# NOTES ON OVID'S EPISTULAE EX PONTO 

Abstract: Several passages of Ovid's Epistulae Ex Ponto are explained. Key-words: Ovid, Textual criticism<br>Resumen: Se explican diversos pasajes de las Epistulae Ex Ponto de Ovidio. Palbras-clave: Ovidio, crítica textual.

## I. 1. 67-74

> non igitur mirum, si mens mea tabida facta de nive manantis more liquescit aquae. estur ut occulta vitiata teredine navis, aequorei scopulos ut cavat unda salis, roditur ut scabra positum rubigine ferrum, conditus ut tineae carpitur ore liber, sic mea perpetuos curarum pectora morsus, fine quibus nullo conficiantur, babent.

line 69 teredine : putredine v. l.
The reader will note that Gaertner ${ }^{1}$ printed the reading teredine in line 69. I would like to suggest, however, that better sense is provided, in view of the preceding tabida, by the reading putredine. Ovid says that his mind has become rotten (mens mea tabida facta). He then compares his «rotten» mind to a cunnus (navis) ${ }^{2}$, which is eaten away (estur), since it has been infected (vitiata) by a hidden putridity (occulto... putredine) ${ }^{3}$.
I. 1. 37-48
ecquis ita est audax, ut limine cogat abire iactantem Pharia tinnula sistra manu? ante deum matrem cornu tibicen adunco cum canit, exiguae quis stipis aera negat? scimus ab imperio fieri nil tale Dianae; 41 unde tamen vivat vaticinator habet. ipsa movent animos superorum numina nostros,
${ }^{1}$ Cf. J. F. Gaertner, Ovid Epistulae ex Ponto, Book 1, Oxford 2005, page 50.
${ }^{2}$ Cf. Lewis And Short, A Latin Dictionary, s. v. navis B, I: «pudenda muliebria, Plaut. Men. 2, 3, 51 etc.» Lines 65-68 have been deleted by some scholars. It should be noted, however, that they make perfect sense and suit the context. There is therefore no reason to assume that they
are interpolations. Similarly I have attempted to show that Propertius does not contain any interpolations: cf. Myrtia 18, 2003, page 371 ff .
${ }^{3}$ Putredine, a physiological term which refers to the metaphorical navis, was replaced with teredine by those who did not understand the methaphor.
> turpe nec est tali credulitate capi.
> en ego pro sistro Phrygiique foramine buxi
> gentis Iuleae nomina sancta fero.
> vaticinor moneoque:«locum date sacra ferenti;
> non mibi, sed magno poscitur ille deo."

line 41 dianae : deorum v. 1.
The critics ${ }^{4}$ have been puzzled by the text of line 41 . I would like to point out that perfect sense can be restored to the transmitted text if we print the reading deorum and translate lines 41-44 as follows, putting a full stop after tale:
«We know that no such thing happens through your command. However, the prophet of the gods (deorum / ... vaticinator ${ }^{5}$ ) has something to live on. The will of the gods moves our spirits, and it is not dishonourable to be taken in by such credulity.»

Ovid stresses that he is able to survive financially, and that he is going to act as a prophet for Augustus and his family, who are of course all seen as gods ${ }^{6}$.

