organic layer was separated, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and the solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, Hex/EtOAc).

## Method B:

To a solution of the corresponding azide ( 1.00 mmol ) and alkyne ( 1.10 mmol ) in MeCN ( 15 mL ), was added a solution of $\mathrm{CuOAc}(0.20 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{NaOAc}(1.00 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{MeCN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ 1:1 ( 3 mL ). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 18 hours and evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH} 95: 5$ ).

## 1-Benzyl-4-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole ${ }^{146}$



The general procedure 6.1.4 A was followed starting from benzyl azide $(7.51 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.00 \mathrm{~g})$, phenylacetylene ( $8.26 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.91 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4}$ $5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1.50 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.38 \mathrm{~g})$ and sodium ascorbate $(3.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.60 \mathrm{~g})$.
Yield: $1.76 \mathrm{~g}(99 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.81$ (d, $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}$ ), 7.69 (s, 1 H , triazole), $7.44-7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.33(\mathrm{t}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 5.58\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{2}\right)$.

## 1,4-Diphenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole ${ }^{135 b}$



The general procedure 6.1.4 A was followed starting from phenyl azide ( $0.84 \mathrm{mmol}, 100 \mathrm{mg}$ ), phenylacetylene ( $0.92 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4}$ $5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.34 \mathrm{mmol}, 84 \mathrm{mg})$ and sodium ascorbate $(0.67 \mathrm{mmol}, 133 \mathrm{mg})$. Yield: $146 \mathrm{mg}(78 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.23$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, triazole), 7.93 (d, $J=7.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.56(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.52-7.43(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, Ar), 7.39 (t, $J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 148.6,137.2,130.4,130.0$, 129.1, 129.0, 128.6, 126.0, 120.7, 117.9.

## 1-Benzyl-4-hydroxymethyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole ${ }^{147}$



The general procedure 6.1.4 A was followed starting from benzyl azide ( $10.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.33 \mathrm{~g}$ ), propargyl alcohol ( $11.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.64 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4}$

146 Jlalia, I.; Meganem, F.; Herscovici, J.; Girard, C. Molecules 2009, 14, 528-539. ""Flash" solventfree synthesis of triazoles using a supported catalyst".
${ }^{147}$ Girard, C; Önen, E.; Aufort, M.; Beauvière, S.; Samson, E.; Herscovici, J. Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 16891692. "Reusable polymer-supported catalyst for the [3+2] Huisgen cycloaddition in automation protocols".
$5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 499 \mathrm{mg})$ and sodium ascorbate $(4.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 792 \mathrm{mg})$. Yield: $1.65 \mathrm{~g}(87$ $\%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.47$ (s, 1H, triazole), 7.38-7.28 (m, 5H, Ar), $5.51(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $4.76\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HOCH}_{2}\right), 3.29\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HOCH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 148.4$, 134.7, 129.3, 129.0, 128.3, 121.9, 56.5, 54.4.

## 1-(4-Cyanophenyl)-4-hydroxymethyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole ${ }^{148}$



The general procedure 6.1.4 A was followed starting from 4azidobenzonitrile ( $6.94 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.00 \mathrm{~g}$ ), propargyl alcohol ( 7.63 mmol , $0.51 \mathrm{~mL}), \mathrm{CuSO}_{4} 5 \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1.39 \mathrm{mmol}, 347 \mathrm{mg})$ and sodium ascorbate ( $2.78 \mathrm{mmol}, 548 \mathrm{mg}$ ). Yield: $1.17 \mathrm{~g}(84 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}\right) \delta 8.83(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, triazole), 8.16-8.07 (m, 4H, Ar), $5.35\left(\mathrm{t}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HOCH}_{2}\right), 4.63(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{HOCH}_{2}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 149.5,139.5,134.1,121.0,120.1,117.9,110.7$, 54.8.

## 1-Phenyl-4-hydroxymethyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole ${ }^{149}$



The general procedure 6.1.4 A was followed starting from phenyl azide ( $7.61 \mathrm{mmol}, 907 \mathrm{mg}$ ), propargyl alcohol ( $8.36 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.49 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4}$ $5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1.52 \mathrm{mmol}, 380 \mathrm{mg})$ and sodium ascorbate $(3.04 \mathrm{mmol}, 602 \mathrm{mg})$. Yield: $988 \mathrm{mg}(74 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.02$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, triazole), 7.79 - 7.72 (m, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.60-7.44(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 4.93\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HOCH}_{2}\right), 2.75(\mathrm{t}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{HOCH}_{2}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 148.6,137.3,130.0,129.1,120.8,120.3$, 56.8.

## 1-Benzyl-4-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazole ${ }^{150}$

[^55]The general procedure 6.1.4 A was followed starting from benzyl
 azide ( $1.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 133 \mathrm{mg}$ ), 4-ethynylanisole ( $1.10 \mathrm{mmol}, 132 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ), $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4} 5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.40 \mathrm{mmol}, 100 \mathrm{mg})$ and sodium ascorbate $(0.80$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 158 \mathrm{mg}$ ) in $\mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{BuOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ 1:1:1 ( 6 mL ). Yield: 260 mg $(98 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{RMN}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.75(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.60(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, triazole), $7.44-7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 6.69(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}), 5.59\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.86\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ RMN $\left(126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 159.6,148.1,134.8,129.1,128.7,128.0,127.0,123.3,118.6$, 114.2, 54.2, 55.3.

## 1-(4-tert-Butylbenzyl)-4-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazole

The general procedure 6.1.4 A was followed starting from 4-tert-butylbenzyl azide ( $1.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 189 \mathrm{mg}$ ), 4-ethynylanisole $(1.10 \mathrm{mmol}, 132 \mu \mathrm{~L}), \mathrm{CuSO}_{4} 5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.40 \mathrm{mmol}, 100 \mathrm{mg})$ and sodium ascorbate ( $0.80 \mathrm{mmol}, 158 \mathrm{mg}$ ) in $\mathrm{THF} / /^{t} \mathrm{BuOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ 1:1:1 ( 6 mL ). Yield: $288 \mathrm{mg}(90 \%)$. White solid ( $\mathrm{mp}=118-120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). $\mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 1454$ (triazole), 1250, 1219 (arC-O-alC). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.74$ (d, $J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, Ar), 7.63 (s, 1H, triazole), 7.40 (d, $J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}$ ), 7.25 (d, $J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 6.94$ (d, $J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 5.51\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.81\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 1.33\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H},\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 159.7,151.9,148.1,132.0,128.0,127.1,126.1,123.5,119.0,114.3$, 55.4, 54.0, 34.8, 31.4. HRMS (ESI+): $m / z[M+H]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}: 322.1919$; found: 322.1924 .

## 1-(Methoxycarbonylmethyl)-4-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole ${ }^{151}$



The general procedure 6.1.4 A was followed starting from methyl azidoacetate ( $5.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 575 \mathrm{mg}$ ), phenylacetylene ( $5.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.5$ $\mathrm{mL}), \mathrm{CuSO}_{4} 5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 250 \mathrm{mg})$ and sodium ascorbate (2.00 $\mathrm{mmol}, 396 \mathrm{mg}$ ) in $\mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{B}^{\prime} \mathrm{BuOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ 1:1:1 ( 15 mL ). Yield: $1.00 \mathrm{~g}(93 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400

[^56]$\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.94(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, triazole), $7.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.47(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{Ar}), 7.38(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 5.26\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.87\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (126 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 166.8,148.3,130.4,128.9,128.4,125.9,121.2,53.1,50.9$.

## 1-Benzyl-1'-(4-cyanophenyl)-4,4'-bis(1H-1,2,3-triazole) (8 $\mathbf{8}_{1,4}$ )



The general procedure 6.1.4 A was followed starting from 1-(4-cyanophenyl)-4-ethynyl-1 H -1,2,3-triazole ( $0.10 \mathrm{mmol}, 20$ mg ), benzylazide ( $0.19 \mathrm{mmol}, 26 \mathrm{mg}$ ), $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4} 5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.02$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 7 \mathrm{mg})$ and sodium ascorbate ( $0.04 \mathrm{mmol}, 8 \mathrm{mg}$ ). Yield: $21 \mathrm{mg}(67 \%)$. White solid $\left(\mathrm{mp}=206^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ dec). IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 3134,3092,2228(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{N}), 1604,1496,1455$ (triazole), 1051, 989, 834, 730, 696, 575. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}_{6}$ ) $\delta 9.40$ (s, 1H, triazole), 8.69 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, triazole), 8.25 (d, $J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 8.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.41(\mathrm{~s}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 5.71(\mathrm{~s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}_{-\mathrm{d}_{6}}$ ) $\delta 141.0,139.9,139.0,136.4,134.8,129.3,128.7$, $128.5,122.9,121.0,120.5,118.6,111.6,53.5$. HRMS (ESI+): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{7}$ : 328.1311; found: 328.1313.

## 1-Phenyl-1'-(2,4,6-trimethylphenyl)-4,4'-bis(1H-1,2,3-triazole)



The general procedure 6.1.4 A was followed starting from 4-ethynyl-1-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole ( $0.12 \mathrm{mmol}, 20 \mathrm{mg}$ ), 2,4,6trimethylphenyl azide ( $0.24 \mathrm{mmol}, 39 \mathrm{mg}$ ), $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4} 5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.02$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 8 \mathrm{mg}$ ) and sodium ascorbate ( $0.05 \mathrm{mmol}, 10 \mathrm{mg}$ ). Yield: 30 $\mathrm{mg}(76 \%)$. White solid ( $\mathrm{mp}=201-202{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 3135,3087,1596,1503,1464$ (triazole), 1038, 756, 681. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.63$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, triazole), $8.21(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, triazole), $7.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.59(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.50(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{Ar}), 7.05(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 2.40\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{3}\right), 2.05\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 140.7,140.2,139.6,136.9,135.0,133.2,129.9,129.2,129.0,122.8,120.5,118.8$, 21.1, 17.3. HRMS (ESI + ): $m / z[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{6}$ : 331.1671; found: 331.1674.

## 1-(2,6-Diisopropylphenyl)-1'-phenyl-4,4'-bis(1H-1,2,3-triazole)



The general procedure 6.1.4 A was followed starting from 4-ethynyl-1-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole ( $0.12 \mathrm{mmol}, 20 \mathrm{mg}$ ), 2,6diisopropylphenyl azide ( $0.24 \mathrm{mmol}, 49 \mathrm{mg}$ ), $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4} 5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.05$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 17 \mathrm{mg}$ ) and sodium ascorbate ( $0.10 \mathrm{mmol}, 19 \mathrm{mg}$ ). Yield: 34 $\mathrm{mg}(76 \%)$. White solid ( $\mathrm{mp}=234{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{dec}$ ). IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 3130,2962,1507,1459$ (triazole), 1395, 1387, 1159, 1039, 840, 804, 758, 685. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.67(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, triazole), 8.28 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, triazole), 7.85 (d, $J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}$ ), 7.66 - 7.47 (m, 4H, Ar), 7.35 (d, $J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 2.36\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right), 1.20\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right), 1.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 146.3,137.2,133.2,131.2,130.1,129.2$, 124.1, 120.9, 28.7, 24.33 ( $\mathrm{d}, J=16.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ). HRMS (ESI+): $m / z[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{6}$ : 373.2141; found: 373.2139.

### 6.1.5 General procedure for Swern oxidation and Ohira-Bestmann alkynylation of 4-hydroxymethyl-1H-1,2,3-triazoles

To a solution of oxalyl chloride ( 1.10 mmol ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ cooled at $-55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, anhydrous DMSO ( 2.40 mmol ) was added and the solution was stirred for 5 min . After this time, a solution of the corresponding 4-hydroxymethyl-1,2,3-triazole ( 1.00 mmol ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 10 mL ) was added via canula at the same temperature and the mixture was stirred for 15 min . Then, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(5.00 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added and the mixture was stirred at ambient temperature for 1 hour. The reaction was quenched with aqueous 1 M HCl and the product was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and the product was submitted to the Bestmann-Ohira alkynylation without any further purification. Thus, to a solution of the so-obtained aldehyde in MeOH cooled at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, dimethyl acetyldiazomethylphosphonate $(1.00 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(2.00 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added. The reaction was stirred for 1 hour at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then, at ambient temperature for 3-5 hours. After this time, the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and the crude product was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, Hex/EtOAc).

## 1-Benzyl-4-ethynyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole ${ }^{148}$



The general procedure 6.1.5 was followed starting from 1-benzyl-4-hydroxymethyl-1 $\mathrm{H}-1,2,3$-triazole ( $9.05 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.71 \mathrm{~g}$ ), oxalyl chloride $(9.96 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.83 \mathrm{~mL})$, DMSO ( $21.73 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.55 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(45 \mathrm{mmol}, 6.40 \mathrm{~mL}), \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ $(18.10 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.50 \mathrm{~g})$ and dimethyl acetyldiazomethylphosphonate reagent $(9.05 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.74$ g). Yield: $2.34 \mathrm{~g}(75 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.60$ (s, 1H, triazole), $7.44-7.29$ (m, $5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 5.55\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.23(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{C} H) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 133.9,130.4$, 129.2, 129.0, 128.1, 126.5, 81.1, 73.0, 54.3.
[Aldehyde: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 10.08(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHO}), 8.07(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, triazole), 7.42 7.22 (m, 5H, Ar), $\left.5.59\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)\right]$.

## 1-(4-Cyanophenyl)-4-ethynyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole ${ }^{148}$ (1c)



The general procedure 6.1.5 was followed starting from 1-(4-cyanophenyl)-4-hydroxymethyl- 1 H -1,2,3-triazole ( $9.27 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.98 \mathrm{~g}$ ), oxalyl chloride ( $10.20 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.85 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), DMSO ( $22.25 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.58 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(6.50 \mathrm{~mL}), \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(18.50 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.50 \mathrm{~g})$ and dimethyl acetyldiazomethylphosphonate reagent ( $9.27 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.78 \mathrm{~g}$ ). Yield: $1.62 \mathrm{~g}(80 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.16$ (s, 1 H , triazole), 7.92-7.85 (m, 4H, Ar), $3.35(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CH}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 125 MHz , DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta$ 138.9, 134.1, 130.0, 126.6, 120.7, 117.8, 111.4, 84.9, 72.8 .
[Aldehyde: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 10.15(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHO}), 9.01$ (s, 1 H , triazole), 8.05 (d, 2H, $J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.83(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar})]$.

## 4-Ethynyl-1-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole ${ }^{152}$



The general procedure 6.1.5 was followed starting from 4-hydroxymethyl-1-phenyl-1 $H$-1,2,3-triazole ( $5.64 \mathrm{mmol}, 988 \mathrm{mg}$ ), oxalyl chloride ( 6.20 $\mathrm{mmol}, 0.54 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), DMSO ( $13.54 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.96 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(28.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.93 \mathrm{~mL}), \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(11.28$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 1.56 \mathrm{~g})$ and dimethyl acetyldiazomethylphosphonate reagent ( $8.46 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.62 \mathrm{~g}$ ). Yield: $788 \mathrm{mg}(83 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.13$ (s, 1H, triazole), 7.76-7.74 (m,

[^57]$2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.60-7.47(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 3.34(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CH}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 136.5$, $130.8,129.9,129.2,124.7,120.7,81.7,72.8$.
[Aldehyde: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 10.26(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHO}), 8.55(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, triazole), 7.80 (d, J=7.9 Hz, 2H, Ar), 7.63-7.54 (m, 3H, Ar)].

### 6.1.6 General procedure for the synthesis of 3-N-alkyl-1,2,3-triazolium salts

A solution of the corresponding 1,2,3-triazole ( 1.00 mmol ) and the alkylating reagent (1.30 mmol) in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred under nitrogen atmosphere at room temperature for 5 hours. Then, anhydrous $\mathrm{MeOH}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and the solution was stirred for another 5 hours. The solvents were removed under reduced pressure and the crude product was purified by either precipitation with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ or by column chromatography (silica gel, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}\right)$.

## 1-Benzyl-3-methyl-4-phenyl-1 $\boldsymbol{H}$-1,2,3-triazolium tetrafluoroborate ${ }^{153}$



The general procedure 6.1.6 was followed starting from 1-benzyl-4-phenyl-1 $\mathrm{H}-1,2,3$-triazole ( $1.23 \mathrm{mmol}, 290 \mathrm{mg}$ ) and $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{OBF}_{4}(1.60$ mmol, 304 mg ). Yield: $331 \mathrm{mg}(80 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ $8.51\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, triazole), $7.59-7.46(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.43-7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 5.73\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.21$ (s, 3H, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 143.4,131.8,131.3,129.9,129.7,129.6,129.4$, 129.4, 128.2, 121.9, 57.5, 38.4.

## 1,4-Diphenyl-3-methyl-1H-1,2,3-triazolium tetrafluoroborate ${ }^{154}$



The general procedure 6.1 .6 was followed starting from 1,4-diphenyl$1 \mathrm{H}-1,2,3$-triazole ( $0.45 \mathrm{mmol}, 100 \mathrm{mg}$ ) and $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{OBF}_{4}(0.59 \mathrm{mmol}, 112$ mg ). Yield: $118 \mathrm{mg}(81 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{d}_{4}$ ) $\delta 9.51(\mathrm{~s}$,

[^58]1 H , triazole), $8.24-7.98(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.96-7.57(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 4.46\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{d}_{4}$ ) $\delta 144.2,135.3,131.8,130.3,129.4,129.3,126.8,122.4,121.4,38.2$.

## 1-Benzyl-4-ethynyl-3-methyl-1H-1,2,3-triazolium tetrafluoroborate ${ }^{155}$



The general procedure 6.1 .6 was followed starting from 1-benzyl-4-ethynyl-1 H -1,2,3-triazole ( $2.62 \mathrm{mmol}, 500 \mathrm{mg}$ ) and trimethyloxonium tetrafluoroborate ( $3.41 \mathrm{mmol}, 647 \mathrm{mg}$ ). Yield: $574 \mathrm{mg}(84 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{d}_{4}$ ) $\delta 8.98$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, triazole), $7.57-7.43$ (m, $5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}$ ), $5.85\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $4.98(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{C} H), 4.36\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{d}_{4}$ ) $\delta$ 133.6, 133.0, $130.9,130.4,127.8,95.7,65.4,58.7,39.3$.

## 1-(4-Cyanophenyl)-4-ethynyl-3-methyl-1H-1,2,3-triazolium tetrafluoroborate ${ }^{155}$ (2c)



The general procedure 6.1 .6 was followed starting from 1-(4-cyanophenyl)-4-ethynyl-1 $H$-1,2,3-triazole ( $0.48 \mathrm{mmol}, 100 \mathrm{mg}$ ) and trimethyloxonium tetrafluoroborate ( $0.62 \mathrm{mmol}, 117 \mathrm{mg}$ ). Yield: 99 mg ( $70 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{d}_{4}$ ) $\delta 9.74(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, triazole), $8.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}$ ), $8.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 5.17(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CH}), 4.53\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{d}_{4}\right) \delta 139.1,135.6,132.6,128.8,123.9,118.1,116.9,96.6,65.2,39.9$.

## 4-Ethynyl-3-methyl-1-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazolium tetrafluoroborate



The general procedure 6.1 .6 was followed starting from 4-ethynyl-1-phenyl- $1 \mathrm{H}-1,2,3$-triazole ( $1.77 \mathrm{mmol}, 300 \mathrm{mg}$ ) and trimethyloxonium tetrafluoroborate ( $2.30 \mathrm{mmol}, 437 \mathrm{mg}$ ). Yield: 398 mg ( $83 \%$ ). White solid ( $\mathrm{mp}=170^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{dec}$ ). IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 3552,3241(\equiv \mathrm{CH}), 2133(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{C}), 1445$ (triazole), 1035 $\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{d}_{3}$ ) $\delta 9.01$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, triazole), $7.91-7.84$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}$ ), 7.79 - 7.72 (m, 3H, Ar), $4.59(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CH}), 4.40\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{d}_{3}$ )

[^59]$\delta 134.5,132.1,130.8,130.3,126.6,121.6,94.4,63.6,38.8$. HRMS (ESI+): $m / z[M]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{3}$ : 184.0875; found: 184.0880.

## 1-Benzyl-4-ethynyl-3-ethyl-1H-1,2,3-triazolium tetrafluoroborate



The general procedure 6.1.6 was followed starting from 1-benzyl-4-ethynyl-1 $\mathrm{H}-1,2,3$-triazole ( $1.09 \mathrm{mmol}, 200 \mathrm{mg}$ ) and triethyloxonium tetrafluoroborate ( $1.64 \mathrm{mmol}, 310 \mathrm{mg}$ ). Yield: $233 \mathrm{mg}(72 \%)$. Oil. IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 3244(\equiv \mathrm{CH}), 3151,3105,2136(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{C}), 1738,1564,1458$ (triazole), $1030\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.60$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, triazole), 7.45 (ddd, $J=67.7,5.6,3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}$ ), $5.74\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{2}\right), 4.60\left(\mathrm{q}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{tri}}\right), 4.26(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CH}), 1.60(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 132.6,131.0,130.0,129.8,129.5,125.3$, 94.7, 64.1, 58.0, 48.6, 13.8.

## 1-(4-Cyanophenyl)-4-ethynyl-3-ethyl-1H-1,2,3-triazolium tetrafluoroborate



The general procedure 6.1.6 was followed starting from 1-(4-cyanophenyl)-4-ethynyl-1 $\mathrm{H}-1,2,3$-triazole ( $0.51 \mathrm{mmol}, 150 \mathrm{mg}$ ) and triethyloxonium tetrafluoroborate ( $0.76 \mathrm{mmol}, 144 \mathrm{mg}$ ). Yield: 117 mg (78 \%). White solid ( $\mathrm{mp}=153-156^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ : $3239.2(\equiv \mathrm{CH}), 3159,3113,2231(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{N})$, $2137(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{C}), 1737,1603,1565,1436$ (triazole), $1035\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta 10.05\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, triazole), $8.34-8.20(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 4.83\left(\mathrm{q}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{tri}}\right), 2.09$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{C} H), 1.65\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 137.5$, 134.7, 132.3, 127.5, 122.5, 117.4, 114.5, 97.1, 64.7, 48.8, 13.3.

## 1,1'-Dibenzyl-3,3'-dimethyl-4,4'-bis(1H-1,2,3-triazolium) tetrafluoroborate ${ }^{155}$

The general procedure 6.1 .6 was followed starting from 729 mg ). Yield: $596 \mathrm{mg}(92 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}_{\mathrm{d}}$ ) $\delta 9.47$ (s, 2H, triazole), $7.65-7.41(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 6.04\left(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.33\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , DMSO$\left.\mathrm{d}_{6}\right) \delta 133.5,133.1,130.0,129.7,129.6,127.5,57.4,39.9$.

## 1-Benzyl-3-methyl-4-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazolium iodide ${ }^{156}$



The general procedure 6.1.6 was followed starting from 1-benzyl-4-phenyl-1 $\mathrm{H}-1,2,3$-triazole ( $5.52 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.30 \mathrm{~g}$ ) and MeI ( $64.24 \mathrm{mmol}, 4$ $\mathrm{mL})$. Yield: $2.00 \mathrm{~g}(96 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{d}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.95$ (s, 1 H , triazole), $7.74-7.57(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.55-7.43(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 5.92\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.21(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 143.2,131.8,131.0,129.7,129.7,129.4,129.3$, 129.2, 129.2, 121.3, 57.5, 39.3.

## 1-Benzyl-4-hydroxymethyl-3-methyl-1H-1,2,3-triazolium iodide ${ }^{157}$



The general procedure 6.1.6 was followed starting from 1-benzyl-4-hydroxymethyl- $1 \mathrm{H}-1,2,3$-triazole ( $0.50 \mathrm{mmol}, 94 \mathrm{mg}$ ) and $\mathrm{MeI}(16.10$ mmol, 1.00 mL ). Yield: quantitative. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.93$ (s, 1 H , triazole), 7.52 (d, $J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.46-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 5.84\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 4.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ ), $4.77\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}\right), 4.32\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 143.6,131.0,129.7,129.3,129.3,57.3,52.5,39.7$.

## 1-Benzyl-4-(4-methoxyphenyl)-3-methyl-1H-1,2,3-triazolium iodide



The general procedure 6.1.6 was followed starting from 1-benzyl-4-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazole ( $0.67 \mathrm{mmol}, 179$ mg ) and MeI ( $21.52 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.34 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). Yield: 268 mg ( 98 \%). Yellow solid ( $\mathrm{mp}=140-141{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ : 1446 (triazole), 1256 (Ar-O), $1072\left(\mathrm{O}_{-} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 9.46(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, triazole), 7.94-7.68 (m, 4H, Ar), 7.55-7.31 (m, 3H, $\mathrm{Ar}), 7.07(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 6.08\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.41\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.88\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 161.1,142.0,130.4,130.2,128.8,128.3,127.5,114.1,112.5$, 56.2, 54.8, 38.9. HRMS (ESI+): $m / z[M]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}: 280.1450$; found: 280.1463.

156 Mathew, P.; Neels, A.; Albrecht, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 13534-13535. "1,2,3Triazolylidenes as versatile abnormal carbene ligands for late transition metals".
157 Khan, S. S.; Hanelt, S.; Liebscher, J. ARKIVOC 2009, xii, 193-208. "Versatile synthesis of 1,2,3-triazolium-based ionic liquids".

## 1,4-Dibenzyl-3-methyl-1H-1,2,3-triazolium iodide ${ }^{158}$



The general procedure 6.1.6 was followed starting from 1,4-dibenzyl-1 H -1,2,3-triazole ( $1.36 \mathrm{mmol}, 300 \mathrm{mg}$ ) and MeI ( $32.13 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.34 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). Yield: $350 \mathrm{mg}(71 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{d}_{3}$ ) $\delta 9.03$ (s, 1H, triazole), $8.06-7.55(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 4.32\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 144.1$, 134.6, 131.9, 131.9, 130.3, 130.0, 129.5, 127.2, 121.9, 121.3, 40.0 .

## 1-(Methoxycarbonylmethyl)-3-methyl-4-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazolium iodide



The general procedure 6.1.6 was followed starting from 1-(methoxycarbonylmethyl)-4-pheny-1 H -1,2,3-triazole $(0.23 \mathrm{mmol}, 50$ mg ) and $\mathrm{MeI}(16.06 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.00 \mathrm{~mL})$ in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1.00 \mathrm{~mL})$. Yield: quantitative. Colorless oil. IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 1749 (C=O), 1436 (triazole), 1227, 1159 (C-O). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 9.49$ (s, 1H, triazole), 7.69 (d, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}$ ), 7.61-7.49 ( $\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}$ ), $5.90\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.34\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.81\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 164.8,143.0,132.2,131.0,129.9,129.7,121.5,54.7,54.0,39.9$. HRMS (ESI+): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : 232.1086; found: 232.1093.

## 1-Benzyl-4-\{2-[ $N, N$ '-di(tert-butoxycarbonyl)-guanidyl]-ethyl\}-3-methyl-1H-1,2,3-

## triazolium iodide



The general procedure 6.1 .6 was followed starting from 1-benzyl-4-\{2-[ $N, N$ '-di(tert-butoxycarbonyl)-guanidyl]-ethyl $\}$ $1 H$-1,2,3-triazole ( $0.22 \mathrm{mmol}, 100 \mathrm{mg}$ ) and MeI ( 21.52 mmol, 1.34 mL ) in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1.34 \mathrm{~mL})$. Yield: quantitative. Yellow solid ( $\mathrm{mp}=67-$ $\left.68{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) . \mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 3326(\mathrm{NH}), 1723(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 1151,1126 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 11.00$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} H \mathrm{Boc}$ ), $8.86(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, triazole), $8.42(\mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} H), 7.45-7.37(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar})$, 7.37-7.28 (m, 3H, Ar), $5.80\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}\right), 4.42\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.67(\mathrm{q}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $3.25\left(\mathrm{t}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.40(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Boc}), 1.35(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Boc}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$

158 Poulain, A.; Canseco-Gonzalez, D.; Hynes-Roche, R.; Müller-Bunz, H.; Schuster, O.; StoeckliEvans, H.; Neels, A.; Albrecht, M. Organometallics 2011, 30, 1021-1029. "Synthesis and tunability of abnormal 1,2,3-triazolylidene palladium and rhodium complexes".

NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 160.3,154.1,150.4,139.4,129.3,127.6,127.5,127.2,127.0$, 81.5, 77.0, 55.1, 36.9, 35.7, 26.0, 25.9, 22.2. HRMS (ESI+): $m / z[M]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ : 459.2720; found: 459.2721.

