

Una herramienta para la revisión de manuscritos de investigación: un enfoque basado en Líneas de Producto



Evaluación de criterios



Resumen de anotaciones realizadas



Informe final de la revisión



Importar listas de criterios




Información de los autores

Objetivo: desarrollo de una herramienta para facilitar la revisión de artículos científicos mediante anotaciones web partiendo de la Línea de Productos *WACline*.

Autor: Iñigo Bereciartua Rocha

Director: Óscar Díaz García

Fecha: 2/07/2021



Review&Go

de 20

130%

DefaultReview...

Create new theme

7 Authors

3 Desirable

3 Arguments

Examples

5 Essential

5 Artifact description

Assumptions

Comparison

Conceptual evaluat...

Methodology

Usefulness

1 Extraordinary

1 Contribution to und...

151 Keywords

ation are the following:

1. **Twitter Authentication:** NavigTweet uses OAuth protocol for Twitter user authentication, using the Pin-based mechanism provided by Twitter API. This module is responsible for handling user authentication for successful login.
2. **User Node:** After successful login, the application creates a user node on graph canvas, corresponding to the user who has logged in.
3. **Twitter Data Streaming:** This module is responsible for fetching the user's friends' data. Due to the rate-limit of Twitter APIs, we fetch a maximum number of 500 friend IDs and 100 User objects in once API call.

Essential

Artifact description	Usefulness
Conceptual	
Comp	

Engineering research (Design Science)

Invalid criticisms

Engineering research (Design Science)

- The paper does not report as ambitious an empirical study as other predominately empirical papers. The more innovative the artifact and more comprehensive the conceptual evaluation, the less we should expect from the empirical study.
- Too few experimental subjects (e.g. the source code used to evaluate a static analysis technique) if few subjects are available in the contribution's domain or the experimental evaluation is part of a more comprehensive validation strategy (e.g. formal arguments). Other criteria, such as the variety, realism, availability, and scale of the subjects, should also be considered to assess the quality of the evaluation.
- No replication package, if there are clear, convincing practical or ethical reasons preventing artifact disclosure.
- The artifact is not experimentally compared with related approaches that are not publicly available. In other words, before saying "you

Generate and edit report

<Summarize the work>

STRENGTHS:

- (Page 2): "TweetBasedScore(u) meth-od provides a score value of tweets-level parameters (last 200 fetched-tweets) and returns a tweet-level score value. Nice description
- (Page 2): "This module is responsible for fetching the user's friends' data. Due to the rate-limit of Twitter APIs, we fetch a maximum number of 500 friend IDs and 100 User objects in once API call.". Accurate description

MAJOR WEAKNESSES:

In the following, I express an important concern I have about the manuscript.

- (Page 4): "Access Token from Twitter.". More explanation needed


MINOR WEAKNESSES:

There is also a minor point that should be clarified.

- (Page 3): "desktop application.". Why? More description

Download Save draft

eman ta zabal zazu



Universidad
del País Vasco

Euskal Herriko
Unibertsitatea

Empirical Standards

<https://github.com/acmsigsoft/EmpiricalStandards>