## I. 2. 1-12

> Maxime, qui tanti mensuram nominis imples et geminas animi nobilitate genus, qui nasci ut posses, quamvis cecidere trecenti, non omnis Fabios abstulit una dies, forsitan, haec a quo mittatur epistula, quaeras, quisque loquar tecum, certior esse velis. ei mibi, quid faciam? vereor, ne nomine lecto durus et aversa cetera mente legas. videris: audebo tibi me scripsisse fateri
qui, cum me poena dignum graviore fuisse
confitear, possum vix graviora pati.
line 10 audebo et propriis ingemuisse malis Bebls
At line 1 ff . Ovid states that Fabius Maximus is very grand. I would therefore like to suggest that in line 7 the words nomine lecto refer to Maximus' reputation. We should translate lines 7-8 as follows:
«Oh dear, what shall I do? I fear that, due to your excellent reputation (nomine ${ }^{7}$ lecto $^{8}$ ), you may read the rest of the letter with a hard and unfavourable mind».
${ }^{4}$ Cf. Jan Felix Gaertner, op. cit., page 115 f.
${ }^{5}$ Cf. lines 46 ff. (gentis Iuleae ... / vaticinor).
${ }^{6}$ Like Theocritus, who was an ímoфŋ́Tทs (Idyll
$16,29)$ and who celebrated the deified Ptolemies (cf. Cholmeley's commentary, page 4 and $\operatorname{ad} 17,58 \mathrm{ff}$.). The reading Dianae, accepted by André (Budé edition) and altered into dearum by Madvig, was created by someone who did not understand that deorum refers to the deified Roman emperors, and who did not comprehend the elegant enjambement deorum / ... vaticinator. Ovid writes as the vaticinator deorum e. g. at I, 2, 99-100. Whoever invented the variant Dianae did so because he wanted to
oppose a goddess (Diana) to those (Isis, v. 38; Cybele, v. 39) whose priests accepted donations. In reality, the only possible opposition, as the Gedankengang clearly shows, is between such goddesses (Isis and Cybele) and the Roman emperors. André (op. cit., page 160) overlooked the fact that the mendicants of Aricia had nothing to do with the cult of Diana.

7 Cf. Lewis And Short, A Latin Dictionary, s. v. nomen II, A: «Name, fame, repute, reputation.»
${ }^{8}$ Cf. Lewis and Short, op. cit., s. v. lego II, C: «Also, lectus ... picked out, selected; choice, excellent.»

It should also be noted that perfect sense can be restored to lines 9-10 if we print them as follows:
videris: audebo tibi me scripsisse fateri
audebo et propriis ingemuisse malis.
«You shall see; I will dare to tell you that I have written a letter, and I will dare to say that I have complained due to my continuous troubles (propriis ${ }^{9}$.. malis ${ }^{10}$ )."

## I. 2. 61-64:

cum video, quam sint mea fata tenacia, frangor, spesque levis magno victa timore cadit, nec tamen ulterius quicquam sperove precorve, quam male munito posse carere loco.
line 64 munito Watt : mutato mss.
The reader will note that Watt printed the alteration munito in line 64. I would like to point out, however, that the mss reading mutato makes good sense. Ovid states that he only hopes to escape from «a wretchedly (male) abandoned ( mutato $^{11}$ ) place. Cf. I. 2.71 f. where Ovid says that Augustus does not know the nature of the remote place (ultimus ... locus) which he is forced to inhabit.
I. $2.75-80$
nec vacat, in qua sint positi regione Tomitae, quaerere (finitimo vix loca nota Getae), aut quid Sauromatae faciant, quid Iazyges acres cultaque Oresteae Taurica terra deae, quaeque aliae gentes, ubi frigore constitit Hister, dura meant celeri terga per amnis equo.

Ovid states that Augustus does not know where Tomis is. In line 78 the cult of Artemis / Diana is mentioned. I would like to suggest that the words cultaque Oresteae Taurica terra deae mean «and the revered (cultaque ${ }^{12}$ ) Taurian land of the Orestean goddess».

Note that Ovid has employed adjectival enallage ${ }^{13}$. The goddess Diana was herself revered in the Taurian land.
I. 2. 87-88:
ira viri mitis non me misisset in istam, si satis haec illi nota fuisset humus.

[^0][^1]line 87 viri: dei v. l. in istam: in istas v. l. (terras super mitis scripto)
The critics ${ }^{14}$ have been puzzled by the text of line 87 . I would like to point out that it is possible to restore good sense to the transmitted text if we print line 87 as follows:
ira dei terras non me misisset in istas
Ovid states that the anger of a god (dei ${ }^{15}$ ) would not have sent him to such a land (terras ${ }^{16} \ldots$ in istas), if the god (i. e. Augustus) had known what the country was like.
I. 2. 97-100
> di faciant igitur, quorum iustissimus ipse est, alma nibil maius Caesare terra ferat, utque diu sub eo, sic sit sub Caesare semper, 99 perque manus huius tradita gentis eat.