## (S)-4-\{3-[ $N, N^{\prime}$-Di(tert-butoxycarbonyl)-guanidyl]-propyl\}-3-methyl-1-\{ $N$-[1-phenyl-2-(methoxycarbonyl)ethyl]carbamoylmethyl\}-1H-1,2,3-triazolium iodide

 (methoxycarbonyl)ethyl]carbamoylmethyl $\}$ - $1 \mathrm{H}-1,2,3$-triazole ( $0.15 \mathrm{mmol}, 90 \mathrm{mg}$ ) and MeI $(16.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.00 \mathrm{~mL})$ in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$. Yield: $81 \mathrm{mg}(73 \%)$. Yellow solid (mp $\left.=95-97^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) .[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{20}=-19.56^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c}=1.78, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) . \mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 3326(\mathrm{NH}), 1722$, $1621(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$, 1152, 1130. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 11.44(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} H \mathrm{Boc}), 8.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, NHCO ), 8.89 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, triazole), $8.47\left(\mathrm{t}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NHCH} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ ), $7.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.28(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.20(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 5.65(\mathrm{dd}, J=49.5,15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CONH}$ ), $5.40(\mathrm{q}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} H \mathrm{Ph}), 4.21\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.58\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{COOCH}_{3}\right)$, 3.52 - 3.37 (m, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 3.22 - $2.81\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right)$, 2.14 - 1.93 (m, 2H, $\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 1.48 ( $\mathrm{s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Boc}$ ), 1.42 ( $\left.\mathrm{s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Boc}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 171.0,163.3,162.1,156.9,153.3,143.6,140.6,130.8,128.8,127.8,127.4$, 83.7, 79.7, 55.9, 52.0, 51.6, 40.6, 39.0, 38.7, 28.4, 28.2, 26.8, 21.2. HRMS (ESI+): $m / z[M]^{+}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{44} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ : 602.3302; found: 602.3302.

## 4-(1-Benzyl-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)-1-benzyl-3-methyl-1H-1,2,3-triazolium iodide



The general procedure 6.1 .6 was followed starting from 1,1'-dibenzyl-4,4'-bis-( $1 \mathrm{H}-1,2,3$-triazole) $(0.19 \mathrm{mmol}, 60 \mathrm{mg})$ and MeI ( $16.06 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.00 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) in anhydrous MeCN ( 1 mL ). Yield: $70 \mathrm{mg}(81 \%)$. White solid ( $\mathrm{mp}=140-142{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 3436,3034,1629,1454$ (triazole). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 10.07(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, triazole), $9.26(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, triazole), $7.66-7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 5.90(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $5.61\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.57\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 134.3,133.5$,
131.3, 130.8, 129.9, 129.5, 129.3, 129.1, 129.0, 128.8, 128.3, 127.2, 57.7, 54.5, 41.0. HRMS (ESI+): $m / z[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{6}: 331.1666$; found: 331.1657.

## 1-Benzyl-3-methyl-4-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazolium trifluoromethanesulfonate



The general procedure 6.1.6 was followed starting from 1-benzyl-4-phenyl- $1 \mathrm{H}-1,2,3$-triazole ( $1.23 \mathrm{mmol}, 290 \mathrm{mg}$ ) and MeOTf ( 1.35 mmol , $153 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ). Yield: quantitative. Colorless oil. IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 1458$ (triazole), 1255, 1223, 1058, $1028\left(\mathrm{SO}_{2}\right), 697,634 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.74(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, triazole), 7.83 - 7.31 (m, 10H, Ar), $5.82\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.26\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 142.9,131.3,130.8,129.3,129.0,128.8,128.8,127.7,121.3,120.2(\mathrm{q}, J=$ 320.0 Hz ), 56.8, 38.1. HRMS (ESI+): $m / z[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{3}: 250.1344$; found: 250.1352.

### 6.2 Experimental section of chapter 2

### 6.2.1 General procedure for the synthesis of nonsymmetrically substituted 4,4'-bis(1H-1,2,3-triazolium) salts

## Method A:

To a solution of the corresponding azide ( 1.10 mmol ) and 4-ethynyl- $1 \mathrm{H}-1,2,3$-triazolium salt ( 1.00 mmol ) in $t \mathrm{BuOH} / \mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ 1:1:1 ( 7 mL ) at ambient temperature, sodium ascorbate ( 0.40 mmol ) and $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4} \cdot 5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.20 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added and the mixture was vigorously stirred overnight. The organic volatiles were evaporated at reduced pressure, the aqueous residue was extracted with $\mathrm{EtOAc}(5 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3)$ and the combined extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ and evaporated to afford the crude product, which was purified by column chromatography. In some instances, TBTA ( $1 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) catalyst was used.

## Method B:

$\mathrm{CuOAc}(0.20 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{NaOAc}(1.00 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added to a solution of the corresponding azide ( 1.50 mmol ) and 4-ethynyl- $1 \mathrm{H}-1,2,3$-triazolium salt ( 1.00 mmol ) in $\mathrm{MeCN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ 1:1 (2 mL ) at ambient temperature and the mixture was stirred vigorously for 5 min . The organic layer was evaporated under vacuo and the crude product was purified by column chromatography.

## 4-(1-Benzyl-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)-1-benzyl-3-methyl-1H-1,2,3-triazolium tetrafluoroborate ${ }^{155}$



The general procedure 6.2.1 A was followed starting from
1-benzyl-4-ethynyl-3-methyl-1 H -1,2,3-triazolium tetrafluoroborate $(0.18 \mathrm{mmol}, 50 \mathrm{mg})$, benzyl azide $(0.20$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 38 \mathrm{mg}), \mathrm{CuSO}_{4} \cdot 5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.04 \mathrm{mmol}, 9 \mathrm{mg})$ and sodium ascorbate $(0.07 \mathrm{mmol}, 14 \mathrm{mg})$. Yield: $83 \mathrm{mg}(95 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 9.00$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, triazole), 8.67 (s, 1 H , triazole), $7.55-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 5.71\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 5.55\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.55\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 134.7$, 133.7, 131.7, 131.0, 130.1, 129.5, 129.5, 129.1, 129.0, 128.4, 128.2, 126.8, 57.9, 54.6, 40.5 .

## 1-Benzyl-3-methyl-4-(1-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)-1H-1,2,3-triazolium tetrafluoroborate

The general procedure 6.2.1 A was followed starting from 1-

benzyl-4-ethynyl-3-methyl-1 H -1,2,3-triazolium tetrafluoroborate $(0.18 \mathrm{mmol}, 50 \mathrm{mg})$, phenyl azide $(0.20$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 23 \mathrm{mg}), \mathrm{CuSO}_{4} \cdot 5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.04 \mathrm{mmol}, 9 \mathrm{mg})$ and sodium ascorbate ( $0.08 \mathrm{mmol}, 14 \mathrm{mg}$ ). Yield: $60 \mathrm{mg}(83 \%)$. White solid ( $\mathrm{mp}=184-185^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-}$ ${ }^{1}$ ): 3163, 3128, 1644, 1595, 1457 (triazole), $1022\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 9.33$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, triazole), $9.24(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, triazole), $7.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.64-7.44(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar})$, $5.78\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.69\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 135.9,134.6,132.2$, $130.8,130.3,130.0,129.8,129.7,129.6,128.9,125.0,120.6,58.2,40.8$. HRMS (ESI+): m/z $[M]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{6}$ : 317.1509; found: 317.1513.

## 4-(1-Benzyl-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)-1-(4-cyanophenyl)-3-methyl-1H-1,2,3-triazolium tetrafluoroborate $\left(\mathbf{9}_{1,4}\right)$



The general procedure 6.2.1 A was followed starting from 1-(4-cyanophenyl)-4-ethynyl-3-methyl-1 $H$-1,2,3-triazolium tetrafluoroborate ( $0.05 \mathrm{mmol}, 15 \mathrm{mg}$ ), benzyl azide ( 0.10 $\mathrm{mmol}, 13 \mathrm{mg}), \mathrm{CuSO}_{4} \cdot 5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.01 \mathrm{mmol}, 3 \mathrm{mg})$ and sodium ascorbate ( $0.02 \mathrm{mmol}, 4 \mathrm{mg}$ ). Yield: $15 \mathrm{mg}(73 \%)$. White solid ( $\mathrm{mp}=60-61^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). $\mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ : $2234(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{N}), 1661,1456,1435$ (triazole), 1048, $996\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right), 845,723 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{d}_{4}\right) \delta 8.82(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, triazole), 8.26 (d, $J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 8.17$ (d, $J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}$ ), $7.44(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 5.81\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.74\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz, DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta$ 138.0, 135.9, 135.7, 135.2, 132.0, 129.4, 129.0, 128.7, 127.5, 127.0, 122.8, 118.0, 114.9, 54.0, 41.0. HRMS (ESI+): $\mathrm{m} / z[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{7}: 342.1467$; found: 342.1470.

## 1-(4-Cyanophenyl)-3-methyl-4-(1-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)-1H-1,2,3-triazolium tetrafluoroborate



The general procedure 6.2.1 A was followed starting from 1-(4-cyanophenyl)-4-ethynyl-3-methyl-1 H -1,2,3-triazolium tetrafluoroborate ( $0.19 \mathrm{mmol}, 58 \mathrm{mg}$ ), phenyl azide $(0.37 \mathrm{mmol}$, $\left.\stackrel{\ominus}{\mathrm{BF}_{4}} \quad 44 \mathrm{mg}\right), \mathrm{CuSO}_{4} \cdot 5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.04 \mathrm{mmol}, 13 \mathrm{mg})$ and sodium ascorbate ( $0.08 \mathrm{mmol}, 15 \mathrm{mg}$ ). Yield: $57 \mathrm{mg}(70 \%)$. White solid ( $\mathrm{mp}=204$ $\left.{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{dec}\right)$. IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 2228(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{N}), 1490$, 1460 (triazole), 1047, $1031\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right), 854,813,771,687$, 552. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $_{6}$ ) $\delta 10.25$ (s, 1 H , triazole), 9.70 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, triazole), 8.39 $8.30(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 8.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.73(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.64(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 4.71\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta$ 138.1, 136.0, 135.2, $132.5,131.8,130.6,130.3,127.7,125.0,123.0,121.3,118.0,114.9,40.9$. HRMS (ESI+): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{7}: 328.1311$; found: 328.1300.

## 1-(4-Cyanophenyl)-4-[1-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl]-3-methyl-1H-1,2,3triazolium tetrafluoroborate



The general procedure 6.2.1 A was followed starting from 1-(4-cyanophenyl)-4-ethynyl-3-methyl-1 H -1,2,3-triazolium tetrafluoroborate ( $0.18 \mathrm{mmol}, 56 \mathrm{mg}$ ), 4-metoxyphenyl azide ( $0.36 \mathrm{mmol}, 54 \mathrm{mg}$ ), $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4} \cdot 5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.04 \mathrm{mmol}, 13 \mathrm{mg})$ and sodium ascorbate ( $0.07 \mathrm{mmol}, 14 \mathrm{mg}$ ). Yield: $58 \mathrm{mg}(72 \%)$. White solid ( $\mathrm{mp}=214^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{dec}$ ). IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 2239(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{N}), 1518,1505,1470,1443$ (triazole), 1052, $1033\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right), 849,565,542,523 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta 10.23(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, triazole), 9.60 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, triazole), 8.33 (s, 4H, Ar), 7.96 (d, $J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}$ ), 7.26 (d, $J=8.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 4.70\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.89$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz, DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta$ 160.6, 138.1, 136.0, 135.2, 132.3, 129.7, 127.6, 124.9, 123.0, 118.0, 115.6, 114.93, 56.2, 40.9. HRMS (ESI + ): $m / z[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}: 358.1416$; found: 358.1414 .

## 1-(4-Cyanophenyl)-4-[1-(4-fluorophenyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl]-3-methyl-1H-1,2,3triazolium tetrafluoroborate



The general procedure 6.2.1 A was followed starting from 1-(4-
cyanophenyl)-4-ethynyl-3-methyl-1H-1,2,3-triazolium tetrafluoroborate ( $0.06 \mathrm{mmol}, 20 \mathrm{mg}$ ), 4-fluorophenyl azide ( $0.13 \mathrm{mmol}, 18 \mathrm{mg}$ ), $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4} \cdot 5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.01 \mathrm{mmol}, 4 \mathrm{mg})$ and sodium ascorbate ( $0.02 \mathrm{mmol}, 5 \mathrm{mg}$ ). Yield: 19 mg ( $70 \%$ ). White solid ( $\mathrm{mp}=126-127^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 2234(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{N}), 1441$ (triazole), 1236, 1187 (C-F), 1066, $1033\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right), 842,832,578,520,496 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 10.27(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, triazole), 9.70 (s, 1H, triazole), $8.38-8.29$ (m, 4H, Ar), $8.17-8.07$ (m, 2H, Ar), 7.60 (t, $J=$ $8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 4.70\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz, DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 162.2(\mathrm{~d}, J=247.2$ Hz ), 137.5, 135.3, 134.7, 132.4, 131.9, 127.2, 124.8, 123.3 (d, $J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 122.4, 117.4, $117.0(\mathrm{~d}, J=23.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 114.4,40.4$. HRMS (ESI+): $m / z[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{FN}_{7}: 346.1216$; found: 346.1213 .

## 4-(1-Benzyl-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)-3-methyl-1-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazolium tetrafluoroborate



The general procedure 6.2 .1 B was followed starting from 4-ethynyl-3-methyl-1-phenyl-1 H -1,2,3-triazolium tetrafluoroborate ( $0.07 \mathrm{mmol}, 20 \mathrm{mg}$ ), benzylazide ( 0.11 mmol , 15 mg ), $\mathrm{CuOAc}(0.02,2 \mathrm{mg})$ and $\mathrm{NaOAc}(0.07 \mathrm{mmol}, 6 \mathrm{mg})$ in $\mathrm{MeCN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} 1: 1(0.15 \mathrm{~mL})$. Yield: $21 \mathrm{mg}(89 \%)$. White solid ( $\mathrm{mp}=68-70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 1491,1441$ (triazole), 1050, $1012\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right), 762,722,670 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 9.48(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, triazole), $9.01(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, triazole), $8.08-7.90(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.73-7.54$ (m, 3H, Ar), 7.53 - 7.33 (m, 5H, Ar), 5.59 (s, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.74\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 135.6,134.5,133.7,132.2$, 131.6, 130.6, 129.2, 129.0, 128.6, 127.6, 125.8, 121.2, 54.8, 41.1. HRMS (ESI+): m/z [M] ${ }^{+}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{6}: 317.1515$; found: 317.1523.

## 3-Methyl-1-phenyl-4-[1-(2,4,6-trimethylphenyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl]-1H-1,2,3triazolium tetrafluoroborate



The general procedure 6.2 .1 A was followed starting from 4-ethynyl-3-methyl-1-phenyl-1 H -1,2,3-triazolium tetrafluoroborate ( $0.18 \mathrm{mmol}, 50 \mathrm{mg}$ ), 2,4,6-trimethylphenyl azide ( $0.36 \mathrm{mmol}, 58 \mathrm{mg}$ ), $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4} \cdot 5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.04 \mathrm{mmol}, 13 \mathrm{mg})$ and sodium ascorbate ( $0.07 \mathrm{mmol}, 14 \mathrm{mg}$ ). Yield: 40 mg ( $64 \%$ ). White solid ( $\mathrm{mp}=226-227$ $\left.{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 1495,1468,1438$ (triazole), 1053, 1035, $1021\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right), 850,775,581 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 9.78(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, triazole), $9.10(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, triazole), $8.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{Ar}), 7.72(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.05(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 4.92\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{tr}}\right), 2.41\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{Ar}\right), 2.04(\mathrm{~s}$, $\left.6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{Ar}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 140.8,135.6,134.8,134.6,134.5,132.4,132.2$, 130.6, 130.0, 129.4, 126.2, 121.2, 41.4, 21.1, 17.3. HRMS (ESI+): $m / z[M]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{6}: 345.1828$; found: 345.1820 .

4-[1-(2,6-Diisopropylphenyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl]-3-methyl-1-phenyl-1H-1,2,3triazolium tetrafluoroborate


The general procedure 6.2.1 A was followed starting from 4$\mathrm{BF}_{4}$ ethynyl-3-methyl-1-phenyl-1 H -1,2,3-triazolium tetrafluoroborate ( $0.18 \mathrm{mmol}, 50 \mathrm{mg}$ ), 2,6-diisopropylphenyl azide ( $0.36 \mathrm{mmol}, 73 \mathrm{mg}$ ), $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4} \cdot 5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.04 \mathrm{mmol}, 13 \mathrm{mg})$ and sodium ascorbate ( $0.07 \mathrm{mmol}, 14 \mathrm{mg}$ ). Yield: $59 \mathrm{mg}(66 \%)$. White solid ( $\mathrm{mp}=177-178$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ : 1496, 1468 (triazole), 1055, $1028\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right), 803,764,686 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 9.74(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, triazole), $9.07(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, triazole), $8.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.64(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.56(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 4.90\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{tr}}\right.$ ), 2.21 $\left(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right), 1.18\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right), 1.16\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 146.0,135.7,134.8,132.5,132.3,131.9,131.7,130.9,130.8$, 126.5, 124.3, 121.5, 41.8, 28.8, 24.4, 24.0. HRMS (ESI+): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{6}$ : 387.2297; found: 387.2301.

## 4-[1-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl]-3-methyl-1-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazolium tetrafluoroborate



The general procedure $6 \cdot 2.1 \mathrm{~B}$ was followed starting from $\stackrel{\ominus}{\mathrm{BF}_{4}}$ tetrafluoroborate $(0.07 \mathrm{mmol}, 20 \mathrm{mg})$, 4methoxyphenylazide ( $0.11 \mathrm{mmol}, 17 \mathrm{mg}$ ), $\mathrm{CuOAc}(0.02,2$ mg ) and $\mathrm{NaOAc}(0.07 \mathrm{mmol}, 6 \mathrm{mg})$ in $\mathrm{MeCN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} 1: 1(0.15 \mathrm{~mL})$. Yield: $26 \mathrm{mg}(84 \%)$. White solid ( $\mathrm{mp}=205-207{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ : 1443 (triazole), 1253 (Ar-OMe), $1037\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{d}_{3}$ ) $\delta 9.28(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, triazole), $9.00(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, triazole), $8.04-7.92(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.82-7.69(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar})$, $4.66\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.91\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{d}_{3}$ ) $\delta 160.7,135.6$, 135.0, 132.3, 132.0, 130.7, 129.6, 126.3, 124.5, 122.8, 121.8, 115.1, 55.6, 40.6. HRMS (ESI+): $m / z[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}$ : 333.1464; found: 333.1464.

## 4-[1-(4-Fluorophenyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl]-3-methyl-1-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazolium

 tetrafluoroborate

The general procedure 6.2.1 A was followed starting from $\stackrel{\ominus}{\mathrm{BF}_{4}}$ 4-ethynyl-3-methyl-1-phenyl-1 H -1,2,3-triazolium tetrafluoroborate ( $0.07 \mathrm{mmol}, 20 \mathrm{mg}$ ), 4-fluorophenyl azide $(0.15 \mathrm{mmol}, 20 \mathrm{mg}), \mathrm{CuSO}_{4} \cdot 5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.01 \mathrm{mmol}, 4 \mathrm{mg})$ and sodium ascorbate ( $0.03 \mathrm{mmol}, 6 \mathrm{mg}$ ). Yield: $15 \mathrm{mg}(63 \%)$. White solid $\left(\mathrm{mp}=204-205^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3139, 1473, 1439 (triazole), 1210, 1559 (C-F), $1035\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right), 846,762,623,534 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (500 MHz, MeCN-d $\mathrm{d}_{3}$ ) 9.27 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, triazole), 9.04 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, triazole), 8.02 - 7.94 (m, $5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.81-7.77(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.44(\mathrm{t}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 4.67\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, MeCN-d ${ }_{3}$ ) $\delta 162.7$ (d, $J=247.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 135.1, 134.6, 132.5, 132.0, 131.9, 130.3, 126.1, 124.4, $123.2(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 121.4,116.6(\mathrm{~d}, J=23.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 40.2$. HRMS (ESI + ) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ $[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{FN}_{6}: 321.1264$; found: 321.1265 .

## 3-Methyl-4-[1-(4-nitrophenyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl]-1-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazolium tetrafluoroborate



The general procedure 6.2 .1 B was followed starting from
4-ethynyl-3-methyl-1-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazolium tetrafluoroborate ( $0.07 \mathrm{mmol}, 20 \mathrm{mg}$ ), 4-nitrophenylazide ( $0.11 \mathrm{mmol}, 18 \mathrm{mg}$ ), $\mathrm{CuOAc}(0.02,2 \mathrm{mg}$ ) and NaOAc ( $0.07 \mathrm{mmol}, 6 \mathrm{mg}$ ) in $\mathrm{MeCN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ 1:1 ( 0.15 mL ). Yield: 25 mg ( $78 \%$ ). Yellow solid ( $\mathrm{mp}=$ $150{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ dec). IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 1527\left(\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right), 1466$ (triazole), $1345\left(\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right), 1031\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right), 854$ (Ar-NO $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 10.18(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, triazole), $9.90(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, triazole), 8.59 (d, $J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 8.39$ (d, $J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 8.22$ - 8.02 (m, 2H, Ar), 7.88 $7.73(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 4.69\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 147.5,140.1,134.8$, 134.7, 132.6, 131.9, 130.5, 126.9, 125.7, 124.9, 121.6, 121.4, 40.2. HRMS (ESI+): m/z [M] ${ }^{+}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : 348.1209; found: 348.1205.

4-\{1-[ $N$-(1-phenyl-3-methoxycarbonylethyl)carbamoylmethyl]-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl\}-3-methyl-1-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazolium tetrafluoroborate


The general procedure 6.2.1 A was followed starting from 4-ethynyl-3-methyl-1-phenyl-1 H -1,2,3-triazolium (azidoacetamido)-3-phenylpropionic acid methyl ester ( $0.11 \mathrm{mmol}, 29 \mathrm{mg}$ ), $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4} \cdot 5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ $(0.02 \mathrm{mmol}, 6 \mathrm{mg})$ and sodium ascorbate $(0.04 \mathrm{mmol}, 9 \mathrm{mg})$. Yield: $40 \mathrm{mg}(70 \%)$. Waxy solid. $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{20}=-35.37^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c}=0.77, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$. IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 3365(\mathrm{NH}), 1733(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 1656(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$, 1046, $1027\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{d}_{3}$ ) $\delta 9.18$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, triazole), $8.60(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, triazole), $8.04-7.88(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.88-7.68(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} H)$, 7.48 - 7.25 (m, 5H, Ar), $5.40-5.24$ (m, 3H, CH2CO, CH), 4.61 (s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 3.63 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ), $3.04-2.80\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COOCH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{d}_{3}\right) \delta 170.5,164.0$, $140.6,135.1,134.6,131.9,131.0,130.3,128.3,127.4,127.4,126.2,125.9,121.3,52.0,51.1$, 50.3, 40.2, 39.7. HRMS (ESI+): $m / z[M]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{3}: 446.1941$; found: 446.1946.

## 4-\{1-[2-(5-N,N-Dimethylaminonaphthyl-1-sulfonyloxy)ethyl]-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl\}-3-methyl-1-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazolium tetrafluoroborate


$\mathrm{CuOAc}(0.02,2 \mathrm{mg})$ and $\mathrm{NaOAc}(0.07 \mathrm{mmol}, 6 \mathrm{mg})$ in $\mathrm{MeCN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} 1: 1(0.15 \mathrm{~mL})$. Yield: 36 $\mathrm{mg}(82 \%)$. Yellow solid ( $\mathrm{mp}=183-184^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 1466$ (triazole), $1350\left(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{SO}_{2}-\mathrm{OC}\right)$, 1061, $1023\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{d}_{3}\right) \delta 9.09(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, triazole), $8.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 8.40(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, triazole), 8.27 (d, $J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}$ ), $8.03-7.96$ (m, 2H, Ar), 7.94 (d, $J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.89-7.73(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.66(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.52(\mathrm{t}, J=8.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 4.78(\mathrm{t}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.53\left(\mathrm{~s}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, 2.83 ( $\left.\mathrm{s}, 6 \mathrm{H},\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 152.0,135.4,134.9,132.4,132.1$, $131.2,131.0,130.7,130.3,129.5,129.5,128.9,126.6,126.0,123.5,121.8,118.3,115.2$,
68.4, 49.6, 44.7, 40.6. HRMS (ESI+): $m / z[M]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}: 504.1818$; found: 504.1822.

### 6.2.2 General procedure for the synthesis of nonsymmetrically substituted 4,4'-bis(1H-1,2,3-triazoles) by $N$-demethylation of 4,4'-bis(1,2,3-triazolium) salts

To a solution of the corresponding bis(1,2,3-triazolium) salt ( 1.00 mmol ) and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ( 5.00 $\mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(8 \mathrm{~mL})$, thiophenol $(10.00 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added and the mixture was stirred at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 hours or at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 18 hours. After this time, the volatiles were evaporated under vacuo, the product was trated with 3 M NaOH , extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and the organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. The product was purified by column chromatography.

## 1'-(4-Fluorophenyl)-1-phenyl-4,4'-bis(1H-1,2,3-triazole)



The general procedure 6.2 .2 was followed starting from 4-[1-(4-fluorophenyl)- 1 H -1,2,3-triazol-4-yl]-3-methyl-1-phenyl-1H-1,2,3triazolium tetrafluoroborate ( $0.06 \mathrm{mmol}, 25 \mathrm{mg}$ ), $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(0.30$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 42 \mathrm{mg}$ ) and thiophenol ( $0.61 \mathrm{mmol}, 62 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ). Yield: 14 mg (73\%). White solid ( $\mathrm{mp}=198-199^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ : 1514 (triazole), 1232, 1184 (C-F), 1039, 828, 755, 686, 624, 519. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}_{-}$) $\delta 9.32$ (s, 1H, triazole), 9.31 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, triazole), $8.06(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.65(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.59-7.39(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta 162.2(\mathrm{~d}, J=246.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 140.2, 140.1, 137.0, 133.6, 130.4, 129.4, $123.1(\mathrm{~d}, ~ J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 120.9,120.7,120.6,117.2(\mathrm{~d}, J=23.3 \mathrm{~Hz})$. HRMS (ESI+): $m / z$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{FN}_{6}$ : 307.1107; found: 307.1112.

## 1-Phenyl-1'-(2,4,6-trimethylphenyl)-4,4'-bis(1H-1,2,3-triazole)



The general procedure 6.2 .2 was followed starting from 3-methyl-
1-phenyl-4-[1-(2,4,6-trimethylphenyl)-1 H -1,2,3-triazol-4-yl]-1 H -
1,2,3-triazolium tetrafluoroborate ( $0.06 \mathrm{mmol}, 20 \mathrm{mg}$ ), $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ $(0.29 \mathrm{mmol}, 40 \mathrm{mg})$ and thiophenol ( $0.58 \mathrm{mmol}, 59 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ). Yield: 13

[^60]
## 1’-(2,6-Diisopropylphenyl)-1-phenyl-4,4'-bis(1H-1,2,3-triazole)



The general procedure 6.2.2 was followed staring from 4-[1-(2,6-diisopropylphenyl)-1 H -1,2,3-triazol-4-yl]-3-methyl-1-phenyl- 1 H -1,2,3-triazolium tetrafluoroborate ( $0.04 \mathrm{mmol}, 20 \mathrm{mg}$ ), $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ( $0.21 \mathrm{mmol}, 29 \mathrm{mg}$ ) and thiophenol ( $0.42 \mathrm{mmol}, 43 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ). Yield: 13 $\mathrm{mg}(88 \%)$. For characterization see section 6.2.2.

## 1-Phenyl-4-(1-propyn-1-yl)-1H-1,2,3-triazole



To a solution of 4-ethynyl-1-phenyl-1 H -1,2,3-triazole $(0.59 \mathrm{mmol}, 100$ $\mathrm{mg})$ in THF $(0.6 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added a solution of BuLi in hexane $1.6 \mathrm{M}(0.59 \mathrm{mmol}, 370 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ and the reaction mixture was stirred for 1 hour. Then, MeI $(0.71 \mathrm{mmol}, 44 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ was added and the reaction mixture was warmed to ambient temperature in 1 h . The mixture was filtered through a celite:silica pad. Yield: 99 mg (92 \%). White solid ( $\mathrm{mp}=103-105{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ : $3138(\equiv \mathrm{CH}), 1631,1543,1465$ (triazole). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.00(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, triazole), $7.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar})$, $7.56(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.52-7.44(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 2.13\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 136.7,132.3,129.9,129.0,123.5,120.6,90.6,69.0,4.5$. HRMS (ESI+): m/z $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{3}$ : 184.0875; found: 184.0879.

## 3-Methyl-1-phenyl-4-(1-propyn-1-yl)-1H-1,2,3-triazolium trifluoromethanesulfonate (4)



The general procedure 6.1.6 was followed starting from 1-phenyl-4-(1-propyn-1-yl)-1 $\mathrm{H}-1,2,3$-triazole $(0.23 \mathrm{mmol}, 50 \mathrm{mg})$ and methyl trifluoromethanesulfonate ( $0.30 \mathrm{mmol}, 33 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$. Yield: quantitative. White solid ( $\mathrm{mp}=129-130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ : $2263(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{C}), 1443$ (triazole), 1255, 1220, 1158, $1028\left(\mathrm{SO}_{2}\right), 633 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 9.16(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, triazole), 8.06-7.83 (m, 2H, Ar), 7.78-7.45 (m, 3H, Ar), $4.39\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{3}\right), 2.21\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CCH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 134.5,132.0,130.3,129.4,128.6,121.6,105.1,60.9,38.9,4.9$. HRMS (ESI+): $m / z[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{3}$ : 198.1031; found: 198.1036.

## 4-[1-Benzyl-5-methyl-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl]-3-methyl-1-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazolium trifluoromethanesulfonate



To a solution of 3-methyl-1-phenyl-4-(1-propyn-1-yl)-1 H TfO 1,2,3-triazolium trifluoromethanesulfonate $(0.10 \mathrm{mmol}, 35$ mg ) in toluene ( 0.6 mL ), benzyl azide ( $0.20 \mathrm{mmol}, 27 \mathrm{mg}$ ) was added and the mixture was stirred at $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 18 hours in an Ace pressure tube. Then, the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and the product was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH} 95: 5$ ). Yield: 29 mg ( $60 \%$ ). White solid ( $\mathrm{mp}=$ $42-45{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ : 1455 (triazole), 1252, 1222, 1149, $1028\left(\mathrm{SO}_{2}\right), 984,635 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 9.57(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, triazole), $8.18-7.98(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.73-7.52(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.44$ - 7.32 (m, 3H, Ar), 7.27 - 7.18 (m, 2H, Ar), 5.57 (s, 2H, CH2), 4.68 (s, 3H, CH $)_{3}$ ), 2.52 (s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 136.6,135.5,134.6,133.4,132.0,130.4,129.5$, 129.2, 128.8, 127.4, 125.4, 121.5, 52.3, 40.9, 8.8. HRMS (ESI+): $m / z[M]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{3}$ : 331.1671; found: 331.1674.

### 6.3 Experimental section of chapter 3

### 6.3.1 General procedure for the synthesis of aryl ether glycols

## 2-(2,4,6-Triiodophenoxy)ethanol ${ }^{159}$



To a solution of 2,4,6-triiodophenol ( $1.27 \mathrm{mmol}, 600 \mathrm{mg}$ ), $\mathrm{NaOH}(1.52$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 61 \mathrm{mg}$ ) and $\mathrm{NaI}(1.27 \mathrm{mmol}, 190 \mathrm{mg})$ in $\mathrm{EtOH}(6.6 \mathrm{~mL}), 2-$ bromoethanol ( $2.54 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.18 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred for 6 hours at $150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in an Ace pressure tube. Then, the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure. A solution of $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ (sat) ( 6 mL ) was added and the product was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(7 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3)$. The organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and evaporated to dryness. Yield: quantitative. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.10(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 4.17(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $\left.4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OAr}\right), 4.13-4.03\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}\right), 2.27(\mathrm{t}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 157.2,147.3,91.9,89.5,74.4,62.0$.

[^61]
## 2-[2-(2,4,6-Triiodophenoxy)ethoxy]ethanol



To a solution of 2,4,6-triiodophenol ( $0.42 \mathrm{mmol}, 200 \mathrm{mg}$ ) in EtOH $(2.2 \mathrm{~mL}), \mathrm{NaOH}(0.38 \mathrm{mmol}, 15 \mathrm{mg})$ was added. The reaction mixture was stirred for 30 min at ambient temperature. Then, the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure. After that, NaI ( $0.38 \mathrm{mmol}, 57 \mathrm{mg}$ ) and 2-(2-chloroethoxy)ethanol ( $0.38 \mathrm{mmol}, 40 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) in $\mathrm{EtOH}(2.2 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added. The reaction mixture was stirred for 6 hours at $150{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in an Ace pressure tube. Then, the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure. A solution of $\mathrm{NaOH} 6 \mathrm{M}(6 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and the product was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(7 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3)$. The organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and evaporated to dryness. The product was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, Hex:EtOAc, 1:1). Yield: $103 \mathrm{mg}(48 \%)$. White solid ( $\mathrm{mp}=76-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 1409$, 1357, 1236, 1113, 1068, 1042, 1030, $1007\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.07(\mathrm{~s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 4.16\left(\mathrm{t}, J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OAr}\right), 3.99\left(\mathrm{t}, J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OAr}\right), 3.86-3.78$ $\left(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}\right), 3.78-3.70\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 158.0$, 147.6, 92.2, 89.7, 72.8, 72.5, 70.2, 62.1. HRMS (ESI+): m/z $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{I}_{3} \mathrm{Na}$ : 582.7740; found: 582.7756 .

### 6.3.2 General procedure for the synthesis of alkyl trifluoromethanesulfonates

Trifluoromethanesulfonic anhydride $\mathrm{Tf}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1.20 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a solution of the corresponding alcohol $(1.00 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{KHCO}_{3}(1.40 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(8.6 \mathrm{~mL})$ cooled at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the reaction mixture was stirred for $5-18$ hours at ambient temperature. Then, the suspension was filtered through a $0.2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ PTFE filter and the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure. Alkyl trifluoromethanesulfonates were isolated but not fully characterized due to their high unstability.

## 2-(2,4,6-Triiodophenoxy)ethyl trifluoromethanesulfonate



The general procedure 6.3 .2 was followed starting from 2-(2,4,6triiodoethoxy)ethanol ( $0.58 \mathrm{mmol}, 300 \mathrm{mg}$ ), $\mathrm{KHCO}_{3}(0.81 \mathrm{mmol}, 81 \mathrm{mg})$ and $\mathrm{Tf}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.70 \mathrm{mmol}, 117 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was stirred for 18 hours. Yield: $355 \mathrm{mg}(94 \%)$. White solid ( $\mathrm{mp}=62-63{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). $\mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ : $1238,1200,1141\left(\mathrm{SO}_{2}\right), 930,863,612 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.10(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 4.95$
( $\mathrm{t}, J=4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OTf}$ ), $4.32\left(\mathrm{t}, J=4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OAr}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 156.6,147.5,118.6(\mathrm{q}, J=320.5,319.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 91.5,90.3,74.5,69.2$.

## 2-[2-(2,4,6-Triiodophenoxy)ethoxy]ethyl trifluoromethanesulfonate



The general procedure 6.3 .2 was followed starting from 2-[2-(2,4,6-triiodophenoxy)ethoxy]ethanol ( $0.05 \mathrm{mmol}, 30 \mathrm{mg}$ ), $\mathrm{KHCO}_{3}$ $(0.08 \mathrm{mmol}, 8 \mathrm{mg})$ and $\mathrm{Tf}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.07 \mathrm{mmol}, 11 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was stirred for 18 hours. Yield: quantitative. Black solid ( $\mathrm{mp}=65-67$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 1243,1197,1138,1050\left(\mathrm{SO}_{2}\right), 915,860,608 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ $8.09(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 4.71\left(\mathrm{t}, J=4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OAr}\right), 4.18\left(\mathrm{t}, J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OAr}\right)$, 4.10-3.92 (m, 4H, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OTf}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OTf}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 157.7$, 147.4, 91.8, 89.5, 75.5, 72.4, 70.4, 68.7.

## 2-Azidoethyl trifluoromethanesulfonate ${ }^{160}$

$\mathrm{N}_{3} \mathrm{OTf}_{\text {OTf }}$ The general procedure 6.3 .2 was followed starting from 2-azidoethanol (1.15 $\mathrm{mmol}, 100 \mathrm{mg}$ ), $\mathrm{KHCO}_{3}(1.61 \mathrm{mmol}, 161 \mathrm{mg})$ and $\mathrm{Tf}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1.44 \mathrm{mmol}, 242 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5$ $\mathrm{mL})$. The reaction mixture was stirred for 5 hours. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 4.63(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OTf}$ ), $3.71\left(\mathrm{t}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ $118.61(\mathrm{q}, J=319.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 74.3,49.6$.

## 3-Azidopropyl-1-triflate ${ }^{161}$

$\mathrm{N}_{3}$ OTf The general procedure 6.3 .2 was followed starting from 3-azidopropan-1-ol $(0.70 \mathrm{mmol}, 72 \mathrm{mg}), \mathrm{KHCO}_{3}(0.98 \mathrm{mmol}, 98 \mathrm{mg})$ and $\mathrm{Tf}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.88 \mathrm{mmol}, 147 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ $(6 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was stirred for 4 hours. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 4.66(\mathrm{t}, J$ $\left.=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OTf}\right), 3.55\left(\mathrm{t}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3}\right), 2.10\left(\mathrm{p}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3}\right)$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 73.7,46.8,28.8$.

[^62]
## Hex-5-yn-1-yl triflate ${ }^{162}$

The general procedure 6.3 .2 was followed starting from 4-pentyn-1-ol ( 0.7 $\mathrm{mmol}, 65 \mu \mathrm{~L}), \mathrm{KHCO}_{3}(0.98 \mathrm{mmol}, 91 \mathrm{mg})$ and $\mathrm{Tf}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.88 \mathrm{mmol}, 147 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(6 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was stirred for 3 hours. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $4.70\left(\mathrm{t}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OTf}\right), 2.49-2.31\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{CH} \mathrm{CCH}_{2}\right), 2.15-1.97(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHCCH}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 120.1(\mathrm{q}, J=324.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 82.6,77.0,71.7,29.4$, 15.9.

## Butyl triflate ${ }^{163}$

The general procedure 6.3 .2 was followed starting from 1-butanol $(0.5 \mathrm{mmol}$, $46 \mu \mathrm{~L}), \mathrm{KHCO}_{3}(0.7 \mathrm{mmol}, 70 \mathrm{mg})$ and $\mathrm{Tf}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.62 \mathrm{mmol}, 108 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ $(4 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was stirred for 4 hours. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{d}_{3}$ ) $\delta 4.69$ (t, $J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OTf}$ ), $1.84\left(\mathrm{p}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OTf}\right), 1.54-1.39(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OTf}$ ), 0.98 (t, $J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{d}_{3}$ ) $\delta 78.9$, $30.5,17.8,12.3$.

### 6.3.3 General procedure for the synthesis of $N$-alkyl-1H-1,2,3-triazolium trifluoromethanesulfonates

To a solution of the corresponding triazole ( 1.00 mmol ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(8.6 \mathrm{~mL})$ the selected alkyl trifluoromethanesulfonate ( 1.20 mmol ) was added and evaporated under reduced pressure. After 18 hours at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ the product was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH} 95: 5\right)$.

162 Hanack, M.; Fuchs, K.-A.; Collins, C. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 4008-4017. "Vinyl cations. 40. $\pi$ - and $\sigma$-routes to vinyl cations. Solvolyses of 2-methylcyclohexenyl, cyclopentylideneethyl, hex-5-yn-1-yl, and related triflates".
163 Ross, S. A.; Pitié, M.; Meunier, B. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2000, 1, 571-574. "A straightforward preparation of primary alkyl triflates and their utility in the synthesis of derivatives of ethidium".

## 1-Benzyl-4-phenyl-3-[2-(2,4,6-triiodophenoxy)ethyl]-1H-1,2,3-triazolium

 trifluoromethanesulfonate

The general procedure 6.3.3 was followed starting from 1-benzyl-4$\mathrm{TfO}{ }^{\ominus}$ phenyl-1 H -1,2,3-triazole $(0.03 \mathrm{mmol}, 8 \mathrm{mg})$ and $2-(2,4,6-$ triiodophenoxy)ethyl trifluoromethanesulfonate ( $0.04 \mathrm{mmol}, 25 \mathrm{mg}$ ). Yield: $29 \mathrm{mg}(98 \%)$. White solid $\left(\mathrm{mp}=67-68^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 1457$ (triazole), 1251, 1223, 1151, $1027\left(\mathrm{SO}_{2}\right), 766,730,698,635 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.94$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, triazole), 7.96 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar)}$,7.76 (d, $J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}$ ), 7.70-7.48 (m, 5H, Ar), 7.48-7.37 (m, 3H, Ar), 5.92 (s, 2H, CH ${ }_{2} \mathrm{Ar}$ ), $5.02(\mathrm{t}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{trz}}$ ), $4.36\left(\mathrm{t}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 155.6,147.2$, $144.9,131.8,131.5,130.2,130.1,130.0,129.5,128.83,121.7,91.5,90.6,68.8,57.9,50.9$. HRMS (ESI+): $m / z[M]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{OI}_{3}$ : 733.8662; found: 733.8679.

## 1-Benzyl-5-iodo-4-phenyl-3-[2-(2,4,6-triiodophenoxy)ethyl]-5-iodo-1H-1,2,3-triazolium trifluoromethanesulfonate



The general procedure 6.3.3 was followed starting from 1-benzyl-5Tfo ${ }^{\ominus}$ iodo-4-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole ( $0.03 \mathrm{mmol}, 12 \mathrm{mg}$ ) and 2-(2,4,6triiodophenoxy)ethyl trifluoromethanesulfonate ( $0.04 \mathrm{mmol}, 25 \mathrm{mg}$ ). Yield: $31 \mathrm{mg}(91 \%)$. White solid $\left(\mathrm{mp}=80-83^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 1455$ (triazole), 1235, 1152, $1026\left(\mathrm{SO}_{2}\right), 699,635 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.96(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.66-7.52(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.51-7.39(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}) 5.85\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}\right), 5.04\left(\mathrm{t}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{trz}}\right), 4.30(\mathrm{t}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 156.0,148.9,147.6,132.3,131.6,131.2,130.4$, 130.1, 129.9, 129.8, 122.7, 91.7, 90.9, 89.8, 68.9, 58.8, 52.4. HRMS (ESI + ): $m / z[M]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{OI}_{4}$ : 859.7629; found: 859.7649.

1-Benzyl-4-ethynyl-3-[2-(2,4,6-triiodophenoxy)ethyl]-1H-1,2,3-triazolium trifluoromethanesulfonate


The general procedure 6.3.3 was followed starting from 1-benzyl-4-ethynyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole ( $0.05 \mathrm{mmol}, \quad 9 \mathrm{mg}$ ) and 2-(2,4,6triiodophenoxy)ethyl trifluoromethanesulfonate ( $0.06 \mathrm{mmol}, 36 \mathrm{mg}$ ).

Yield: $35 \mathrm{mg}(81 \%)$. White solid ( $\mathrm{mp}=154-157^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). $\mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 2130(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{C}), 1428$ (triazole), 1248, 1223, 1154, $1049\left(\mathrm{SO}_{2}\right), 729,702,635 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 9.15(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, triazole), $7.97(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.76-7.54(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.54-7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 5.93(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}\right), 5.16\left(\mathrm{t}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{tr}}\right), 4.38\left(\mathrm{t}, J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 4.13(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{C} H) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 155.5,147.3,133.0,131.1,130.3,129.9,129.6$, $127.2,120.6(\mathrm{q}, J=320.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 94.4,91.5,90.6,68.2,64.5,58.4,52.3$. HRMS (ESI+): $m / z$ $[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{OI}_{3}$ : 681.8349; found: 681.8340.

1-Methoxycarbonylmethyl-4-phenyl-3-[2-(2,4,6-triiodophenoxy)ethyl]-1H-1,2,3triazolium trifluoromethanesulfonate


The general procedure 6.3.3 was followed starting from 1-methoxycarbonylmethyl-4-phenyl-1 H -1,2,3-triazole $(0.03 \mathrm{mmol}, 7$ mg ) and 2-(2,4,6-triiodophenoxy)ethyl trifluoromethanesulfonate $(0.04 \mathrm{mmol}, 25 \mathrm{mg})$. Yield: $23 \mathrm{mg}(79 \%)$. White solid ( $\mathrm{mp}=52-54$ $\left.{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 1751(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 1456$ (triazole), 1223, 1153, $1027\left(\mathrm{SO}_{2}\right)$, $674,635 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.87(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, triazole), $8.04(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.70-7.54(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 5.76\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}\right), 5.04(\mathrm{t}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{trz}}\right), 4.43\left(\mathrm{t}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 3.90\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 165.6, 155.9, 147.7, 145.3, 132.4, 131.3, 130.6, 130.0, 121.9, 91.9, 91.1, 69.3, 54.5, 54.1, 51.5. HRMS (ESI+): $m / z[M]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{I}_{3}: 715.8404$; found: 715.8411 .

1-Benzyl-4-\{2-[N, $N^{\prime}$-di(tert-butoxycarbonyl)guanidyl]ethyl\}-3-[2-(2,4,6-triiodophenoxy)ethyl]-1H-1,2,3-triazolium trifluoromethanesulfonate


The general procedure 6.3 .3 was followed starting from 1-benzyl-4-\{2-[N,N'-di(tert-butoxycarbonyl)guanidyl]ethyl\}-1H-1,2,3-triazole $(0.03 \mathrm{mmol}, \quad 15 \mathrm{mg})$ and $2-(2,4,6-$ triiodophenoxy)ethyl trifluoromethanesulfonate ( $0.04 \mathrm{mmol}, 25$ $\mathrm{mg})$. Yield: 34 mg ( $92 \%$ ). White solid ( $\mathrm{mp}=73-74{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 1721,1638,1612(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 1569(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 1250,1225,1150,1130,1028\left(\mathrm{SO}_{2}\right), 616 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 11.23(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NHBoc}), 8.98(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, triazole), $8.70(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH})$, 7.98 (s, 2H, Ar), 7.67-7.51 (m, 2H, Ar), 7.50-7.35 (m, 3H, Ar), 5.83 (s, 2H, CH2 Ar ), 5.39 (t,
$\left.J=4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{tr}}\right), 4.37\left(\mathrm{t}, J=4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 3.77(\mathrm{q}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.38\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.51(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Boc}), 1.50(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Boc}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 156.3,155.6,152.6,147.3,143.1,131.5,130.1,129.7,129.6$, $91.5,90.6,83.8,79.6,69.0,57.8,50.9,38.5,28.4,28.0,23.6$. HRMS (ESI + ): $m / z[M]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{I}_{3}$ : 943.0038; found: 943.0028.

## 4-\{1-[(R)- $\alpha$-Methylbenzyl]-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl\}-1-[(R)- $\alpha$-methylbenzyl]-3-[2-(2,4,6-triiodophenoxy)ethyl]-1H-1,2,3-triazolium trifluoromethanesulfonate



The general procedure 6.3 .3 was followed starting from $1,1^{\prime}$ '$\operatorname{bis}[(R)$ - $\alpha$-methylbenzyl $]-3,3$ '-dimethyl-4,4'-bis(1H-1,2,3triazolium ) tetrafluoroborate $(0.03 \mathrm{mmol}, 12 \mathrm{mg})$ and $2-(2,4,6-$ triiodophenoxy)ethyl trifluoromethanesulfonate ( $0.03 \mathrm{mmol}, 22$ $\mathrm{mg})$. Yield: $27 \mathrm{mg}(81 \%)$. White solid ( $\mathrm{mp}=54-56^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{20}$ $=-30.16^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c}=0.93, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$. IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 1456$ (triazole), 1256, 1223, 1150, $1027\left(\mathrm{SO}_{2}\right), 698,636 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 9.71(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, triazole), 9.16 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, triazole), $7.98(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.71-7.56(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.53-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 6.14(\mathrm{q}, J=$ $7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 5.92(\mathrm{q}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 5.64-5.45\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{trz}}\right), 4.55-4.37(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}\right), 2.21\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.06\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 155.6,147.3,138.7,136.0,135.2,131.7,130.2,129.7,129.2,128.9,127.6$, $127.4,126.7,126.4,120.6(\mathrm{q}, J=320.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 91.5,90.5,68.3,65.9,61.6,53.5,20.9,20.1$. HRMS (ESI + ): $m / z[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{OI}_{3}$ : 842.9302; found: 846.9306.
(S)-4-\{3-[ $N, N^{\prime}$-di(tert-butoxycarbonyl)guanidyl]propyl\}-3-[2-(2,4,6-triiodophenoxy)ethyl]-1-\{N-[1-phenyl-2-(methoxycarbonyl)ethyl]carbamoylmethyl\}-5-iodo-1H-1,2,3-triazolium trifluoromethanesulfonate


The general procedure 6.3 .3 was followed starting from (S)-4-\{3-[N,N’-di(tertbutoxycarbonyl)guanidyl]propyl $\}-5-$ iodo-1-\{N-[1-phenyl-2-(methoxycarbonyl)ethyl]carbamoylmethyl\}$1 H-1,2,3$-triazole ( $0.03 \mathrm{mmol}, 24 \mathrm{mg}$ ) and 2-(2,4,6triiodophenoxy)ethyl trifluoromethanesulfonate ( $0.04 \mathrm{mmol}, 25 \mathrm{mg}$ ). Yield: $32 \mathrm{mg}(77 \%)$.

White solid ( $\mathrm{mp}=108-110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{20}=-28.35^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} .0 .96, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$. IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 1638(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$, $1276,1245,1155,1028\left(\mathrm{SO}_{2}\right), 637 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 11.48(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} H \mathrm{Boc})$, 8.88 (d, $J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NHCO}$ ), 8.47 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NHCH} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $8.00(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.50-7.25$ (m, 5H, Ar), $5.58\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CONH}\right), 5.39(\mathrm{q}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHPh}), 5.17-5.02(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{trz}}$ ), $4.45-4.28\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}\right), 3.65\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{COOCH}_{3}\right), 3.60-3.46(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 3.16 - $2.80\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right.$ ), 2.17 - $2.00(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 1.51 ( $\mathrm{s}, 18 \mathrm{H}$, Boc). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 170.9,163.3,161.2$, $156.5,155.4,153.1,148.9,147.2,140.3,128.7,127.7,127.0,92.0,90.9,83.5,79.5,69.0$, $56.3,51.9,51.8,51.1,40.2,39.7,28.3,28.1,27.2,22.3$. HRMS (ESI + ): $m / z[M]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{46} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{I}_{4}$ : 1211.9587 ; found: 1211.9615 .

## 3-(2-Azidoethyl)-1-benzyl-4-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazolium trifluoromethanesulfonate



The general procedure 6.3.3 was followed starting from 1-benzyl-4-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole ( $0.14 \mathrm{mmol}, 34 \mathrm{mg}$ ) and 2-azidoethyl trifluoromethanesulfonate ( $0.16 \mathrm{mmol}, 35 \mathrm{mg}$ ). Yield: 30 mg ( 46 \%). Colorless oil. IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 2104(\mathrm{~N} \equiv \mathrm{~N}), 1457$ (triazole), 1255, 1224, 1151, $1029\left(\mathrm{SO}_{2}\right), 766,739,700,636 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.58(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, triazole), 7.70-7.50 (m, 7H, Ar), 7.50-7.41 (m, 3H, Ar), $5.86\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}\right), 4.68$ (t, $J=5.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{tr}}$ ), $3.97\left(\mathrm{t}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 144.2$, $132.0,130.8,130.1,129.7,129.6,129.5,128.5,121.4,58.0,50.6,48.7$. HRMS (ESI+): $m / z$ $[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{6}$ : 305.1515; found: 305.1524.

## 1-Benzyl-4-phenyl-3-\{2-[2-(2,4,6-triiodophenoxy)ethoxy]ethyl\}-1H-1,2,3-triazolium trifluoromethanesulfonate



The general procedure 6.3 .3 was followed starting from 1 TfO ${ }^{\ominus}$ benzyl-4-phenyl-1 $H-1,2,3$-triazole $(0.04 \mathrm{mmol}, 10 \mathrm{mg})$ and 2-[2-(2,4,6-triiodophenoxy)ethoxy]ethyl trifluoromethanesulfonate ( $0.05 \mathrm{mmol}, 32 \mathrm{mg}$ ). Yield: 30 mg (77 \%). Waxy solid. IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ : 1452 (triazole), 1252, 1223, 1150, $1027\left(\mathrm{SO}_{2}\right), 765,736,697,635 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.64(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, triazole), $8.01(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.64-7.47(\mathrm{~m}$,
$5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.46-7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 5.91\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}\right), 4.77\left(\mathrm{t}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{trz}}\right), 4.19$ $\left(\mathrm{t}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{tr}}\right), 4.04\left(\mathrm{t}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OAr}\right), 3.90(\mathrm{t}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OAr}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 157.6,147.3,144.4,131.8,131.1,130.0$, 130.0, 129.7, 129.6, 129.5, 128.4, 121.8, 91.8, 89.5, 72.1, 70.5, 68.0, 57.9, 51.5. HRMS (ESI+): $m / z[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{I}_{3}$ : 777.8924; found: 777.8936.

3-(3-Azidopropyl)-1-benzyl-4-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazolium trifluoromethanesulfonate


The general procedure 6.3 .3 was followed starting from 1-benzyl-4-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole ( $0.14 \mathrm{mmol}, 34 \mathrm{mg}$ ) and 3-azidopropyl
$\mathrm{TfO}^{\ominus}$ trifluoromethanesulfonate ( $0.16 \mathrm{mmol}, 37 \mathrm{mg}$ ). Yield: $40 \mathrm{mg}(59 \%)$. Colorless oil. IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 2100(\mathrm{~N} \equiv \mathrm{~N}), 1457$ (triazole), 1256, 1224, 1152, $1029\left(\mathrm{SO}_{2}\right), 766$, 736, 700, 636. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.56$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, triazole), 7.73-7.33 (m, 10H, Ar), $5.84\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}\right), 4.62\left(\mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{tr}}\right), 3.42\left(\mathrm{t}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3}\right), 2.32-$ 2.07 (m, 3H, CH2 CH ${ }_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{tr}}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 143.4,131.9,131.0,130.0,129.7$, 129.5, 129.4, 128.6, 121.6, 57.8, 49.0, 47.7, 27.9. HRMS (ESI+): $m / z[M]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{6}$ : 319.1671; found: 319.1682.

## 1-Benzyl-4-phenyl-3-(4-pentyn-1-yl)-1H-1,2,3-triazolium trifluoromethanesulfonate



The general procedure 6.3.3 was followed starting from 1-benzyl-4-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole ( $0.14 \mathrm{mmol}, 34 \mathrm{mg}$ ) and hex-5-yn-1-yl triflate ( $0.16 \mathrm{mmol}, 35 \mathrm{mg}$ ). Yield: $50 \mathrm{mg}(76 \%)$. Colorless oil. IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 1458$ (triazole), 1256, 1223, 1152, $1030\left(\mathrm{SO}_{2}\right), 700,637 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.67$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, triazole), 7.79 - 7.36 (m, 10H, Ar), 5.87 (s, 2 H , $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $4.68\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{trr}}\right), 2.34-2.25\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{trz}}\right), 2.22-$ 2.11 (m, 2H, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{trz}}$ ), 1.85 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 143.6$, $131.9,131.2,130.0,129.8,129.7,129.5,129.5,128.8,121.7,80.7,70.4,57.8,50.6,27.1$, 15.5. HRMS (ESI+): $m / z[M]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{3}: 302.1657$; found: 302.1670.

## 1-(4-tert-Butylbenzyl)-4-(4-methoxyphenyl)-3-(4-pentyn-1-yl)-1H-1,2,3-triazolium trifluoromethanesulfonate



The general procedure 6.3 .3 was followed starting from 1-(4-tert-buthylbenzyl)-4-(4-metoxyphenyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazole (0.16 $\mathrm{mmol}, 50 \mathrm{mg}$ ) and hex-5-yn-1-yl triflate ( $0.18 \mathrm{mmol}, 38 \mathrm{mg}$ ). Yield: $70 \mathrm{mg}(81 \%)$. Colorless oil. IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ : 1461 (triazole), 1254, 1223, 1180, $1029\left(\mathrm{SO}_{2}\right)$, 637. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.61$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, triazole), $7.64-7.41$ (m, 6H, Ar), 7.03 (d, $J$ $=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 5.79\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.67\left(\mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{trz}}\right), 3.84(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$. $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ), 2.36-2.18(m, 2H, CH2 $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{trz}}$ ), $2.21-2.08\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{trz}}\right), 1.87(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 1.31\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 162.5,153.5,143.8,131.3$, $129.8,129.7,128.5,126.7,120.4$ (q, $J=320.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 115.5, 113.8, 81.1, 70.6, 57.7, 55.8, 50.8, 35.0, 31.4, 27.3, 15.8. HRMS (ESI+): $m / z[M]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}: 388.2389$; found: 388.2399 .