line 99 utque: atque v. l. sit sub Caesare semper Housman : sit publica sarcina terrae v. l.
In these lines Ovid states that he hopes that Augustus and his family will continue to rule the world. Gaertner printed Housman's very violent alteration sit sub Caesare semper in line 99. I would like to point out, however, that perfect sense is provided by the transmitted text, which should be printed as follows:
atque diu sub eo sit publica sarcina terrae
Ovid hopes that the burden (sarcina) of the empire will remain under the control of Augustus for a long time, and that it will finally be passed on through the hands of his family. The epithet publica is crucial: the burden of governing the terra was carried by the state officials (cf. O. L. D., s. v. publicus, 2), and this burden remained under the emperor's ${ }^{17}$ authority (cf. O. L. D., s. v. sub, 15).
I. $4.11-18$
cernis, ut in duris (et quid bove firmius ?) arvis
fortia taurorum corpora frangat opus.
quae numquam vacuo solita est cessare novali, fructibus adsiduis lassa senescit humus. occidet, ad circi si quis certamina semper non intermissis cursibus ibit equus.
firma sit illa licet, solvetur in aequore navis,
quae numquam liquidis sicca carebit aquis.
${ }^{14}$ Cf. Gaertner, op. cit., page 189 f.
15 Propertius calls Augustus «a learned god» (docti ... dei): cf. my Studies In The Text Of Propertius, page 136.
${ }^{16}$ For the poetic plural cf. my Studies In The Text of Propertius, page 142. The reading mitis was introduced into the text by someone who wanted to qualify viri, which, unlike dei, would be unclear without an epithet.
${ }^{17}$ Ovid describes with admirable exactitude the structure of the «Ptolemaic monarchy» planned by Cae-
sar and completed by Augustus, which Ed. Meyer has brilliantly analysed and which Housman overlooked: the burden (sarcina) of governing the empire was carried by the administrative statal apparatus (publica), (senate, governors, proconsuls, etc.), which was subject to the supreme authority of the emperor (sub eo). Note Ovid's elegant «Umkehrung» of the usual expression sub sarcinis.

Gaertner ${ }^{18}$ was puzzled by the meaning of the verb occidet, in line 15 . I would like to suggest that Ovid has employed an obscene pun. He states that rest is necessary, and then explains that a horse (equus ${ }^{19}$ ) which is constantly engaged in races in the circus (i. e. sexual intercourse) will die. He is alluding to sexual impotence, equated with death (cf. Catullus 3,3 passer mortuus est), and which is caused by sexual excesses. Cf. moreover Martial 3, 75 and L. and P. Watson's useful commentary (Cambridge 2003), ad loc. Similarly the noun navis means both «ship» and «pudenda muliebria» ${ }^{20}$.

## I. 5. 21-22

## torquet enim Fortuna parum, nisi Lixus in Hebrum

confluat et frondes Alpibus addat Atho.
line 21 Lixus: Nilus Heinsius
Scholars ${ }^{21}$ have been puzzled by the meaning of these lines. I would like to suggest that a reference to the river Lixus ${ }^{22}$, in Mauretania, suits the context. Ovid mentions the Thracian river Hebrus, in the north-east, and the Lixus in Mauretania, in the south-west. Similarly at Idyll 7, 111-112 Theocritus contrasts the Hebrus with the Nile. Ovid then compares the Alps ${ }^{23}$, in the west, with Athos ${ }^{24}$, in the east. In other words, these lines contain references to the north, south, east and west. It should be noted that Augustus made Juba II the king of Mauretania in 25 B. C. Cf. Lewis And Short, A Latin Dictionary, s. v. Juba II.
I. 7.43-52:

> ipse sed hoc vidit, qui pervidet omnia, Caesar, stultitiam dici crimina posse mea, quaque ego permisi, quaque est res passa, pepercit, usus et est modice fulminis igne sui. nec vitam nec opes nec ademit posse reverti, si sua per vestras victa sit ira preces. at graviter cecidi. quid enim mirabile, si quis a Iove percussus non leve vulnus habet? ipse suas etiam vires inhiberet Achilles, missa gravis ictus Pelias hasta daret.
${ }^{18}$ Cf. Gaertner, op. cit., page 283. Ovid mentions the fact that a horse may fall (cadat) at Tristia 4, 8, 19 .
${ }^{19}$ Cf. Lewis And Short, A Latin Dictionary, s. v. equus I, C, 3: «In mal. part. «For the obscene meaning of imtos cf. my New Chapters In Hellenistic poetry (Athens 1996), page 31.
${ }^{20}$ Cf. Lewis And Short, op. cit., s. v. navis B, I. A cunnus which is constantly engaged in sexual intercourse will become slack (solvetur). At Ars Amatoria 3, 775 Ovid refers to the different positions which are adopted in sexual intercourse. He states that a small woman should «ride» a man.
${ }^{21}$ Cf. Gaertner, op. cit., page 316 f.
${ }^{22}$ Heinsius may have taken, the reading Nilus from a manuscript. Cf. I. 2. 9 videris a, Heinsius and I. 4.6 aetati b1, Heinsius. Heinsius used manuscripts in order to correct the text of Propertius: cf. my Studies, page 166. It should be noted, however, that Nilus is an obvious trivialization, which replaces the rarer Lixus.
${ }^{23}$ For the fact that the Alps were imagined to be situated in the west cf. Orpheus 23, 2002, page 139.