## 1-Benzyl-3-butyl-4-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazolium trifluoromethanesulfonate



The general procedure 6.3.3 was followed starting from 1-benzyl-4-phenyl-1 $H$-1,2,3-triazole ( $0.14 \mathrm{mmol}, 34 \mathrm{mg}$ ) and butyl $\mathrm{TfO}^{\ominus}$ trifluoromethanesulfonate ( $0.16 \mathrm{mmol}, 33 \mathrm{mg}$ ). Yield: $33 \mathrm{mg}(52 \%)$. Colorless oil. IR (cm ${ }^{-1}$ ): 1458 (triazole), 1256, 1223, 1152, $1029\left(\mathrm{SO}_{2}\right), 637 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.71\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, triazole), 7.77-7.35 (m, 10H, Ar), $5.86\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}\right), 4.51(\mathrm{t}, J$ $\left.=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{trz}}\right), 2.08-1.76\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{tr}}\right), 1.44-1.11\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.88(\mathrm{t}$, $\left.J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 143.2,132.0,131.4,130.0,129.8$, 129.6, 129.6, 129.5, 128.9, 122.0, 57.8, 51.7, 30.9, 19.4, 13.2. HRMS (ESI+): $m / z\left[\mathrm{M}^{+}\right.$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{3}$ : 292.1814; found: 292.1826 .

## 2-Benzyl-4,5-dihydro-2,3,3a,5a,6,7-hexaaza-indacenium trifluoromethanesulfonate

The general procedure 6.3.3 was followed starting from 1-benzyl-4-
ethynyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole $(1.09 \mathrm{mmol}, 200 \mathrm{mg})$ and 2-azidoethyl
trifluoromethanesulfonate $(1.20 \mathrm{mmol}, 263 \mathrm{mg})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(4 \mathrm{~mL})$. Yield: $320 \mathrm{mg}(73 \%)$. White solid $\left(\mathrm{mp}=106-108{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) . \mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 1458$ (triazole), 1277,

1223, $1130\left(\mathrm{SO}_{2}\right), 733,634 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{d}_{3}\right) \delta 8.83(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, triazole), $8.29(\mathrm{~s}$, 1 H , triazole), 7.71-7.38 (m, 5H, Ar), $5.88\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 5.20-4.97\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, MeCN-d ${ }_{3}$ ) $\delta 132.2,131.3,130.5,129.6,129.1,129.0,125.7,121.4,57.4$, 47.4, 43.6. HRMS (ESI + ): $m / z[M]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{~N}_{6}$ : 253.1202; found: 253.1203.
[3-(3-Azidopropyl)-1-benzyl-4-ethynyl-1H-1,2,3-triazolium trifluoromethanesulfonate


Yield: $75 \mathrm{mg}(60 \%)$. Colorless oil. IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 3206(\equiv \mathrm{CH}), 2101\left(\mathrm{~N}_{3}\right)$, 1458 (triazole), 1254, 1224, 1153, 1029, 737, 636. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.84(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, triazole), $7.67-7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 5.85(\mathrm{~s}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.72\left(\mathrm{t}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3}\right), 4.24(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CH}), 3.51\left(\mathrm{t}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3}\right), 2.28\left(\mathrm{p}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}$ $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 133.3,131.0,130.4,130.0,129.8,126.1,95.1,64.3,58.6,50.6,48.0$, 28.2.].

## 2-Benzyl-3'-methyl-4,5-dihydro-2,3,3a,5a,6,7-hexaaza-indacenium ditrifluoromethanesulfonate



The general procedure 6.1.6 was followed starting from 2-benzyl-4,5-
 dihydro-2,3,3a,5a,6,7-hexaaza-indacenium trifluoromethanesulfonate $(0.08 \mathrm{mmol}, 34 \mathrm{mg})$ and methyl trifluoromethanesulfonate $(0.09 \mathrm{mmol}$, $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$. Yield: $40 \mathrm{mg}(84 \%)$. White solid ( $\mathrm{mp}=$ $186-187^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ : 1459 (triazole), 1245, 1225, 1161, $1028\left(\mathrm{SO}_{2}\right), 709,637 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{d}_{3}$ ) $\delta 9.15(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, triazole), $9.06(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, triazole), $7.66-7.46(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar})$, $5.94\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 5.30\left(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.43\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{d}_{3}$ ) $\delta 130.9,129.7,129.7,129.3,129.0,128.8,126.8,126.5,120.4(\mathrm{q}, J=320.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 57.9,46.9$, 46.7, 40.9. HRMS (ESI+): $m / z[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}_{6}: 267.1358$; found: 267.1354.

## 1-Benzyl-4-phenyl-3-[2-(4-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazol-1-yl)ethyl]-1H-1,2,3-triazolium trifluoromethanesulfonate



The general procedure 6.1.4 B was followed starting from 3-(2-azidoethyl)-1-benzyl-4-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazolium trifluoromethanesulfonate $(0.06 \mathrm{mmol}, 26 \mathrm{mg})$, phenylacetylene ( $0.06 \mathrm{mmol}, 7 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ), $\mathrm{CuOAc}(0.01 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ mg ), $\mathrm{NaOAc}\left(0.06 \mathrm{mmol}, 5 \mathrm{mg}\right.$ ) in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN} 1: 1(2 \mathrm{~mL})$. Yield: $22 \mathrm{mg}(71 \%)$. Waxy solid. IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 1458, 1444 (triazole), 1255, 1223, 1152, $1028\left(\mathrm{SO}_{2}\right), 764,695,635 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.17$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, triazole), 8.09 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, triazole), 7.77 (d, $J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.54-7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 13 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 5.75\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}\right), 5.18\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{trz}}\right), 5.01$ (t, $J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{trz}}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 148.4,144.5,132.3,130.6$, 130.5, 130.3, 129.9, 129.7, 129.2, 128.6, 128.2, 126.0, 121.9, 121.3, 58.3, 51.6, 48.2. HRMS (ESI+): $m / z[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{6}$ : 407.1984; found: 407.1996.

## 1-Benzyl-4-phenyl-3-\{2-[4-(1-pyrenemethylmethylether)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-1-yl]ethyl\}-1H-1,2,3-triazolium trifluoromethanesulfonate



The general procedure 6.1.4 B was followed starting from 3-(2-azidoethyl)-1-benzyl-4-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazolium trifluoromethanesulfonate (0.10 mmol, 45 mg$)$, 1-((prop-2-yn-1yloxy)methyl)pyrene ( $0.11 \mathrm{mmol}, 30 \mathrm{mg}$ ), $\mathrm{CuOAc}(0.02 \mathrm{mmol}, 2 \mathrm{mg}$ ) and $\mathrm{NaOAc}(0.1$ mmol, 9 mg ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN} /$ THF 2:1 ( 1.5 mL ). Yield: $55 \mathrm{mg}(95 \%)$. White solid ( $\mathrm{mp}=52-54$ $\left.{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 1457,1223,1071,1223,1048,1028,848,700,636 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.20-8.12(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.12-8.05(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.05-7.94(\mathrm{~m}$, $5 \mathrm{H}), 7.74(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.40-7.17(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 5.52(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.23(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.98(\mathrm{t}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $4.86(\mathrm{t}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.67(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 145.2,144.0,131.8$, $131.3,131.1,130.7,130.6,130.2,129.9,129.5,129.4,129.3,129.2,127.8,127.4,127.3$, $127.2,125.9,125.2,124.7,124.6,124.5,124.4,123.3,120.9,71.1,63.2,57.7,51.0,47.6$. HRMS (ESI+): $m / z[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{37} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}$ : 575.2559; found: 575.2564.

1-Benzyl-4-phenyl-3-\{2-[3-methyl-4-(1-pyrenemethylmethylether)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-1-ium]ethyl\}-1H-1,2,3-triazolium ditrifluoromethanesulfonate

trifluoromethanesulfonate $(0.09 \mathrm{mmol}, 51 \mathrm{mg})$ and methyl trifluoromethanesulfonate $(0.1$ mmol, $11 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 0.7 mL ). Yield: 40 mg ( $77 \%$ ). Waxy solid. IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 1462$ (triazole), 1257, 1153, $1027\left(\mathrm{SO}_{2}\right), 738,635 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 9.33(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, triazole), 8.79 (s, 1H, triazole), $7.81-7.40(\mathrm{~m}, 19 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 5.93$ (s, 2H, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ ), $5.38-5.14$ (m, 4H, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 4.73 (s, 2H, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Pyr}$ ), 4.14 (s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 3.18 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Pyr}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz, DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta 143.9,143.2,132.5,131.8,129.7,129.6$, $129.5,129.4,129.1,129.1,127.9,125.2,121.8,120.7(\mathrm{~d}, J=320.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 56.7,52.0,50.9$, 49.7, 48.6, 38.0. HRMS (ESI+)/MALDI-MS: $m / z$ found: 262.1334, 285.1452, 525.1518.

1-[2-(1-Benzyl-4-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazol-3-ium)ethyl]-4-\{3-[1-(4-tert-butylbenzyl)-4-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-3-ium]propyl\}-1H-1,2,3-triazolium ditrifluoromethanesulfonate
 pentyn-1-yl)-1 H -1,2,3-triazolium trifluoromethanesulfonate ( $0.06 \mathrm{mmol}, 32 \mathrm{mg}$ ), CuOAc ( $0.01 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{mg}$ ) and $\mathrm{NaOAc}\left(0.12 \mathrm{mmol}, 11 \mathrm{mg}\right.$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN} /{ }^{t} \mathrm{BuOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ 1:1:0.1 ( 1.7 mL ). Yield: $32 \mathrm{mg}(77 \%)$. Colorless oil. IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 1459$ (triazole), 1254, 1223, 1150, $1028\left(\mathrm{SO}_{2}\right)$, 636. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.33$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, triazole), 8.32 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, triazole), $7.65(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, triazole), $7.63-7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 16 \mathrm{H}), 6.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 5.81\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}\right), 5.77(\mathrm{~s}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}\right), 5.09\left(\mathrm{t}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{trz}}\right.$ ), $4.90\left(\mathrm{t}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{trz}}\right.$ ), $4.53\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{trz}}\right), 3.81\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 2.68(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{trz}}$ ), $2.40-2.22\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{trz}}\right), 1.31\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101
$\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 162.5,153.7,145.8,144.3,143.6,132.2,131.2,131.0,130.3,130.0,129.9$, $129.8,129.8,129.6,128.7,128.2,128.0,126.8,123.8,121.4,120.4(\mathrm{q}, J=320.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 115.5$, $113.8,58.2,57.7,55.8,51.6,51.1,48.2,35.0,31.4,28.2,22.1$. HRMS (ESI+): $m / z[M]^{2+}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{47} \mathrm{~N}_{9} \mathrm{O}: 346.6952$; found: 346.6960 .

## (S)-Methyl 3-amino-3-phenylpropanoate ${ }^{164}$



To a solution of benzaldehyde ( $47.30 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.81 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) in EtOH ( 50 mL ), $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OAc}(94.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 7.29 \mathrm{~g})$ was added and the mixture was stirred at 45 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 hours. Then, a solution of malonic acid ( $47.30 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.92 \mathrm{~g}$ ) in EtOH ( 25 mL ) was added, the mixture was stirred at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 18 hours and followed by a reflux for 6 hours. Upon completion, the reaction mixture was slowly cooled at $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to give a precipitate which was collected by filtration, washed with cold EtOH and dried in vacuo to afford the intermediate 3-amino-3-phenylpropionic acid. To a solution of the later acid in $\mathrm{MeOH}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ cooled at $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{SOCl}_{2}(34.29 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.50 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 18 h . Then, the solution was refluxed for 2 hours and concentrated to dryness. To the white residue $2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and the product was extracted with EtOAc ( $20 \mathrm{~mL} x \mathrm{3}$ ). The organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated under reduced pressure, to afford the racemic ( $\pm$ )-methyl 3-amino-3phenylpropanoate. Yield: 4.00 g ( $47 \%$ ).

To a refluxing solution of (+)-L-tartaric acid ( $5.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.84 \mathrm{~g}$ ) in $\mathrm{MeOH}(6 \mathrm{~mL})$ a solution of ( $\pm$ )-methyl 3-amino-3-phenylpropanoate ( $5.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.00 \mathrm{~g}$ ) in $\mathrm{MeOH}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added. The ( $S$ )-amine L-tartrate salt was crystallized at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 18 hours and was filtered off. To liberate the amine, the tartrate was dissolved in 1 M NaOH and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL}$ $x$ 3). The combined organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. Yield: $250 \mathrm{mg}(50 \%) .[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}=-15.6\left(c=1, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)\left(\right.$ Lit. ${ }^{164}[\alpha]^{22}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-20.3(c=$ $\left.1.53, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$ ). IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 3377,1436,1729(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.44-$ $7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 4.46\left(\mathrm{t}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right), 3.71\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{COOCH}_{3}\right), 2.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $2.19\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 172.3,144.8,128.6,127.4$, 126.2, 52.6, 51.5, 43.9 .

[^63]
## (S)-(3-Bromoacetamido)-3-phenylpropionic acid methyl ester ${ }^{165}$



To a solution of ( $S$ ) $-\beta$-phenylalanine ( $0.92 \mathrm{mmol}, 165 \mathrm{mg}$ ) and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ ( $0.92 \mathrm{mmol}, 128 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-10{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, bromoacetyl chloride ( $0.92 \mathrm{mmol}, 77 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) was added. The mixture was stirred at $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 min and then warmed up to room temperature for 30 min . Then, the mixture was washed successively with $5 \% \mathrm{HCl}$ and brine. The organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure. Yield: $272 \mathrm{mg}(98 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.68$ (d, $J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}$ ), 7.41 - 7.26 (m, 4H, Ar), 5.51-5.37 (m, 1H, $\mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}$ ), $3.94\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{BrCH}_{2}\right), 3.67\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{COOCH}_{3}\right), 3.02-2.85(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ).

## (S)-3-(Azidoacetamido)-3-phenylpropionic acid methyl ester ${ }^{165}$



To a solution of $\mathbf{X}(0.91 \mathrm{mmol}, 273 \mathrm{mg})$ in DMF $(4.5 \mathrm{~mL}), \mathrm{NaN}_{3}(2.73$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 177 \mathrm{mg}$ ) was added and the mixture was stirred at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 hours. Then, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was added and the solution was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.

The organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and the crude product was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, Hex/EtOAc 1:1). Yield: $197 \mathrm{mg}(83 \%) .[\alpha]^{22}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-15.3\left(\mathrm{c}=0.38, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) . \mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 3291,2102\left(\mathrm{~N}_{3}\right), 1733$ $(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 1656(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.43-7.18$ (m, 4H, Ar), 5.43 (q, $\left.J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right), 4.03-3.86\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.63(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{COOCH}_{3}$ ), $3.01-2.76\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 171.1,165.9,139.8$, 128.6, 127.7, 126.1, 52.4, 51.7, 49.5, 39.6.

## 4-Pentyn-1-yl p-toluenesulfonate ${ }^{166}$

TsO To a solution of 4-pentyn-1-ol ( $59.44 \mathrm{mmol}, 5.53 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(89.16 \mathrm{mmol}$, $12.42 \mathrm{~mL})$ in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(60 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $p$-toluenesulfonyl chloride ( $59.44 \mathrm{mmol}, 11.33 \mathrm{~g}$ ) was added dropwise. The mixture was stirred at ambient temperature for 16 hours. Then, the mixture was washed with a saturated aqueous solution of $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$. The

[^64]organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, the solvent was evaporated and the crude product was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, Hex/EtOAc 10:1). Yield: $12.30 \mathrm{~g}(87 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.82$ (d, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}$ ), 7.37 (d, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}$ ), 4.17 (t, $\left.J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TsOCH}_{2}\right), 2.47\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.39-2.20\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CH}\right), 1.99-1.79$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CH}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 144.7, 132.7, 129.7, 127.7, 81.9, 69.3, 68.6, 27.5, 21.4, 14.4.

## 4-Pentyn-1-amine ${ }^{167}$

4-Pentyn-1-yl $p$-toluenesulfonate $(25.12 \mathrm{mmol}, 6.00 \mathrm{~g})$ was dissolved in a 7 N
ammonia solution in methanol $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was stirred at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 hours in an Ace pressure tube. Then, volatiles were evaporated under reduced pressure, the crude was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{KOH}(25.12 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.41 \mathrm{~g})$ was added until basic pH to obtain the free amine. The suspension was filtered trough a celite:silica (1:1) pad and the solvent was carefully removed under vacuo avoiding complete dryness to prevent the evaporation of the amine. The product was used in the next reaction without further purification. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.80\left(\mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.25(\mathrm{td}, J=$ $\left.6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CH}\right), 1.94\left(\mathrm{t}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CH}\right), 1.65(\mathrm{p}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ).

## $N, N^{\prime}-\operatorname{Di}\left(\right.$ tert-butoxycarbonyl)- $N^{\prime \prime}$-(4-pentyn-1-yl)-guanidine ${ }^{165}$

 thiopseudourea ( $25.18 \mathrm{mmol}, 7.31 \mathrm{~g}$ ) in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 18 hours in an Ace pressure tube. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and the crude product was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, Hex/EtOAc 10:1). Yield: $6.80 \mathrm{~g}(83 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 11.50(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} H \mathrm{Boc}), 8.39(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{N} H), 3.52\left(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 2.26\left(\mathrm{td}, J=7.1,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CH}\right), 1.98(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $\left.2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{C} H\right), 1.81\left(\mathrm{p}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ ), 1.50 ( $\mathrm{s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Boc}$ ), 1.49 ( s , $9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Boc}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 163.5,156.2,153.2,83.0,79.2,69.2,39.7,28.2$, 28.0, 27.8, 16.0.

[^65]
## $N, N^{\prime}$-Di(tert-butoxycarbonyl)- $N^{\prime \prime}$-(3-butyn-1-yl)-guanidine ${ }^{165}$

 thiopseudourea ( $14.47 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.20 \mathrm{~g}$ ) in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(58 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred at $35{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 72 hours in an Ace pressure tube and then at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 18 hours. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and the crude product was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, Hex/EtOAc 10:1). Yield: $2.88 \mathrm{~g}(64 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 11.47$ (s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} H \mathrm{Boc}), 8.58(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} H), 3.58\left(\mathrm{q}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.45(\mathrm{td}, J=6.3,2.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $2.04(\mathrm{t}, J=2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} H), 1.48(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Boc}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 163.3,156.0,152.9,83.0,80.9,79.2,70.2,39.3,28.2,27.9,19.0$.

## $N, N^{\prime}-\operatorname{Di}\left(\right.$ tert-butoxycarbonyl)- $N^{\prime}$ '-propargyl-guanidine ${ }^{165}$

 308 mg ) and 1,3-bis(tert-butoxycarbonyl)-2-methyl-2-thiopseudourea ( $25.18 \mathrm{mmol}, 7.31 \mathrm{~g}$ ) in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\left(100 \mathrm{~mL}\right.$ ) was stirred at $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 72 hours and then at $40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 18 hours in an Ace pressure tube. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and the crude product was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, Hex/EtOAc 10:1). Yield: $6.67 \mathrm{~g}(89 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 11.44(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{N} H \mathrm{Boc}), 8.45(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} H), 4.36-4.08\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.27(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} H), 1.49(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Boc})$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{NMR}}\left(126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 163.1,155.5,152.9,83.3,79.5,78.7,72.2,30.6,28.1,27.9$.

### 6.3.4 General procedure for the synthesis of iodoalkynes from $N$-alkynylguanidines

A suspension of the corresponding alkyne ( 1.00 mmol ), $N$-iodomorpholine ${ }^{168}(1.30 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{CuI}(0.05 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous THF ( 6 mL ) was stirred at room temperature for 1 hour. The organic solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was added and the mixture was washed with a saturated aqueous solution of $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(4 \mathrm{~mL} x 3)$. Evaporation of the solvent yielded a product which was further used without additional purification.

[^66]
## $N, N$ '-Di(tert-butoxycarbonyl)- $N$ ''-(5-iodo-4-pentyn-1-yl)-guanidine



The general procedure 6.3.4 was followed starting from $N, N$ ' $-\mathrm{di}($ tert -butoxycarbonyl)- $N$ ''-(4-pentyn-1-yl)-guanidine ( $2.46 \mathrm{mmol}, 800 \mathrm{mg}$ ), $N$-iodomorpholine ( $3.20 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.09 \mathrm{~g}$ ) and $\mathrm{CuI}(0.12 \mathrm{~mol}, 23 \mathrm{mg})$ in THF ( 14 mL ). Yield: quantitative. Yellow solid ( $\mathrm{mp}=162-163^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 3327$ (NH), 2976, 1723 (C=O), 642, $553(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{I}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 11.47(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} H \mathrm{Boc})$, $8.37(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} H), 3.50\left(\mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 2.44\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CI}\right), 1.84$ $-1.75\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.49(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Boc}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 163.6$, 156.3, 153.3, 93.1, 83.2, 79.4, 40.0, 28.4, 28.2, 28.0, 18.6, -5.1. HRMS (ESI + ): $m / z[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{I}$ : 452.1046; found: 452.1025.

## $N, N^{\prime}$-Di(tert-butoxycarbonyl)- $N^{\prime \prime}$-(4-iodo-3-butyn-1-yl)-guanidine



The general procedure 6.3 .4 was followed starting from $N, N$ '-di(tert-butoxycarbonyl)- $N$ ''-(3-butyn-1-yl)-guanidine ( $0.16 \mathrm{mmol}, 50 \mathrm{mg}$ ), $N$ iodomorpholine ( $0.21 \mathrm{mmol}, 72 \mathrm{mg}$ ) and $\mathrm{CuI}(8 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.5 \mathrm{mg})$ in THF ( 1 mL ). Yield: 62 mg ( $86 \%$ ). Yellow solid ( $\mathrm{mp}=142-143{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 3327(\mathrm{NH}), 2978,1718(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 644,625$, 550 (C-I). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 11.48(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} H B o c), 8.62(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} H), 3.59(\mathrm{q}, J$ $\left.=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 2.66\left(\mathrm{t}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CI}\right), 1.52(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Boc}), 1.51(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}$, Boc). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 163.4,156.1,153.0,91.1,83.1,79.3,39.4,28.2,28.0$, 21.4, -3.9. HRMS (ESI + ): $m / z[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{I}$ : 438.0890; found: 438.0895.

### 6.3.5 General procedure for the synthesis of 1 -substituted-4-\{ $\omega$-[4-N, $N^{\prime}$-di(tert-butoxycarbonyl)-guanidyl]-alkyl\}-1H-1,2,3-triazoles

A suspension of the corresponding alkyne ( 1.00 mmol ), the selected azide ( 1.00 mmol ), CuI $(1.00 \mathrm{mmol})$ and DIPEA ( 1.00 mmol ) in anhydrous $\mathrm{MeCN}(6 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ for 24 hours at ambient temperature. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was added and the product was washed with a saturated aqueous solution of $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$. The organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, the solvent was evaporated and the resulting mixture product was purified by column chromatography.

## 1-Benzyl-4-\{2-[ $N, N^{\prime}$-di(tert-butoxycarbonyl)-guanidyl]-ethyl\}-1H-1,2,3-triazole



The general procedure 6.3.5 was followed starting from $N, N^{\prime}$ -di(tert-butoxycarbonyl)- $N^{\prime \prime}$ '(4-butyn-1-yl)-guanidine (1.61 $\mathrm{mmol}, 500 \mathrm{mg})$, benzyl azide ( $1.61 \mathrm{mmol}, 214 \mathrm{mg}$ ), CuI ( $1.61 \mathrm{~mol}, 306 \mathrm{mg}$ ) and DIPEA $(1.61 \mathrm{mmol}, 281 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ in $\mathrm{MeCN}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ to afford the crude product, which was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, Hex/EtOAc 1:1). Yield: 633 mg ( $89 \%$ ). White solid (mp $\left.=139-140{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 3328(\mathrm{NH}), 1714(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 1153,1130 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 11.48(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} H \mathrm{Boc}), 8.59(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} H), 7.49-7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}$, triazole, Ar), $5.53(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}\right), 3.79\left(\mathrm{q}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.01\left(\mathrm{t}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.52(\mathrm{~s}$, $9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Boc}$ ), 1.51 ( $\mathrm{s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Boc}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 163.0,155.6,152.4,144.5$, 134.5, 128.4, 128.0, 127.3, 121.2, 82.4, 78.4, 53.3, 39.5, 27.7, 27.4, 25.0. HRMS (ESI+): m/z $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ : 445.2563; found: 445.2570.

## (S)-4-\{2-[ $N, N$ '-Di(tert-butoxycarbonyl)-guanidyl]-ethyl $\}-1$-\{ $N$-[1-phenyl-2-(methoxycarbonyl)ethyl]carbamoylmethyl\}-1H-1,2,3-triazole ${ }^{165}$



The general procedure 6.3 .5 was followed starting from
$N, N{ }^{\prime}$-di(tert-butoxycarbonyl)- $N^{\prime \prime}$-(3-butyn-1-yl)$\mathrm{mmol}, 110 \mathrm{mg})$, CuI ( $0.46 \mathrm{mmol}, 88 \mathrm{mg}$ ) and DIPEA ( $1.05 \mathrm{mmol}, 184 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) in $\mathrm{MeCN}(18$ mL ) to afford the crude product, which was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, Hex/EtOAc 1:4). Yield: 117 mg ( $49 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$ ) $\delta 11.47$ (s, 1 H , $\mathrm{NHBoc}), 8.52(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} H), 7.61(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, triazole), $7.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NHCO}), 7.32-$ 7.18 (m, 5H, Ar), $5.42-5.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} H \mathrm{Ph}), 5.05\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=25.4,16.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CONH}\right)$, $3.82-3.65\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.56\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.01(\mathrm{t}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 2.86, 2.78 (dd, dd, $J=15.7,6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$ ), 1.49 (s, $9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Boc}$ ), 1.47 (s, 9H, Boc). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 171.2,164.7,163.4,156.2,153.1,145.4,139.9$, $128.8,127.9,126.3,123.4,83.2,79.5,52.9,52.0,50.3,40.2,39.9,28.4,28.1,25.6$.

## (S)-4-\{3-[ $N, N^{\prime}$-Di(tert-butoxycarbonyl)-guanidyl]-propyl\}-1-\{N-[1-phenyl-2-

 (methoxycarbonyl)ethyl]carbamoylmethyl\}-1H-1,2,3-triazole ${ }^{165}$

The general procedure 6.3 .5 was followed starting from $\quad N, N$ '-di(tert-butoxycarbonyl)- $N$ ''-(4-pentyn-1-yl)-guanidine ( $0.31 \mathrm{mmol}, 100 \mathrm{mg}$ ), the azide $\mathbf{X}(0.31$ mmol, 80 mg ), CuI ( $0.31 \mathrm{mmol}, 59 \mathrm{mg}$ ) and DIPEA $(0.31 \mathrm{mmol}, 54 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ in $\mathrm{MeCN}(2.50 \mathrm{~mL})$ to afford the crude product, which was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, Hex/EtOAc 1:4). Yield: 90 mg (50 \%). ${ }^{1}$ H NMR (500 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 11.50(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} H \mathrm{Boc}), 8.43(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} H), 7.71(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, triazole), $7.34-7.18$ (m, 5H, Ar), 5.39 (dd, $J=13.7,6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} H \mathrm{Ph}), 5.06$ (s, 2H, CH2CONH), 3.58 (s, 3 H , $\mathrm{COOCH}_{3}$ ), $3.47\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.91-2.77\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$ ), 1.98 ( $\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 1.51 ( $\mathrm{s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Boc}$ ), 1.50 ( $\mathrm{s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Boc}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 171.2,164.9,163.6,156.5,153.4,147.9,140.0,129.0,128.0$, $126.4,123.4,83.4,79.6,53.2,52.1,50.2,40.0,39.9,29.0,28.5,28.3,22.9$.

### 6.3.6 General procedure for the synthesis of 5-iodo-1,4,5-trisubstituted-1,2,3triazoles

## Method A:

A suspension of the corresponding alkyne ( 1.00 mmol ), the selected azide ( 1.00 mmol ), CuI ( 1.10 mmol ), $N$-bromosuccinimide ( 1.20 mmol ) and DIPEA ( 1.10 mmol ) in anhydrous MeCN ( 6 mL ) was stirred under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ for 4 hours at ambient temperature. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and the resulting product was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, Hex/EtOAc).

## Method B:

A suspension of the corresponding iodoalkyne ( 1.20 mmol ), the selected azide ( 1.00 mmol ), $\mathrm{CuI}(1.50 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(5.00 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous THF $(11 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ for 18 hours at ambient temperature. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and the resulting product was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, Hex/EtOAc).

## (S)-4-\{3-[ $N, N^{\prime}$-Di(tert-butoxycarbonyl)-guanidyl]-propyl\}-5-iodo-1-\{ $N$-[1-phenyl-2-(methoxycarbonyl)ethyl]carbamoylmethyl\}-1H-1,2,3-triazole



The general procedure 6.3.6 (method A) was followed starting from alkyne $6(0.15 \mathrm{mmol}, 50 \mathrm{mg})$, the azide 7 ( $0.15 \mathrm{mmol}, 40 \mathrm{mg}$ ), DIPEA ( $0.17 \mathrm{mmol}, 30 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ), CuI ( $0.17 \mathrm{mmol}, 32 \mathrm{mg}$ ) and N -bromosuccinimide ( $0.18 \mathrm{mmol}, 33 \mathrm{mg}$ ) in $\mathrm{MeCN}(0.40 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). Purification by chromatography (silica gel, Hex/EtOAc 1:4). Yield: 65 mg ( $58 \%$ ). Brown solid ( $\mathrm{mp}=68-70{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 11.50(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NHBoc}), 8.44(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{N} H), 7.34-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NHCO}), 5.40(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHPh}), 5.11(\mathrm{~s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CONH}$ ), $3.58\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{COOCH}_{3}\right), 3.55-3.46\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ ), $2.90-2.71$ (m, $4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$ ), $2.08-2.00\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ ), 1.51 ( $\mathrm{s}, 9 \mathrm{H}$, Boc), 1.50 (s, $9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Boc}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 177.4,171.2,163.9,163.4,156.1$, $153.2,151.6,139.6,128.7,127.8,126.1,83.1,80.1,79.3,53.2,51.9,49.8,40.0,39.4,29.5$, 28.2, 28.0, 23.5.
[The general procedure 6.3 .6 (method B) was followed starting from alkyne 6 ( 2.46 mmol , $1.11 \mathrm{~g})$, azide $7(2.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 524 \mathrm{mg}), \mathrm{CuI}(3.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 571 \mathrm{mg})$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(10.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.40$ mL ) in THF ( 23 mL ). Purification by chromatography (silica gel, Hex/EtOAc 1:4). Yield: $935 \mathrm{mg}(65 \%)$.

## (S)-4-\{2-[ $N, N^{\prime}-\mathrm{Di}($ tert-butoxycarbonyl)-guanidyl]-ethyl\}-5-iodo-1-\{ $N$-[1-phenyl-2-

 (methoxycarbonyl)ethyl]carbamoylmethyl\}-1H-1,2,3-triazole

The general procedure $6.3 .6(\operatorname{method} \mathrm{~A})$ was followed starting from alkyne $6(0.16 \mathrm{mmol}, 50 \mathrm{mg})$, the azide 7 ( $0.16 \mathrm{mmol}, 42 \mathrm{mg}$ ), DIPEA ( $0.18 \mathrm{mmol}, 32 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ), CuI ( $0.18 \mathrm{mmol}, 34 \mathrm{mg}$ ) and N -bromosuccinimide ( $0.19 \mathrm{mmol}, 34 \mathrm{mg}$ ) in $\mathrm{MeCN}(0.7 \mathrm{~mL})$. Yield: $60 \mathrm{mg}(53 \%)$. Yellow solid $\left(\mathrm{mp}=51-53{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) .[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{24}=-3.85\left(\mathrm{c}=1.06\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$. IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 3323(\mathrm{NH}), 2955,1722(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 616,556(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{I}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 11.49$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} H \mathrm{Boc}$ ), $8.52(\mathrm{t}, J=5.5,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} H), 7.39-7.15(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$,

NHCO), $5.45-5.33(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHPh}), 5.11$ (dd, $\left.J=27.7,16.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CONH}\right), 3.83,3.77$ $\left(\mathrm{q}, J=13.1,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.57\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{COOCH}_{3}\right), 2.99(\mathrm{t}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ $\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 2.85, 2.80 (dd, $J=15.7,5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$ ), 1.51 (s, $9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Boc}$ ), 1.47 ( s , $19 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Boc}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 171.3,163.8,163.5,156.1,152.9,150.1,139.5$, 128.7, 127.8, 126.0, 82.9, 80.8, 79.2, 53.2, 51.9, 49.8, 39.7, 39.4, 28.2, 28.0, 26.0. HRMS (ESI+): $m / z[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{IN}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{7}: 700.1956$; found: 700.1959.
(S)-4-[N, $N^{\prime}$-Di(tert-butoxycarbonyl)-guanidylmethyl]-5-iodo-1-\{ $N$-[1-phenyl-2-(methoxycarbonyl)ethyl]carbamoylmethyl\}-1H-1,2,3-triazole


The general procedure 6.3 .6 (method A) was followed starting from alkyne 6 ( $0.17 \mathrm{mmol}, 50 \mathrm{mg}$ ), the azide 7 ( $0.13 \mathrm{mmol}, 34 \mathrm{mg}$ ), DIPEA ( $0.14 \mathrm{mmol}, 25 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ), CuI ( $0.14 \mathrm{mmol}, 27 \mathrm{mg}$ ) and N -bromosuccinimide ( $0.16 \mathrm{mmol}, 28 \mathrm{mg}$ ) in $\mathrm{MeCN}(5.6 \mathrm{~mL})$. Yield: $35 \mathrm{mg}(40 \%)$. Yellow solid ( $\mathrm{mp}=76-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{24}=-3.41\left(\mathrm{c}=1.19\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$. IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 3315(\mathrm{NH}), 2853,1724(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 615,551(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{I}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 11.50$ (s, 1H, NHBoc), 8.87 (s, 1H, NH), 7.45 - 7.15 (m, 5H, Ar), 7.06 (d, J=8.3 Hz, 1H, NHCO), $5.50-5.32(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} H \mathrm{Ph}), 5.15$ (dd, $J=20.0,17.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CONH}$ ), 4.73 (d, $J=4.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2}$ ), $3.60\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{COOCH}_{3}\right), 2.88,2.81\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.7,5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right.$ ), 1.54 (s, $9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Boc}$ ), 1.50 ( $\mathrm{s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Boc}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 171.2,163.6,163.3$, $156.0,152.9,148.3,139.5,128.8,127.8,126.1,83.2,80.3,79.5,53.2,52.0,49.9,39.5,37.2$, 28.2, 28.0. HRMS (ESI + ): $m / z[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{IN}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ : 686.1799; found: 686.1818 .

### 6.3.7 General procedure for Boc and ester groups deprotection

The corresponding triazole $\mathbf{X}(1.00 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in a 4.0 M solution of hydrogen chloride in dioxane $(4 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was stirred at ambient temperature for 16 hours. Then, the volatiles were evaporated under vacuo yielding the corresponding clorohydrate derivative.

## (S)-4-(3-Guanidyl-propyl)-1-\{N-[1-phenyl-2-(carboxy)ethyl]carbamoylmethyl\}-1H-

## 1,2,3-triazole ${ }^{165}$



The general procedure 6.3 .7 was followed starting from $\mathbf{X}(0.11 \mathrm{mmol}, 65 \mathrm{mg}), 4.0 \mathrm{M}$ hydrogen chloride solution in dioxane ( 2 mL ) and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$. Yield: 37 mg ( 89 \%). $[\alpha]^{24}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-62.01\left(\mathrm{c}=0.6\right.$ en $\left.\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\left(\right.$ Lit. $^{165}[\alpha]^{24}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-63.5\left(\mathrm{c}=0.57\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ ). IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ : 3324 (NH), 3179, 2451, 1655(C=O). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) $\delta 7.92$ (s, 1H, triazole), 7.357.22 (m, 5H, Ar), 5.34 (t, $J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHPh}), 5.27$ (d, $\left.J=4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CONH}\right), 3.23$ $\left(\mathrm{t}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.01\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}\right), 2.83(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 2.04-1.93 (m, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) $\delta 177.0,168.9,168.8,159.4,142.5,142.4,131.6,130.8,130.7,128.9,55.4,55.1,53.5,53.4$, 43.0, 42.9, 42.5, 29.7, 23.9.
(S)-4-(3-Guanidyl-propyl)-5-iodo-1-\{N-[1-phenyl-2-(carboxy)ethyl]carbamoylmethyl\}-

## 1H-1,2,3-triazole



The general procedure 6.3 .7 was followed starting from $\mathbf{X}(0.06 \mathrm{mmol}, 40 \mathrm{mg}), 4.0 \mathrm{M}$ hydrogen chloride solution in dioxane ( 2 mL ) and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$. Yield: 26 $\mathrm{mg}(91 \%)$. White solid (at $\mathrm{pH}=7.0) .[\alpha]^{24}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-27.04(\mathrm{c}$ $=1.10$ in $\left.\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$. IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 3337(\mathrm{NH}), 3181,1662(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \delta 7.53-$ 7.34 (m, 5H, Ar), $5.39-5.23\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHPh}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CONH}\right), 3.17(\mathrm{t}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $3.01\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}\right), 2.76(\mathrm{t}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $2.02-1.93\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) $\delta 174.5$, $173.3,166.4,156.8,151.4,139.9,139.8,129.0,128.2,128.1,126.5,126.3,82.8,52.8,52.8$, $50.9,50.8140 .2,40.1,39.9,26.7,22.4$. HRMS (TOF MS Cl ${ }^{+},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$ ): caldc for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{I}: 500.0907$; found: 500.0913.
(S)-4-(2-Guanidyl-ethyl)-5-iodo-1-\{N-[1-phenyl-2-(carboxy)ethyl]carbamoylmethyl\}-

## 1H-1,2,3-triazole



The general procedure 6.3 .7 was followed starting from $\mathbf{X}$ $(1.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 700 \mathrm{mg}), 4.0 \mathrm{M}$ hydrogen chloride solution in dioxane ( 4 mL ) and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$. Yield: $250 \mathrm{mg}(52$ $\%)$.White solid (at $\mathrm{pH}=7.0)\left(\mathrm{mp}=187-188^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) .[\alpha]^{24}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-$ $28.52\left(\mathrm{c}=1.30\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$. IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 3339(\mathrm{NH}), 3196,1659(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}(500 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \delta 7.13-6.79(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 4.99-4.60\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}, \mathrm{CHPh}\right), 3.05(\mathrm{t}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $2.64-2.37\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) $\delta$ 174.27, 165.84, 156.46, 139.62, 128.81, 127.95, 126.34, 85.67, 53.23, 50.75, 39.79, 39.70, 24.76. HRMS (ESI+): $m / z[M+H]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{IN}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{3}: 486.0751$; found: 486.0755 .

## (S)-4-(3-Guanidyl-propyl)-5-iodo-1-\{N-[1-phenyl-2-(carboxy)ethyl]carbamoylmethyl\}-3-[2-(2,4,6-triiodophenoxy)ethyl]-1H-1,2,3-triazolium trifluoromethanesulfonate



To a solution of $(S)-4-\left\{3-\left[N, N{ }^{\prime}-\operatorname{di}(\right.\right.$ tert -butoxycarbonyl)guanidyl]propyl\}-3-[2-(2,4,6-triiodophenoxy)ethyl]-1-\{N-[1-phenyl-2(methoxycarbonyl)ethyl]carbamoylmethyl $\}$-5-iodo- 1 H -1,2,3-triazolium trifluoromethanesulfonate $(0.03 \mathrm{mmol}$, $40 \mathrm{mg})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(0.4 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, trifluoroacetic acid ( $5.22 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.40 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added and the mixture was stirred at ambient temperature for 1 hour. Then, the volatiles were evaporated under vacuo yielding the corresponding ester. To a solution of the later ester in DMSO $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} 2: 1(1 \mathrm{~mL}), 12 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(0.1 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 24 h . The solvents were removed under reduced pressure and the crude product was purified by precipitation with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. Yield: quantitative. White solid ( $\mathrm{mp}=$ $\left.70-72{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) .[\alpha]^{22}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-5.99\left(\mathrm{c}=1.84\right.$ in DMSO). IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 3331(\mathrm{NH}), 1647(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 1425$ (triazole), 1232, 1164, $1028\left(\mathrm{SO}_{2}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 9.33(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.69$ (s, 2H, Ar), $7.06-6.80(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 5.19(\mathrm{q}, ~ J=18.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHPh}), 5.01-4.66(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{tr}}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CONH}$ ), 3.86 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}$ ), 2.98 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 2.79 - 2.60 (m, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $2.46\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}\right), 1.48\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta 171.6,162.3,157.4,155.8,147.4,146.6,141.4,128.9,127.8,127.0$,
100.3, 95.0, 94.0, 70.0, 55.8, 51.7, 50.6, 41.0, 27.4, 21.8. HRMS (ESI + ): $m / z[M]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{I}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ : 997.8382 ; found: 997.8395.

### 6.4 Experimental section of chapter 4

### 6.4.1 Preparation of 1,3,4-triphenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazolium chloride

## (Phenyliminio)-2-phenylhydrazine ${ }^{169}$



To a stirred solution of $12 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$, aniline was added ( $5 \mathrm{mmol}, 456$ $\mu \mathrm{L}$ ). The resulting stirring mixture was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and treated dropwise with a $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ cooled $\mathrm{NaNO}_{2}$ solution ( $2.75 \mathrm{mmol}, 190 \mathrm{mg}$ in 0.7 mL of water) for 15 min followed by $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ cooled $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COONa}$ solution ( $7.49 \mathrm{mmol}, 614 \mathrm{mg}$ in 1.7 mL of water) for 15 min . The stirring was continued at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h and the resultant yellow precipitate was filtered and washed with cold water. The product was used without further purification due to it unstability. Yield: $386 \mathrm{mg}(78 \%)$.

## 1,3,4-Triphenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazolium chloride ${ }^{170}$



To a solution of (phenyliminio)-2-phenylhydrazine ( $1.27 \mathrm{mmol}, 250 \mathrm{mg}$ ) in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(8.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, phenylacetylene ( $2.54 \mathrm{mmol}, 278 \mathrm{mg}$ ) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred in the dark at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Then, tert-butyl hypochloride ( $1.86 \mathrm{mmol}, 211 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 hours. The product was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH} 95: 5$ ). Yield: 180 mg ( $43 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 11.26(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, triazole), $8.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, Ar), 7.56 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}$ ), $7.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.36(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, Ar), 7.28 (d, $J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}$ ), 7.25 (s, 1H, Ar). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 143.9$, $134.9,134.1,132.4,132.0,131.7,130.5,130.4,129.6,129.4,128.8,125.8,121.8,121.7$.

[^67]
### 6.4.2 General procedure for the synthesis of iodinated compounds by using the $\mathbf{A g}_{2} \mathbf{O} / \mathbf{I C N}$ system

A suspension of the corresponding 1,2,3-triazolium salt ( 1.00 mmol ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeCN} 1: 1$ $(17 \mathrm{~mL})$, was added $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.60 \mathrm{mmol})$ followed by cyanogen iodide $(1.20 \mathrm{mmol})$ and the suspension was stirred at room temperature for 6 hours. The mixture was filtered through a celite/silice 1:1 pad which was successively washed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and MeCN .

## Cyanogen iodide ${ }^{171}$

$1-\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{N}$ To a solution of $\mathrm{NaCN}(0.035 \mathrm{~mol}, 1.72 \mathrm{~g})$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(6.4 \mathrm{~mL})$ cooled at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{I}_{2}(0.039$ mol, 10.00 g ) was slowly added over a period of $30-40 \mathrm{~min}$ and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 10 min . Then, $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(6 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for a few min until the precipitated cyanogen iodide was dissolved in the ethereal layer and after that, the product was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(6 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3)$ and the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was added $(6 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the mixture was heated at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 min under slightly diminished pressure ( $1 / 2 \mathrm{~atm}$.) with vigorous shaking. The mixture is then cooled at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the crystalline cyanogen iodide is separated from the light yellow mother liquor by suction on a filter plate, washed with ice water and air dried. Yield: $1.7 \mathrm{~g}(32 \%) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{d}_{3}$ ) $\delta 44.33$.

## 1-Benzyl-5-iodo-3-methyl-4-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazolium cyanide



The general procedure 6.4.2 was followed starting from 1-benzyl-3-methyl-4-phenyl- 1 H -1,2,3-triazolium iodide ( $0.15 \mathrm{mmol}, 56 \mathrm{mg}$ ), $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( $0.09 \mathrm{mmol}, 21 \mathrm{mg}$ ) and cyanogen iodide ( $0.30 \mathrm{mmol}, 45 \mathrm{mg}$ ) in $\mathrm{MeCN} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ 1:1 (2 mL). Yield: $59 \mathrm{mg}(99 \%)$. Colorless oil. IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 2131(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{N}), 1454$ (triazole). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{d}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.69-7.47$ (m, 10H, Ar), 5.83 (s, 2H, CH2), $4.11\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{d}_{3}$ ) $\delta 148.1,133.0,132.6,131.1,130.53$, 130.4, 130.1, 129.9, 123.7, 58.8, 40.1. HRMS (ESI+): $m / z[M]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{I}$ : 376.0311; found: 376.0316.

[^68]
## 1-Benzyl-4-hydroxymethyl-5-iodo-3-methyl-4-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazolium cyanide



The general procedure 6.4.2 was followed starting from 1-benzyl-4-hydroxymethyl-3-methyl-1H-1,2,3-triazolium iodide ( $0.24 \mathrm{mmol}, 81$ $\mathrm{mg}), \mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.15 \mathrm{mmol}, 34 \mathrm{mg})$ and cyanogen iodide $(0.49 \mathrm{mmol}, 74$ mg ) in $\mathrm{MeCN} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ 1:1 ( 2 mL ). Yield: $83 \mathrm{mg}(96 \%)$. Yellow oil. IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 2135(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{N})$, 1455 (triazole). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{d}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.46$ (m, 5H, Ar), 5.77 (s, 2H, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}$ ), $5.25\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}\right), 4.31\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{d}_{3}$ ) $\delta 155.7$, 132.3, $130.5,130.1,129.8,129.5,94.7,59.0,58.7,40.4$. IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): $3340(\mathrm{OH}), 2129(\mathrm{CN}), 1742$, 1685 (C-O). HRMS (ESI + ): $m / z[M]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{I}: 376.0311$; found: 376.0316.

## 1-Benzyl-5-iodo-4-(4-methoxyphenyl)-3-methyl-1H-1,2,3-triazolium cyanide



The general procedure 6.4.2 was followed starting from 1-benzyl-4-(4-methoxyphenyl)-3-methyl-1 H -1,2,3-triazolium iodide (0.15 $\mathrm{mmol}, 27 \mathrm{mg}), \mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.09 \mathrm{mmol}, 21 \mathrm{mg})$ and cyanogen iodide ( $0.30 \mathrm{mmol}, 46 \mathrm{mg}$ ) in $\mathrm{MeCN} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ 1:1 ( 2 mL ). Yield: $57 \mathrm{mg}(89 \%)$. Colorless oil. IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 2131(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{N}), 1455$ (triazole), $702(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{I}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{d}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.46(\mathrm{~m}$, $6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.15(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 5.79\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.09\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.87\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{d}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 161.9, 146.7, 131.4, 129.1, 128.7, 128.6, 114.6, 114.0, 92.6 , 57.4, 55.1, 38.6. HRMS (ESI+): $m / z[M]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{OI}: 406.0416$; found: 406.0417.

## 1-Benzyl-4-\{2-[ $N, N^{\prime}$-di(tert-butoxycarbonyl)-guanidyl]ethyl\}-5-iodo-3-methyl-1H-1,2,3-

 triazolium cyanide

The general procedure 6.4 .2 was followed starting from 1-benzyl-4-\{2-[N,N’-di(tert-butoxycarbonyl)-guanidyl]ethyl\}-3-methyl-1 H -1,2,3-triazolium iodide ( $0.06 \mathrm{mmol}, 38 \mathrm{mg}$ ), $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( $0.04 \mathrm{mmol}, 9 \mathrm{mg}$ ) and cyanogen iodide ( $0.08 \mathrm{mmol}, 12 \mathrm{mg}$ ) in $\mathrm{MeCN} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} 1: 1(1 \mathrm{~mL})$. Yield: quantitative. Yellow solid ( $\mathrm{mp}=65-66^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 3318(\mathrm{NH}), 2133(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{N}), 1723$ (C=O), 1611, 1293, 1126. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 11.01(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} H \mathrm{Boc}), 8.63(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, NH ), 7.61-7.16 (m, 5H, Ar), $5.76\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}\right), 4.59\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.77(\mathrm{q}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$,
$\left.\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.26\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.49(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Boc}), 1.45(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Boc}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 162.2,156.3,152.4,146.0,130.6,129.7,129.6,128.0,93.4,84.0$, 79.4, 58.1, 39.3, 36.9, 28.1, 28.0, 25.4. HRMS (ESI+): $m / z[M]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{I}$ : 585.1686; found: 585.1697.

## 1,4-Diphenyl-5-iodo-3-methyl-1H-1,2,3-triazolium cyanide



The general procedure 6.4.2 was followed starting from 1,4-diphenyl-3-methyl-1 H -1,2,3-triazolium iodide ( $0.11 \mathrm{mmol}, 40 \mathrm{mg}$ ), $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.07$ mmol, 15 mg ) and cyanogen iodide ( $0.13 \mathrm{mmol}, 20 \mathrm{mg}$ ) in $\mathrm{MeCN} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ 1:1 (2 mL). Yield: $41 \mathrm{mg}(96 \%)$. Yellow solid $\left(\mathrm{mp}=190-191^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) . \mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ : 3053, 2921 (Ar), $2140\left(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{N}\right.$ ), 1444 (triazole), 605, 514 (C-I). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeCN}-$ $\left.\mathrm{d}_{3}\right) \delta 8.13-7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 4.25\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{d}_{3}$ ) $\delta 132.4$, 131.9, 130.0, 129.8, 129.4, 125.9, 116.9, 38.8. HRMS (ESI+): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{I}$ : 362.0154; found: 362.0145 .

## 5-Bromo-1,3,4-triphenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazolium cyanide



The general procedure 6.4 .2 was followed starting from 1,3,4-triphenyl$1 \mathrm{H}-1,2,3$-triazolium chloride ( $0.13 \mathrm{mmol}, 45 \mathrm{mg}$ ), $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.08 \mathrm{mmol}, 19$ mg ) and cyanogen bromide ( $0.27 \mathrm{mmol}, 28 \mathrm{mg}$ ) in $\mathrm{MeCN} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ 1:1 (2 $\mathrm{mL})$. Yield: $52 \mathrm{mg}(96 \%)$. Colorless oil. IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 2129(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{N}), 1488$ (triazole), 761, 689. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{d}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.98$ - 7.76 (m, 5H, Ar), $7.76-7.48$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{d}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 132.6, 132.1, 131.8, 130.1, 129.8, 129.8, 129.2, 125.6, 125.4, 119.1, 116.9. HRMS (ESI+): $m / z[M]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{I}: 376.0449$; found: 376.0450 .

## 4-(1-Benzyl-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)-1-benzyl-5-iodo-3-methyl-1H-1,2,3-triazolium cyanide



The general procedure 6.4.2 was followed starting from 4-(1-benzyl-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)-1-benzyl-3-methyl-1H-1,2,3-
triazolium iodide ( $0.06 \mathrm{mmol}, 30 \mathrm{mg}$ ), $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.04 \mathrm{mmol}, 9 \mathrm{mg})$ and cyanogen bromide ( 0.13 $\mathrm{mmol}, 20 \mathrm{mg}$ ) in $\mathrm{MeCN} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ 1:1 ( 2 mL ). Yield: $29 \mathrm{mg}(92 \%)$. Yellow solid ( $\mathrm{mp}=46-48$ $\left.{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 2131(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{N}), 1454$ (triazole). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{d}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.7(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, triazole), $7.5(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 5.9\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 5.7\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.5\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{d}_{3}$ ) $\delta 138.9,134.6,131.2,131.1,129.2,128.8,128.7,128.5,128.4,127.9$, 126.2, 90.1, 57.6, 53.8, 40.4. HRMS (ESI + ): $m / z[M]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{I}: 457.0638$; found: 457.0642 .

## 1-Benzyl-4-iodoethynyl-3-methyl-1H-1,2,3-triazolium iodide



The general procedure 6.4 .2 was followed starting from 1-benzyl-4-ethynyl-3-methyl-1 H -1,2,3-triazolium iodide ( $0.09 \mathrm{mmol}, 29 \mathrm{mg}$ ), $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ $(0.05 \mathrm{mmol}, 12 \mathrm{mg})$ and cyanogen iodide ( $0.18 \mathrm{mmol}, 28 \mathrm{mg}$ ) in $\mathrm{MeCN} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ 1:1 ( 2 mL ). The product was used in the next step without further purification. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.81(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, triazole), $7.61-7.51(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar})$, $7.52-7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 5.87\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.34\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.

## 1-Benzyl-5-iodo-4-iodoethynyl-3-methyl-1H-1,2,3-triazolium iodide



The general procedure 6.4.2 was followed starting from 1-benzyl-4-iodoethynyl-3-methyl-1 H -1,2,3-triazolium iodide ( $0.09 \mathrm{mmol}, 32 \mathrm{mg}$ ), $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.05 \mathrm{mmol}, 12 \mathrm{mg})$ and cyanogen iodide $(0.18 \mathrm{mmol}, 28 \mathrm{mg})$ in $\mathrm{MeCN} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ 1:1 ( 2 mL ). Yield: $34 \mathrm{mg}(80 \%)$. IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 2176(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{C}), 2133(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{N}), 1455$ (triazole). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{d}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.69-7.37(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 5.76\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.27$ (s, 3H, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{d}_{3}$ ) $\delta 130.8,129.3,128.8,128.6,117.0,92.5,74.7$, 57.9, 39.2, 36.6. IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 2955,2176,1538,1497,1026,696$. HRMS (ESI+): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{I}_{2}$ : 449.8964; found: 449.8969 .

### 6.4.3 Preparation of 1-iodoalkynes and 5-iodo-1,2,3-triazoles

## 2-Iodoethynylbenzene ${ }^{172}$



To a solution of phenylacetylene ( $3.92 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.43 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) in THF ( 22 mL ), copper iodide ( $0.20 \mathrm{mmol}, 37 \mathrm{mg}$ ) and $N$-iodomorpholine ( $5.10 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.74 \mathrm{~g}$ ) were added. The mixture was stirred vigorously at ambient temperature for 4 hours. After this time, the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure, the mixture was filtered through a celite:silice $1: 1$ pad and washed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The resulting solution was washed with a saturated aqueous solution of $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(15 \mathrm{~mL} \times 1)$ and the organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. Yield: $398 \mathrm{mg}(89 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.53-7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.41$ $-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 132.11,128.63,128.08,123.08,94.05$, 7.11 .

## 1,4-Diiodo-1,3-butadiyne ${ }^{173}$

$1 \equiv \equiv$ A solution of 1,4-bis(trimethylsilyl)-1,3-butadiyne ( $0.26 \mathrm{mmol}, 50 \mathrm{mg}$ ), cessium fluoride ( $0.52 \mathrm{mmol}, 79 \mathrm{mg}$ ), copper iodide ( $0.03 \mathrm{mmol}, 5 \mathrm{mg}$ ) and N iodomorpholine ( $0.68 \mathrm{mmol}, 230 \mathrm{mg}$ ) in THF ( 4 mL ) was stirred vigorously at room temperature for 18 hours. After this time, the product was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, Hex/EtOAc 1:1). Yield: $30 \mathrm{mg}(40 \%) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 80.0, -3.1.

## 1,4-Diphenyl-5-iodo-1H-1,2,3-triazole



A solution of phenylacetylene ( $3.22 \mathrm{mmol}, 354 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) and phenylazide ( $3.22 \mathrm{mmol}, 383 \mathrm{mg}$ ) in anhrydrous $\mathrm{MeCN}(7 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), was added to a solution of $\mathrm{CuI}(3.54 \mathrm{mmol}, 674 \mathrm{mg})$ and N -bromosuccinimide ( 3.86 $\mathrm{mmol}, 687 \mathrm{mg}$ ) in anhydrous $\mathrm{MeCN}(7 \mathrm{~mL})$. DIPEA ( $3.54 \mathrm{mmol}, 617 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) was added and the mixture was stirred for 18 hours at $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After this time, the product was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, Hex/EtOAc 4:1). Yield: 100 mg ( $9 \%$ ). Orange solid (mp = 191-

[^69]$193{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3057, 1596 (Ar), 1445 (triazole), 574, $525(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{I}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.62(\mathrm{~s}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.54(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.48(\mathrm{t}, J$ $=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 150.4,137.0,130.2,130.1,129.3,128.7$, 128.6, 127.7, 126.5, 77.7. HRMS (ESI+): $m / z[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{IN}_{3}: 347.9998$; found: 348.0001 .

## 1-Benzyl-5-iodo-4-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole ${ }^{174}$



A solution of iodoethynylbenzene ( $3.92 \mathrm{mmol}, 894 \mathrm{mg}$ ), benzyl azide ( $3.19 \mathrm{mmol}, 425 \mathrm{mg}$ ), copper iodide ( $4.78 \mathrm{mmol}, 910 \mathrm{mg}$ ) and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ ( $15.95 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.22 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) in THF ( 35 mL ) was stirred at ambient temperature for 18 hours. After this time, the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure, the product was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}$ aq. sat. and the organic layers were combined and dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. The product was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, Hex:EtOAc 1:1). Yield: 1.26 g ( $89 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.04-7.89$ (m, 2H, Ar), $7.53-7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 5.71\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 150.2, 134.4, 130.3, 129.0, 128.7, 128.6, 128.5, 127.9, 127.5, 76.8, 54.4.