24 Gaertner notes that Athos is a mountain on the «most eastern of three peninsulae of Chalkidike.» Wheeler (in his Loeb edition) and André (in his Budé edition) accept the mss reading Lixus.

Ovid states that Augustus has been lenient and used his thunderbolt sparingly. Nevertheless Ovid says that he has suffered a heavy blow. He adds that even if the hero Achilles had moderated his force, still he would have caused damage. Lines 49-52 should be translated as follows:
«But I suffered a heavy blow. For why is it surprising if somebody who has been hit by Jupiter suffers from a severe wound? Even if famous Achilles were to moderate (inhiberet) his strength, the Pelian spear, once thrown, would still cause heavy blows.» Augustus has been lenient, but nevertheless has hurt the poet.

## I. 7. 63-66

quod si permittis nobis suadere, quid optes, ut des, quam reddas, plura, precare deos. idque facis, quantumque licet meminisse, solebas officii causae pluribus esse datis.
line 66 causae ... datis Owen: officii causa pluribus esse dati le e bl
The critics ${ }^{25}$ have been puzzled by the text of line 66 . I would like to suggest that perfect sense can be restored to line 66 if we print it as follows: officii causa ${ }^{26}$ pluribus esse dati.

Ovid states that Messalinus used to be the «cause (causa) of kindness (officii) which had been granted (dati) to the majority of people».

## I. $8.1-10$

> A tibi dilecto missam Nasone salutem accipe, pars animae magna, Severe, meae, neve roga, quid agam. si persequar omnia, flebis;
> summa, sat est, nostri si tibi nota mali:
> vivimus assiduis expertes pacis in armis
> dura pharetrato bella movente Gete.
> deque tot expulsis sum miles in exule solus:
> tuta (neque invideo) cetera turba latet.
> quoque magis nostros venia dignere libellos,
> haec in procinctu carmina facta leges:

Ovid explains that he has to endure the warfare of the Getans. He then says that he has written some poetry which he would like Severus to read. Gaertner ${ }^{27}$ translates the words haec in procinctu carmina facta as «these verses which I have composed in full armour ${ }^{28}$ ». But Ovid is not taking part in any battle: he is writing poetry whilst the locals are fighting. I would like to suggest that the words $i^{29}$ procinctu ${ }^{30}$ mean «during a battle». In other words, Ovid states that he has written some verses during the fighting which was taking place with the Getans. Cf. in. armis, line 5.

[^2][^3]> stat vetus urbs, ripae vicina binominis Histri, moenibus et positu vix adeunda loci. Caspius Aegisos, de se si credimus ipsis, condidit et proprio nomine dixit opus. hanc ferus Odrysiis inopino Marte peremptis cepit et in regem sustulit arma Getes. ille memor magni generis, virtute quod auget, protinus innumero milite cinctus adest, nec prius abscessit, merita quam caede nocentum ................................................. at tibi, rex aevo, detur, fortissime nostro, semper honorata sceptra tenere manu, teque (quod et praestat - quid enim tibi plenius optem?) Martia cum magno Caesare Roma probet.
line 20 audaces animos contuderit populi B C, contuderat Riese
In this passage Ovid describes how the Getans attacked the town of Aegisos, but were defeated by the local king. Perfect sense can be restored to the transmitted text if we place a full stop after populi, in line 20, and translate lines 19-22 as follows:
«and he (i. e. the king of Aegisos) did not depart until with deserved slaughter he had beaten down (contuderat) the daring spirit of the guilty. But may it be granted to you, bravest king of the people (populi ... rex ${ }^{31}$... fortissime), always to hold in our time the sceptre with your honoured hand.»

## I. 8. 27-28

ut careo vobis Stygias detrusus in oras, quattuor autumnos Pleias orta facit.

At line 27 Ovid states that he has been banished to the shores of the Styx. I would like to suggest that there is a reference here to the fact that the entrance to Hades was near Cyzicus ${ }^{32}$, which was not far from Tomi. Ovid mentions Cyzicus at Tristia I. 10. 30.

At Tristia 4. 5. 21-22 Ovid says that he hopes to be saved from the Stygian water (Stygia ... aqua). At Ex Ponto 2. 3.41 ff . Ovid complains that his life is like death. He asks how far his death is from the Stygian water.
I. 8. 29-34
nec tu credideris urbanae commoda vitae quaerere Nasonem (quaerit et illa tamen), nam modo vos animo, dulces, reminiscor, amici,

[^4]Propertius mentions cool Cyzicus together with Dindymus and the «fashioned girl of sacred Cybele» (sacrae fabricata iuvenca Cybelae).
nunc mihi cum cara coniuge nata subit, aque domo rursus pulchrae loca vertor ad Urbis cunctaque mens oculis pervidet illa suis.

Gaertner ${ }^{33}$ notes that the critics have been puzzled by the text of lines 33-34. Perfect sense can, however, be restored to this passage if we understand that the words aque domo ${ }^{34}$ mean «and from my family». Ovid states in line 33 that he thinks of his wife and daughter, and then adds that from his family (aque domo) his thoughts turn towards the different localities of Rome, which he still sees in his mind.
I. 8. 39-44

> at, puto, sic Urbis misero est erepta voluptas, quolibet ut saltem rure frui liceat. non meus amissos animus desiderat agros ruraque Paeligno conspicienda solo, nec quos piniferis positos in collibus hortos spectat Flaminiae Clodia iuncta viae,

Scholars ${ }^{35}$ have been puzzled by the meaning of lines 39-40. I would like to suggest that Ovid has employed the historical ${ }^{36}$ present. We should translate as follows:
«But, I thought (puto), the delight of the city had been taken away from wretched me in such a fashion that I could at least enjoy life in the country."

Ovid means that when he left Rome he thought that he would be able to enjoy a peaceful rural existence. Instead he has to endure life in a land which is exposed to Getan warfare: cf. I. 8. 6.
I. 8. 51-52
ipse ego pendentis, liceat modo, rupe capellas, ipse velim baculo pascere nixus oves.

Ovid says that he would like to act as a shepherd. The goats are described as «loitering» (penden$t i{ }^{37}$ ) on a rock. Similarly at Virgil, Ecl. I, 76 goats are said to «loiter» (pendere) far off «due to a bushy rock» (dumosa ... de ${ }^{38}$ rupe).
I. 8. 63-72
at tibi nascenti, quod toto pectore laetor, nerunt fatales fortia fila deae:
${ }^{33}$ Op. cit., page 448 f.
${ }^{34}$ Cf. Lewis And Short, A Latin Dictionary, s. v. domus II, B: «A household, family, race.»

35 Cf. Gaertner, op. cit., page 451 ff.
${ }^{36}$ For other examples of the historical present cf. my Studies In The Text Of Propertius, page 118. For the historical present in Ovid cf. Habis 36, 2005, page 214 (note 13). At Ovid, Met. 15, 381 capit is a historical present. The female bear is said to lick her cub into the
same shape that she took when her own mother licked her into shape.