## 1,1'-Dibenzyl-5,5'-diiodo-4,4'-bis(1H-1,2,3-triazole)



A solution of 1,4-diiodo-1,3-butadiine ( $0.10 \mathrm{mmol}, 30 \mathrm{mg}$ ), benzyl azide ( $0.20 \mathrm{mmol}, 27 \mathrm{mg}$ ), copper iodide ( $0.005 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ $\mathrm{mg})$ and TBTA ( $0.005 \mathrm{mmol}, 3 \mathrm{mg}$ ) in THF ( 1 mL ) was stirred at ambient temperature for 18 hours. After this time, the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and the product was used without further purification. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.45-7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 5.71\left(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$.

## 1-Benzyl-5-iodo-3-methyl-4-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazolium tetrafluoroborate



The general procedure 6.1 .6 was followed starting from 1-benzyl-5-iodo-4-phenyl-1 H -1,2,3-triazole $(0.55 \mathrm{mmol}, 200 \mathrm{mg})$ and

[^70]trimethyloxonium tetrafluoroborate ( $1.10 \mathrm{mmol}, 209 \mathrm{mg}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$. Yield: 246 mg ( $96 \%$ ). White solid ( $\mathrm{mp}=165-166{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ : 1455 (triazole), $1030\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{d}_{4}$ ) $\delta 7.79$ - 7.62 (m, 5H, Ar), $7.60-7.43$ (m, 5H, Ar), 5.96 (s, 2H, CH 2 ), 4.26 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{d}_{4}$ ) $\delta 148.8,133.2,133.1,131.3,130.6$, $130.5,130.3,130.0,124.3,91.2,59.0,39.6$. HRMS (ESI+): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{IN}_{3}$ : 376.0311; found: 376.0318 .

## 1,1'-Dibenzyl-5,5'-diiodo-3,3'-dimethyl-4,4'-bis(1H-1,2,3-triazolium) ditetrafluoroborate

 was stirred vigorously at room temperature for 18 hours and the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure. Yield: $39 \mathrm{mg}(57 \%)$. Yellow solid ( $\mathrm{mp}=194{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{dec}$ ). IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ : 1456 (triazole), $1052\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right), 712,693,497$ (C-I). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{d}_{4}$ ) $\delta 7.65$ 7.41 ( $\mathrm{m}, 10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}$ ), 6.03 (dd, $J=25.4,15.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $4.42\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{d}_{4}$ ) $\delta 133.3,132.3,130.9,130.6,130.4,98.7,60.3,41.2$. HRMS (ESI+): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ $[\mathrm{M}]^{2+}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{I}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{6}$ : 299.9998; found: 300.0013.

### 6.4.4 Preparation of 1-[1-(trimethylsilyl)-alkyl]-1H-1,2,3-triazoles

6.4.4.1 General procedure for the synthesis of 1-trimethylsilylmethyl- and 1-bis(trimethylsilyl)methyl-1H-1,2,3-triazoles

To a solution of the corresponding chloromethylsilane ( 1.00 mmol ) in HMPA ( 0.5 mL ) $\mathrm{NaN}_{3}$ ( 1.10 mmol ) was added, and the mixture was stirred for 2 hours at ambient temperature. Then, phenylacetylene ( 1.00 mmol ), CuI $(0.20 \mathrm{mmol})$ and DIPEA ( 5.00 mmol ) were added and the resulting mixture was stirred for 18 hours. After this time, 1 M HCl was added and the crude was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and the solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure. The product was filtered through a celite/silica 1:1 pad and washed with Hex/EtOAc 4:1.

## 4-Phenyl-1-(trimethylsilylmethyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazole ${ }^{175}$



The general procedure 6.4.4.1 was followed starting from trimethylsilylchloromethane (4.00 mmol, $558 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ), $\mathrm{NaN}_{3}(4.40 \mathrm{mmol}$, $286 \mathrm{mg})$, phenylacetylene ( $4.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 439 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ), CuI ( $0.80 \mathrm{mmol}, 152 \mathrm{mg}$ ) and DIPEA (20.00 mmol, 3.50 mL ) in HMPA (2.20 mL). Yield: $858 \mathrm{mg}(93 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.66(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, triazole), $7.42(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar})$, $7.33(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 3.95\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 0.18\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{SiCH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}(101 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 147.2,130.7,128.7,127.8,125.5,120.2,42.0,-2.6$.

## 1-[Bis(trimethylsilyl)methyl]-4-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole

The general procedure 6.4 .4 .1 was followed starting from
bis(trimethylsilyl $)$ chloromethane $(4.58 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.00 \mathrm{~mL}), \mathrm{NaN}_{3}(5.04$ mmol, 328 mg ), phenylacetylene ( $4.58 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), $\mathrm{CuI}(0.92 \mathrm{mmol}, 174 \mathrm{mg}$ ) and DIPEA ( $22.90 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.01 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) in HMPA ( 2.30 mL ). Yield: $793 \mathrm{mg}(86 \%)$. White solid ( $\mathrm{mp}=80-81^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 1459$ (triazole), $842\left(\mathrm{SiCH}_{3}\right), 767\left(\mathrm{SiCH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.59(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, triazole), $7.41(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar})$, $7.30(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 3.65(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} H), 0.14\left(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{SiCH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 146.7,130.9,128.6,127.7,125.4,120.1,46.5,-1.2$. HRMS (ESI+): $m / z[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{Si}_{2}$ : 304.1565; found: 304.1663.

## 1-[Bis(trimethylsilyl)methyl]-3-methyl-4-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazolium tetrafluoroborate

$\stackrel{\Theta}{B F}_{4} \quad{ }_{N-N} \quad$ The general procedure 6.1.6 was followed starting from 1-
 [bis(trimethylsilyl)methyl]-4-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole ( $0.42 \mathrm{mmol}, 128$ mg ) and trimethyloxonium tetrafluoroborate ( $0.55 \mathrm{mmol}, 104 \mathrm{mg}$ ) in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 3.2 mL ). Yield: $144 \mathrm{mg}(73 \%)$. White solid ( $\mathrm{mp}=146-147{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-}$ ${ }^{1}$ ): 1490 (triazole), $1055\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right), 851\left(\mathrm{SiCH}_{3}\right), 770\left(\mathrm{SiCH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 8.71 (s, 1H, triazole), 7.63 (m, 5H, Ar), 4.47 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ ), 4.31 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 0.21 ( $\mathrm{s}, 18 \mathrm{H}$,

[^71]$\mathrm{SiCH}_{3}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 142.9,131.8,129.8,129.5,129.2,121.8,51.0,38.6,-$ 1.6. HRMS (ESI+): $m / z\left[M-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{Si}_{2}$ : 304.1565 ; found: 304.1655.

## 3-Methyl-4-phenyl-1-(trimethylsilylmethyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazolium trifluoromethanesulfonate



The general procedure 6.1.6 was followed starting from 4-phenyl-1-(trimethylsilylmethyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazole ( $0.86 \mathrm{mmol}, 200 \mathrm{mg}$ ) and methyl trifluoromethanesulfonate ( $0.95 \mathrm{mmol}, 108 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(4.8 \mathrm{~mL})$. Yield: quantitative. White solid ( $\mathrm{mp}=94-96{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 1495$ (triazole), 1308, 1278, 1251, 1163, 1149, $1078\left(\mathrm{SO}_{2}\right), 852,767\left(\mathrm{SiCH}_{3}\right), 629 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.69\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, triazole), $7.71-7.50(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 4.30\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.26(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $0.21\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{SiCH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 143.2,131.8,129.6,129.3$, 129.2, 121.8, 45.9, 38.4, -2.9. HRMS (ESI+): $m / z[M]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{Si}: 246.1426$; found: 246.1440 .

### 6.4.5 General procedure for the desilylation of 1 -trimethylsilylmethyl-1H-1,2,3triazoles

## 1-Methyl-4-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole



To a solution of 1-[bis(trimethylsilyl)methyl]-4-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole ( 0.13 mmol, 40 mg ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$, cessium fluoride ( $0.13 \mathrm{mmol}, 20 \mathrm{mg}$ ) was added and the resulting mixture was stirred at ambient temperature for 4 hours. The solution was filtered through a silica and celite pad, washed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3)$ and the filtrate was evaporated under reduced pressure. Yield: $20 \mathrm{mg}(95 \%)$. White solid ( $\mathrm{mp}=114-119$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 1454$ (triazole). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar})$, $7.76(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, triazole), $7.44(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.35(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 4.15(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 148.0,130.5,128.8,128.1,125.6,120.6,36.7$. HRMS (ESI+): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{3}: 160.0875$; found: 160.0882 .

## 1,3-Dimethyl-4-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazolium tetrafluoroborate



To a solution of 1-[bis(trimethylsilyl)methyl]-3-methyl-4-phenyl-1H-1,2,3triazolium tetrafluoroborate ( $0.10 \mathrm{mmol}, 40 \mathrm{mg}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(0.2 \mathrm{~mL})$, cessium fluoride ( $0.10 \mathrm{mmol}, 27 \mathrm{mg}$ ) was added and the resulting mixture was stirred at ambient temperature for 4 hours. The solution was filtered through a silica and celite pad, washed with $\mathrm{MeOH}(5 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3)$ and the filtrate was evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by precipitation from $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. Yield: $19 \mathrm{mg}(73 \%)$. White solid $\left(\mathrm{mp}=111-113{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) . \mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 1450$ (triazole), $1044\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ $9.28\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, triazole), $7.60(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 4.46\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 4.26\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (126 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 143.2,131.9,130.4,129.7,129.4,122.0,40.4,38.3$. HRMS (ESI+): m/z $[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{3}$ : 174.1031; found: 174.1035.

### 6.4.6 General procedure for the Peterson homologation of 1-bis(trimethylsilyl)methyl-1H-1,2,3-triazoles with aldehydes

To a solution of 1-[bis(trimethylsilyl)methyl]-4-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole ( 1.00 mmol ) and the corresponding aldehyde ( 1.50 mmol ) in THF ( 15 mL ) under nitrogen atmosphere, TASF ( 0.50 mmol ) was added. The mixture was stirred at ambient temperature for 4 hours. After this time, the organic solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure and the crude was extracted with aqueous solution of $\mathrm{NaCl}(3 \mathrm{x} 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ to afford the corresponding intermediate 1-alkenyl 4-bis-1 H -1,2,3-triazole. The latter product was used in the next reaction without further purification. To a solution of the corresponding alkene ( 1.00 mmol ) in $\mathrm{MeOH}(35 \mathrm{~mL})$, was added $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(10 \%$ in mass). The suspension was stirred for 1 hour under $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ atmosphere. After this time, the suspension was filtered through a celite pad and the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure.

## 1-(3,3-Dimethylbutyl)-4-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole

The general procedure 6.4 .6 was followed starting from 1 -
$[$ bis(trimethylsilyl)methyl $]-4$-phenyl- $1 H-1,2,3$-triazole $(0.33 \mathrm{mmol}, 100$
afford 1-(3,3'-dimethyl-1-buten-1-yl)-4-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole as a $61: 39$ mixture of $Z: E$ isomers. The mixture of isomers was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, Hex/EtOAc 1:1). Yield: $58 \mathrm{mg}(97 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.99,7.79$ (s, s, 1 H , triazole), 7.93 - 7.83 (m, m, 2H, Ar), $7.51-7.41$ (m, m, 2H, Ar), 7.39-7.36 (m, m, 1H, Ar), 7.13, $6.69(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~d}, J=14.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 6.33,5.85(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~d}, J=14.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 1.21,1.06\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. After that, the product was purified by slow vapor diffusion of pentane into a solution of crude into $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at room temperature to afford $E$ isomer. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.98(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, triazole), $7.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar})$, $7.46(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.37(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, C H), 6.33$ (d, $J=14.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, C H), 1.22\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, C H_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 148.0$, 134.1, 130.6, 129.1, 128.6, 126.0, 121.7, 116.6, 32.8, 29.6.

1-(3,3-Dimethyl-1-buten-1-yl)-4-phenyl-1 $\mathrm{H}-1,2,3$-triazole ( $0.07 \mathrm{mmol}, 15 \mathrm{mg}$ ) and $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ ( $0.01 \mathrm{mmol}, 7 \mathrm{mg}$ ) in $\mathrm{MeOH}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$. Yield: quantitative. White solid ( $\mathrm{mp}=98-102{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 2956(\mathrm{CH}), 2923(\mathrm{CH}), 1468$ (triazole), 765, 692. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.85$ (d, $J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.78(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, triazole), $7.45(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.35(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 4.55-4.34\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.01-1.81\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.04\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 147.8,130.7,128.8,128.0,125.7,119.2,47.3,44.0,30.0,29.2$. HRMS (ESI+): $m / z[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{3}: 230.1657$; found: 230.1659.

## 1-[2-(4-Fluorophenyl)ethyl]-4-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole



The general procedure 6.4 .6 was followed starting from 1-[bis(trimethylsilyl)methyl]-4-phenyl-1 H -1,2,3-triazole ( 0.33 mmol , 100 mg ), 4-fluorobenzaldehyde ( $0.50 \mathrm{mmol}, 53 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) and TASF ( $0.16 \mathrm{mmol}, 45 \mathrm{mg}$ ) in THF ( 5 mL ) to afford 1-(4-fluorostyryl)-4-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole as a mixture of $Z: E$ isomers. The mixture of isomers was partially separated by slow vapor diffusion of pentane into a solution of crude into $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at room temperature to afford $E$ isomer. Yield: 32 mg ( $36 \%$ ). White solid ( $\mathrm{mp}=202-204^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ : 1457 (triazole), 1226, $1160(\mathrm{~F}-\mathrm{Ar}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.10(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, triazole), $7.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, CH ), 7.52-7.47 (m, 4H, Ar), $7.40(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 7.14$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 163.0(\mathrm{~d}, J=249.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 148.1,130.0$,
$129.8,129.0,128.6,128.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 125.9,122.9,120.6,116.5,116.2(\mathrm{~d}, J=21.9$ Hz). HRMS (ESI+): $m / z[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{FN}_{3}{ }^{+}: 266.1094$; found: 266.1102.

1-(4-Fluorostyryl)-4-phenyl-1 H -1,2,3-triazole ( $0.05 \mathrm{mmol}, 13 \mathrm{mg}$ ) and $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(0.03 \mathrm{mmol}, 39$ mg ) in $\mathrm{MeOH}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$. Yield: quantitative. White solid $\left(\mathrm{mp}=166-168{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 1441$ (triazole), 1219 (F-Ar). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.79$ (d, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}$ ), 7.50 (s, 1 H , triazole), $7.44(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.35(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.10(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.01$ $\left.(\mathrm{t}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 4.63(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH})_{2}\right), 3.26\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 162.0(\mathrm{~d}, J=245.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 147.6,132.8,130.6,130.3(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $128.8,128.2,125.7,119.9,115.7(\mathrm{~d}, J=21.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 51.8,36.0$. HRMS (ESI+$): ~ m / z[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{FN}_{3}$ : 268.1250; found: 268.1263 .

## 1-[2-(4-Methoxyphenyl)ethyl]-4-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole



The general procedure 6.4.6 was followed starting from 1-[bis(trimethylsilyl)methyl]-4-phenyl-1 H -1,2,3-triazole (0.20 $\mathrm{mmol}, 60 \mathrm{mg}$ ), 4-methoxybenzaldehyde ( $0.22 \mathrm{mmol}, 27 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) and TASF ( $0.10 \mathrm{mmol}, 27 \mathrm{mg}$ ) in THF ( 3 mL ) to afford 1-(4-methoxystyryl)-4-phenyl-1 $\mathrm{H}-1,2,3-$ triazole as a mixture of $Z: E$ isomers. Yield: $44 \mathrm{mg}(81 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ $8.09(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, triazole), $7.95-7.87(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.84-7.67(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, triazole, $\mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.52-$ 7.31 (m, 8H, Ar), $7.23-7.08(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{Ar}), 6.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 6.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 6.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 3.87\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.83\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 160.4,160.2,148.1,147.6,130.3,130.3,129.1,129.0,128.6$, $128.5,128.3,126.4,126.0,125.4,124.7,122.0,121.6,121.6,119.5,116.7,114.7,114.5$, 55.56, 55.5 .

1-(4-Methoxystyryl)-4-phenyl-1 $\mathrm{H}-1,2,3$-triazole ( $0.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 26 \mathrm{mg}$ ) and $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(0.01 \mathrm{mmol}$, 10 mg ) in $\mathrm{MeOH}(3.5 \mathrm{~mL})$. Yield: quantitative. White solid $\left(\mathrm{mp}=137-139^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) . \mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ : 1462 (triazole), 1248, 1237 (MeO-Ar). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.50(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, triazole), $7.43(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.34(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.06$ (d, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 6.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 4.61\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.81(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $3.21\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 158.9$, 147.6, 130.9,
130.0, 129.2, 129.0, 128.3, 125.9, 120.1, 114.4, 55.5, 52.2, 36.1. HRMS (ESI+): $m / z[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}: 280.1450$; found: 280.1461 .

### 6.4.7 General procedure for the synthesis of 1-(1-trimethylsilylalkyl)-1H-1,2,3triazoles by Peterson homologation of 1-bis(trimethylsilyl)methyl-1H-1,2,3triazoles with aldehydes

To a solution of 1-[bis(trimethylsilyl)methyl]-4-phenyl-1 H -1,2,3-triazole ( 1.00 mmol ) and $n$ BuLi 1.6 M in hexanes ( 1.20 mmol ) in THF ( 15 mL ), the corresponding aldehyde ( 1.10 mmol) was added. The mixture was stirred for 1 hour at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After this time, chlorotrimethylsilane ( 5.00 mmol ) was added, the organic solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure and the crude was extracted with aqueous solution of $\mathrm{NaCl}(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ to afford the corresponding intermediate 1-[(trimethylsilyl)vinyl]-1H-1,2,3-triazole. The product was used in the next reaction without further purification. To a solution of the corresponding alkene ( 1.00 mmol ) in MeOH (35 mL ), was added $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ ( $10 \%$ in mass). The suspension was stirred for 1 hour under $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ atmosphere. After this time, the suspension was filtered through a celite pad and the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure.

## 1-[3,3-Dimethyl-1-(trimethylsilyl)butyl]-4-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole



The general procedure 6.4 .7 was followed starting from 1-[bis(trimethylsilyl)methyl]-4-phenyl-1 $H$-1,2,3-triazole $(0.33 \mathrm{mmol}, 100$ mg ), $n$-BuLi 1.6 M in hexanes ( $0.40 \mathrm{mmol}, 247 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ), pivaldehyde ( 0.66 $\mathrm{mmol}, 72 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) and chlorotrimethylsilane ( $1.65 \mathrm{mmol}, 143 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) in THF ( 5 mL ). The product was purified by slow vapor diffusion of pentane into a solution of crude into $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at room temperature to afford $E$ isomer. Yield: $30 \mathrm{mg}(30 \%)$. White solid ( $\mathrm{mp}=169-170{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 1457$ (triazole), $830\left(\mathrm{SiCH}_{3}\right), 764\left(\mathrm{SiCH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.91(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.64(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, triazole), $7.46(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.36(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, Ar), $6.07(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 0.96\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.15\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$
152.9, 147.1, 137.8, 130.7, 128.8, 128.0, 125.6, 121.0, 35.3, 29.8, -2.1. HRMS (ESI+): m/z $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{Si}$ : 300.1896; found: 300.1908

1-[2-(tert-Butyl)-1-(trimethylsilyl)vinyl]-4-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole ( $0.08 \mathrm{mmol}, 25 \mathrm{mg}$ ) and $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(0.01 \mathrm{mmol}, 9 \mathrm{mg})$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$. Yield: quantitative. White solid $(\mathrm{mp}=145-147$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 1466$ (triazole), $838(\mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{C}), 762\left(\mathrm{SiCH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.87$ (d, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}$ ), $7.68(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, triazole), $7.45(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.34(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 4.32(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 2.17\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.2,11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $\left.15.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 0.83\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.08\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $147.3,131.0,128.8,127.9,125.6,118.7,50.8,43.6,31.6,29.0,-3.8$. HRMS (ESI + ): m/z $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{Si}$ : 302.2052; found: 302.2059.

## 1-[2-(4-Fluorophenyl)-1-(trimethylsilyl)ethyl)]-4-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole



The general procedure 6.4.7 was followed starting from 1-[bis(trimethylsilyl)methyl]-4-phenyl-1 $\mathrm{H}-1,2,3$-triazole $(0.16 \mathrm{mmol}$, $50 \mathrm{mg}), n$-BuLi 1.6 M in hexanes ( $0.20 \mathrm{mmol}, 124 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ), 4fluorobenzaldehyde ( $0.18 \mathrm{mmol}, 20 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) and chlorotrimethylsilane ( $0.82 \mathrm{mmol}, 71 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) in THF ( 2.5 mL ). The product was purified by slow vapor diffusion of pentane into a solution of crude into $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at room temperature to afford $E$ isomer. Yield: $20 \mathrm{mg}(36 \%)$. White solid ( $\mathrm{mp}=153-154{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ : 1454 (triazole), $1220(\mathrm{~F}-\mathrm{Ar}), 834(\mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{C}), 765\left(\mathrm{SiCH}_{3}\right)$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.95$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, triazole), $7.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}$ ), 7.61 (s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 7.48(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.42-7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.13(\mathrm{t}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar})$, $0.10\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 162.9(\mathrm{~d}, J=248.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 147.3,142.8$, $140.4,131.4,131.3,130.6(\mathrm{~d}, ~ J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 128.9,128.2,125.7,118.4,115.4(\mathrm{~d}, J=21.7 \mathrm{~Hz})$, -0.3. HRMS (ESI + ): $m / z[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{FN}_{3} \mathrm{Si}$ : 338.1489; found: 338.1491.

1-[2-(4-Fluorophenyl)-1-(trimethylsilyl)vinyl]-4-phenyl-1 H -1,2,3-triazole ( $0.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 27 \mathrm{mg}$ ) and $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(0.01 \mathrm{mmol}, 8 \mathrm{mg})$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$. Yield: quantitative. White solid $(\mathrm{mp}=82-83$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 1458 (triazole), 1251, 1216, 1154 (Ar-F), 841 (Si-C), 759 ( $\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.41(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.32(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.24(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, triazole), $6.95-6.89(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 4.03(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.9,3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, CH ), 3.30 (dd, $J=14.3,12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} H), 3.17(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.4,3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 0.21$ (s, 9 H ,
$\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 161.7(\mathrm{~d}, J=245.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 146.6,134.6,130.8,130.0(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 128.8,127.9,125.5,120.5,115.4(\mathrm{~d}, J=21.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 56.5,36.8,-3.1 . \mathrm{HRMS}$ (ESI+): $m / z[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{FN}_{3} \mathrm{Si}: 340.1645$; found: 340.1649.

## 1-[2-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-1-(trimethylsilyl)ethyl]-4-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole



The general procedure 6.4.7 was followed starting from 1-[bis(trimethylsilyl)methyl]-4-phenyl-1 H -1,2,3-triazole $\quad(0.20$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 60 \mathrm{mg}$ ), $n$-BuLi 1.6 M in hexanes ( $0.24 \mathrm{mmol}, 148 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ), 4methoxybenzaldehyde ( $0.22 \mathrm{mmol}, 27 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) and chlorotrimethylsilane ( $0.99 \mathrm{mmol}, 86 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) in THF ( 3 mL ). The product was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, Hex: EtOAc 1:1) to afford the desire product as a $44: 56$ mixture of $Z: E$ isomers. Yield: $53 \mathrm{mg}(77 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.94(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 7.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.59(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} H), 7.58(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 7.48-7.42$ (m, 4H, Ar), $7.40-7.25$ (m, 4H, Ar), $6.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 6.86(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 6.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 6.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $\left.8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 3.87\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.76\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.28(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH})_{3}\right), 0.12\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 160.0,151.9,147.4,147.1,141.9,141.1,138.5,137.4,130.7$, $130.6,130.4,130.2,128.8,128.8,128.0,127.5,125.9,125.6,126.6,119.8,118.6,114.0$, 113.7, 55.2, 55.1, -0.4, -1.9.

1-[2-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-1-(trimethylsilyl)vinyl]-4-phenyl-1 H -1,2,3-triazole ( $0.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 30$ $\mathrm{mg})$ and $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(0.01 \mathrm{mmol}, 9 \mathrm{mg})$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}(4 \mathrm{~mL})$. Yield: quantitative. White solid ( $\mathrm{mp}=$ $115-116{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 1458 (triazole), 829 (Si-C), $764\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.41(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.31(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, Ar), 7.26 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, triazole), $6.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 6.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 4.05$ (dd, $J=11.6,3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ ), $3.75\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.24(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.3,11.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 3.15$ $(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.4,3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} H), 0.20\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 158.3$, $146.5,130.9,130.8,129.5,128.7,127.8,125.5,120.5,113.9,56.5,55.1,36.8,-3.1$. HRMS (ESI+): $m / z[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{OSi}$ : 352.1845; found: 352.1842.

### 6.4.8 General procedure for the synthesis of $N$ - $\alpha$-(silyl-vinyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazoles

To a solution of 1-[bis(trimethylsilyl)methyl]-4-phenyl-1 H -1,2,3-triazole ( 1.00 mmol ) and $n$ BuLi 1.6 M in hexanes ( 1.20 mmol ) in THF, was added the corresponding aldehyde ( 1.10 mmol ). The mixture was stirred for 1 h at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After this time, chlorotrimethylsilane ( 5.00 mmol ) was added, the organic solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure and the crude was extracted with aqueous solution of NaCl . The organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$.

## 1-[4-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-1-(trimethylsiyl)]-1,3-butadienyl-4-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole



The general procedure 6.4 .8 was followed starting from 1-[bis(trimethylsilyl)methyl]-4-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole (0.16 $\mathrm{mmol}, 50 \mathrm{mg}$ ), $n$-BuLi 1.6 M in hexanes ( $0.20 \mathrm{mmol}, 124 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ), trans-p-methoxycinnamaldehyde $(0.18 \mathrm{mmol}, 30 \mathrm{mg})$ and chlorotrimethylsilane $(0.82$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) in THF ( 2.5 mL ). Both diastereoisomers were purified by column chromatography (silica gel, Hex:EtOAc 4:1). Yield: 40 mg (64 \%). E-E isomer: White solid ( $\mathrm{mp}=101-103{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 1455$ (triazole), 831 (Si-C), $756\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.81(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, triazole), $7.49(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar})$, $7.38(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 6.86-6.82$ (m, 3H, Ar, CH), 6.74 (d, $J=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 6.62(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.4,10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 3.82\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.27(\mathrm{~s}$, $9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 160.3,147.2,138.8,138.4,138.3,130.7,129.0$, 128.6, 128.2, 125.8, 120.7, 119.6, 114.3, 55.4, -1.7. HRMS (ESI + ): $m / z[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{OSi}: 376.1845$; found: 376.1856. Z-E isomer: IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 1455 (triazole), 832 (Si-C), $759\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.87(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, triazole), 7.47 (t, $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.37(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, Ar), 7.13 - 7.06 (m, 2H, CH), 6.94 (d, $J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 6.75$ (d, $J=13.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ ), $3.87\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.42\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 160.2,147.1,140.6$, $140.0,138.5,130.8,129.3,128.9,128.3,128.2,125.8,121.6,118.5,114.4,55.4,0.4$. HRMS (ESI+): $m / z[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{OSi}$ : 376.1845; found: 376.1851.

## 4-Phenyl-1-[1-(trimethylsilyl)vinyl]-1H-1,2,3-triazole

 mg ), $n$-BuLi 1.6 M in hexanes ( $0.12 \mathrm{mmol}, 75 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ), formaldehyde ( $0.12 \mathrm{mmol}, 4 \mathrm{mg}$ ) and chlorotrimethylsilane ( $0.50 \mathrm{mmol}, 60 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) in THF ( 1.5 mL ). The product was purified by column chromatography (silica gel Hex:AcOEt 4:1). Yield: 20 mg ( $83 \%$ ). Colorless oil. IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 1455$ (triazole), 842 (Si-C), $762\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.07(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, triazole), $7.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.46(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.37(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, Ar), $5.98(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 5.43(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 0.38\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ $147.8,147.1,130.3,128.8,128.2,125.7,115.4,115.3,-1.2$. HRMS (ESI+): $m / z[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{Si}$ : 244.1270 ; found: 244.1275 .

### 6.4.9 General procedure for the synthesis of 1,2,3-triazolium tetrafluoroborates

To a solution of the corresponding 1,2,3-triazole ( 1.0 mmol ) in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, trimethyloxonium tetrafluoroborate $(1.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 5 hours. The volatiles were evaporated in vacuo, the residue was redissolved in anhydrous MeOH , and the resulting mixture was stirred overnight and evaporated. The crude product was purified by precipitation from $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ or by column chromatography (silica gel, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH} 9: 1\right)$.

## 1-[2-(tert-Butyl)-1-(trimethylsilyl)vinyl]-3-methyl-4-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazolium tetrafluoroborate



The general procedure 6.1 .6 was followed starting from 1-[2-(tert-butyl)-1-(trimethylsilyl)vinyl]-4-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole ( 0.04 mmol , 12 mg ) and trimethyloxonium tetrafluoroborate ( $0.05 \mathrm{mmol}, 10 \mathrm{mg}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(0.3 \mathrm{~mL})$. The product was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH} 9: 1$ ). Yield: 12 mg ( $75 \%$ ). Colorless oil. IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 1446 (triazole), 1078 $\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right), 840(\mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{C}), 769\left(\mathrm{SiCH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.48$ (s, 1H, triazole), 7.78 $7.68(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.60(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 6.22(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 4.39\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.00\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $0.23\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 155.9,144.0,136.9,132.3,130.0,129.8$,
139.7, 121.7, 39.2, 36.4, 29.6, -2.2. HRMS (ESI+): $m / z[M]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{Si}$ : 314.2053; found: 314.2060.

## 3-Methyl-4-phenyl-1-[1-(trimethylsilyl)vinyl]-1H-1,2,3-triazolium tetrafluoroborate



The general procedure 6.1.6 was followed starting from 4-phenyl-1-[1-(trimethylsilyl)vinyl]-1 $\mathrm{H}-1,2,3$-triazole ( $0.08 \mathrm{mmol}, \quad 20 \mathrm{mg}$ ) and trimethyloxonium tetrafluoroborate ( $0.11 \mathrm{mmol}, 20 \mathrm{mg}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(0.6$ mL ). The product was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH} 9: 1$ ). Yield: $19 \mathrm{mg}(67 \%)$. White solid ( $\mathrm{mp}=155-165^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ : 1462 (triazole), $1076\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right)$, 854 (Si-C), $767\left(\mathrm{SiCH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{d}_{3}\right) \delta 8.73$ (s, 1H, triazole), 7.72-7.69 (m, 5H, Ar), $6.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} H), 6.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 4.25\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $0.40\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{d}_{3}$ ) $\delta 146.8,142.9,131.5,129.3,129.0$, 125.4, 124.8, 122.0, 38.3, -3.1. HRMS (ESI+): $m / z[M]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{Si}$ : 258.1427; found: 258.1426 .

## 3-Methyl-4-phenyl-1-vinyl-1H-1,2,3-triazolium tetrafluoroborate



To a solution of 3-methyl-4-phenyl-1-[1-(trimethylsilyl)vinyl]-1H-1,2,3triazolium tetrafluoroborate ( $0.12 \mathrm{mmol}, 40 \mathrm{mg}$ ) in toluene $(0.60 \mathrm{~mL})$, furan $(1.20 \mathrm{mmol}, 88 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ was added and the resulting mixture was stirred for 18 hours at $150{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After this time, the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure. The product was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH} 9: 1$ ). Yield: 24 $\mathrm{mg}(73 \%)$. White solid ( $\mathrm{mp}=156-161^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 1460$ (triazole), $1051\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right), 841(\mathrm{Si}-$ C), $754\left(\mathrm{SiCH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{d}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.77$ (s, 1H, triazole), $7.89-7.61(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}$, Ar), $7.52(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.5,8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} H), 6.30(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.5,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} H), 5.83(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ 8.2, $1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ ), $4.26\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{d}_{3}$ ) $\delta 144.4,132.6$, 130.3, 130.0, 126.5, 122.8, 114.5, 39.4. HRMS (ESI+): $m / z[M]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{3}$ : 189.1031; found: 186.1031 .

### 6.4.10 Preparation of mesoionic carbenes by direct metalation of 3-alkyl-1,2,3triazolium salts

To a solution of the corresponding 1,2,3-triazolium salt ( 1.00 mmol ) and metal salt ( 1.20 mmol $)$ in anhydrous THF ( 6 mL ) at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{LiN}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{2}$ in THF $1 \mathrm{M}(1.50 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added. The mixture was stirred at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 hours. The volatiles were evaporated in vacuo, the crude was filtered through a celite: silica pad and washed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. In some cases, the product was purified by column chromatography (silica gel; $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ : $\mathrm{MeOH}, 95: 5$ ).

## 1-[Bis(trimethylsilyl)]-3-methyl-4-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazol-5ylidene[chloro(cyclooctadiene)rhodium(I)]



The general procedure 6.4 .10 was followed starting from 1-[bis(trimethylsilyl)methyl]-3-methyl-4-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazolium tetrafluoroborate $(0.06 \mathrm{mmol}, 25 \mathrm{mg}),[\mathrm{Rh}(\operatorname{cod}) \mathrm{Cl}]_{2}(0.06 \mathrm{mmol}, 31$ $\mathrm{mg})$ and $\mathrm{LiN}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{2}$ in THF $1 \mathrm{M}(0.09 \mathrm{mmol}, 93 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ in THF ( 0.40 mL ). Yield: $34 \mathrm{mg}(93 \%)$. Yellow solid ( $\mathrm{mp}=135-136^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 2951(\mathrm{cod}), 823,733$ $\left(\mathrm{Si}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.68-7.44(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, Ar), $5.05(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 5.00-4.81(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{cod}), 3.99\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.20(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{cod}), 2.70(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{cod}), 2.61-1.51(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{cod}), 0.25\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{SiCH}_{3}\right), 0.23\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{SiCH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 169.1\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=48.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{\text {carbene }}\right.$ ), 143.6, 130.3, 129.0, 128.6, 128.2, 96.0, 95.6, 70.7 (d, $J=15.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{\text {cod }}$ ), $65.7\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{\text {cod }}\right.$ ), 50.6, 36.8, 32.9, 32.0, 29.7, 28.0, -0.3, -0.4. HRMS (ESI+): $m / z[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{Cl}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{Si}_{2} \mathrm{Rh}$ : 528.1738; found: 528.1749.

## 3-Methyl-4-phenyl-1-trimethylsilylmethyl-1H-1,2,3-triazol-5- <br> ilydene[chloro(cyclooctadiene)rhodium(I)]



The general procedure 6.4 .10 was followed starting from 3-methyl-4-phenyl-1-trimethylsilyl-1 H -1,2,3-triazolium triflate ( $0.06 \mathrm{mmol}, 24$ $\mathrm{mg}),[\mathrm{Rh}(\operatorname{cod}) \mathrm{Cl}]_{2}(0.06 \mathrm{mmol}, 31 \mathrm{mg})$ and $\mathrm{LiN}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{2}$ in THF 1 M ( $0.09 \mathrm{mmol}, 93 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) in THF ( 0.40 mL ). Yield: $26 \mathrm{mg}(85 \%)$. Yellow solid ( $\mathrm{mp}=163-165{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 2952(\mathrm{cod}), 1445$ (triazole), $847,765\left(\mathrm{Si}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.11$ (d, $J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}$ ), 7.70 - 7.46 (m, 3H, Ar), 5.14 - 4.96 ( $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{cod}$ ), $4.90(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{cod}), 4.71,4.47\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right), 4.01(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$,
$\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 3.17 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{cod}$ ), $2.66-2.26(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{cod}), 1.93-1.49(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{cod}), 0.27(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{Si}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 171.4\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=46.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{\text {carbene }}\right), 143.6,130.1$, 129.2, 128.2, 128.1, 96.2 (t, $J=9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{cod}}$ ), 69.1 (d, $J=14.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{\text {cod }}$ ), 66.7 (d, $J=14.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{cod}}$ ), 47.1, 37.0, 33.2, 32.0, 29.0, 28.9, -1.7. HRMS (ESI+): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{Cl}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{SiRh}: 456.1342$; found: 456.1349 .

## 1-Benzyl-3-methyl-4-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazol-5-ylidene

[chloro(cyclooctadiene)rhodium(I)]


The general procedure 6.4 .10 was followed starting from 1-benzyl-3-methyl-4-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazolium tetrafluoroborate $(0.10 \mathrm{mmol}, 33$ $\mathrm{mg}),[\mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{cod}) \mathrm{Cl}]_{2}(0.10 \mathrm{mmol}, 49 \mathrm{mg})$ and $\mathrm{LiN}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{2}$ in THF 1 M $(0.15 \mathrm{mmol}, 148 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ in THF ( 0.60 mL ). Yield: $26 \mathrm{mg}(82 \%)$. Yellow solid ( $\mathrm{mp}=91-92^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 2987(\operatorname{cod}), 1455$ (triazole). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 8.13 - 8.03 (m, 2H, Ar), $7.75-7.64$ (m, 2H, Ar), $7.62-7.48$ (m, 3H, Ar), $7.48-7.35$ (m, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 6.41,5.76\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=14.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 5.07-4.89(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{cod}), 4.02\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, 2.78 - $2.60(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{cod}), 2.43-2.27(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{cod}), 2.19-1.43(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{cod}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (126 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 171.0\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=46.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{\text {carbene }}\right), 144.5(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 135.4,130.3,129.4$, $129.3,128.7,128.5,128.4,128.2,96.7\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{cod}}\right), 96.1\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{cod}}\right), 70.7$ (d, $J=14.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{cod}}$ ), $67.5\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{cod}}\right), 58.4,37.2,32.6,32.4,29.3,28.5$. HRMS (ESI+): $m / z[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{Cl}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{Rh}: 460.1260$; found: 460.1271.
6.4.11 Synthesis of 1,1 '-dibenzyl-3,3'-dimethyl-4,4'-bis(1H-1,2,3-triazol-5,5'-diylidene)-silver(I)acetonitrile


To a solution of the 1,1 '-dibenzyl-3,3'-dimethyl-4,4'-bis( 1 H -1,2,3-triazolium) ditetrafluoroborate ( $0.31 \mathrm{mmol}, 160 \mathrm{mg}$ ) in anhydrous $\mathrm{MeCN}(5.3 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1.23 \mathrm{mmol}, 284$ mg ) and the mixture was refluxed for 24 hours at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The suspension was filtered through a celite pad, washed with anhydrous methanol ( $5 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3$ ) and the combined filtrate was evaporated under reduced pressure to afford the $\mathrm{Ag}(\mathrm{I})$ intermediate dicarbene complex. Yield: $187 \mathrm{mg}(94 \%)$. Yellowish oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz ,
$\left.\mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{d}_{4}\right) \delta 7.27-7.09(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 5.57\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.2,2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 5.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.3,2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $4.22\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{d}_{4}\right) \delta 169.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {carbene }}\right), 137.4,134.1$, 128.8, 128.4, 128.2, 117.1, 58.1, 37.7.].
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Appendix

## APPENDIX 1: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR Spectra



Spectrum 1. ${ }^{1}$ H NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{d}_{3}$ ) spectra of compound $\mathbf{2 e}$.


Spectrum 2. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{d}_{3}$ ) spectra of compound $\mathbf{2 e}$.


Spectrum 3. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) spectra of compound $\mathbf{8}_{\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{4}}$.



Spectrum 4. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz, DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) spectra of compound $\mathbf{8}_{\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{4}}$.


Spectrum 5. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) spectra of compound 21.


Spectrum 6. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) spectra of compound $\mathbf{2 1}$.


Spectrum 7. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) spectra of compound $\mathbf{2 2}$.


Spectrum 8. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) spectra of compound 22.


Spectrum 9. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{d}_{4}\right)$ spectra of compound $\boldsymbol{9}_{\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{4}}$.


Spectrum 10. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) spectra of compound $\mathbf{9}_{\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{4}}$.


Spectrum 11. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) spectra of compound 11.


Spectrum 12. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) spectra of compound 11.


Spectrum 13. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) spectra of compound 17.


Spectrum 14. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) spectra of compound $\mathbf{1 7 .}$


Spectrum 15. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) spectra of compound 16.


Spectrum 16. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ spectra of compound 16.


Spectrum 17. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{d}_{3}$ ) spectra of compound 14.


Spectrum 18. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{d}_{3}$ ) spectra of compound 14.


Spectrum 19. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}$ ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{d}_{3}$ ) spectra of compound 15.


Spectrum 20. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{d}_{3}$ ) spectra of compound $\mathbf{1 5}$.


Spectrum 21. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) spectra of compound 13 .


Spectrum 22. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) spectra of compound 13.


Spectrum 23. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{d}_{3}$ ) spectra of compound 18.


Spectrum 24. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{d}_{3}$ ) spectra of compound 18.


Spectrum 25. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{d}_{3}$ ) spectra of compound 19.


Spectrum 26. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{d}_{3}$ ) spectra of compound 19.


Spectrum 27. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) spectra of compound $\mathbf{1 0}$.


Spectrum 28. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) spectra of compound $\mathbf{1 0}$.


Spectrum 29. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) spectra of compound $\mathbf{5 0}$.


Spectrum 30. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) spectra of compound $\mathbf{5 0}$.


Spectrum 31. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) spectra of compound 41.


Spectrum 32. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) spectra of compound 41.


Spectrum 33. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) spectra of compound 42.


Spectrum 34. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) spectra of compound 42.


Spectrum 35. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) spectra of compound 43.


Spectrum 36. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) spectra of compound 43.


Spectrum 37. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) spectra of compound 69 .


Spectrum 38. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) spectra of compound 69 .


Spectrum 39. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{d}_{3}$ ) spectra of compound 72a.


Spectrum 40. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{d}_{3}$ ) spectra of compound 72a.


Spectrum 41. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) spectra of compound 66.


Spectrum 42. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) spectra of compound 66.


Spectrum 43. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ spectra of compound 67 .


Spectrum 44. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) spectra of compound 67.


Spectrum 45. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) spectra of compound 35 .


Spectrum 46. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{d}_{3}$ ) spectra of compound 35.


Spectrum 47. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) spectra of compound $\mathbf{6 0}$.


Spectrum 48. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) spectra of compound $\mathbf{6 0}$.


Spectrum 49. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) spectra of compound 86.


Spectrum 50. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) spectra of compound $\mathbf{8 6}$.


Spectrum 51. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{d}_{3}$ ) spectra of compound $\mathbf{8 5}$.


Spectrum 52. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{d}_{3}$ ) spectra of compound $\mathbf{8 5}$.


Spectrum 53. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) spectra of compound 78e.


Spectrum 54. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) spectra of compound 78e.


Spectrum 55. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) spectra of compound $\mathbf{1 0 6 b}$.


Spectrum 56. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) spectra of compound $\mathbf{1 0 6 b}$.


Spectrum 57. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ spectra of compound $\mathbf{1 0 7 b}$.


Spectrum 58. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) spectra of compound $\mathbf{1 0 7 b}$.


Spectrum 59. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ compound $\mathbf{1 1 2 b}$.


Spectrum 60. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) spectra of compound $\mathbf{1 1 2 b}$.


Spectrum 61. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) spectra of compound $\mathbf{1 1 2 d}$.


Spectrum 62. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) spectra of compound 112d.


Spectrum 63. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) spectra of compound 112 c .


Spectrum 64. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) spectra of compound $\mathbf{1 1 2 c}$.


Spectrum 65. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) spectra of compound $111 \mathbf{a}$.


Spectrum 66. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) spectra of compound 111 a .


Spectrum 67. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{d}_{3}$ ) spectra of compound $\mathbf{1 0 9 a}$.


Spectrum 68. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{d}_{3}$ ) spectra of compound $\mathbf{1 0 9}$.


Spectrum 69. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) spectra of compound $\mathbf{1 0 9 b}$.


Spectrum 70. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) spectra of compound $\mathbf{1 0 9 b}$.

## APPENDIX 2: Plots and tables related to chapter 2.

Experimental study of the activating effect of triazolium groups in the alkyne-azide cycloaddition reaction: Azide structure effect.


Conversion-time plot for cycloaddition reaction depicted in Scheme $\mathbf{2 . 1 5}$ with different azides in DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$.

Experimental study of the activating effect of triazolium groups in the alkyne-azide cycloaddition reaction: Temperature effect.


Conversion-time plot for cycloaddition reaction of 4-ethynyl-3-methyl-1,2,3-triazolium salt at 60,80 and $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ depicted in Scheme 2.16.

Determination of thermodynamic parameters: Activation energy.


Plots of $\ln k$ vs. 1/T, for the reaction of benzylazide with triazole alkyne $\mathbf{1 c}$ (plot A) and triazolium alkyne 2c (plot B) between $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$.

## Determination of thermodynamic parameters: Enthalpy and entropy.


B


Plots of $\ln k / T$ vs. $1 / T$, for the reaction of benzylazide with triazole alkyne 1 c (plot A) and triazolium alkyne 2c (plot B) between $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$.

## APPENDIX 3: Cartesian coordinates

1c

$E($ RB3LYP $)=-641.676792013$ Hartree

| C | -1.97600 | -0.69604 | -0.18255 |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: |
| H | -1.75577 | -1.71006 | -0.47386 |
| C | -3.17313 | -0.02633 | -0.01121 |
| C | -4.49749 | -0.51549 | -0.14885 |
| N | -1.60039 | 1.43512 | 0.37425 |
| N | -1.02309 | 0.23836 | 0.06270 |
| N | -2.88678 | 1.27874 | 0.33015 |
| H | -6.62457 | -1.29904 | -0.36934 |
| C | -5.62710 | -0.93151 | -0.26560 |
| C | 0.39185 | 0.10703 | 0.03520 |
| C | 3.16020 | -0.13558 | -0.03007 |
| C | 0.98007 | -1.13737 | 0.28345 |
| C | 1.17528 | 1.23381 | -0.23880 |
| C | 2.55854 | 1.11283 | -0.26551 |
| C | 2.36383 | -1.26005 | 0.24320 |
| H | 0.37068 | -2.00093 | 0.52449 |
| H | 0.70023 | 2.18758 | -0.43167 |
| H | 3.17383 | 1.97989 | -0.47879 |
| H | 2.82749 | -2.22132 | 0.43455 |
| C | 4.58707 | -0.26126 | -0.06738 |
| N | 5.74588 | -0.36313 | -0.09804 |

2 c


$E($ RB3LYP $)=-681.422669462$ Hartree

| C | -1.57434 | -1.01728 | -0.22081 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| H | -1.25999 | -2.01687 | -0.47328 |
| C | -2.83754 | -0.47040 | -0.10441 |
| C | -4.11892 | -1.03620 | -0.24622 |
| N | -1.33911 | 1.14664 | 0.27013 |
| N | -0.71160 | 0.00616 | 0.01585 |
| N | -2.61553 | 0.85456 | 0.19130 |
| C | -3.62098 | 1.89714 | 0.42178 |
| H | -3.08853 | 2.82348 | 0.62705 |


|  | H | -4.23686 | 1.60948 | 1.27501 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | H | -4.23601 | 1.99636 | -0.47370 |
|  | H | -6.19778 | -1.93830 | -0.47161 |
|  | C | -5.22222 | -1.51316 | -0.36507 |
|  | C | 0.72417 | -0.02343 | 0.00791 |
|  | C | 3.48472 | -0.08117 | -0.01992 |
|  | C | 1.37915 | -1.16237 | 0.47714 |
|  | C | 1.41673 | 1.08972 | -0.47106 |
|  | C | 2.80596 | 1.06029 | -0.47917 |
|  | C | 2.76915 | -1.19181 | 0.45624 |
|  | H | 0.82523 | -2.00544 | 0.87416 |
|  | H | 0.87982 | 1.95597 | -0.83857 |
|  | H | 3.36293 | 1.91324 | -0.84941 |
|  | H | 3.29671 | -2.06652 | 0.81852 |
|  | C | 4.91884 | -0.11198 | -0.03634 |
|  | N | 6.08142 | -0.13700 | -0.04992 |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathrm{E}($ RB3LYP $)=-720.722303394$ |  |  | Hartree |
|  | C | -1.18445 | -0.77352 | -0.12628 |
|  | H | -0.95202 | -1.80503 | -0.33584 |
|  | C | -2.40387 | -0.12704 | -0.01790 |
|  | N | -0.23777 | 0.18790 | 0.04785 |
|  | C | -3.72357 | -0.59472 | $-0.11371$ |
|  | C | -4.86359 | -1.00020 | -0.19609 |
|  | N | -0.76742 | 1.38435 | 0.25703 |
|  | N | -2.06804 | 1.18960 | 0.21152 |
|  | C | -2.98174 | 2.31993 | 0.40309 |
|  | H | -2.37616 | 3.19814 | 0.61974 |
|  | H | -3.64830 | 2.09747 | 1.23779 |
|  | H | -3.56091 | 2.46834 | -0.51006 |
|  | C | 1.19148 | 0.03907 | 0.02085 |
|  | C | 3.93971 | -0.25398 | -0.04285 |
|  | C | 1.75808 | -1.12951 | 0.53149 |
|  | C | 1.96761 | 1.06731 | -0.51666 |
|  | C | 3.34931 | 0.91902 | -0.54293 |
|  | C | 3.14025 | -1.27676 | 0.49306 |


| H | 1.14266 | -1.90423 | 0.97603 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| H | 1.49992 | 1.96069 | -0.91372 |
| H | 3.97083 | 1.70435 | -0.95814 |
| H | 3.60086 | -2.17476 | 0.88868 |
| C | 5.36557 | -0.40806 | -0.07800 |
| N | 6.52084 | -0.53357 | -0.10704 |
| C | -6.22794 | -1.49290 | -0.29717 |
| H | -6.91502 | -0.68100 | -0.55893 |
| H | -6.55488 | -1.92556 | 0.65526 |
| H | -6.30137 | -2.26830 | -1.06769 |

6b



$E($ RB3LYP $)=-1076.92634485$ Hartree
C $\quad 1.42313$
-0.72064
-0.06619

| H | 1.62481 | -1.64438 | 0.44967 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| C | 0.25626 | -0.20128 | -0.58073 |
| N | 2.37608 | 0.20033 | -0.38609 |
| N | 1.81462 | 1.24233 | -1.06924 |
| N | 0.54692 | 0.99759 | -1.18284 |
| C | 3.76400 | 0.19681 | -0.10480 |
| C | 6.50389 | 0.19678 | 0.42834 |
| C | 4.27512 | -0.61973 | 0.91078 |
| C | 4.61651 | 1.02047 | -0.85140 |
| C | 5.97811 | 1.02204 | -0.58101 |
| C | 5.64027 | -0.62402 | 1.17118 |
| H | 3.61325 | -1.23655 | 1.50856 |
| H | 4.20075 | 1.64810 | -1.62968 |
| H | 6.64388 | 1.65719 | -1.15576 |
| H | 6.04099 | -1.25524 | 1.95718 |
| C | 7.91092 | 0.19416 | 0.69978 |
| N | 9.05324 | 0.19134 | 0.92019 |
| C | -4.63610 | -1.14973 | -0.99161 |
| H | -4.80373 | -0.93114 | -2.05071 |
| C | -5.37861 | -0.16027 | -0.11492 |
| C | -6.77354 | 1.64754 | 1.51019 |
| C | -5.31630 | -0.27012 | 1.28082 |
| C | -6.00834 | 0.63021 | 2.08808 |
| C | -6.84122 | 1.76083 | 0.12204 |
| H | -4.71824 | -1.05969 | 1.72917 |
| H | -5.95319 | 0.53771 | 3.16931 |
| H | -7.43252 | 2.55012 | -0.33404 |
| H | -4.96368 | -2.17581 | -0.80265 |
| C | -1.08457 | -0.75273 | -0.54487 |
| C | -2.25911 | -0.23671 | -1.05739 |
| H | -2.48037 | 0.67738 | -1.58505 |
| H | -7.31350 | 2.34812 | 2.14126 |
| N | -3.19333 | -1.16692 | -0.74731 |
| N | -2.63731 | -2.20188 | -0.07200 |
| N | -1.36380 | -1.95336 | 0.04908 |
| C | -6.14321 | 0.86061 | -0.68668 |
| H | -6.19671 | 0.95275 | -1.76942 |
|  |  |  |  |

$\mathbf{8}_{1,5}$

$\mathrm{E}($ RB3LYP $)=-1076.91991157$ Hartree

| C | 0.11332 | -0.72550 | 0.09672 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H | 0.19784 | 0.32052 | 0.33879 |
| C | 1.05957 | -1.71364 | -0.08460 |
| N | -1.07939 | -1.34712 | -0.11988 |
| N | 4.60856 | -1.07713 | 0.44464 |
| N | -0.87600 | -2.66255 | -0.42628 |
| N | 0.39816 | -2.87901 | -0.40481 |
| C | -2.39241 | -0.81440 | -0.07590 |
| C | -4.98464 | 0.21325 | 0.02227 |
| C | -2.59561 | 0.56749 | -0.16804 |
| C | -3.47965 | -1.68727 | 0.05668 |
| C | -4.76875 | -1.17345 | 0.10078 |
| C | -3.88700 | 1.07833 | -0.11257 |
| H | -1.75792 | 1.24384 | -0.29988 |
| H | -3.30158 | -2.75350 | 0.12076 |
| H | -5.61556 | -1.84361 | 0.20407 |
| H | -4.05084 | 2.14828 | -0.18430 |
| C | -6.31508 | 0.74289 | 0.07753 |
| N | -7.39393 | 1.17552 | 0.12370 |
| C | 2.94506 | 0.40286 | 1.39522 |
| H | 2.13988 | 0.12896 | 2.08539 |
| H | 3.83708 | 0.60901 | 1.99504 |
| C | 2.55636 | 1.62375 | 0.57786 |
| C | 1.86859 | 3.93162 | -0.86284 |
| C | 3.18259 | 1.90877 | -0.64109 |
| C | 1.58735 | 2.50720 | 1.07067 |
| C | 1.24579 | 3.65769 | 0.35579 |
| C | 2.83731 | 3.05535 | -1.35721 |
| H | 3.94237 | 1.23380 | -1.02462 |
| H | 1.10490 | 2.30093 | 2.02466 |
| H | 0.49615 | 4.33737 | 0.75217 |
| H | 3.32865 | 3.26529 | -2.30322 |
| H | 1.60286 | 4.82379 | -1.42297 |
|  |  |  |  |


| C | 2.50864 | -1.69524 | -0.02575 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C | 3.42283 | -2.58399 | -0.56630 |
| N | 4.67936 | -2.17090 | -0.26279 |
| N | 3.29487 | -0.76937 | 0.60301 |
| H | 3.22904 | -3.47494 | -1.14437 |

$\mathbf{9}_{1,4}$


$E($ RB3LYP $)=-1116.61459200$ Hartree

| C | 1.45659 | -0.71801 | -0.15659 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| H | 1.71978 | -1.76306 | -0.14411 |
| C | 0.21625 | -0.11471 | -0.27471 |
| N | 2.36011 | 0.29656 | -0.09956 |
| N | 1.78795 | 1.48638 | -0.17265 |
| C | 3.78972 | 0.19756 | 0.02085 |
| C | 6.54086 | -0.00819 | 0.24349 |
| C | 4.33199 | -0.85145 | 0.76559 |
| C | 4.59184 | 1.14962 | -0.61113 |
| C | 5.97279 | 1.04520 | -0.49353 |
| C | 5.71461 | -0.95506 | 0.87140 |
| H | 3.69447 | -1.56371 | 1.27906 |
| H | 4.14476 | 1.95039 | -1.18874 |
| H | 6.61569 | 1.77133 | -0.97861 |
| H | 6.15686 | -1.75961 | 1.44835 |
| C | 7.96613 | -0.11570 | 0.35648 |
| N | 9.12156 | -0.20286 | 0.44778 |
| C | -4.56627 | -1.60674 | -0.73389 |
| H | -4.75292 | -1.66686 | -1.81053 |
| C | -5.36054 | -0.48830 | -0.10312 |
| C | -6.83576 | 1.58834 | 1.06254 |
| C | -5.55466 | -0.45105 | 1.28526 |
| C | -6.28806 | 0.58286 | 1.86461 |
| C | -6.64984 | 1.55623 | -0.31993 |
| H | -5.14128 | -1.23918 | 1.91048 |
| H | -6.44354 | 0.59828 | 2.93939 |
| H | -7.08586 | 2.32767 | -0.94790 |
|  |  |  |  |


| H | -4.79639 | -2.58090 | -0.29671 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| C | -1.06861 | -0.76389 | -0.37418 |
| C | -2.37098 | -0.31248 | -0.53414 |
| H | -2.82560 | 0.66057 | -0.62755 |
| H | -7.41548 | 2.38786 | 1.51456 |
| N | -3.10655 | -1.44223 | -0.54160 |
| N | -2.32005 | -2.53634 | -0.39719 |
| N | -1.09363 | -2.13331 | -0.29439 |
| N | 0.49127 | 1.22648 | -0.28411 |
| C | -0.43934 | 2.35221 | -0.38510 |
| H | -0.95702 | 2.31108 | -1.34638 |
| H | 0.14649 | 3.26769 | -0.31545 |
| H | -1.15621 | 2.30318 | 0.43769 |
| C | -5.91362 | 0.52109 | -0.90068 |
| H | -5.78704 | 0.48733 | -1.98074 |