Cf. Epist. III, 2, 93 f. rapiunt ... feruntur.
${ }^{37}$ Cf. Lewis And Short, A Latin Dictionary, s. v. pendeo I, B, 3: «To hang about, loiter, tarry, linger anywhere: nostroque in limine pendes Verg. A. 6, 151».
${ }_{38}$ Cf. Lewis And Short, op. cit., s. v. de C, 5: «To indicate the producing cause or reason, on account of, because of."
te modo Campus habet, densa modo porticus umbra, nunc, in quo ponis tempora rara, forum;
Umbria nunc revocat, nec non Albana petentem
Appia ferventi ducit in arva rota.
forsitan bic optes, ut iustam supprimat iram
Caesar et hospitium sit tua villa meum.
a, nimium est, quod, amice, petis: moderatius opta,
et voti, quaeso, contrahe vela tui.
line 67 petentem : potentem v. l.
In line 67 Gaertner, following Wheeler and André (op. cit.), printed the reading petentem. Perfect sense is, however, provided by the reading potentem. Potentem underlines that Severus owns the «estate near Alba» (Wheeler ad loc.): cf. Lewis And Short, s. v. potens, B, 1. For potens used absolutely = «be the owner of» (metaph.) cf. e. g. Ovid, Met. 8, 56. The words hic ... tua villa indicate that Severus owns the place and demonstrate that petentem is a trivialization. In other words, Ovid states that Severus is taken to his estate in the Albanus ager.
I. 10. 1-6

Naso suo profugus mittit tibi, Flacce, salutem, mittere rem si quis, qua caret ipse, potest. longus enim curis vitiatum corpus amaris non patitur vires languor habere suas. nec dolor ullus adest, nec febribus uror anhelis, et peragit soliti vena tenoris iter.

Ovid tells Flaccus that his health is not good, and that he lacks strength. Nevertheless, he has no pain and is not burnt by fevers. I would like to suggest that, in line 6, Ovid adds that he is not impotent. Thus the words et peragit soliti vena tenoris iter mean «and my membrum virile (vena) ${ }^{39}$ follows the path of its usual course."

Conclusion. In this paper I hope to have solved various textual and interpretative problems which puzzled the commentators and which have been discussed by Gaertner. The reader will also note that I have attempted to show that Ovid's Epistulae Ex Ponto contain, not surprisingly, several obscenities which previous critics have failed to understand. Thus at I. 1. 69 the noun navis means cunnus, at I. 4.11 ff . the noun equus alludes to sexual intercourse, and at I. 10.6 the noun vena refers to the «membrum virile».

[^5]
[^0]:    ${ }^{9}$ Cf. Lewis And Short, op. cit., s. v. proprius II, B: «Lasting, constant, permanent, perpetual.»
    ${ }^{10}$ For the text of lines $9-10$, cf. Gaertner, op. cit., pages 142 f .
    ${ }^{11}$ Cf. Lewis And Short, A Latin Dictionary, s. v. muto II, D: «To forsake, abandon, leave ... mutataque sidera ..., i. e. forsaken or abandoned by the gods, Petr. poët. 124, 264."

[^1]:    ${ }^{12}$ Cf. Lewis And Short, A Latin Dictionary, s. v. colo II, B, I: «Most freq. of the reverence and worship of the gods ... Phoebe silvarumque potens Diana ... o colendi semper et culti, Hor. C. S. 2 and 3.»
    ${ }^{13}$ For a similar example of adjectival enallage cf. my Studies In The Text Of Propertius, Athens 2002, page 164.

[^2]:    25 Gaertner, op. cit., page 425.
    26 The nominative causa is not unmetrical. For metrical lengthening at the middle syllable of the pentameter cf. my Studies In The Text Of Propertius, page 150. Cf. also G. Giangrande, R. F. Class. 1968, pages 213-214.
    ${ }^{27}$ Op. cit., page 81.

[^3]:    28 «En tenue de combat» André, op. cit., ad loc.;»on the field of battle» Wheeler, op. cit., ad loc.
    ${ }^{29}$ Cf. Lewis And Short, A Latin Dictionary, s. v. in I, B:»In time, indicating its duration, in, during, in the course of.»
    ${ }^{30}$ Cf. Lewis And Short, op. cit., s. v. procinctus II, B: «Esp., A battle, an engagement».

[^4]:    ${ }^{31}$ Cf. Lewis And Short, A Latin Dictionary, s. v. rex I, A: «rex populi Romani, i. e. Caesar (Cic. Off. 3, 21, 83).»
    ${ }^{32}$ Cf. G. I. F. LI, 1999, page 101. For Cyzicus, cf.

[^5]:    ${ }^{39}$ Cf. Lewis And Short, A Latin Dictionary, s. v. vena
    I, 6: «= membrum virile, Mart. 4, 66, 12 etc.» Ovid mentions Venus and love-making at I. 10. 33-34.