$E($ RB3LYP $)=-1116.59802509$ Hartree

| C | -0.60692 | -1.30129 | -0.21073 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H | -0.94307 | -1.96162 | -0.99444 |
| C | 0.64268 | -1.13322 | 0.34862 |
| N | -1.42572 | -0.41087 | 0.41533 |
| N | 3.85788 | -2.38096 | -0.76957 |
| N | -0.77674 | 0.29951 | 1.32673 |
| N | 0.46348 | -0.13589 | 1.28007 |
| C | 1.46788 | 0.47731 | 2.16267 |
| H | 2.21972 | -0.27627 | 2.39423 |
| H | 0.95778 | 0.80449 | 3.06800 |
| H | 1.92637 | 1.32376 | 1.64704 |
| C | -2.83048 | -0.18898 | 0.19908 |
| C | -5.53099 | 0.23635 | -0.23211 |
| C | -3.63973 | -1.27332 | -0.14602 |
| C | -3.34188 | 1.10261 | 0.34034 |
| C | -4.69938 | 1.31121 | 0.12694 |
| C | -4.99515 | -1.05544 | -0.36710 |
| H | -3.23583 | -2.27829 | -0.21401 |


| H | -2.69064 | 1.92739 | 0.60600 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H | -5.11853 | 2.30616 | 0.22841 |
| H | -5.64314 | -1.88389 | -0.63086 |
| C | -6.92911 | 0.45781 | -0.45899 |
| N | -8.06226 | 0.63782 | -0.64441 |
| C | 2.90568 | -0.36115 | -1.73674 |
| H | 3.71245 | -0.61875 | -2.42769 |
| H | 1.97406 | -0.32167 | -2.30918 |
| C | 3.16945 | 0.95231 | -1.02790 |
| C | 3.67756 | 3.38888 | 0.26164 |
| C | 2.28480 | 2.02691 | -1.17301 |
| C | 4.31722 | 1.10749 | -0.23669 |
| C | 4.56762 | 2.31925 | 0.40621 |
| C | 2.53836 | 3.24343 | -0.53222 |
| H | 1.40595 | 1.92332 | -1.80643 |
| H | 5.01638 | 0.28138 | -0.13245 |
| H | 5.46312 | 2.43430 | 1.00984 |
| H | 1.85390 | 4.07683 | -0.66246 |
| H | 3.88005 | 4.33518 | 0.75468 |
| C | 1.89438 | -1.84432 | 0.11962 |
| C | 2.40359 | -2.98426 | 0.71799 |
| N | 3.60003 | -3.27132 | 0.14806 |
| N | 2.83900 | -1.50452 | -0.81334 |
| H | 1.97836 | -3.59826 | 1.49952 |

$\mathbf{1 0}_{1,4}$

$E($ RB3LYP $)=-1155.93945760$ Hartree

| C | -1.30182 | 0.14456 | -0.42686 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H | -1.24652 | -0.84597 | -0.84571 |
| C | -0.32863 | 1.10962 | -0.22747 |
| N | -2.46372 | 0.67373 | 0.04769 |
| N | -2.29664 | 1.89397 | 0.52219 |
| C | -3.76660 | 0.06685 | 0.07711 |
| C | -6.26908 | -1.11552 | 0.13944 |
| C | -4.14230 | -0.77946 | -0.96769 |


| C | -4.61760 | 0.34140 | 1.14930 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| C | -5.87493 | -0.25079 | 1.17490 |
| C | -5.39774 | -1.37629 | -0.93121 |
| H | -3.48524 | -0.95105 | -1.81407 |
| H | -4.29919 | 1.00023 | 1.94891 |
| H | -6.55138 | -0.05383 | 1.99924 |
| H | -5.71202 | -2.03277 | -1.73500 |
| C | -7.56308 | -1.73212 | 0.17377 |
| N | -8.61078 | -2.23457 | 0.20140 |
| C | 4.43531 | 0.13739 | -1.43957 |
| H | 4.29727 | -0.50345 | -2.31485 |
| C | 5.09893 | -0.61945 | -0.30643 |
| C | 6.38668 | -2.00522 | 1.75895 |
| C | 5.35379 | 0.01479 | 0.91724 |
| C | 5.49690 | -1.94864 | -0.48776 |
| C | 6.14149 | -2.63943 | 0.54133 |
| C | 5.99210 | -0.67705 | 1.94483 |
| H | 5.31968 | -2.44262 | -1.44096 |
| H | 6.45231 | -3.66873 | 0.38825 |
| H | 6.19120 | -0.17752 | 2.88855 |
| H | 5.02378 | 1.00524 | -1.74832 |
| C | 1.08445 | 1.12275 | -0.52980 |
| C | 1.93744 | 0.07421 | -0.87680 |
| H | 6.88908 | -2.54006 | 2.55960 |
| N | 3.12154 | 0.70066 | -1.07588 |
| N | 3.02013 | 2.03795 | -0.85888 |
| N | 1.79516 | 2.29342 | -0.52968 |
| N | -1.00964 | 2.15116 | 0.36241 |
| C | -0.51215 | 3.46345 | 0.80838 |
| H | 0.31322 | 3.31256 | 1.50432 |
| H | -1.34876 | 3.96685 | 1.29089 |
| H | -0.15076 | 4.02381 | -0.05304 |
| C | 1.73295 | -1.39769 | -1.02459 |
| H | 1.49087 | -1.66849 | -2.06027 |
| H | 0.91691 | -1.74230 | -0.38267 |
| H | 2.63143 | -1.94785 | -0.73321 |
| H | 5.05931 | 1.05162 | 1.06104 |
|  |  |  |  |

$10{ }_{1,5}$

$E($ RB3LYP $)=-1155.92162187$ Hartree

| C | 0.66750 | 1.12967 | -0.39770 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| H | 1.00008 | 1.73248 | -1.22779 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

$\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{C} & -0.58902 & 0.97365 & 0.15142\end{array}$

| N | 1.49753 | 0.31432 | 0.31035 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

$\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{N} & -3.81786 & 2.00184 & -1.11958\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{N} & 0.85000 & -0.33948 & 1.26440\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{N} & -0.39966 & 0.05745 & 1.16281\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { C } & -1.40149 & -0.50938 & 2.07816\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{H} & -2.21880 & 0.20508 & 2.16592\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{H} & -0.92068 & -0.66805 & 3.04303\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{H} & -1.76801 & -1.45172 & 1.66569\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { C } & 2.91071 & 0.11188 & 0.13578\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { C } & 5.62907 & -0.27810 & -0.21304\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { C } & 3.69680 & 1.17821 & -0.30559\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { C } & 3.45456 & -1.14396 & 0.41335\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { C } & 4.82048 & -1.33436 & 0.24103\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { C } & 5.06101 & 0.97771 & -0.48521\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{H} & 3.26785 & 2.15957 & -0.48043\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{H} & 2.82145 & -1.95600 & 0.75179\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{H} & 5.26429 & -2.30185 & 0.44818\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{H} & 5.69070 & 1.79343 & -0.82265\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { C } & 7.03620 & -0.48154 & -0.39703\end{array}$
$\mathrm{N} \quad 8.17675 \quad-0.64702 \quad-0.54745$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { C } & -2.81120 & -0.09049 & -1.83610\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{H} & -3.60773 & 0.07026 & -2.56735\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{H} & -1.86751 & -0.17722 & -2.38357\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { C } & -3.06742 & -1.32285 & -0.99120\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { C } & -3.56474 & -3.61358 & 0.54724\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { C } & -2.17195 & -2.39791 & -1.01393\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { C } & -4.22054 & -1.40490 & -0.19697\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { C } & -4.46547 & -2.54350 & 0.56968\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { C } & -2.42004 & -3.54197 & -0.24907\end{array}$

| H | -1.28813 | -2.35332 | -1.64742 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| H | -4.92778 | -0.57912 | -0.18635 |
| H | -5.36508 | -2.60232 | 1.17538 |
| H | -1.72660 | -4.37742 | -0.28442 |
| H | -3.76259 | -4.50373 | 1.13721 |
| C | -1.83662 | 3.82638 | 1.26699 |
| H | -2.51511 | 3.94900 | 2.11763 |
| H | -1.73843 | 4.80658 | 0.78935 |
| H | -0.85522 | 3.52857 | 1.64789 |
| C | -1.85291 | 1.62742 | -0.15906 |
| C | -2.38294 | 2.82780 | 0.29793 |
| N | -3.58515 | 3.00286 | -0.31821 |
| N | -2.78371 | 1.15068 | -1.04979 |

TS-8 $\mathbf{8}_{1,4}$

$E($ RB3LYP $)=-1076.79675803$ Hartree
freq. -428.0066
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { C } & 1.49293 & -0.08815 & 0.03115\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{H} & 1.54585 & -1.15677 & -0.08756\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{C} & 0.41304 & 0.77048 & 0.10619\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{N} & 2.58344 & 0.71617 & 0.10070\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { C } & -0.98741 & 0.49703 & 0.07197\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { C } & -2.19715 & 0.76565 & 0.08305\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{H} & -3.10948 & 1.31984 & 0.14020\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{N} & 2.20101 & 2.02341 & 0.21707\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{N} & 0.90558 & 2.05628 & 0.22039\end{array}$
$\mathrm{N} \quad-3.20770 \quad-1.13779 \quad-0.04433$
$\mathrm{N} \quad-1.01187 \quad-1.75434 \quad-0.16857$
$\mathrm{N} \quad-2.17528 \quad-1.83031 \quad-0.21731$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { C } & 3.96027 & 0.37033 & 0.06847\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { C } & 6.66095 & -0.29427 & -0.00883\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { C } & 4.36800 & -0.90923 & 0.46095\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { C } & 4.89351 & 1.32287 & -0.35758\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { C } & 6.24182 & 0.99213 & -0.39059\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { C } & 5.71612 & -1.24331 & 0.41549\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{H} & 3.64695 & -1.63695 & 0.81514\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{H} & 4.55922 & 2.30676 & -0.66176\end{array}$

| H | 6.97027 | 1.72431 | -0.72119 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| H | 6.03811 | -2.23339 | 0.71862 |
| C | 8.05132 | -0.63731 | -0.05081 |
| N | 9.18076 | -0.91579 | -0.08523 |
| C | -4.41368 | -1.41860 | -0.85324 |
| H | -4.64895 | -2.48602 | -0.76505 |
| C | -5.57092 | -0.58090 | -0.35434 |
| C | -7.76178 | 0.92598 | 0.54808 |
| C | -6.26923 | 0.25359 | -1.23368 |
| C | -5.97820 | -0.65121 | 0.98565 |
| C | -7.06480 | 0.09891 | 1.43471 |
| C | -7.36254 | 1.00116 | -0.78702 |
| H | -5.95851 | 0.31833 | -2.27364 |
| H | -5.43804 | -1.29294 | 1.67684 |
| H | -7.37040 | 0.03644 | 2.47560 |
| H | -7.89614 | 1.64396 | -1.48174 |
| H | -8.60946 | 1.50862 | 0.89816 |
| H | -4.21467 | -1.20657 | -1.91047 |

TS-8 $\mathbf{8}_{1,5}$

$\mathrm{E}($ RB3LYP $)=-1076.79723968$ Hartree
freq. -423.3934

| C | 1.28544 | 1.47945 | -0.32194 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| H | 1.80584 | 2.40866 | -0.48718 |
| C | -0.06416 | 1.18470 | -0.24269 |
| N | 1.91259 | 0.29739 | -0.09562 |
| C | -1.15361 | 2.08662 | -0.43056 |
| C | -1.76553 | 3.09653 | -0.80608 |
| H | -1.92070 | 4.00053 | -1.35638 |
| N | -3.68617 | 3.06591 | 0.03684 |
| N | -3.60977 | 2.05821 | 0.63804 |
| N | -2.76126 | 1.18309 | 0.92096 |
| N | 0.99600 | -0.69152 | 0.11065 |
| N | -0.18388 | -0.15987 | 0.02414 |
| C | 3.30360 | 0.00903 | -0.05350 |
| C | 6.02277 | -0.56400 | 0.04501 |


| C | 4.20350 | 0.81321 | -0.76050 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| C | 3.75053 | -1.08370 | 0.69812 |
| C | 5.10823 | -1.37257 | 0.74205 |
| C | 5.56320 | 0.53112 | -0.70513 |
| H | 3.85153 | 1.64223 | -1.36360 |
| H | 3.03892 | -1.69213 | 1.24199 |
| H | 5.46311 | -2.21630 | 1.32342 |
| H | 6.26643 | 1.15036 | -1.25065 |
| C | 7.42421 | -0.85769 | 0.09824 |
| N | 8.56234 | -1.09632 | 0.14192 |
| C | -3.17954 | -0.11881 | 1.48101 |
| H | -2.23913 | -0.64731 | 1.64368 |
| H | -3.64968 | 0.04512 | 2.45744 |
| C | -4.09396 | -0.91542 | 0.57195 |
| C | -5.79219 | -2.36050 | -1.13411 |
| C | -3.58126 | -1.55181 | -0.56767 |
| C | -5.46323 | -1.01049 | 0.84661 |
| C | -6.30982 | -1.73029 | -0.00092 |
| C | -4.42525 | -2.26953 | -1.41549 |
| H | -2.51812 | -1.47867 | -0.78161 |
| H | -5.86891 | -0.52289 | 1.73028 |
| H | -7.37039 | -1.79915 | 0.22582 |
| H | -4.01709 | -2.76192 | -2.29428 |
| H | -6.44843 | -2.92190 | -1.79372 |

TS-9 $\mathbf{1 . 4}_{\mathbf{4}}$

$E($ RB3LYP $)=-1116.54729997$ Hartree
freq. -421.2890
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { C } & 1.47004 & -0.29202 & 0.02047\end{array}$
H $\quad 1.56227$-1.35852 -0.09794
$\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{C} & 0.34567 & 0.50898 & 0.08933\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{N} & 2.53267 & 0.55136 & 0.09280\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { C } & -1.03566 & 0.21269 & 0.05872\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { C } & -2.25060 & 0.45882 & 0.08600\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{H} & -3.16080 & 1.01837 & 0.15611\end{array}$

|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| N | 2.16252 | 1.82164 | 0.20131 |
| N | 0.85087 | 1.78895 | 0.19481 |
| C | 0.09143 | 3.03629 | 0.30128 |
| H | 0.80819 | 3.84990 | 0.39220 |
| H | -0.54770 | 2.98507 | 1.18371 |
| H | -0.51689 | 3.15697 | -0.59637 |
| N | -3.21090 | -1.41754 | -0.05161 |
| N | -1.02114 | -2.06236 | -0.21939 |
| N | -2.18298 | -2.10430 | -0.24788 |
| C | 3.92973 | 0.22489 | 0.05903 |
| C | 6.61808 | -0.40630 | -0.01363 |
| C | 4.36069 | -0.96180 | 0.65305 |
| C | 4.81302 | 1.10584 | -0.56723 |
| C | 6.16557 | 0.78871 | -0.59816 |
| C | 5.71335 | -1.28089 | 0.60996 |
| H | 3.66384 | -1.61891 | 1.16081 |
| H | 4.44802 | 2.01564 | -1.02838 |
| H | 6.86764 | 1.45806 | -1.08183 |
| H | 6.06770 | -2.19716 | 1.06779 |
| C | 8.01385 | -0.73440 | -0.05310 |
| N | 9.14562 | -1.00009 | -0.08559 |
| C | -4.43851 | -1.66964 | -0.84788 |
| H | -4.72381 | -2.71743 | -0.70513 |
| C | -5.53822 | -0.74643 | -0.37371 |
| C | -7.58674 | 0.95890 | 0.50227 |
| C | -6.00480 | 0.28291 | -1.19888 |
| C | -6.10587 | -0.91371 | 0.89745 |
| C | -7.12310 | -0.06559 | 1.33383 |
| C | -7.02710 | 1.13142 | -0.76452 |
| H | -5.57042 | 0.41944 | -2.18621 |
| H | -5.74837 | -1.71076 | 1.54456 |
| H | -7.55745 | -0.20572 | 2.31977 |
| H | -7.38302 | 1.92474 | -1.41583 |
| H | -8.38151 | 1.61745 | 0.84148 |
| H | -4.22927 | -1.51283 | -1.91159 |
|  |  |  |  |

TS-9 $\mathbf{1 , 5}^{\mathbf{5}}$

$E($ RB3LYP $)=-1116.54395530$ Hartree
freq. -448.5484

| C | 0.62902 | -0.76918 | 0.97823 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| H | 0.65984 | -0.04461 | 1.77545 |
| C | -0.35317 | -1.67148 | 0.61242 |
| N | 1.66537 | -0.99116 | 0.12529 |
| C | -1.63361 | -1.92864 | 1.15126 |
| C | -2.52405 | -2.32233 | 1.91908 |
| H | -2.87849 | -2.79930 | 2.81118 |
| N | -4.33620 | -1.92686 | 1.09136 |
| N | -3.99950 | -1.39587 | 0.09890 |
| N | -2.96608 | -1.15411 | -0.56036 |
| N | 1.40918 | -1.95917 | -0.74169 |
| N | 0.19456 | -2.36539 | -0.44126 |
| C | -0.41801 | -3.45983 | -1.19953 |
| H | -0.50199 | -4.33544 | -0.55340 |
| H | 0.22777 | -3.67155 | -2.04931 |
| H | -1.40620 | -3.13790 | -1.52960 |
| C | 2.93507 | -0.32324 | 0.08408 |
| C | 5.38128 | 0.95754 | 0.01869 |
| C | 2.98903 | 1.04238 | 0.36436 |
| C | 4.07549 | -1.06307 | -0.23281 |
| C | 5.30451 | -0.41556 | -0.26998 |
| C | 4.22226 | 1.68411 | 0.33661 |
| H | 2.08864 | 1.60686 | 0.57878 |
| H | 4.00346 | -2.12473 | -0.43617 |
| H | 6.20258 | -0.97274 | -0.51066 |
| H | 4.28435 | 2.74523 | 0.54861 |
| C | 6.65209 | 1.62227 | -0.01268 |
| N | 7.68249 | 2.16091 | -0.03780 |
| C | -2.91376 | 0.01812 | -1.46976 |
| H | -1.88037 | 0.03887 | -1.82278 |
| H | -3.54911 | -0.19783 | -2.33591 |
|  |  |  |  |


| C | -3.29759 | 1.32938 | -0.81946 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | -4.02953 | 3.73387 | 0.42987 |
| C | -4.62811 | 1.76800 | -0.84739 |
| C | -2.33705 | 2.11005 | -0.16344 |
| C | -2.69974 | 3.30587 | 0.45837 |
| C | -4.99316 | 2.96350 | -0.22539 |
| H | -5.38051 | 1.17500 | -1.36215 |
| H | -1.30000 | 1.78401 | -0.14504 |
| H | -1.94475 | 3.90460 | 0.96032 |
| H | -6.02739 | 3.29472 | -0.25714 |
| H | -4.31238 | 4.66575 | 0.91153 |

TS-10 ${ }_{1,4}$


| E(RB3LYP) $=-1155.85525440$ | Hartree |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| freq. | -392.6860 |  |  |
| C | -1.72656 | -0.82225 | 0.21486 |
| H | -2.03270 | -1.85472 | 0.24576 |
| C | -0.46759 | -0.25017 | 0.28712 |
| N | -2.60083 | 0.21678 | 0.13024 |
| C | 0.81819 | -0.82427 | 0.38009 |
| C | 1.71757 | -1.50088 | 0.91287 |
| N | -1.99311 | 1.39316 | 0.14561 |
| N | -0.71085 | 1.10363 | 0.23662 |
| C | 0.27384 | 2.18555 | 0.30145 |
| H | -0.24724 | 3.11704 | 0.08910 |
| H | 1.04601 | 1.99372 | -0.44368 |
| H | 0.71161 | 2.21156 | 1.30109 |
| N | 3.21234 | -1.56648 | -0.58379 |
| N | 1.67480 | -0.26074 | -1.64499 |
| N | 2.67818 | -0.81774 | -1.43327 |
| C | -4.03134 | 0.16305 | 0.04101 |
| C | -6.78819 | 0.05468 | -0.12135 |
| C | -4.62646 | -0.88890 | -0.65628 |
| C | -4.78443 | 1.16447 | 0.65673 |
| C | -6.17017 | 1.11104 | 0.56924 |
| C | -6.01372 | -0.94510 | -0.73202 |


| H | -4.02569 | -1.64252 | -1.15236 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H | -4.29530 | 1.96372 | 1.20032 |
| H | -6.77237 | 1.87794 | 1.04267 |
| H | -6.49341 | -1.75334 | -1.27190 |
| C | -8.21902 | -0.00237 | -0.20364 |
| N | -9.37923 | -0.04877 | -0.27026 |
| C | 4.68385 | -1.72762 | -0.52034 |
| H | 5.01304 | -2.20974 | -1.44699 |
| C | 5.44112 | -0.44016 | -0.27031 |
| C | 6.80713 | 1.97006 | 0.18465 |
| C | 5.78313 | -0.05179 | 1.03119 |
| C | 5.79716 | 0.38862 | -1.34323 |
| C | 6.47472 | 1.58791 | -1.11771 |
| C | 6.46207 | 1.14679 | 1.25901 |
| H | 5.52665 | -0.69361 | 1.87068 |
| H | 5.54962 | 0.09185 | -2.35985 |
| H | 6.74850 | 2.21955 | -1.95823 |
| H | 6.72473 | 1.43416 | 2.27333 |
| H | 7.33777 | 2.90170 | 0.36034 |
| H | 4.84365 | -2.44148 | 0.29039 |
| C | 2.34742 | -2.29426 | 1.97881 |
| H | 3.23091 | -1.78545 | 2.37740 |
| H | 2.65766 | -3.27694 | 1.61006 |
| H | 1.63510 | -2.43867 | 2.79791 |

TS-10 $\mathbf{1 , 5}^{\mathbf{5}}$


| E(RB3LYP) $=-1155.83646752$ | Hartree |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| freq. | -413.0756 |  |  |
| C | 0.70652 | -0.73747 | 0.75994 |
| H | 0.73044 | -0.09749 | 1.62658 |
| C | -0.29517 | -1.55496 | 0.26627 |
| N | 1.77124 | -0.91797 | -0.06779 |
| C | -1.61004 | -1.80668 | 0.71717 |
| C | -2.50150 | -2.28295 | 1.44498 |
| N | -4.28353 | -1.72219 | 0.55888 |


|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N | -3.89080 | -1.08987 | -0.34869 |
| N | -2.81027 | -0.81881 | -0.92217 |
| N | 1.51632 | -1.78081 | -1.03975 |
| N | 0.27336 | -2.16041 | -0.83160 |
| C | -0.34591 | -3.14660 | -1.72044 |
| H | -0.50001 | -4.07530 | -1.16785 |
| H | 0.33206 | -3.30654 | -2.55643 |
| H | -1.30178 | -2.74888 | -2.06266 |
| C | 3.06571 | -0.30381 | 0.00848 |
| C | 5.55946 | 0.87542 | 0.16054 |
| C | 3.16215 | 1.01789 | 0.44519 |
| C | 4.18823 | -1.04919 | -0.35626 |
| C | 5.44101 | -0.45240 | -0.28391 |
| C | 4.41851 | 1.60806 | 0.52630 |
| H | 2.27612 | 1.58988 | 0.69672 |
| H | 4.08351 | -2.07739 | -0.68106 |
| H | 6.32528 | -1.01505 | -0.56038 |
| H | 4.51319 | 2.63503 | 0.85972 |
| C | 6.85442 | 1.48721 | 0.23944 |
| N | 7.90446 | 1.98319 | 0.30289 |
| C | -2.65273 | 0.46786 | -1.64322 |
| H | -1.58980 | 0.50670 | -1.89394 |
| H | -3.20505 | 0.39752 | -2.58703 |
| C | -3.07484 | 1.68741 | -0.85272 |
| C | -3.88759 | 3.91717 | 0.64652 |
| C | -2.17444 | 2.33387 | 0.00391 |
| C | -4.38566 | 2.17233 | -0.95369 |
| C | -4.79118 | 3.28070 | -0.20772 |
| C | -2.57738 | 3.44262 | 0.74992 |
| H | -1.15229 | 1.97195 | 0.08297 |
| H | -5.09026 | 1.68381 | -1.62274 |
| H | -5.80931 | 3.64905 | -0.29782 |
| H | -1.86841 | 3.93780 | 1.40768 |
| H | -4.20107 | 4.78169 | 1.22511 |
| C | -3.01008 | -3.04817 | 2.59675 |
| H | -3.63382 | -3.88164 | 2.25895 |
|  | -3.18093 | -2.41008 | 3.23386 |
|  | -3.44283 | 3.19112 |  |
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E(RB3LYP) $=-600.262180717$ Hartree

| C | -3.90749 | -1.36319 | 0.24626 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

H $\quad-4.27126 \quad-1.25186 \quad 1.26933$

| H | -3.64302 | -2.40098 | 0.04844 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

H $\quad-4.66020 \quad-1.02090 \quad-0.46662$

| N | -2.68677 | -0.55842 | 0.08108 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| C | -2.52946 | 0.77505 | 0.27198 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| H | -3.32765 | 1.42796 | 0.59092 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| C | -1.20498 | 1.04419 | -0.02869 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

$\mathrm{N} \quad-1.57410 \quad-1.14653 \quad-0.31483$
$\mathrm{N} \quad-0.68129 \quad-0.17988 \quad-0.38656$

| C | 0.71000 | -0.50126 | -0.75414 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| H | 1.11938 | 0.38480 | -1.24279 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

H $\quad 0.66961 \quad-1.32271 \quad-1.47059$

| C | 1.54577 | -0.90595 | 0.48018 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| H | 1.60776 | -0.07515 | 1.19360 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| H | 1.06637 | -1.75479 | 0.97656 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

$\mathrm{N} \quad 2.86061 \quad-1.35925 \quad 0.02231$

| N | 3.78331 | -0.53085 | 0.12508 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| N | 4.71816 | 0.11618 | 0.17144 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| C | -0.47014 | 2.24446 | -0.01496 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| H | 0.70363 | 4.19746 | 0.01094 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| C | 0.15563 | 3.27794 | -0.00054 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
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$E($ RB3LYP $)=-600.363476011$ Hartree

| C | 3.99103 | -0.64292 | 0.10604 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| H | 4.21402 | -1.09338 | 1.07520 |
| H | 4.51890 | 0.30340 | -0.00261 |
| H | 4.26116 | -1.32396 | -0.70314 |


|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| N | 2.54999 | -0.35586 | 0.02852 |
| C | 1.51818 | -1.24248 | 0.09194 |
| H | 1.66569 | -2.30472 | 0.21254 |
| C | 0.37032 | -0.47933 | -0.01348 |
| N | 2.14808 | 0.89210 | -0.10269 |
| N | 0.83158 | 0.80901 | -0.12492 |
| C | -0.03852 | 1.98151 | -0.35155 |
| H | -0.19039 | 2.07297 | -1.43158 |
| H | 0.48552 | 2.86442 | 0.01666 |
| C | -1.36770 | 1.76380 | 0.38451 |
| H | -1.24592 | 1.88959 | 1.46599 |
| H | -2.11586 | 2.47571 | 0.03130 |
| N | -3.14444 | 0.07090 | 0.07164 |
| C | -1.05063 | -0.69307 | -0.01312 |
| C | -1.94784 | -1.73960 | -0.14108 |
| H | -1.77173 | -2.79591 | -0.28392 |
| N | -3.20416 | -1.22693 | -0.08549 |
| N | -1.84825 | 0.41426 | 0.10436 |
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| E(RB3LYP) $=$ | -600.233608460 | Hartree |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| freq. | -447.0941 |  |  |
| C | 4.07204 | -0.44141 | 0.11137 |
| H | 4.42056 | -1.01075 | -0.75231 |
| H | 4.32295 | -0.96164 | 1.03774 |
| H | 4.50733 | 0.55690 | 0.10750 |
| N | 2.61219 | -0.28638 | 0.02600 |
| C | 1.66696 | -1.25473 | -0.01620 |
| H | 1.89553 | -2.30913 | 0.00905 |
| C | 0.44577 | -0.60083 | -0.08941 |
| N | 2.09412 | 0.92421 | -0.00951 |
| N | 0.78783 | 0.73841 | -0.07598 |
| C | -0.05902 | 1.95046 | -0.20403 |
| H | -0.14178 | 2.17387 | -1.27148 |
| H | 0.48539 | 2.75402 | 0.29331 |
| C | -1.44651 | 1.75531 | 0.39703 |


| H | -1.39920 | 1.56230 | 1.47667 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| H | -2.01539 | 2.67712 | 0.23071 |
| N | -3.10686 | 0.10937 | -0.00212 |
| C | -0.86506 | -1.14385 | -0.10175 |
| C | -1.69834 | -2.05461 | 0.01463 |
| H | -2.13681 | -3.02652 | 0.11088 |
| N | -3.60879 | -0.92908 | 0.13275 |
| N | -2.01185 | 0.63230 | -0.34428 |
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